THE

CRITICAL REVIEW

OF

THEOLOGICAL AND PHILOSOPHICAL LITERATURE

EDITED BY

PRINCIPAL S. D. F. SALMOND, D.D., F.E.I.S.

VOL. XI.

LONDON, EDINBURGH AND OXFORD
WILLIAMS AND NORGATE
1901

THE ABERDEEN UNIVERSITY PRESS LIMITED

W YERREVIALE ATORESMENT ATORESMENT AT YEARS AND A SECOND AT THE ATORESMENT AT THE AT

CONTENTS.

I	Mackintosh and Macaulay's The Christian Doctrine of Justification and Reconciliation by AL-By Professor James Orr, D.D., Glasgow Brecht Ritschl	PAGE 3
I	MARTI'S DAS BUCH JESAIA ERKLÄRT By Professor T. K. CHEYNE, D.D., Oxford	12
(Capes's The English Church in the Fourteenth and Fifteenth Centuries By Robert S. Rait, M.A., New College, Oxford	24
I	KRAETZSCHMAR'S DAS BUCH EZECHIEL By Professor A. R. S. KENNEDY, D.D., ÜBERSETZT UND ERKLÄRT University of Edinburgh	28
(CHERFILS' UN ESSAI SCIENTIFIQUE: By Professor George Rebec, Ph.D., Uni- INTRODUCTION à WRONSKI, PHILO- SOPHE ET RÉFORMATEUR	32
(Moore's The Book of Judges Goodspeed's Israel's Messianic Hope to the Time of Jesus Steuernagel's Allgemeine Einleitung in den Hexateuch Happel's Das Buch des Propheten Habackuk	36
(CREMER'S DIE PAULINISCHE RECHT- FERTIGUNGSLEHRE IM ZUSAMMEN- HANGE IHRER GESCHICHTLICHEN VORAUSSETZUNGEN By the Rev. David Somerville, D.D., Edinburgh	42
F	GELIUM DES PAULUS By the Rev. John Macpherson, B.D., Portobello	49
K	KUNZE'S GLAUBENSREGEL, HEILIGE By the Rev. ROBERT A. LENDRUM, M.A., SCHRIFT UND TAUFBEKENNTNISS Kirkliston	52
M	Margoliouth's Anecdota Oxoniensia: By Professor George G. Cameron, D.D., The Letters of Abu'l-'Alā Aberdeen	58
ON	NOTICES By the EDITOR	64
60	Lewis's Select Narratives of Holy Women from the Syro- Antiochene or Sinai Palimpsest; Beet's Commentary on St. Paul's Epistle to the Romans; Bennett and Adeney's Biblical Introduction; The Expositor, Sixth Series, Vol. II.; Smellie's The Heidelberg	
Faxon		
18 M	FIRST PRIMER OF APOLOGETICS; BERNARD'S THE WORKS OF BISHOP VOL. XI.—No. 1.	
15	994000	

BUTLER; TEOLOGISK TIDSSKRIFT; HOMILETIC REVIEW; METHODIST REVIEW; L'HUMANITÉ NOUVELLE; BIBLIOTHECA SACRA; INTERNATIONAL JOURNAL OF ETHICS; MIND; PRESBYTERIAN AND REFORMED REVIEW; NEUE KIRCHLICHE ZEITSCHRIFT; AMERICAN JOURNAL OF THEOLOGY; ROOSE'S THE BOOK OF THE FUTURE LIFE; GRIFFITH-JONES'S THE ASCENT THROUGH CHRIST: ROWNTREE AND SHERWELL'S STATE PROHIBITION AND LOCAL OPTION; BOYD CARPENTER'S THE RELIGIOUS SPIRIT IN THE POETS; WOOLAM'S ALL CHANGE; MARCHANT'S FROM THE SCOURGE OF THE TONGUE; CARR'S THE LIFE-WORK OF ARCHBISHOP BENSON; FARRAR'S THE LIFE OF CHRISTIAN SERVICE; THEOLOGISCHER JAHRES-BERICHT; YOUNG ENGLAND; EXELL'S BIBLICAL ILLUSTRATOR; STUART'S OUTLINE OF ST. PAUL'S EPISTLE TO THE ROMANS; REPORT OF THE CENSUS OF CUBA; WINTER'S KEEP TO THE RIGHT; MACDOUGALL'S ALLEN LORNE; PULSFORD'S QUIET HOURS; ROBBINS'S AN ESSAY TOWARD FAITH; YARRINGTON'S APPROXIMATE CHRONOLOGY OF THE LIFE OF OUR LORD JESUS CHRIST; STEWART'S THIRTEEN HOMILIES OF ST. AUGUSTINE ON ST. JOHN XIV.; RENDEL HARRIS'S GOSPEL OF THE TWELVE APOSTLES; LEWIS AND GIBSON'S PALESTINIAN SYRIAC TEXTS FROM PALIMPSEST FRAGMENTS IN THE TAYLOR-SCHECHTER COLLECTION; GIBSON'S AN ARABIC VERSION OF THE ACTS OF THE APOSTLES AND THE SEVEN CATHOLIC EPISTLES; ADVANCE, ENDEAVOUR; STEVENS' THE MESSAGES OF PAUL; KIRK'S SIDE LIGHTS ON GREAT PROBLEMS OF HUMAN INTEREST; ELLIOT'S THE STATE AND THE CHURCH; DAUBNEY'S THE USE OF THE APOCRYPHA IN THE CHRISTIAN CHURCH; JEREMIAS'S HÖLLE UND PARADIES BEI DEN BABYLONIERN; KOCH'S DER ORDO SALUTIS; POLE'S THE CHURCH OF ENGLAND-ITS CATHOLICITY AND CONTINUITY; Brown, DRIVER AND BRIGGS'S HEBREW AND ENGLISH LEXICON; WEIZSÄCKER'S DAS NEUE TESTAMENT ÜBERSETZT; HEGLER'S ZUR ERINNERUNG CARL WEIZ-SÄCKER; BAUMGARTEN'S BISMARCK'S STELLUNG ZU RELIGION UND KIRCHE; SELL'S GOETHE'S STELLUNG ZU RELIGION UND CHRISTENTUM; STARLEY'S THE CHRISTIAN EDITION OF THE BIBLE; BURKITT'S EARLY CHRISTIANITY OUTSIDE THE ROMAN EMPIRE; GROSER'S FIELD-MARSHAL LORD ROBERTS; GROTIUS'S THE RIGHTS OF WAR AND PEACE; McCANDLISH'S PER-SONAL CHARACTER AND BUSINESS LIFE; SADLER'S SERMONS FOR CHIL-DREN; KESSLER AND STRACK'S DIE PSALMEN UND DIE SPRÜCHE SALOMOS AUSGELEGT; PEARSE'S THE BRAMBLE KING; ARMSTRONG'S MARTINEAU'S STUDY OF RELIGION; BROWN'S THOUGHTS THROUGH THE YEAR; COMPER GRAY'S THE CLASS AND THE DESK; OLDING'S HYMNS AND HYMN WRITERS; COMPER GRAY'S BIBLICAL MUSEUM; CAMPBELL'S THE FIRST THREE GOSPELS IN GREEK-ARRANGED IN PARALLEL COLUMNS; MORDANT'S THE BIBLICAL TEXT OF CLEMENT OF ALEXANDRIA; CALDERWOOD AND WOODSIDE'S LIFE OF HENRY CALDERWOOD; MASTERMAN'S CHALMERS ON CHARITY; STONE'S OUTLINES OF CHRISTIAN DOGMA; DRIVER'S THE BOOK OF DANIEL; DRUM-MOND'S THE RELATION OF THE APOSTOLIC TEACHING TO THE TEACHING OF CHRIST; SANDAY'S DIFFERENT CONCEPTIONS OF SACRIFICE AND PRIESTHOOD; WACE'S THE DOCTRINE OF HOLY COMMUNION AND ITS EXPRESSION IN RITUAL; PURCELL'S LIFE AND LETTERS OF AMBROSE PHILLIPS DE LISLE; BURNET'S ETHICS OF ARISTOTLE.

The Christian Doctrine of Justification and Reconciliation.

By Albrecht Ritschl, the Positive Development of the Doctrine. English Translation. Edited by H. R. Mackintosh, D. Phil., Tayport, and A. B. Macaulay, M.A., Forfar. Edinburgh: T. & T. Clark, 1900. Pp. 670. Price 14s.

AT last there is provided what has been a desideratum for years—a really reliable translation of the great dogmatic work on *Justification* by which the most noted of modern theologians chiefly made his mark on the thinking of his age. "Ritschl" and "Anti-Ritschl" have been long bandied about as watchwords of controversy, but the English reader has hitherto had a difficulty in getting to close quarters with the man whose thoughts have been the occasion of so much stir. "Ritschlian Theology" is a term made to cover a great deal more than Ritschl's own contributions to theological system; yet, while books like Kaftan's Truth of the Christian Religion, Herrmann's Communion with God, Harnack's History of Dogma, supposed to represent Ritschlianism, have been translated, there has always been a shrinking—due, it may be presumed, to the ponderous and involved nature of Ritschl's style—from grappling with the master himself. The courageous translators who undertook the task of rendering Ritschl's dogmatic tome into lucid and readable English were certainly not to be envied in their work. It is all the more to their credit that the difficulties of their enterprise have been so successfully overcome, and that we are at length in possession of a translation which, in point of accuracy, clearness, and frequently even felicity of expression, is nearly all that the most exacting could desire.

Of the collaborateurs in the translation the main responsibility has lain with the Rev. Dr. Mackintosh, of Tayport, to

AGE

whom also the greatest individual share in the work belongs. The freshness and intelligence of Dr. Mackintosh's part of the translation speaks not only to his mastery of the language, and happy faculty of conveying its meaning in nervous and idiomatic English, but to his grasp also of Ritschl's ideas and general scheme of thought, without which the most skilled of translators must often feel himself fumbling in the dark. It is a guarantee of the excellence of the work as a whole that Dr. Mackintosh declares himself in every case responsible for the rendering finally adopted. His coadjutors, however, the Rev. A. B. Macaulay, M.A., of Forfar, the Rev. A. R. Gordon, M.A., of Monikie, the Rev. R. A. Lendrum, M.A., of Kirkliston, and the Rev. James Strachan, M.A., of St. Fergus, show by their independent work that they need commendation from no man. The distribution of chapters seems to have been skilfully made, and the translation generally reaches a high level of excellence. The English reader, therefore, may rest satisfied that he has in this volume a faithful reproduction of Ritschl's principal work; and that, in founding on it, he is in practically as good a position for judging of the system as those who have access to the original. In some respects he is even more favourably situated, for, in reading so extensive and cumbrous a book, one may catch points in English which are apt to be overlooked in exploring at first hand the dense jungles of the German.

The translators are specially to be congratulated on the way in which they have often succeeded in disentangling Ritschl's intricate and lumbering paragraphs, and brought daylight into statements disconcerting in their obscurity. If, in spite of all, the translation is heavy to read, if one often feels as if wandering in a mist, or tends to lose patience with iterations and circumlocutions that might easily, one may think, have been avoided or curtailed, this is to be laid at the door, not of the translators, but of the author. For this is Ritschl's greatest defect in method—his mind works with large, vague, looming conceptions, which it is difficult ever to reduce to precise or consistent expression—which he

is constantly going round and round, and stating in new and varying forms, while his course of exposition obeys no recognisable law, but is marked by the most provoking desultoriness and repetition. If one pictures the task of hewing a path through a forest with a dash of fog thrown in. he will not have a very inaccurate idea of what working through these chapters of Ritschl's means. On the other hand, to avoid a false impression, let it be said that the reader who perseveres will soon begin to realise that it is a remarkably powerful, original and penetrative mind that is working behind all this apparent vagueness. He will feel growing up in his apprehension a sense of unity of another kind, of a range and concatenation of ideas that hold together in an original combination from their author's point of view. He will discover that he is moving in a world of thought different from that to which he is accustomed, and that there is gradually shaping itself in his consciousness a knowledge of what really constitutes the inwardness of Ritschlianism. Even where he disagrees, he will be compelled to acknowledge that it is always the deepest questions that are being raised, and that the criticism of current conceptions is of the most searching kind. This might a priori be expected in view of what Ritschl's influence has actually been.

In a translation to which such praise is given it may seem invidious to point out minor blemishes and defects. These in any case are not numerous, and no one who has ever tried to put Ritschl into English for himself will be disposed to make much of faults or slips of others. Misprints, so far as we have observed, are rare in the volume. "Primitive" for "punitive" (p. 255), and "alternitatis" for "æternitatis" (p. 325) are examples. For the rest, sometimes a choice of renderings may be a matter of taste; sometimes it may depend on difference of understanding of the author's meaning. But there remain instances—happily none of them serious—in which the point, if not missed, appears at least blunted, or is not made perfectly clear by the translation. In the definition of Christianity, e.g., it would have been

better, we think, if, instead of co-ordinating the clauses. "involves the impulse to conduct from the motive of love, aims at the moral organisation of mankind" (p. 13), Ritschl's form of statement had been adhered to: "involves the impulse to conduct . . . which aims at the moral organisation of mankind" (das auf die sittliche Organisation der Menschheit gerichtet ist). Distinguishing between "concomitant" and "independent" judgments of value, Ritschl says, on p. 205: "But all perceptions of moral ends or moral hindrances, in so far as they excite moral pleasure and pain . . . are independent value-judgments," adding a sentence or two further down: "Religious knowledge forms another class of independent value-judgments". The translation unfortunately transposes subject and predicate in the former sentence to the obscuring of the sense—"But independent value-judgments are all perceptions of moral ends," etc. The soul's "self-existence" is hardly the best equivalent for "Existenz an sich" and "Ansich der Seele" (pp. 20, 21). "Self-existence" has the suggestion of causa sui. Perhaps, on p. 25, "generally" would be better than "universally" in the sentence "the Christian ideal of life, and no other, satisfies the claims of the human spirit to knowledge of things universally". Ritschl does not mean that the Christian ideal confers omniscience, but that it accords with the demand for a satisfying general view of things. p. 28 we read: "From the social character of religion we can gather that, in a complete view of it, its relation to the world must necessarily be included". Ritschl, however, is not thinking of a complete view of religion, but of the complete view of things (Gesammtanschauung) which religion leads us to form, in which he says a relation to the world is necessarily included. In the same context (p. 29) is not "professes to possess" for "zu besitzen verspricht" a little aside from Ritschl's idea, which tallies rather with such a usage as the day "promises" well? The point of view is the subjective. Man is sustained by such a view of God as awakens the confidence that he possesses, etc. In describing justification (p. 38), religious "peculiarity" or "character-

istic," might be better than "character," which is apt to suggest the idea of moral renovation Ritschl wishes to avoid. As a statement of Tieftrunk's view, the sentence "not merely as a result of the law" (p. 93) should surely rather be "not merely for the sake of the law" (nicht bloss um des Gesetzes willen). In this connection "reconcilability" (Versöhnlichkeit) and "irreconcilability" (Unversöhnlichkeit) do not convey quite a clear idea in such a sentence as "the second (pre-requisite) when reconcilability becomes a commandment of outstanding importance in the law, and when irreconcilability, conceived as the law of a moral kingdom, would be self-contradictory" (p. 93). It is the element of placability (reconcilableness) and implacability in God which is in view, and the meaning is (expounding Tieftrunk) that placability in God is an obligatory demand or prescription of the moral law (eine hervorragende Pflichtvorschrift im Gesetze), and that the opposite supposition would be selfcontradictory. On p. 86, "to estimate the characteristic note of faith which is to be found in the objects of justification along with, and apart from, their consciousness of guilt," is surely an unfortunate periphrasis for "to estimate the mark of faith which, besides the consciousness of guilt, has to be taken account of in the objects of justification," which is the plain sense of the German (das ausser dem Schuldbewusstsein zu beachtende Merkmal des Glaubens zu Similarly, on p. 106, in place of Ritschl's statement: "The marks which distinguish Christianity as a religion, and those which denote its ethical purpose, must not be confused with one another, if Christianity in both respects is not to be distorted and falsified" (nicht in einander gewirrt werden dürfen, wenn das Christenthum nicht in beiden Beziehungen getrübt und verfälscht werden soll), we have the needlessly paraphrastic form: "The characteristic marks . . . are therein confused with each other; whereas, if Christianity is not to be distorted and falsified in both respects, they ought to be clearly distinguished." In the chapter on Sin, the translator, we think, in one or two instances misses the idea. Thus, on p. 345, we read:

8 .

"The sin of individual persons, as Paul declares, then arises only because the doom of death is already valid for all individuals in virtue of the divine decree". The "because" and "only" here completely change the sense, which, as the context shows, is that the sinning of individuals takes place in a condition of things where already death is valid for all (apart from such sinning, cf. p. 347) by an act of divine judgment. This, too, is the meaning of the German (Das Sündigen der Einzelnen finden statt, indem schon jenes Todesverhängniss für alle Einzelnen in kraft des göttlichen Urtheils giltig ist). On the next page (346) the rendering "the sinful state of the many which, in his (Paul's) opinion, is latent in Adam's disobedience," might suggest the idea of transmission of depravity by generation, which Ritschl seeks to exclude. "Sündenstand" had better be translated as on p. 347, "status of sin," and "involved" (enthalten) might be less ambiguous than "latent". The discussion of the distinction of sin and crime on p. 334, throws light back upon an earlier passage where that distinction is a little blurred. On p. 27 we read: "The conception of sin committed by men is also, it is true, a religious one, as distinct from injustice and crime". What Ritschl aims at saying is, that the notion of sin is certainly also a religious one, in distinction from that of injustice and crime. Materially the acts are the same, but in describing them as sin we estimate them in comparison with God's precept and honour. To take only another instance, it is distinctly ambiguous if we read, as on p. 330: "On that basis Christ can be understood only as the Bearer of God's operation against sin". This might be understood in the sense of endurance of the consequences of transgression (sin-bearer). The ambiguity would be removed if some such expression as "the representative of the divine operation in counteracting sin (or directed against sin)" were employed (der Träger der göttlichen Gegenwirkung gegen die Sünde). A verbal criticism may be offered on the word "primitive" on page 390, "the primitive formula of the One Person in two natures". Ritschl would hardly grant that this formula was "primitive"

"Old ecclesiastical" (altkirchliche) would better express the meaning.

As already hinted, even to bring these stray illustrations together is almost an unfairness to the book, the general and all but uniform excellence of which casts such criticisms into the shade. In the event of a new edition being called for, however, some of them may be felt worthy of consideration.

The scope of this notice does not permit of lengthened review of the theological positions of the book, for which in other circumstances the publication of a translation might afford a tempting opportunity. The reader will readily discover to his satisfaction that throughout the work there breathes the profoundest conviction of the reality and completeness of the revelation of God in Jesus Christ; of the truth and worth of Christianity as the perfect spiritual and moral religion; of the dignity of Christ's Person, and indispensableness of His work, within the limits set by the rejection of everything which Ritschl would call metaphysical (pre-existence, union of divine and human "natures") and legal; of the freedom of access to God accorded to believers, unhindered by the consciousness of guilt; of the religious elevation and freedom from the world attainable through trust in God, and the sublimity of the moral task imposed by the ideal of the kingdom of God. In one sense the closing section of Ritschl's book-that on the religious and moral functions springing out of reconciliation-is the key to the whole, for the goal to which all religion is directed is attained by faith in God's Fatherly providence, and devotion to the ethical ends of the kingdom. It is when the reader goes a little deeper that he finds reason to doubt whether these ideas, which have so remarkably Christian an imprint, are apprehended in a manner truly in accord with the Christian revelation and consciousness of reconciliation. This question will be specially pressed upon him by Ritschl's withdrawal of the whole sphere of religious knowledge from contact with theoretic thought, and the setting forth of such knowledge in the form of what he is pleased to call "independent value-judgments"; by his polemic against 10

an abiding nature in the soul and in God; by his thoroughgoing attack on the idea of a divine essential righteousness, and his rejection of a punitive or retributive moral order; by his substitution of the revelation-Person of Jesus Christ, which has for us the "religious value" of God, for an essential Deity of the Redeemer; by his denial of hereditary sinfulness; by his view of justification, which, if contrasted with the Roman Catholic "Gerechtmachung" is just as little, in a real sense, the "Gerechtsprechung" of Paul and of the Evangelical Church, seeing that legal or forensic ideas are altogether excluded from it; with many other points that will readily occur. He will find, at any rate, abundance of paradoxes to solve, and apparent inconsistencies to reconcile, in one place, e.g., the contention that "religion and theoretic knowledge are different functions of spirit which, when they deal with the same object, are not even partially coincident, but wholly diverge" (p. 194); in another, a rebuke of Kant for opposing practical and theoretical reason, since "knowledge of the laws of our action is also theoretical knowledge, for it is knowledge of the laws of our spiritual life" (p. 222); in one place, an energetic combating of the idea of an essential nature in God (pp. 240, 283), in another, mention of "the law of love, the authority of which is based in the very nature of God" (p. 253); in one place, the representation of God as "the Author and the Active Representative of the moral law" (p. 58), and agreement with Kant in his description of God as "the Moral Creator and Ruler of the world" (p. 219), in others, a keen antagonism to every conception which makes "law" in any degree determinative of the relations of God and men (e.g. pp. 95, 261). Throughout the book "forgiveness of sins," or "justification," is rightly put in the forefront as the first necessity of the sinner, and is frequently spoken of as an act or judgment of God altering the relation of the sinner to Himself; in passages that take us more into the interior of the system we learn that forgiveness is not an act in time at all, and relates, not to the individual, but to the community, does not denote, therefore, any change in God's relation to the sinner otherwise than as that is implied in a change in the sinner's relation to God. From the "religious" or "temporal" point of view, we may "have the impression of a change from divine wrath to divine mercy," but this is simply "because we cannot but regard and judge our relations to God under the form of time" (pp. 323-5). "Forgiveness," in truth, is, in the strict sense, a misnomer in the system. Ritschl's theology, if it is to be rightly apprehended, needs to be taken as a whole, and if it is so regarded, it will present itself as a very unique and striking, but in many respects also very challengeable, product of a strong, but not always particularly coherent, mind.

JAMES ORR.

Kurzer Hand-commentar zum Alten Testament. Lieferung 10. Das Buch Jesaia erklärt.

Von Karl Marti. Tübingen, Freiburg i. B., und Leipzig: J. C. B. Mohr (Paul Siebeck). London: Williams & Norgate, 1900. Large 8vo, pp. xxvi. + 428. Price 7s. net.

Marti's commentary on Isaiah has been eagerly looked for, and will not disappoint its readers. So much has been written about Isaiah during the last ten years that he has the best of opportunities for bringing some of the problems to be solved some steps nearer to a final solution. The best of scholars cannot afford to disregard his predecessors, and Marti shows no disposition to neglect them; he is therefore sure to produce a better book than a self-centred scholar. The comparative narrowness of his limits is no doubt a disadvantage, but such a well-equipped scholar and such a skilful writer as Marti will know how to minimise this hindrance.

Passing over the introductory summary of results, the due criticism of which would expand this article too much, I turn at once to chap. i. There the unity of vers. 2-17 is ably maintained; this is facilitated by omitting ver. 6b as a gloss. Marti's restoration of vers. 10-17, metrical superfluities being rejected, is certainly very attractive. For the rest of the chapter Marti proposes views which are partly new. Ver. 18 is an independent utterance of Isaiah of uncertain date. Vers. 19 f. belong to the period of the negotiations with Egypt (705); vers. 21-26 are a little poem of about the same date; vers. 27-31, a late passage, referring to the early Samaritans, about B.C. 440. To this separation of ver. 18 from vers. 19 f. I am at present disinclined. Wellhausen's and Duhm's well-known explanations are forced; Marti's also fails to

commend itself to me. The redactor of chap. i. certainly saw no sarcasm in ver. 18. But just admit the correction of in ver. 20 which I proposed in the Expositor, June, 1899, and Isaiah's authorship of vers. 19, 20 receives a blow; it was not Isaiah who said, "If ye be willing and obedient, carob-pods shall ye eat". That neither ver. 18a nor ver. 20b is Isaiah's, is admitted by Marti; if we further admit that vers. 19, 20a is not Isaiah's, it becomes hardly worth while to defend the Isaianic origin of ver. 18b. Treat this passage as post-exilic, and a reference to the possible forgiveness of the sins of Judah is no longer improbable (cf. Ps. li. 7 [9]).

Marti's view that vers. 27-31 possesses literary unity, seems to me doubtful. There is colour and definiteness in vers. 29-31, but not in vers. 27 f., which are also more effective at the close of the poem, vers. 21-26. Marti's defence of and מעלו (ver. 31) does not go to the root of the matter. But the passage no doubt needs further correction. Ruben has corrected נעצוץ into יַנעצוץ; in מעלו I have lately ventured to recognise הַהְּבָּלוּן; הַבּנּרּן should probably be הַהְבָּלוּן. If I am right in these suggestions, we should render ver. 31:—

And the Hamman shall become a furnace, And his maker thorns; And they shall both burn together, And none shall quench them.

That the author should still (as in 1899 in his Geschichte der Israelit. Religion) deny the Isaianic authorship of ii. 2-4, ix. 1-6 and xi. 1-9—passages of the greatest interest and of historical value for the time to which they really belong—is not surprising. Three clearly written small type Excursus justify the post-exilic date here assigned to these passages. The author's adhesion to the most prevalent rendering of as a possible correction, has led me to reinvestigate the whole passage. That my own correction of אבי עד into אבי עד "glorious father, or, governor" (not, "possessor of majesty,"

as Marti gives the rendering) is only plausible, I admit. But no explanation of אבי עד seems to me even plausible, and the other difficulties of the fourfold title assigned to the great king in our Bible compel one to look more sceptically into the text. The result is to my own mind highly satisfactory. The title, it is true, loses in magniloquence, but the whole prophetic vision gains, and the twofold name, which is all that I can find justified, is grand enough even for such a hero as the Messiah. It would not be fair to turn aside from Marti's work to give even a condensed description of the steps by which I arrive at this result. But I am in great hopes that the critical and therefore exegetical problems of the passage have been solved.

Marti's metrical treatment of ii. 6-22 seems to me admirable; it is only the text which needs a keener criticism. I have exchanged ideas privately with the author with regard to ver. 6b, and find that we have independently worked on the same lines. I cannot help preferring my own solution of the problem, which will be found in the Encyclopædia Biblica, s. v. "Haran"; my solution of the textual problems of ver. 16 exists in the same work, s. vv. "Ebony" and "Ivory". Once more, let me express my sense of the difficulty of such problems, which need to be revolved in the mind again and again at intervals, as one's grasp of critical method becomes firmer, and at the same time my appreciation of Marti's bold stand for a re-examination of the text. On iii, I-15 the new commentary is very instructive; vers. 4 and 12a have been misunderstood, they do not really require us to place the passage at the beginning of the reign of Ahaz. Robertson Smith, however (in a letter quoted in Sacred Books of the Old Testament, Isaiah, Heb. ed., p. 81), had already indicated the But surely the puzzling תעלולים in ver. 4 has arisen out of מעלולים, miswritten for מעוללים; and in ver. 12a also we should probably read מעוללים. should we not correct either נערים in ver. 4 into נשים "creditors, exactors" (cf. Marti on ver. 12) or נשים in ver. 12, perhaps a corruption produced by נערים, into נערים

("common soldiers," see Ges.-Buhl, נְצֶר ib). The date of the prophecy is of importance, because in it the prophet declares the ruin of the kingdom of Judah to be imminent, and Marti's determination of the date is very probable.

Passing on to chap. vi., I would call attention to the frank and lucid explanation of the "inaugural vision" of Isaiah from a psychological point of view (pp. 69 ff.). The exegetical details are treated with much care, and with a condensation which does not produce obscurity. Marti recognises (against some recent critics) that "this people" in vers. 9, 10 means Isaiah's fellow-citizens in Jerusalem and Judah; "at any rate it is not only the northern kingdom which is concerned". Exegesis is simplified by the view that vers. 12-13ba and $13b\beta$ are two later additions, the second of which, as Marti and others hold, is indicated as such by its non-existence in Marti mentions (but rejects) the opinion of Meinhold (Jesaia und seine Zeit, p. 37), that ver. 12 is the only addition, and that ver. 13 is the continuation of the Divine speech in ver. II. I would venture, however, to ask for an arrest of judgment. The whole of the second part of ver. 13 is awkward and improbable; the text needs re-examination upon the basis of experience of the ways of the scribes. באלה surely must be wrong: and מצבת "stump" is purely imaginary. זרע קדש מצבתה appears to be a second editorial attempt to extract sense out of a corrupt passage; it is valuable, however, for the purpose of comparison with the preceding editorial effort. Ver. 13b in the original text must have run nearly thus, רְשִׁרָּפוֹן בְּצִבְיָחָה בִּי-בִלָּיוֹן בְּוַרְעֵיהָ; the whole passage will become, "And should there yet be a remnant (שארית) therein, it shall once more be destroyed; for consumption shall be on its (i.e., the land's) plants, and parching on its sprouts". It seems to me that considering the strong feeling expressed in vers. 9, 10, the announcement in ver. II is unaccountably meagre as the close of the whole passage. In Isaiah v. 15 a pathetic description is given of the effect of the judgment on the population; we expect here

an equally pathetic description of its effect on the land. The land being so utterly desolate, the scanty remnant will have no means of livelihood.

Chaps. vii.-ix. 6 suggest many interesting notes. Suffice it, however, to remark that Marti adopts the now familiar view that "Immanuel" is not the Messiah, and that the entire stress is laid on the name, which, for those who give or bear it, will be a reminder of the great mercy of Judah's deliverance from Rezin and Pekah. Like Duhm, Marti fully realises the religious significance of the encounter between Isaiah and Ahaz; he illustrates his view by a reference to Robertson Smith's Prophets of Israel, pp. 274 f. I note also that he takes viii. 8b-10 to be a later insertion (cf. "Isaiah," ii., Encyclopædia Biblica), and that, with Oettli, he takes the whole of viii. 19 from דְרָשׁר onwards to be the speech of the advocates of necromancy. Passing on to ix. 7-x. 4 (to which, with almost all critics, he joins ver. 26-29, but see Peiser and Winckler), I notice that he defends the plausibly supposed reference to Beltis and Osiris as Isaiah's, which seems to me hazardous (cf. a similar question as to Am. v. 26), and that he recognises the large non-Isaianic element in x. 5-34. x. 27b-32 there is, I think, much more to be said from a textcritical point of view (see Expositor, Sept., 1899, and cf. the relevant geographical articles in Encyclopædia Biblica). That Isaiah wrote such a passage, is highly improbable, as Marti That Giesebrecht (Berufsbegabung der Propheten. p. 73), should assert that there is an Isaianic basis, is very difficult to understand.

I reluctantly skip over to xiv. 24-27, which, as Marti's clear exhibition of the arguments convinces me, is certainly not Isaianic. This result is of importance for an estimate of Isaiah's view of the future; it also obliges us (again following Marti) to question the Isaianic character of xviii. 3, 7, which passage, equally with xiv. 24-27, assumes the worldwide significance of the judgment upon Israel's enemies. Chap. xiv. 28-32, is also to be regarded as post-exilic (so Duhm and Marti). Surely the (supposed) occasion of the composition is the death of Sennacherib, whose history possessed a typical

significance for the later Jews (cf. Ps. xlviii.); a restoration of the corrupt portions is offered in the Sacred Books of the Old Testament, Isaiah, Heb. ed., p. 195, where, too, the late date is admitted. Marti's commentary on chaps. xv.-xvi. is particularly helpful. In xv. 9. he corrects הדמה into ארוֹם, "for a lion do I appoint for the escaped Moabites and for the remnant of the Edomites". The original elegy, he thinks, related to Moab, but an editorial insertion (xv. gab-xvi. 4a, 6, 12) introduced a reference to the calamity brought upon Edom by the Nabathean Arabs. The epilogue (xvi. 13 f.) Marti is disposed to assign (with Duhm) to the time of Alexander Jannæus. I will not here refer to textual questions, except so far as to remark that the disputed in xv. 8, seems to have arisen out of באלעלה "in Elealeh". In chap. xviii. two distinct passages are recognised, vers. 1, 2, 4 and 5, 6; the latter passage is viewed as the close of the oracle in xvii. I-II. This is, in fact, the necessary consequence of the excision of ver. 3, as a late insertion. Looking at my own restorations (Sacred Books of the Old Testament, Isaiah, Heb. ed., pp. 195 f.) of xvii. 11 and xviii. 4 f. respectively, I am struck by the way in which the two passages fit together; I think that students in general, and Professor Marti in particular, will also be impressed by this. A valuable excursus on the date follows the commentary.

That Marti (like Duhm) should assign the main part of chap. xix. to the time of Artaxerxes Ochus is not wonderful. But that he should prefer the reading עיר ההרם in ver. 18, which, like Duhm, he even renders "lion-city," i.e., Leontopolis) is somewhat strange. The reading in the common text of \tilde{G} ($\pi \acute{o}\lambda \iota s$ $a\sigma e \delta e \kappa$) is really a confirmation of the reading in the common text of \tilde{G} ($\pi \acute{o}\lambda \iota s$ $a\sigma e \delta e \kappa$) is really a confirmation of the reading Duhl and $\pi \acute{o}$ are liable to confusion (so e.g., in the confirmation of the reading another \tilde{G} reading $a(e)\sigma e \delta$, $\pi \acute{o}$ and $\pi \acute{o}$ and the commentary seems to me nearly as satisfactory as it could

possibly be made on the basis of an imperfectly corrected text. But the fact that two scholars of our own day (Dr. W. H. Cobb and Dr. W. E. Barnes),1 still hold out against the prevalent critical view—and perhaps I may add that other fact that two earlier English writers did for a time, following a German scholar (Kleinert), also reject that view-might perhaps have suggested to Marti the desirableness of a deeper investigation. I feel that I am myself to blame for not having made such an investigation before him. Marti has really done more for the text of this passage than I was able to do in the Sacred Books of the Old Testament in treating Isaiah. But I have now repaired my omission, with the result that I feel confident that the poem (for such it is, as Marti points out) has been greatly misunderstood owing to corruptions of the text. I will not occupy space by a too brief condensation of my conclusions, which might tempt some critic to attempt a premature refutation of it. But I will at least say that in my opinion the poem has nothing to do with Babylon, but is parallel to Ps. exxxvii. (which, as Barnes nearly suggested, and as I am in a position to prove, refers exclusively to Edom), and also, of course, to the prophecy of Obadiah (cf. Mal. i. 2-4). It is a poetic prophecy on the fall of Edom, which since Nebuchadnezzar's time had more and more come to be regarded as Israel's arch-enemy, and it is very fitly placed beside the oracles of Seir and Arabia.

Earnest support is given to Duhm's interpretation of xxi. 1-18, as referring, not to Tyre, but (altering צׁוֹ in ver. 8 into צֹירוֹן) to Sidon, which was destroyed by Artaxerxes Ochus, B.C. 348. I must confess that בושי צירון in ver. 4, seems to me to imply that "Sidon," in the sense (whatever it was) in which the port was the town, was still borne by the community referred to. Perhaps further study of the text may convert me to the new view! I perceive that Marti has over-

¹Cobb, Journal of Biblical Literature (Boston, U.S.A.), 1898, pp. 40-61, carefully criticised by Marti; Barnes, Journal of Theological Studies (Oxford and Cambridge) July, 1900.

looked my restoration of ver. 10; but ביאר is almost certainly a corruption of מצר (Egypt); ב passed into מצר and z into N: parallels need not be here given. On chaps, xxiv.-xxvii., Marti again follows Duhm's bold suggestions as to dates. I cannot here enter into these. I think he will agree with me that אוֹרת in that famous passage, xxvi. 19, should be □ΠΩΝ (G ἴαμα αὐτοῖς); other hard passages I pass over. In xxviii.-xxxiii. Marti, like myself, is much indebted to his Basle colleague. I must be brief, but may remark that he adopts corrections of xxxiii. 17 and 18, which seem to me most important, and venture to say that both (not only that of ver. 18) are my property; there seems to be a slight error in Marti's statement. He also adopts my explanation of אריאל in xxix. 1, 2, 7. I have come to see, however, that this is only a stage on the road. The true reading must be ירחמאל which would appear to have been an old name of Jerusalem (in 2 Sam. v. 6, 8, פסחים and עורים both represent fragments of the true reading צנור ; ירחמאלים should be צנור). The prophet says, The old name of David's city was Jerahmeel ("God has mercy"), but too soon it shall become בחמאל Lo-jerahmeel ("God has not mercy"), ver. 2. The restoration seems to me not unworthy of adoption; compare the symbolic name Lo-ruhamah in Hos. i. 6.

It is time however to pass on to the second part of the Book of Isaiah, which presents peculiar problems, much discussed at the present time among critics. Questions of text cannot be left out of account, but I hope, even within my limited space, to be able to do some justice to Marti's treatment of that difficult section, chaps. xl.-lv. The question of primary importance is that of unity or plurality of authorship. To this Marti gives a clear and definite answer. Chaps. xl.-lv. (the prophecy of consolation) belong to a prophetic writer (2 Isaiah), whose date was about B.C. 540, and who probably lived in Egypt. Chaps. lvi.-lxvi. come from a writer influenced especially by 2 Isaiah and by Ezekiel, who lived at Jerusalem shortly before the first advent of Nehemiah, i.e.,

before B.C. 445. To the view that the original prophecy of consolation consisted of chaps. xl.-xlix., in an earlier form, and that this great prophecy was expanded by the insertion of the passages uttered by or descriptive of the Ebed-Yahwé (servant of Yahwé) and of chaps. l.-lv. (including l. 4-9, lii. 13-liii. 12), he is, like Budde and König, decidedly opposed. At the close of the commentary on 2 Isaiah, he gives a condensed but lucid summary of the results as to the Ebed-Yahwé poems to which he has been led in the preceding exegesis.

1. To separate liii. 1-11a as an independent poem relative to an individual (Bertholet) is not possible, nor can we venture to assert (Schian, Kosters, Laue) that lii. 13-liii. 12 is the work of a different writer, since it is the culmination of the entire series of passages.

2. Nor can l. 4-9 be supposed to have a different origin from the other passages (Ley, Laue), with which in reality

it presents close affinities.

3. Everywhere the term "Servant of Yahwé" means Israel. The personification of Israel does not go beyond that of Zion (i.e., the community of Jerusalem, or the entire people). All attempts to explain the passages of an individual—Isaiah (Ewald), Jeremiah (Duhm), Zerubbabel (Sellin), the aged scribe Eleazar (Bertholet), or the Messiah of the future (cf. Ley, Laue) are mistaken. So too is the view that the reference is to the inner circle of the pious, or the company of the scribes, or those who taught in the spirit of Deuteronomy (Kosters, Bertholet).

There is, therefore, according to Marti, nothing to differentiate the conception of the Ebed (servant) in the passages referred to from that in the undoubted 2 Isaiah. Add to this that at every step in the exegesis of 2 Isaiah, we find glances at the Ebed-Yahwé passages, and that the metres of these passages are used by 2 Isaiah. The result is that these passages must, from the first, have formed part of the prophecy of consolation, which indeed would be seriously injured by their removal.

That scholars like Marti and Smend should take opposite

sides on this question shows that the question is a difficult one. Marti means well in endeavouring to simplify it, but the presumption is that it is complicated, and that further study of the texts is required. When Marti adds the remark that to remove the passages on the Servant is to tear out the heart of 2 Isajah I am startled. Is it the keen critic of Isa. i.-xxxix. who writes this? Truth does not need such arguments, which do indeed show that a warm human heart beats underneath the armour of the critic, but which nevertheless imply a temporary forgetfulness of the functions of criticism. Perhaps however I misapprehend Marti's meaning: if so, it is only an accidental excess of language, such as may easily happen even to a clear-sighted critic. Certainly I for one shall pay attention to Marti's arguments. We have to penetrate deeper into the genesis of 2 Isaiah than has hitherto been possible. If Marti stimulates us to do this, his work will not have been in vain. It is a less important question whether a single person wrote chaps. lvi.-lxvi. Marti, like Kautzsch, follows Duhm in accepting a Trito-Isaiah; I confess I think this view scarcely tenable, even apart from the question of the date of lxiii. 7-lxiv. A Trito-Isaiah, for me, does not exist—only a group of writers, not in minute agreement, but all looking in the same direction; the advent of Yahwé to deliver his faithful ones. With regard to lxiii. 7.-lxix I note with much interest that in lxiii, 18, Marti expresses the opinion that not destruction, but only contemptuous treatment of the temple on the part of the heterodox party is referred to. Also that lxiii. 15 f. and lxiv. 9-11 are rather boldly treated as insertions, due to a writer who lived in the great Syrian persecution when part at least of the temple was burnt and the country laid waste (I Macc. iv. 38). Rightly enough, he compares Ps. lxxiv., which he regards, with doubtful accuracy, as a Maccabæan psalm.

I will now take up the remunerative task of reporting some of Marti's interpretations. On xli. 22, he remarks that "the former things" is a comprehensive phrase for prophecies and events belonging to the past. In xliii. 18, however, "former" events and not prophecies are referred to. In both passages

the "new things" are the deliverance and restoration of Israel, together with the consequences for Israel and mankind. "No politician could foresee that Cyrus would treat Israel differently from the other peoples, and that this would lead on to the future importance of Jerusalem as the centre of the world; only a prophet could so speak, who had such a full conviction respecting Yahwé and his aims that God's honour would be imperilled if the great political movement were not subservient to the bringing of salvation." On that difficult passage, xliii. 22-28, he says:—

It is first of all emphasised that Israel has done nothing to impel Yahwé to interpose with help. Israel has not called upon Yahwé, much less accompanied its call with sacrificial offerings. The prophet here passes over the fact that during the exile the Israelites were precluded from sacrificing. During the exile-for we are not to refer this passage on sacrifices to the entire history of Israel. Before the exile the Israelites had by no means been backward with sacrifices (cf. i. 10-27), and 2 Isaiah is not an Ezekiel, that he should regard these sacrifices as not having been offered to Yahwé (cf. Ezek. xvi. 22). In ver. 22, "not me hast thou called," requires to be supplemented by "but I thee," not by "but rather the idol-gods," and in vers. 22-24 it is absolutely denied that any sacrifices whatever had been offered. On the other hand it is said in ver. 23b that Yahwé lays no stress on sacrifices, but this does not mean that sacrifices are altogether rejected (cf. xl. 16); but to throw a bright light on the spontaneity of the help of Yahwé. To suppose that sacrifices were entirely rejected would take away all justification for the argument. Then (vers. 24b-28) it is shown how Israel, by its conduct, had worked in opposition to the Divine grace. Israel loses all merit, but Yahwe's grace becomes all the more splendid. That in vers. 24b-28 2 Isaiah is thinking of the pre-exilic period, is unmistakably shown by ver. 27 f. It will be seen that 2 Isaiah's object is, not to bring accusations against the Israelites, but to comfort them, and to give some explanation for the preceding period of calamity.

On ver. 27 Marti comments thus:-

"Thy first father is not Adam, who was not the father of Israel alone, nor Abraham, who is called in xli. 8 Yahwé's 'friend,' but Jacob (cf. Hos. xii. 3 f.). יִלְּיִצִּיךּ 'thy middle-men, mediators' (lit., interpreters, Gen. xlii. 23; cf. Job xxxiii. 23), means the prophets; of the unfaithfulness of such the older history has much to relate; cf. also Jer. xxiii. 11-18)."

This is no doubt the best that can be said. But it is not quite satisfactory. Using the methods of the newer textual criticism, we should, I think, correct the text thus:—

The restoration of ver. 27 was made before I had read Marti's note, in which he objects to my restoration of the next verse (in Sacred Books of the Old Testament, Isaiah, p. 135) that it is not in accordance with the context ("cf. patriarch and prophet, ver. 27"). It is in accordance with the true context; the patriarch and the prophets owe their existence to corruptions of the text. As Marti has pointed out, vers. 25 and 26 are later insertions, so that ver. 27 fits on to ver. 24b, unless indeed vers. 25 and 26 occupy the place of an illegible passage. משליה supports my original reading of an illegible passage. משליה supports my original reading of an illegible passage. משליה supports my original reading of the Sacred Books of the Old Testament, and Marti ad loc) in xlii. 19b\beta had xliii. 27 in his mind.

The book of Isaiah is so full of problems that a simple compte-rendu of a commentary like Marti's is impossible. It was time indeed that such a work should be written, and its inevitable lacunæ are no discredit to the author. As Prof. Jastrow lately said of histories of Babylonian religion, finality is out of the question. But Marti is young enough to do much more both for Isaiah and for other Old Testament writings, and his scrupulous respect for scholars of the past and the present makes it a pleasant task to interchange ideas with him. As such an interchange the present article, which is of course very far from complete, may be regarded.

T. K. CHEYNE.

The English Church in the Fourteenth and Fifteenth Centuries.

By W. W. Capes, M.A., Honorary Canon of Winchester. London: Macmillan & Co. Pp. xi. + 391. Price 7s. 6d.

CANON CAPES'S book is the third volume of the "History of the English Church," now appearing under the editorship of the Dean of Winchester and Mr. Hunt; in date of publication it follows immediately on the first volume, which was noticed in these pages some months ago. Mr. Capes is fortunate in a subject less familiar than the story which it fell to Mr. Hunt to relate, in the first volume of the series. There are, of course, portions of his history about which "every schoolboy knows" something—the period of Wycliffe and Chaucer, or the quarrels between Edward I., Peckham and Winchelsey. But students of English history have long desired a work like this, the main theme of which is the Realien of Mediæval Church life in England, the organisation of dioceses and cathedrals, the constitution of religious houses, and the arrangements for parish work, and in these respects Mr. Capes has given us a notable addition to our available information; within narrower limits and on a smaller scale, he has done for ecclesiastical institutions part of what Mr. Rashdall's great book did for Universities and academic life. In this, rather than in the narrative of the political relations between Church and Crown, lies the permanent value of the book.

The earlier chapters, which deal with the reign of Edward I., afford additional proof of how thoroughly Papal was the English Church in mediæval times. Yet Mr. Capes, in discussing the policy of Archbishop Winchelsey—"so strong a partisan of Papal power"—permits himself the reflection that the archbishop's wisdom was questionable, because "it

was clearly not the true policy of a National Church to appeal to the authority of the Pope against the Crown in the question of temporal possessions". The reflection is itself irreproachable; but it is difficult to find in the writer's own pages any traces whatsoever of a National Church, if the phrase is to have any meaning at all. Any attempt to make the Church national came from the Crown and was bitterly opposed by the clergy, from the time of Henry II. to that of Queen Elizabeth. There is no evidence that the Church sympathised even with the feeling against the pretensions made by the Pope to Scotland, "as a fief of the Apostolic See," which, "naturally provoked an outburst of passionate protest throughout England". One may, however, pardon Winchelsey for withholding his assent to this passionate protest; the passion is natural and intelligible; but the protest came somewhat strangely from a nation whose only legal claim to the possession of Ireland was a gift from the Pope, who had as much right to preserve Scotland from Edward I. as to give Ireland to Henry II. Whether his readers find themselves in agreement with Mr. Capes or differing from him, on such points as these, it will be freely admitted that his narrative is scholarly and accurate, and he never yields to the temptation of placing out of their due proportion, facts that tell rather against than for his own controversial sympathies. In spite of limits of space, he has done much to state more clearly disputed questions of various kinds. His account of the downfall of the Templars is remarkably just to that much maligned Order, because he has taken the trouble of actually reading the evidence against them, instead of trusting to general tradition. His chapter on the persecution of the Lollards states with fulness and in a complete form what must have been evident to any student who has ever looked through the pages of an Episcopal Register—that Lollardry was very far from being completely suppressed under the House of Lancaster. It has frequently been regarded as "a passing eccentricity of religious sentiment, soon to be forgotten," while, in point of fact, it was silently preparing the way for the changes of the sixteenth century, and for the realisation of the faith of the Lollards themselves, that though they should "be in a manner destroyed, notwithstanding at length they should prevail and have the victory against all their enemies".

The later chapters in Mr. Capes's book explain why the Lollards lingered on, after they had ceased to be an organised sect, for the picture which he draws of the condition of the Roman Church in England is indeed lamentable. There are, of course, exaggerated statements in common circulation, and the considerations on the other side are not always stated. It must be remembered that if the great statesmen bishops did not devote themselves to the work that we should now regard as the main duty of a great ecclesiastic, they yet did for England work of another kind. Education has owed much to the munificence of such prelates as Wykeham and Chichele (whom Canon Capes defends from the accusation of having forced on the French wars of Henry V.) and Waynflete. But when all is said that can be said in defence of the Mediæval Church, there remains a strong indictment which its advocates will not attempt to answer. Even with regard to the parochial clergy, among whom we may hope that there were many exceptions like Chaucer's poor priest, Mr. Capes, after a very fair review of the evidence, sums up thus: "The shortcomings of the clergy have in all ages furnished ample materials for satire; but such sweeping charges of coarse vices as Gower recounts at length would now be simply impossible for any satirist or critic, and even after allowance has been made for the ruder spirit of those times, it must be owned that the level which they imply was very low". With regard to the regular clergy, Mr. Capes points out that somewhat ignorant prejudice has affected the popular judgment, but his own opinion is scarcely less severe. For good and evil alike, the monasteries have been misunderstood. Men have judged them from the standpoint of the general good; while they existed for the individual alone, they have been credited with services to education, and have acquired a reputation which they little deserved. From the date of the

Norman Conquest, the schools attached to monasteries were, as Mr. Leach has shown, comparatively unimportant, and the men who taught in them were not monks. As to their charity, Mr. Capes considers that it "took the worst form of doles spread broadcast from time to time, provided for the most part by special benefactions, and not to any great extent out of the common fund, which had been itself given originally in 'free and perpetual alms'." It is, however, pleasant to find a good word for the nunneries. Even "the lighter literature of the times deals tenderly with the nuns, and drops its tones of coarseness and satire in their presence".

Canon Capes has written out of very full knowledge, in a fair spirit, and in a pleasant style. His book adds another, and a very valuable, source on which the student may draw, and the general reader who wishes to understand something of the actual life of our forefathers will find it indispensable for his purpose.

ROBERT S. RAIT.

Handkommentar zum Alten Testament herausgegeben

Von Dr. W. Nowack, etc. Das Buch Ezechiel übersetzt und erklärt von Richard Kraetzschmar. Goettingen: Vandenhoeck und Ruprecht. Edinburgh and London: Williams & Norgate, 1900. Pp. xv. + 302. Price M.6.

WITH the publication of Kraetzschmar's Ezekiel the indispensable series of Old Testament commentaries, edited by Professor Nowack of Strassburg, takes a long step towards its approaching completion. The leading features of the series are now well known to readers of the CRITICAL REVIEW, the most characteristic being the presentation on the same page of a full translation in addition to the commentary, by which feature it is distinguished from the other two series of Old Testament commentaries now in course of publication—Clark's International Critical Commentaries and the more condensed German series under Professor Marti's direction.

The volume before us follows the usual plan by which the commentary proper is preceded by a short introduction of fifteen pages, in which the prophet's name, person, period and book are discussed. On the very threshold Kraetzschmar provokes dissent by departing from the familiar tradition that Ezekiel was a member of the Jerusalem priesthood. Our English versions, it will be remembered, expressly speak of "Ezekiel the priest, the son of Buzi" (i. 3), but the original may equally bear the rendering, which is Kraetzschmar's, "the son of Buzi the priest". It is after all a small matter, but we mention it for the sake of the principle involved. Here is a passage of which two renderings are possible; one of these renderings, however, has the support of a venerable tradition. Now, even if the case for Ezekiel being himself a priest, which is furnished by the latter portion of his book,

were much less strong than in our opinion it is, we maintain that in a case of ambiguity of rendering, the tradition of the synagogue should be decisive in favour of "Ezekiel the priest, etc.". That is to say, where a tradition like this holds the field, it is entitled to be respected by us until evidence of its falsity is forthcoming, and is not to be set aside by the mere obiter dictum of the most respected scholar. This we take to be a true canon for our Old Testament work, though it is so frequently disregarded by workers at home and abroad.

In this discussion of the prophet's personality, and more fully in the commentary (see especially, pp. 45 ff.), Kraetzschmar avows himself a disciple of Klostermann in regarding Ezekiel as a study in pathology, an ecstatic epileptic, subject to aphasia and other mental diseases. No purpose would be served by entering on the vexed question of realism versus symbolism in our interpretation of Ezekiel. We agree with Kraetzschmar that the case of the realistic interpreters stands or falls with the interpretation of ch. iv. 4 ff.; but despite all that is here said in favour of their view, we are still unconvinced that this way lies the truth. All the more cordially do we endorse the sympathetic estimate (p. 7 ff.) of Ezekiel's unique position in the history of Israel's religious development. That Israel in exile did not lose itself in the surrounding heathenism, but emerged a purified remnant to be the depository of revelation until the fulness of the time for this, as Kraetzschmar truly says, we are in the main indebted to Ezekiel. One is likewise glad to see our prophet vindicated from the charge of exalting the ceremonial elements of religion at the expense of the ethical.

With regard, further, to the steps by which the book of Ezekiel took shape (pp. 11-14), the most interesting feature of the discussion, one by which this commentary is differentiated from its predecessors, is the attempt to grapple with the fairly numerous parallel texts or doublets to be found throughout the book. Some of the more evident examples of such doublets—e.g., the opening verses of ch. i. and the section ch. vii. 1-9—have indeed been recognised by previous commentators, but Kraetzschmar has greatly extended their number, and sought

to account for them. We think that on the whole he has proved his thesis, with this caveat, however, that in some at least of the passages where he would detect a doublet, it is perhaps sufficient to remember the prolixity of style which, as we see it in the Priestly Code, seems to have been a characteristic of writers of the priestly caste. The thesis in question is this: Just as we have two recensions of the text of Jeremiah represented by the Massoretic text and the Greek text respectively, so there existed two recensions of Ezekiel's writings. But while the two former remained distinct, in the case of Ezekiel a textual harmony was sought to be established by placing the more striking variations side by side. In addition to the examples cited above, we may add the following: iii. 4-9; iv. 9-17; ix. 5-7; xii. 21-27; xvii. 8-10, 16-20, etc.

The commentary, including the translation of the emended text, fills 300 of the large pages of this series. In its arrangement one notes a lack of proportion, common however to most commentaries, between the earlier and the later parts of the book. Thus the last sixteen chapters (chaps. xxxiii.-xlviii.) receive only sixty-five pages, which, remembering the relatively large space occupied by the translation, is very "stepmotherly treatment" (to borrow the German phrase) compared to the same number of pages devoted to the first five chapters alone. The purely exegetical work of Dr. Kraetzschmar is worthy of the highest praise. The ampler space and the smaller type give Nowack's collaborateurs a great advantage over Marti's, and Kraetzschmar has used his opportunity to produce the fullest modern commentary on Ezekiel. Here and there, indeed, it is perhaps too full, and the unusual number of contractions will make its study no easy matter for those whose German is somewhat rusty. It is impossible in a short review to enter into matters of detail for the purpose either of giving or of withholding assent. No one, however, can read many pages of the commentary without being struck by the thoroughness of the work. The best and latest results of research have been utilised, such, for example, as Hilprecht's recent excavations in Babylonia, which have settled the identity of the Chebar. The commentary was no doubt in print before the appearance of Cheyne's study of the Cherubim in the *Encyclopædia Biblica*, otherwise it would have been utilised in the proper place. Another praiseworthy feature is the extent to which the vocabulary of Assyrian, and to a less extent of Egyptian, has been drawn upon for the elucidation of rare and difficult terms. In the matter of textual criticism, Kraetzschmar in addition to giving an independent judgment on the emendations of his predecessors, Hitzig, Cornill, Toy and others, is quite competent to strike out a path for himself. Like older critical hands among his compatriots, however, he is now and then too ready simply to delete a difficult word or phrase.

In so difficult a book as Ezekiel, the opportunities for dissent are almost on every page. One only need here be touched upon, namely, the quite untenable view, as we consider it, that in the "Descent of Pharaoh" (xxxii. 17 ff.) we are to distinguish between "Sheol" and "the pit" as separate parts of the underworld, each with its appointed inhabitants (p. 233). That these terms are (as used by Ezekiel) convertible and synonymous it would not be difficult to prove. It is a pity that the editor has not seen fit to include an index of subjects discussed, as is done in Marti's series and in the *International Commentaries*.

The honourable title of "Father of the Higher Criticism" is assigned by writers on Old Testament Introduction, now to one luminary of the past, now to another. May we here ask consideration for the claims of an almost forgotten student, Khananiah ben Hezekiah? This contemporary of the first Gamaliel it was, who, at the cost of 300 measures of oil, succeeded in reconciling the last nine chapters of Ezekiel with the Pentateuch, and by so doing saved for Church and Synagogue the most extensive, and in some respects the most profound of the prophetic writings.

A. R. S. KENNEDY.

Un Essai de Religion Scientifique: Introduction à Wronski, Philosophe et Réformateur.

Par Christian Cherfils. Paris: Librairie Fischbacher, 1898. 8vo, pp. 230.

THE fame or notoriety of Wronski (1778-1853) has not echoed much in the English-speaking world. It may not be improper, therefore, to refer the reader for a sketch of his life to an article by Bertrand of the Academy in the Revue des Deux Mondes (1st Feb., 1807). The account is well worth reading as a very curious study in psychology. Wronski we have to do with one who makes an array of claims no less than this: "He has discovered the supreme law of mathematics,' and resolved equations of all degrees: he has reformed celestial mechanics and substituted for the law of Newton a principle more exact, more general, and derived from reasoning alone; he has made known the law of temperatures and densities at all depths, in the interior of the terrestrial globe; corrected the theory of the tides left imperfect by Laplace; created a new philosophy of physics and chemistry; deduced from the true laws of motion a new and perfect system of steam-engines; indicated the veritable laws of locomotion which, were we not ignorant and barbarians, should long ago, and from the outset, have effected the suppression of railways; reformed, lastly, the calculus of probabilities, and deduced from rigorous formulæ the certain means of mastering chance and of winning at all games. These grand discoveries—it is the glory of Wronski -are derived from one principle which governs them all, and the applications of which to philosophy, to politics and religion, are to solve the social problem and, much more, that of the future life." So far M. Bertrand. Of this man, too, more than one distinguished authority has found himself baffled to pronounce whether he was "a charlatan, a madman, or a genius". That he should have disciples—be, in a small way, the founder of a sect—is less surprising.

With reference to the whole circuit of Wronski's professions the present writer is not qualified to judge. In any case, painful groping through the circuit of his philosophic and religious departments—even under the guidance of a calm and assured initiate—but brings one to the situation in which Lagrange and Lacroix found themselves when charged by the Institute with the examination of one of his algebraic memoirs. They were constrained to report "that they did not understand the demonstrations, and that the results did not possess the importance which the author attributed to them".

The purpose of the work before us is to clear away some of the "défaillances" and "bizarreries indeniables" of Wronski as a philosopher and philosophico-religious teacher, leaving the acceptable and solid body of his doctrine. All that is attained is to make evident to the reader that in Wronskism we have a peculiarly trying phase of the romantic and New England "Brahmanist" style of philosophising. In other words, there is no lack of striking thoughts by the way; but those hardest transitions which science is able to effect only by proof, or at which it is compelled to call a halt, are here accomplished in happy unconsciousness or by a confident sweep of orphic involution. Add that, in the present case, you have the constant formal show of science along with excess of undeniable analytic acuteness, and the special irritation of the thing is readily understood.

The few central ideas, whether of Wronski or of the book on hand, seem to be no more nor better than about this: To the present time, Being and Knowledge have remained, for philosophy, two primitive and essentially heterogeneous elements. Triumph has been permitted neither to realism nor to idealism. And yet all use of intelligence—ordinary commonsense not excepted—accuses this primitive diversity between Being and Knowledge of illusoriness. How, then, is the opposition to be resolved? According to Wronski, the answer is given in the transcendental method of philosophy, first apprehended in the Cogito ergo sum of Descartes, definitively established by Kant, and finally perfected by Wronski Vol. XI.—No. I.

himself. Kant "voulait expliquer la nécessité attachée aux connaissances rationnelles, en attribuant au savoir humain, à l'instar de l'être, une forme particulière suivant laquelle ce savoir aurait été forcé d'exercir son action. C'est cette explication mécanique de la nécessité impliquée dans les connaissances rationnelles, qui porta une atteinte funeste à la sublime tendance développée par le même génie." Kant, that is, failed, except in the domain of morals, to recognise unqualifiedly the spontaneity of Knowledge. Wronski takes his stand unreservedly on the absolutely spontaneous, creative nature of Knowledge, and, thereby overcoming the dualism of Being and Knowledge, is able to attain to unconditional verity and "the Absolute Philosophy".

With Wronski, Knowledge means several things. It means, first, the whole cognoscible, rational character of things (like

the Platonic hierarchy of Ideas), not, however, as an externalised product or dead "Form," but as containing the life itself of Knowledge ("l'essence même du savoir"). Secondly, it signifies a faculty, or (when it suits to speak of it as more than a possession either of God or man) a principle, which, in its unconditioned spontaneity, issuing out of itself, embodies itself in the order of things. This latter process it is that constitutes the "Self-creation of the Absolute". For, Knowledge itself is the Absolute—as bare faculty or principle, merely the "virtual" Absolute; but when it has effected its self-creation, the, so to speak, absolutely Absolute. The question of the connection of Knowledge with a knower or, still more, with multitudinous finite knowers, seems to call for no enlightenment. And as to the opposition of Being and

orderly self-realisation.

Wronski and his interpreter are always working up to and around a "Law of Creation" which, in some sort, is again the heart and chief organ of the Absolute, and, as such, "the

Knowledge? This difficulty is solved by recognising in the distinction simply the first act of self-differentiation on the part of the Absolute or Knowledge, whereby the latter renders itself also a relative and "mere created" Knowledge, dependent on Being; but at the same time makes possible its

summit of the Wronskist doctrine". Wonderful are the virtues of this law! Out of its inexhaustible, teeming depths Wronski will evoke, not alone the basic principles of the several sciences, but, seemingly, all the remainder as well. Nay, by possessing man of this law, he will put him not only in the way of mastery of all technic, but of achieving his own immortality and becoming, at the end at least, as God! Unfortunately, the secret of his law Wronski has reserved to himself and carried it with him to the grave; and his disciple, so far as can be made out, only awaits the resurrection.

Further, we have here not a philosophy only, but likewise a religion. The transition is effected in this wise: Knowledge, Reason, is equal to the Logos, the Word that was with God and was God, the Messiah who is the mediator between Man and God, by whom believing men are regenerated and saved. Likewise, "the absolute Reason, placed above physical conditions and earthly pollution, is the Virgin who is to crush the head of the serpent". And much more, no worse than most of its kind. Clothed thus, at length, in the vesture of tradition, the Wronskist philosophy acquires what was needed to complete its appeal to sentiment; and since this appeal touching ultimate things is the essence of religion, the Absolute Philosophy becomes the Absolute Religion also, as well as a Christian orthodoxy and a "Messianism," to whose higher realisation, through the philosophic culture of mankind, is needed the erection of a new order superior to either the existent State or Church. As a matter of fact, this order already does exist in the "Wronskist Union"; and, as suggested before, it has its members.

The book before us is an introduction to Wronski. The reviewer may have failed vulgarly to comprehend; but he does not believe that, outside of Theosophist and Christian Science circles, the world will be found ready to be introduced. Nor do the ideas set forth in our philosopher call for special criticism. They are simply Fichte and Schelling carried into the Witches' Kitchen.

GEORGE REBEC.

- The Book of Judges: Critical Edition of the Hebrew Text, printed in colours, exhibiting the composite structure of the Book, with Notes.
- By the Rev. G. F. Moore, D.D., Professor in Andover Theological Seminary, Andover, Mass. London: David Nutt, Strand, 1900. Pp. 72. 6s. net.
- Israel's Messianic Hope to the time of Jesus: A Study of the Historical Development of the Foreshadowings of the Christ in the Old Testament and Beyond.
- By George Stephen Goodspeed, Professor in the University of Chicago. New York: The Macmillan Company; London: Macmillan & Co., Ltd., 1900. Pp. 315. Price 6s.

Handkommentar zum Alten Testament: Allgemeine Einleitung in den Hexateuch.

Von. Lic. Dr. Carl Steuernagel, Privatdocent d. Theol. in Halle a. S. Göttingen: Vandenhoeck & Ruprecht, 1900. Pp. 249-280. Price 1s. net.

Das Buch des Propheten Habackuk.

Erklärt von Dr. Otto Happel, Prediger in Kitzingen. Würzburg: Andreas Göbel, Verlagsbuchhandlung, 1900. Pp. 71. Price M.2.

PROFESSOR MOORE'S volume of the Polychrome Bible, the Book of Judges in Hebrew, is an admirable sequel to his splendid commentary on the same book in the International series. His second task has been twofold—to analyse the book into its sources, and to reconstruct the Hebrew text. He gives us here the combined results of the higher and the lower

criticism. In both cases the problems for solution are difficult and delicate, and the results are no more than provisional; but, as the author has said, "the uncertainties of criticism are infinitely preferable to the exegetical violence which is the only alternative". In Dr. Moore's opinion the Book of Iudges is of great historical value. A glance at the colours shows that the sources of by far the greater part of the book are the ancient prophetic narratives I and E. While the Song of Deborah is stainless white (J, B.C. 850), the corresponding prose narrative of the victory of Barak is dark blue (older stata of E, B.C. 750). The story of Samson is substantially white; so is that of Gideon, with bits of dark blue (E) and light purple (redactor of IE). The story of Jephthah is dark blue and light purple, with patches of dark purple (composite IE) and light blue (later additions to E). Streaks of light green (Deuteronomic expansions) are few and far between. The colour one dislikes is yellow. It means late and pragmatic, after the manner of the Chronicler. It predominates only in the last three chapters, the story of the crime and punishment of the Benjamites. Dr. Moore differs from Wellhausen in regarding the kernel of this narrative as ancient and historical.

In Dr. Moore's reconstruction of the Hebrew text there are many points of interest. He has great faith in the LXX. version of this book, and in some cases has made extensive changes to bring the Hebrew into harmony with it. In xix. 18, there is an evident lacuna, which he fills up by inserting seventeen Hebrew words. In ii. 1, Bethel takes the place of Bochim. In vii. 20, מור ווֹ is omitted, so that the war-cry of Israel becomes simply "For Jahweh and Gideon!" In xiv. 15, מור המרחים are treated as verbs instead of nouns, and the boast is translated, "With the jaw-bone of an ass I have heaped them up". In xix. 18, the LXX. reading, "I am going to my house," is clearly better than the Massoretic "to the Lord's house". It is instructive to compare Dr. Moore's Commentary with his Hebrew Text. He does not in every

case adhere to the opinions expressed in the former. In ii. 3, the Commentary rejects לצרים (suggested by LXX. in place of Massoretic לצדים) as "having the marks of a bad, though old and natural, conjecture". But in the amended Text it is preferred. Chap. iii. 2 is overloaded and clumsy, and while the omission of one Hebrew word relieves the worst of the difficulty, the Commentary wants "a more satisfactory, though bolder" treatment. But the milder measure is preferred in the revised Text. In the Commentary הפרשדנה "may have arisen from accidental conformation to המסדרונה " (iii. 22, 23); in the notes to the Text "it seems to be intentional, artificial assimilation". As one observes these little changes, one sees that the critic's mind is incessantly active, checking and improving its own conclusions. Altogether the work is one of the first importance—an immense boon to students of Is "canceled" (p. 39) a printer's error or an this book. American spelling?

One of the clearest gains of critical science is a truer understanding of the Christ of the Old Testament. Professor G. S. Goodspeed gives us a most suggestive study of this fascinating subject. His material arranges itself under three heads. There is in the Old Testament, Messianic prophecy of the past, of the present, and of the future. (1) "Prophecy of the past" may seem an awkward expression, but the writer's idea is both true and important. He refers to the prophetic idealising of the past. The prophet "looks back on the history of mankind and his people, as it has come down to him in legends, songs and story, in chronicles and annals, in oracles and institutions; he studies it in the light of the Divine inspiration in his own spirit and experience, and combines, organises, interprets it for his generation in its bearing upon the eternal purpose of Jehovah, his blissful designs for his people in the days to come". All these ancient materials "are idealised under the influence of the religious conceptions and aspirations of later ages". The prophet interprets the meagre memorials and reads into them

his own grander ideas; the rude and fragmentary relics of the national past are transfigured. (2) There are prophecies of the present, "expectations of blessing arising out of present conditions and extending on into a far distant day". (3) When the prophet can find nothing of hopefulness in the present situation, he overleaps all temporal bounds and "passes as if by reaction into a future which is as much brighter and more glorious as the present is forbidding". In order of time, the pre-Mosaic and Mosaic ages contribute their ideals; ardent expectations are connected with the monarchy; fervent hopes are born in the time of the earlier prophets, in the epoch of Isaiah, in the age of Jeremiah; lofty ideals spring out of the conditions of the exile, the post-exilic times, and the Maccabean period. Each age has its outlook, each prophet or singer his background. Each ideal is the thought, not of an individual, but of a nation or people which finds in the prophet or poet its mouthpiece. Jehovah as the God of Israel, Israel as the people of Jehovah, is a basis for the loftiest idealism. In order of subjects, man is first presented "in his ideal character as created and inspired of God. . . . Then follow all those details which have for their inspiration the nation and its career. . . . Then comes the drawing out of the various institutions of this national life in their promise and potency. . . . And last of all is disclosed an individual, the apotheosis of a lost leader or a present deliverer in an ideal figure, humble and kingly, triumphant in defeat and death." The vindication of the Hebrew ideal is the person and work of Jesus Christ. "As Son of Man, he rounded out the human side of the Messianic hope. . . . As the Saviour of men, he met the Hebrew longing for redemption. . . As the Son of God, he embodied the Hebrew expectations of the Divine advent and the union of man and God" (284). This summary will indicate the quality of Dr. Goodspeed's book. He splendidly vindicates his assertion that "Messianic prophecy is the very essence and life of the Old Testament book, the vital breath, the ideal inspiration of the Old Testament life". His treatise is written with enthusiasm. The style is fresh and vigorous. One catches a breath of the West in phrases like "back of this promise," "the main plank of the prophet's platform". Ill-built sentences like "scholarship has sought, and succeeded in part, in disentangling the maze," are rare. The selected bibliography at the end is judicious. As a whole this book, intended chiefly for "the intelligent reader of the English Bible," will serve as an excellent introduction to Riehm's more elaborate and classical work.

Steuernagel of Halle, the author of the volume on Deuteronomy and Joshua in Nowack's Handkommentar (CRITICAL REVIEW, July, 1808), has now written a general introduction to the Hexateuch, designed to be bound up with that volume. This Einleitung "is meant in the first instance for the use of students," and it would be difficult to give them in so brief a compass—thirty-seven pages—a better account of the essential points. The tradition regarding the authors of the Hexateuch and its value, the necessity and possibility of separating the various strata, the history of the course of Hexateuch criticism, the combination and redaction of the sources, are clearly represented, and the present status questionis indicated. On one point Steuernagel joins issue with Dillmann, Wellhausen and Kittel. What was Ezra's Law-book? The entire Pentateuch, say these writers. Only the Legal parts of it, says Steuernagel. He points out that on the second day on which the people "gave attention to the words of the law" (Neh. viii. 13), the scribe got as far as Lev. xxiii. 33, in his reading and expounding. On the first hypothesis "it would follow that the whole of Genesis and part of Exodus was read and expounded on the first day!" Besides, "the hearing of the familiar tales would hardly have caused the sensation described in Neh. viii. 9". And nothing could have been farther from Ezra's purpose—the reforming of Jahve's community-than to becloud his legislation with other writings of an entirely different stamp (p. 277).

Dr. Happel's exposition of Habakkuk is rather out of date. He begins in the usual way by expressing dissatisfaction with all the previous critical solutions of the problems connected with the prophecy. Budde's theory is that the oppressor of Israel, whose doom the prophet foretells, is not the Chaldean but the Assyrian. This theory has been accepted by Cornill and G. A. Smith. Happel dismisses it with some points of exclamation. He himself deliberately goes back to the allegorical method of the Church Fathers. The oppressor of Israel is not a real and historical, but an ideal and eschatological enemy. "The immediate subject of the prophecy is the spiritual conflict between the people of God and the great enemy of God." Again, "the time of the Syrian oppression is the only suitable background for our book". The prophecy is Messianic. "The coming Deliverer is Christ. . . . The sea, the rivers, hills and mountains are the hostile worldpowers. This view is the only one which does justice to the significant language of the prophet." Dr. Happel writes with confidence. He is also a scholar. But he is out of touch with reality. When an interpreter reaches the state of mind in which mountains and rivers are seen as men, he is lost. Henceforth he will wander in a wonderland of his own imagination.

JAS. STRACHAN.

Die Paulinische Rechtfertigungslehre im Zusammenhange ihrer geschichtlichen Voraussetzungen.

Von Hermann Cremer, Doctor der Theologie und der Rechte, ord. Professor der Theologie in Greifswald. Gütersloh: Bertelsmann, 8vo, pp. 448. Price 7s.

THE work of Dr. Cremer has produced a considerable impression in the theological circles of his own land. It has already, in the course of little more than a twelvemonth reached a second edition, a somewhat remarkable circumstance, considering that it is an elaborate treatment of a doctrinal subject, and is by no means light reading. author's high reputation as a scholar who has successfully treated New Testament subjects, has no doubt to do with this reception of his book. That so systematic a work on Justification should have commanded so ready a sale may also be taken as evidence of the revived interest in evangelical truth in Germany. Apart from its merits as a work of scholarship the book is worthy of notice. Its conclusions on the subject differ widely from those that are accepted where the confessional theology of the Reformation is dominant. Dr. Cremer works out his views without reference to the findings of other authors in the same field; except an occasional fling at Ritschl and his school, notwithstanding the fact that there are striking points of agreement between him and Ritschl on the subject on which they have both written so fully.

Is Paul's doctrine of justification peculiar to the Apostle? Does his view that the Gospel is a revelation of the $\delta\iota\kappa\alpha\iota\sigma\sigma\dot{\nu}$ $\nu\eta$ $\theta\epsilon\sigma\hat{\nu}$, in the sense of the justification of the sinner, correspond with the teaching of the Old Testament? If it does (and Paul himself thought it did), how is it that we hear so little of it in the teaching of Christ? If Paul was the first to understand the salvation of God in this way, what are we to

make of the view of it presented in the words of Christ? Are we to regard the latter as a lower stage of doctrine which we may leave behind us, or as a higher than Paul's to which we must return? Is Christ or Paul to rule our thoughts in the great matter of a sinner's acceptance with God? These are some of the questions to which this book is intended as an The position of the author is that the Apostle's doctrine of salvation is not peculiar to him, that it is in reality the doctrine of the entire Bible. "Properly speaking, it is false to speak of a Pauline doctrine of justification. set up no new dogmatic; it is indeed a fundamentally different one from that of the Pharisaic school, of which we find no trace in Paul, but it is neither more nor less than the dogmatic of the Old Testament, and of those who remained true to its spirit" (p. 329). This is a very different account of the matter from what we find in the more modern works on Pauline doctrine, where the Apostle's special treatment of the subject is represented as a sort of survival in a Christian dress of a theologoumenon which he had learnt in the schools of the Pharisees. Dr. Cremer's book is an elaborate refutation of that view.

Paul's teaching, he says, cannot be understood except in connexion with its historical presupposition. These are to be found in the Old Testament. We have first, then, an exposition of the Old Testament ideas that bear upon the subject. This is followed by a pretty full section on the modification which the ideas of the Old Testament received in the teaching of the synagogue, and then by an account of the teaching of Christ and of Peter and James. This preliminary matter occupies about 300 pages. The remaining 140 are devoted to the "Pauline Gospel". The book is really a review of the Doctrine of Justification as set forth in the teaching of the Bible, and as finally formulated by the Apostle of the Gentiles.

A very brief outline of his argument may be given; but this will furnish no idea of the rich suggestiveness of the volume.

Our author accepts the view of most critics that the righteousness of God in the Old Testament stands in close

connexion with His goodness. It is that form of the Divine activity that is directed, not to the punishment of sinners, but to the protection of the righteous and the maintenance of their rights against their foes. Redemption is in this way regarded as a deed of God's righteousness. Israel, therefore, was taught to rest its hope of salvation on a judicial act of God, resulting in its justification from its sins. And if we ask, how, in spite of its sinfulness, Israel could place its hope of salvation on the Divine righteousness, the answer is, that this arose from God's gracious relation to Israel, as their Father and King. As King He bound Himself to defend His believing people, to manifest Himself in acts of judgment on their behalf, the claim on His judicial righteousness which their faith and obedience gave them being a claim that had been conceded to them, and rested entirely on His grace.

This doctrine underwent a serious change in the teaching of the synagogue. The books of the Apocrypha and the Pseudepigrapha show that the original significance of the Divine righteousness as a ground of hope for Israel was gradually lost sight of. The justitia Dei came to be regarded not as salutifera but as punitiva, and judgment became an object, not of hope but of dread. From a God whose righteousness was displayed in the infliction of judicial penalty, a people conscious of their sinfulness could expect no justification until indeed satisfaction was rendered to His righteousness, and room had been made thereby for the exercise of mercy. This idea, taken up into the legal system of the Pharisees, had disastrous consequences for the religion of Judaism.

The old Jewish type of piety, however, formed on the original ideas of the Old Testament, continued to flourish, Cremer shows, in the land alongside of the more corrupt form that reached its full bloom in Pharisaism. Of this we have interesting evidence in the figures that come before us in the early chapters of Luke's Gospel, of the devout men and women who waited for the manifestation of the saving righteousness of God in acts of judgment that were to result in the redemption of His people.

Christ addressed Himself in the first instance to those "meek ones in the land". In His preaching of the coming of the Kingdom of God, He proclaimed a salvation that was to be ushered in by a judicial act of God, having for its object the justification of those who believed in God and waited for the promised good. He indeed delayed the crisis. Instead of inaugurating this era of judgment, He consented to suffer and die, for Israel needed forgiveness, in order that that era might not issue in destruction to them, and forgiveness implied their acceptance of Him as the Messiah in penitence and faith. Hence He appeared not as a king or a judge but as a Saviour who had to suffer and die, and thus bring near to men the grace of forgiveness.

The peculiarity of Paul's view of salvation is accounted for by the circumstance of his conversion. Unlike the other disciples whose faith in Jesus as the Messiah was reached by their following the instinct of the normal type of Jewish piety, Paul was a Pharisee to begin with, and represented the perverted form of the religious consciousness of Israel. The question that was first with him was how he was to attain to the righteousness that would save him from the judgment of the Messiah. He hoped by good works in obedience to the law to make it possible that he would thus escape judgment. But he had consciously failed to achieve a righteousness that could be valid for that end. When converted, he found the true answer: "The righteousness that would stand him in good stead was the forgiveness of sins which he found by faith in the Crucified One. The forgiveness of sin was the justification he had received, the justification of the godless. This was the form in which he had manifestly to give expression to his Christianity and to the grace of God that he had to announce to the world "(p. 312).

In the chapter on The Faith of the Apostle, the author enters fully into the nature of the faith that is the equivalent of righteousness in the eye of God, emphasising the union with Christ it implies, and the Divine agency that effects it. In the chapter that follows on Justification by Faith alone, and of Grace alone, he examines Paul's use of the term justify.

As in the Old Testament, it is with Paul strictly a forensic term. The reckoning of faith as a righteousness that frees the ungodly from the imputation of sin is a judicial act of God. His faith is in the judgment of God reckoned as righteousness, and this faith is accepted as a substitute for the righteousness that is wanting, as giving him who has it a quasi-right to justification. All this is of grace. It is the procedure of One who is Judge because He is in the first instance King and Father, revealing in the act of forgiving His royal prerogative to save the sinner, and graciously giving to him who believes in Christ, a claim to be accounted righteous and to be forgiven.

The difference between the Old Testament and the Pauline view of the matter is thus put: "The righteous in the Old Testament are, although sinners, still righteous ones, and as such become partakers of the salvation which brings to light their righteousness, covers their unrighteousness, and establishes them thereby in righteousness. They are righteous ones, therefore, who are justified by the judgment of God, and receive forgiveness and full salvation. It is otherwise with Paul. "All sin and come short of the glory of God, being justified freely" (Rom. iii. 23). After Israel had crucified the Son of God, what was true of the heathen world became true also of Israel. It became a question not of being but of becoming righteous. How is a sinner to become a righteous man? In no other way than hitherto, viz., by faith; and so Gen. xv. 6, and Hab. ii. 4, are brought into correspondence, and that not artificially but in accordance with the proper fundamental view of the Old Testament. For even the righteous man, as we have described him, and as Hab, ii. 4 speaks of him, could have had no righteousness, no right to hope in God, if God had not first given it to him. This right flows to him from grace in consequence of God's covenant with him, and he has it because he believes. This was Abraham's righteousness, a righteousness before the law and independent of the law, and this righteousness bestowed by God's grace was the righteousness of the New Testament. God bestows it as the gift of His grace, for He works the

faith that is accounted righteousness. Thus we become righteous, and this is what Paul announces. The sense in which he appeals to Hab. ii. 4 is really the same. There is reference there to the righteous man who manifests his righteousness in his steadfast cleaving to God. But how has he become righteous? He would have no right to trust in God (for before Him no one living is righteous) if God had not given him the right, had not thereby put him, in spite of his sin, in the position of a righteous man. Therefore this righteousness also consists in the forgiveness of sin" (pp. 348-9).

I cannot refer to the interesting chapters that follow on Judgment according to Works, Election and Adoption, The Significance of the Law, Justification and Baptism, The Agency of the Holy Spirit in the Grace of Justification. A word remains to be said on the concluding chapter on the connexion between our justification and the death and resurrection of Christ. The old idea that the suffering and death of Christ availed to the sinner's justification because the righteousness of God required to this end that the punishment of sin should be borne by a substitute, if it was to be remitted to the sinner, is repudiated by the author as an idea alien to Paul's thought, and betraying the influence of Pharisaic teaching on Christian theology. His own view is indicated in these sentences: "It is the crucified Christ in whom Paul recognises the Messiah, in whom he discovers that God's judgment is in man's favour. That supplied the knowledge that Christ suffered death from God for us, in order that death might not inflict on us the judgment we had deserved "(p. 435). But why was this necessary? The answer is that "the suffering and death of Christ is the accomplishment of the world's pardon or redemption. In pure grace God sends His Son. The presence of His Son is the gracious pardon of the world (die Begnadigung der Welt). For He suffers all that men in their alienation from God inflict upon Him without uttering a word of complaint. His suffering and death is the exercise of the grace of forgiveness towards the world. The sin of the world against God (in the rejection of His Son), is, on the side of God, the covering and forgiveness of sin" (p. 435). "His union with us brings death to Christ, but He endures it without separating Himself from us or abandoning us to judgment, and the Father suffers Him to die, or surrenders Him to death, that we might be spared judgment. He raises Him from the dead and gives Him back to us that we might have in Him a Saviour and Helper" (p. 438). The virtue of His death lies in His maintenance and manifestation of the spirit of forgiveness to the end.

It will be seen that the results of this biblical inquiry are at variance in important particulars with traditional orthodoxy. At the same time they approximate very closely to those of inquirers in the same field who are not such pronounced Biblicists as Cremer is. Ritschl and he are substantially at one in their findings on this subject. Cremer's exposition of faith is indeed worked out in a simpler and more evangelical way, and contrasts favourably with the stiff and somewhat scholastic treatment of it by Ritschl. But both agree in refusing to father upon the Apostle that view of the Divine righteousness that lies at the root of the scheme of thought employed in the scholastic theology of Protestantism to formulate the great reformation doctrine of justification. This revolt against the current dictum of the Pharisaic origin of the Pauline type of doctrine is significant.

DAVID SOMERVILLE.

Das gesetzesfreie Evangelium des Paulus nach seinem Werdegang dargestellt.

Von Dr. Paul Feine, ord. prof. der. evangel. Theol. in Wien, Leipzig: J. C. Hinrichs; London and Edinburgh: Williams & Norgate, 1899. 8vo, pp. 232.

This is a most elaborate and scientific study of the personal life of Paul before and after his conversion, showing what his spiritual attitude was in the pre-Christian period and what the spiritual processes were by which he advanced to that which is represented in his apostolic teaching. In a short introduction Dr. Feine clearly indicates his position. He objects to the point of view from which the Tübingen school of Baur and Holsten has framed its theory of Paul's doctrine as one sided, inasmuch as it regards the construction of the Pauline system from the purely intellectual side as a pure dialectical process. The other extreme is maintained by a young theologian, J. Müller, in an interesting and suggestive work published a year or two ago, Das persönliche Christenthum der paulinischen Gemeinden nach seiner Entstehung untersucht. He finds in the Epistles no theology, but only intuition and loose reflection, and represents Paul as a theosophist rather than a theologian; not a religious teacher, but a passionate religious agitator. The truth lies between these two extremes. While recognising the enthusiastic, emotional and mystical element in Paul's nature, Dr. Feine sees in him also a thinker of no ordinary measure, who, with a thoroughly logical mind, traces back every phenomenon to its original ground and seeks to reduce all the facts of consciousness to an undisputed unity. As apostle, Paul broke through the limits of contemporary Judaism without ceasing to be a Jew in his thinking and sentiment.

In the first chapter, pp. 12-46, our author discusses the content of Paul's pre-Christian consciousness in his sections on the Pharisaic and the Helenistic elements. In this latter section we have a careful discussion of I Cor. xv. 45 ff., on the first and second Adam, which may be compared with the admirable treatment of the subject by Dr. Somerville in his Cunningham Lecture, pp. 51-53. The conclusion reached by Dr. Feine is that we cannot prove that Philo's theory of the double creation of man and the consequent conception of the Messiah as the pre-existent heavenly man, influenced Paul's pre-Christian thinking, and that the supposition is contradicted by 2 Cor. v. 16, where Paul declares that before his conversion he had held the Pharisaic doctrine of the Messiah. As a Christian, he makes use of Philo's mode of expression, but in an opposite sense. But whatever modes of thought and expression he may have borrowed, his whole circle of thought differed from that of Greek philosophers and Jewish traditionalists in this, that it was created out of his own Christian experience.

Another passage of very special interest is that, pp. 131-168, which treats of Paul's doctrine of the Christian's relation to sin, as set forth in Rom. vii. On the much-debated question of the interpretation of this chapter, Feine concludes that, with certain reservations, we must understand this passage in the sense of Augustine and the Reformers, as giving the experience of a regenerate man—of one, however, who has not made the highest attainments in the spiritual life. The apostle, as a genuine Pharisee, could not, before his conversion, have had a full knowledge of sin, and the attitude of the unconverted Paul to sin and the law is not that of Rom. vii. 7, for such a view of the history of mankind and of the experience of the individual is attainable only by faith in the Christ who is dead to the flesh and the law.

After two very interesting and important chapters on "The Law," and "The Law, Flesh and Sin," both of which are full of instruction and rich in exegetical studies on some of the most characteristic sayings of Paul, our author sums up the results of his investigation. Paul's system is not so

much a speculative outline or a thinking out in a philosophical way, of the problems with which he met, but rather a statement of necessary consequences from the experience of his life. His knowledge follows the objective facts on which he stands, which he allows to unfold themselves. The pre-Christian thinking of Paul has in it Hellenistic elements, only in so far as these were in Pharisaism, and so Paul is to be understood from the point of view of a religious and not a philosophical interest. His whole theology depends upon his doctrine of God—all his Christian thoughts take shape from his doctrine of Christ and the Spirit. Only from this centre, or starting point, can we get a right understanding of the Pauline anthropology and of his theory of the relation of the flesh and sin.

JOHN MACPHERSON.

Glaubensregel, Heilige Schrift und Taufbekenntniss.

Untersuchungen über die dogmatische Autorität, ihr Werden, und ihre Geschichte, vornehmlich in der alten Kirche, von Dr. Johannes Kunze. Leipzig: Dörffling und Franke. 8vo., pp. xii., 560. M.15.

WHAT is the Rule of Faith? This question is still the battleground of Catholic and Protestant, of Episcopalian and Presbyterian, of Ritschlian and Evangelical. Prof. Kunze seeks to solve the problem by a thorough investigation of the historical origins and subsequent development of the conception of a rule of faith. He has already won his spurs in this field of inquiry; 1 the present work shows a still more masterly grasp of the whole field of early Christian literature, and proves Dr. Kunze well qualified to speak even with Zahn and Harnack in the gate. Prof. Zöckler of Greifswald 2 has referred to Dr. Kunze's work as the first serious attempt to combine in a larger synthesis the valuable researches of Overbeck, Zahn, etc., in the history of the Canon, and of Kattenbusch, Swainson, Burn, etc., in the history of the Creed; and, on this ground alone, his work must be reckoned with by those who would red the marches between the authority of Scripture and that of Christian tradition, or who would restate, in the light of history and of modern criticism, the relation of the Church of Christ to the written Word. The keen conflict which has been waged in the Lutheran Church over the authority of the Apostles' Creed has evoked not a few writings of interest and value, but probably none which excels this work of Dr. Kunze's in width of outlook, in thoroughness of research, in calmness of judgment, and in permanent scientific worth.

¹ Cf. his Marcus Eremita, 1895; Das Nicänisch-Konstantinopolitanische Symbol, 1898.

² Cf. Deutsche Literaturzeitung, July, 1899.

The familiar conception of a "rule of faith" (κανών της πίστεως s. της άληθείας, regula fidei) meets us in Christian theology from about the year 170. According to Zahn, it was simply another name for the creed confessed by the Christian convert at baptism, of which we have a later form in the so-called Apostles' Creed. According to Harnack, the baptismal confession was erected into an infallible rule of faith by the Church at Rome, which thus laid the corner stone of Catholicism, and took a long step in the fatal road that led away from the historical figure of Christ, than which there ought to be no other rule of faith. Prof. Kunze's researches make both the one position and the other untenable. particular, he shows that the rule of faith embraced, sometimes explicitly, but always implicitly, Holy Scripture; and that both a collection of apostolic Scriptures and a fixed type of baptismal confession, which was regarded as the essence of these Scriptures, already existed, and had supreme and authoritative worth for the whole Church, before the two, in their mutual relation and interdependence, were employed in the conflict with heresy as a rule of faith. Dr. Kunze defines the original meaning of the rule of faith in two alternative statements of equal validity, the first of which is more apposite to the Western, the second to the Eastern "The Rule of Faith in the Old Catholic Church is the Baptismal Confession, in so far as it is employed against heresy, and is supplemented and explained from Holy Scripture, Holy Scripture itself being always included." "The Rule of Faith is the Holy Scripture of the Old and New Testaments conceived as a unity and employed against heresy, in so far as Scripture has for its content the faith expressed in the primitive Baptismal Confession, this Confession itself being always included". This twofold definition is explained and established by means of an exhaustive inquiry into the writings of the early Fathers, and from the vantage ground thus gained, a flood of light is thrown backward upon the origins of the rule of faith, and forward upon the whole course of its future development.

After a short introduction, setting forth the nature of the

problems which gather round the conception of a rule of faith (chap. i., pp. 1-4), and an inquiry into the origin, meaning and distribution of the various terms in which this conception is expressed (chap. ii., pp. 5-16), there follows a careful investigation of the "Baptismal Confession in the Pre-Nicene Church," and ample proof is given that in the Eastern, as well as in the Western Church, a short Trinitarian confession of the same general type had been in use from the very earliest period in connection with the sacrament of Baptism (chap. iii., pp. 17-71). Under the heading "Rule of Faith and Baptismal Confession" (chap. iv., pp. 72-91), it is shown that these two conceptions were not identical, that the Baptismal Confession even in the Western Church became the rule of faith only when directed against heresy, and that the rule of faith always included something, and often included much, beyond what is contained in the Baptismal Confession. The Confession was the skeleton or framework; the clothing, the flesh and blood, came from Scripture. An elaborate inquiry, entitled "Rule of Faith and Holy Scripture" (chap. v., pp. 92-184), shows in detail how, for the Fathers of the second and third century, not excluding Tertullian, Holy Scripture, and more particularly Apostolic Scripture, was always included in greater or less degree under the conception of the rule of faith. Tertullian's advice to discard Scripture, and employ only the rule of faith for the condemnation of heretics, was the conscious innovation for ecclesiastical purposes of a practical lawyer, and was not always followed by Tertullian the theologian. A "Comprehensive View of the Rule of Faith in the Old Catholic Church" (chap. vi., pp. 185-215) justifies, as against Kattenbusch on the one hand and Harnack on the other, the twofold definition already quoted of the rule of faith. definition explains what Harnack has failed to explain—the triumph of orthodoxy over Gnosticism. Harnack is historically inaccurate when he says that the Church vanguished Gnosticism at one stroke by transforming a baptismal confession into a statutory and infallible rule of faith. At such full-blown Catholicism the Church arrived by very gradual

steps. The course of this remarkable development, its relation to the canon of faith and the canon of Scripture, its gradual exaltation of the Church above both Scripture and Creed, is traced in a long and interesting chapter, "The Later Historical Development of the Rule of Faith in the East and in the West" (chap. vii., pp. 218-312).

Having endeavoured by a wide induction to reach the true historical conception of the rule of faith, Kunze now returns to the problem of its origin, which he describes as in a sense the main problem of the history of Dogma. This subject is treated at length under the title "The Evolution (Herausbildung) of the Rule of Faith in the Conflict with Gnosticism and Marcionitism" (chap. viii., pp. 313-442). The fundamental conception of Harnack's History of Dogma, according to Kunze, is that the New Testament Canon, and the Baptismal Creed were creations of the (Old) Catholic, and especially of the Roman Church, in order to crush out heresy. Against this conception Kunze raises an emphatic protest; and it will be difficult to withhold assent from his carefully won conclusions. By means of a thorough investigation of the characteristic features of Gnosticism and Marcionitism, he proves conclusively that an apostolic canon and an apostolic creed were already the inalienable possession of the Church before they were employed as a rule of faith against heretics. Apostolic origin, not ecclesiastical sanction, had already given both to creed and canon their supreme authority; the conflict with heresy only made the Church conscious of the worth of what she already possessed.

After an interesting discussion of the light thrown upon the history of the Regula fidei, or dogmatic authority, by the parallel history of the "Regula disciplinae," or ethical authority, (the vow of renunciation, etc., which preceded the baptismal confession), (chap. ix., pp. 443-464), Prof. Kunze brings his task to a close by a "General Review of the Development of the Rule of Faith and its Issues in the Reformation" (chap. x., pp. 465-548). This is pre-eminently a chapter for the

times. The early Fathers, who had to defend the truth against heresy, rendered this fundamental service to the Church that they made her conscious of the existence of a supreme authority or rule of faith, first-and the order is essential-in the Apostolic writings, then in the Apostolic Confession, and lastly also in an Apostolic Church. original relation of these three elements in the rule of faith was grievously altered in the course of the succeeding centuries; but the Reformers, and in particular Luther, went behind the first beginnings of Catholicism and revived the primitive rule of faith in its purest form. According to Harnack, Luther "refused to have his mouth stopped even with the authority of an apostle," and at the same time brought over with him into the Protestant Church such rags of Catholicism as the Apostles' Creed, and a verbally inspired Canon. Kunze emphatically repudiates both these statements, offers a spirited defence not only of the consistency of Luther but of the soundness of his Christian judgment, and maintains that the Evangelical Church of to-day has no other choice than to find her rule of faith where Luther and the early Fathers found it, viz., in what is Apostolic. Thus in her canon of Scripture she cannot give an equal place to all those books "of whose authority was never any doubt in the Church" (Art. of Religion, vi.); that were to set the authority of the Church above the authority of the Apostles; the true canon both of Scripture and of the Faith is das apostolische.1

So bald a survey does great injustice to the contents of a rich and important volume, and space forbids either greater detail or the discussion of individual points. Even those who occupy a different standpoint from Dr. Kunze will be grateful to him for his accurate and wide research, and for his fresh, vigorous and lucid discussion of a great subject; while very many will hail his work as an invaluable contribution to

¹Cf. Kunze's Evangelisches und Katholisches Schriftprinzip (Leipzig, Dörffling und Franke, 1899), an interesting lecture delivered to the Hohensteiner Pastoral-Konferenz.

a right understanding of early Christianity, and to the settlement of some very modern and very pressing problems with regard to the authority of Scripture, of the Church, and of tradition. Students of the history of the Creed will find in an appendix a valuable collection of original documents (with notes) entitled, "Materials for the History of the Baptismal Confession in the Eastern Church since the Fourth Century".

ROBERT A. LENDRUM.

Anecdota Oxoniensia.

The Letters of Abu'l-'Alā of Ma 'Arrat Al-Nu'mān. Edited from the Leyden Manuscript, with the life of the author by Al-Dhahabi, and with translation, notes, indices and biography. By D. S. Margoliouth, M.A., Laudian Professor of Arabic in the University of Oxford. Oxford: at the Clarendon Press. 4to, pp. xliv. + 152, 148. Price 15s.

THE contents of this volume of Anecdota Oxoniensia are not of wide literary or historical importance, though Abu 'l-'Alā, whose letters are here reproduced, occupied a prominent place among the literary men of his time, and gained considerable distinction as a poet. It is needless to say that the book is excellently printed (the Arabic text is very beautiful); while the translation and the editorial work are such as we expect from the distinguished Oxford Professor of Arabic.

The Arabic text of the forty-two letters here published covers 128 pages. This is followed by Dhahabi's life of Abu '1-'Alā, in nine pages, and by eleven pages of indices—all in Arabic—the whole amounting to 148 pages, as given in the title above.

It is of some interest to note that an edition of these letters appeared at Beyrout when Professor Margoliouth's work was in the press, and the Oxford editor gives in an appendix "a comparative table of pages of the Beyrout and Oxford editions". The English index, though not so detailed as the Arabic, is sufficiently helpful; and the most important dates are given in years A.D., as well as A.H.—for which a word of thanks is due.

But it is time to turn to the writer of these letters. Ma 'Arrah (Marrah) is a Syrian town lying some distance to the south of Haleb (Aleppo). Here in the year A.D. 973 was

born Abu 'l-'Alā Ahmad, son of Abdallah. He belonged to a family of some distinction. His father was a poet, not without renown, and appears to have been a man of high character and retiring disposition. He died while his famous son was still young. At an early age—before he was four years old— Abu 'l-'Alā had a severe attack of small-pox which resulted in the loss of his eyesight, and handicapped him for life. a compensation, he had an extraordinary memory—as may be inferred from the numerous quotations in his letters. His life divides itself into three periods: "(1) that of his youthful studies, which terminated in A. D. 993; (2) his life in Marrah ending with his visit to Baghdad, which lasted from 1008 to 1010; and (3) his seclusion in Marrah, which lasted from his return from Baghdad to his death". In the first of these periods, which extended over twenty years, he received a careful training partly at home and partly in neighbouring cities. Learning and literary distinction were duly appreciated by Abu 'l-Alā's contemporaries. Study was encouraged. Books were provided. It seems to have been a custom (which might advantageously be followed in our own country more generally than it is) for men of wealth, whose tastes lay in the collection of books, to leave their libraries to the public. In Aleppo a single library is said to have contained 20,000 volumes. Young Abu 'l-'Alā, notwithstanding his blind eyes, took full advantage of the provision thus offered, and, at the age of twenty, returned to his native town with a mind well trained and stored with knowledge. He remained at Marrah for fifteen years, and then left for Baghdad.

The occasion of this journey has been made matter of debate. The loss of a small pension which he had enjoyed in Marrah has been adduced as the chief reason. It may be so. But a visit to the world-renowned capital of Haroun Al-Raschid, on the part of a savant like Abu 'l-'Alā, does not seem to require any such reason. It may not be so easy to explain why he left Baghdad after a stay of only about a year and a half.

It is obvious that he meant to make a prolonged, if not permanent residence in the capital on the Tigris. In a letter

to his maternal uncle, written after his return from Baghdad. he says: "Now I had thought that the days would vouchsafe to me to abide there; but the wild beast sticks tight to his bone . . .; and I found learning at a greater discount at Baghdad than gravel at the 'Akabah heaps,1 cheaper than dates at Medinah, more common than palm branches in Yemamah, more copious than water in the ocean. However, there is some obstacle in the way of every blessing, and some storm cloud or roller in the way of every pearl." . . . "Had I known that I should have to come back I should not have gone upon this journey; however, 'misfortune attends the tongue'; and fortune is fickle; and events are like waves of the sea-some of them revealing foul vegetation, others fair rows of pearls. Man knows not to what his mind is attached, nor to what thicket his luck will bring him. Had I known the future, I should have got myself great good fortune, and no harm should have touched me." The truth is that he was too independent. Like Samuel Johnson, he would not bend the knee to the patrons of his time.

This feature of his character was apparent in Marrah before he went to Baghdad. And it was not likely to serve him well among the sycophants and self-seekers of the capital. It is true that his fame preceded him, and he received a warm welcome from many. But others looked askance. And within little more than eighteen months he deemed it expedient to leave the place. He himself gives as reasons for his departure his mother's illness, and his failing resources.

This may be accepted; but it is highly probable—as reported in this volume—that some straightforward criticism gave offence in influential quarters, and Abu 'l-'Alā thought it advisable to return home, when he was only beginning to be familiar with the life of the gay capital. It can scarcely be doubted but that he could easily have earned a comfortable livelihood, if he had fallen in with the customs of the savants of the city. That he left the capital with reluctance and

¹ See Keane, Six Months in the Hijāz, where it is stated that after each pilgrimage these heaps are removed.

regret may be inferred from what he says to a friend in letter xxii.: "Damascus is the dearly-loved bride of Syria, and the chief jewel of her necklet; and I may hope that the Mosque of Damascus has made you forget the Mosque of Al-Medinah, and that its water has consoled you for the water of the Tigris. I have indeed told you ere this, that he who leaves Baghdad finds no place that will do instead, however well watered it be; for there the old learning is still fresh, whereas sound knowledge is sickly elsewhere. Syria is more friendly and less expensive."

Disappointed by his visit to Baghdad, and stricken with sorrow through the death of his mother, which took place before he returned to Marrah, Abu 'l-'Alā sought a life of seclusion. But it was not to be. The blind poet who had associated with the savants of Baghdad became the hero of Marrah. Travellers visited his humble home. His advice was sought from every side. Students resorted to his lecture room. He was invoked as intercessor with the governor on behalf of his native town when, on account of a riot, it was exposed to severe treatment. Another governor endeavoured to secure his services as court poet. He declined the honour in a letter full of quaint illustrations, the last sentence of which is as follows: "I send his highness, the prince, the greeting of a grateful and loving servant, a greeting which joins sunrise to sunset, and continues the attack with the rise of Hesperus till the time when the garments of night are rent; a greeting which, passing by the dusty plain, renders it fragrant as Indian perfume" (letter xxiv.).

In short, Abu 'l-'Alā became a great man in Marrah, and appears to have continued so till, in A. D. 1058, he passed from this life after three days' illness, at the ripe age of eighty-five years.

The third period of his life was spent partly in teaching, but chiefly in writing. His most popular work was a collection of his early poems, including a few composed soon after his return from Baghdad, published under the title, Sakt Al-Zand (Primitiae). Of his other works (said to have numbered fifty-five) the best known, according to Professor Margoliouth,

62

is a collection of poems, "in which every verse of a poem is made to rhyme in two consonants instead of one, whereby the difficulty of manipulation, which in all the Arabic metres is considerable, is very greatly increased". This book is of special interest, because a charge of heretical teaching was founded on it, and Abu 'l-'Alā's orthodoxy was gravely questioned. Certainly some of his opinions were peculiar. But he seems to have belonged to a family that claimed considerable freedom in matters of faith. When he was taken to task "for having neglected the pilgrimage, one of the essential duties of a Moslem," his answer was, "that neither his father, nor his cousin, nor his maternal uncle had performed it. If they were forgiven, he might expect forgiveness too; if they were lost, he would sooner share their fate".

The letters here reproduced belong to a selection from his correspondence made by Abu 'l-'Alā himself. They abound with specimens of oriental imagination and illustration. There is not a page without its hyperbole, or quaint conceit of phrase or figure. Every region—the heavens, the earth. the sea-seems to be at the beck and call of the writer. But it is not possible to convey a conception of these epistles by any words of criticism. We give a quotation or two which may serve the purpose, and, perhaps (?) induce the reader to peruse these wonderful productions for himself. The first letter shows us what we may expect. A public letter had been addressed to Marrah by the famous Al-Maghribi, and Abu 'l-'Alā rises to the occasion. "To us, the inhabitants of this town, a great honour has been given, and 'there has been delivered unto us an honourable missive'; proceeding from the residence of the great Doctor, who holds the reins of prose and verse; a missive which it is an act of piety to read, and whose peroration, or rather whose entirety, is frankincense. 'Imitate it who can!' It is too grand to be kissed, kisses are for its shadow: too precious to be handed about, let that be done with copies. For us it is a sort of Sacred Book! Were we not so chary of its witty contents, and so afraid of its ink running, and the light of its ideas being blurred, every mouth would have hastened to kiss it, and

every nose to inhale its perfume. Its lines would have become the cherry-colour on the lips, the scar produced by prostration on the brow" (and so on).

Here is a sample of the writer's wisdom: "The envious man is like a prattler, and 'the prattler is like one who gathers wood at night,' who cannot be sure but that he will lay hold on a viper, and whoso lays hold on that is face to face with death, and whoso is face to face with death is like yesterday when it is gone. This is to show the seeker after truth that replies are of three kinds, indirect, direct, and one of which mankind are incapable; and that interrupters are of three sorts, the correcting, the captious and the vexatious; and that poets are of three sorts, those who write correctly, those who write incorrectly, and those who use licence; and that licences are of three sorts, in accordance with analogy, in accordance with usage, and in accordance with neither" (letter xxvi.).

Satis, autem, satisque.

GEO. G. CAMERON.

Notices.

We are indebted to Dr. Agnes Smith Lewis for the two interesting publications which make No. IX. and No. X. of the series known as Studia Sinaitica.1 They deal with the collection of Narratives of Holy Women, the text of which was written over that of the important Syriac version of the Gospels discovered by Mrs. Lewis, in 1892, in the library of the Monastery of St. Catharine on Mount Sinai. Mrs. Lewis has been able to decipher these narratives from her photographs of the famous codex, and now publishes both the Syriac text itself and a careful translation of it by her own hand. She has also used, in some cases, an older text found in manuscripts belonging to the British Museum. The stories themselves are of very limited interest, except that they cast some light on the ascetic ideas of those old days, and show us what sort of reading was considered suitable for the convent refectory. As Mrs. Lewis remarks, they were "so highly valued in the eighth century that a monk named John the Recluse or the Stylite of Beth-Mari-Kaddisha, in Qanun, a monastery near to the town of Kaukab of Antioch, being in want of vellum, sacrificed for their sake that fourth century text of the Holy Gospels which the biblical critics of the present day hold in the highest esteem". That the transcriber was this John the Stylite appears from a colophon recently discovered. It is superfluous to say that

¹ No. IX. Select Narratives of Holy Women from the Syro-Antiochene or Sinai Palimpsest. Syriac Text. London: Cambridge University Press, 1900. 4to. Price 21s. net.

No. X. Select Narratives of Holy Women, etc. Translated by Agnes Smith Lewis, M.R.A.S., Hon. Phil. Dr. Halle-Wittenberg. London: Cambridge University Press. 4to, pp. xxxi. + 211. Price 7s. 6d. net.

Mrs. Lewis has done her part with her accustomed carefulness and sagacity.

Dr. Joseph Agar Beet publishes the ninth edition of his Commentary on St. Paul's Epistle to the Romans.¹ The book has been found useful by many, and has had a very prosperous career. We wish it an equally successful course in this new issue. It lacks some of the larger historical elements which are now recognised as part of the necessary equipment of the exegete. But it is minute and exact on the grammatical and linguistic side. It also gives a more faithful representation of the characteristic Pauline terms and ideas with regard to sacrifice, atonement, justification and cognate subjects than one finds in some commentaries of recent date that are held in great esteem. In this it is of great value.

Those who wish to have at hand a concise, reliable and well-written account of the literary and historical questions connected with the various books of Scripture will find it in the volume on *Biblical Introduction* ² which we owe to Professors Bennett and Adeney. The former scholar takes the Old Testament, the latter the New. They know their subjects thoroughly, and have produced a joint work of great value in regard both to the admirable precision of their statements and their careful application of the recognised principles of criticism.

The Expositor ⁸ has reached the second volume of its sixth series; it has had a remarkable career, and under Dr. Robertson Nicoll's editorship, it continues to occupy an honoured place among our religious journals. This volume contains papers by men like Professors Bacon, Bennett, Dods, Findlay, Gray, Rendel Harris, Margoliouth and Ramsay, not to mention other scholars of repute. It is full of good and useful matter of many different kinds.

¹ London: Hodder & Stoughton, 1900. Cr. 8vo, pp. xx. + 386. Price 7s. 6d.

² London: Methuen & Co., 1899. Cr. 8vo, pp. xii. + 487. Price 7s. 6d.

³ London: Hodder & Stoughton, 1900. Cr. 8vo, pp. 476. Price 7s. 6d. Vol. XI.—No. 1.

The Heidelberg Catechism¹ is one of the best of the symbolical books of the Reformation, less logical and complete than the Westminster Confession, but vital and practical in a high degree. Mr. Smellie has acted wisely in adding it to the tasteful series edited by him under the title of Books of the Heart. He has given us an admirable edition, in which we have the German text, a revised translation, and a very good Introduction on the Catechisms of the Reformation—Luther's, Calvin's, the Anglican, the Heidelberg, John Craig's, and the Westminster Shorter Catechism.

The Messrs. Longmans have laid the public under great obligation by issuing a cheap edition of Dr. Alfred Edersheim's Life and Times of Jesus the Messiah.² Of the importance of the book it is superfluous to speak here. The fact that it is in its tenth impression is witness enough to the place which it occupies in public acceptance. And it deserves the popularity it has won. It is the best book we have in respect of the continuous use of Jewish thought, belief and practice in the exposition of its great subject. It has its shortcomings, it is true, in this particular line as in others. But the fact remains that we have no English life of our Lord that can compete with it in the things which give it its distinctive character. It is a great matter to have it now at the moderate price of 12s., and that not in any abridged form, but complete as originally printed.

The Trustees of the British Museum deserve the best thanks of scholars for two publications which have been prepared with great care and will be most useful for purposes of research. These are the Descriptive List of Syriac and Karshuni MSS. in the British Museum acquired since 1873,³ and the Catalogue of the Hebrew and Samaritan Manu-

¹London: Andrew Melrose, 1900. Fcp. 8vo, pp. lxxxviii. + 101. Price 2s. 6d.

² The Life and Times of Jesus the Messiah. By Alfred Edersheim, M.A. Oxon., D.D., Ph.D. In two volumes. London: Longmans, Green & Co., 1900. 8vo, pp. xxxv. + 695 and xii. + 826. Price 12s. net.

³ London: sold at the British Museum, and by Messrs. Longmans; Quaritch; Asher & Co.; Kegan Paul, Trench, Trübner & Co.; and Mr. Henry Frowde, 1899. 8vo, pp. iv. + 64.

scripts in the British Museum, Part I. We owe them to the able hand of Mr. G. Margoliouth. Both are admirably printed. The latter, indeed, is a superb publication, furnished with splendid plates. It represents an immense amount of work. Mr. Margoliouth has had the help of Messrs. Ginsburg and Posnański in the work of revision.

Dr. Robert Mackintosh of the Lancaster Independent College publishes A First Primer of Apologetics.² Its object is to exhibit the Christian argument frankly "as it shapes itself freely in the light of present-day knowledge and criticism". It does this ably and faithfully. It is clear, concise and pointed in its presentation of the various aspects of the case. It gives separate chapters to such topics as the Sinlessness of Christ (which is handled well), the Problems of Natural Theology (one of the best sections of the book), the Gospel Miracles of Healing, the Narratives of the Resurrection, the Argument for Prophecy, the Moral Difficulties of the Old Testament, etc. It supplies a real want, and should be found very useful by teachers and students.

The editor of the English Theological Library has done well in including the Works of Bishop Butler³ in his series. They make two handsome, beautifully printed, and in every way attractive volumes. The editorial work is ably discharged by Dr. Bernard of Dublin, who also supplies all that is needed in the way of Introduction and Notes. There is an excellent sketch of the Bishop himself, in which Dr. Bernard, starting with Newman's description of Butler as

¹ As above, 1899. 4to, pp. 283.

² London: Elliot Stock, 1900. Cr. 8vo, pp. 92. Price 3s.

³ The Works of Bishop Butler. A new edition with Introduction and Notes by T. H. Bernard, D.D., Fellow of Trinity College, and Archbishop King's Lecturer on Divinity in the University of Dublin. Vol. I. Sermons, Charges, Fragments and Correspondence. Vol. II. The Analogy of Religion, Natural and Revealed, to the Constitution and Course of Nature, to which are added Two Brief Dissertations: 1, Of Personal Identity; 2, Of the Nature of Virtue. London: Macmillan & Co., 1900. 8vo, pp. xxxiii. + 352 and xii. + 313. Price 7s. 6d. net each volume.

"the greatest name in the Anglican Church," gives a careful estimate of the permanent value of his contributions to ethics and theology, and explains the particular form which they took in face of English Deism in its golden age. The text is not over-burdened with editorial comment. All that is given is to the point. It would be difficult to imagine an edition better entitled to be called the *Student's* edition than these two splendid volumes.

Among other interesting articles in the *Teologisk Tidsskrift* we notice one on the *English Church in the Middle Ages* by J. O. Andersen in the sixth issue.

The last number of the Homiletic Review for 1900 should be consulted for Prof. W. M. Ramsay's article on "The Pauline Chronology". The corresponding number of the Methodist Review has an interesting "Study of Eminent Divines" by Dr. J. W. Webb. In the December issue of L'Humanité Nouvelle we notice an article by R. de la Grasserie on the question "De la classification des phénomènes sociaux". Professor Milton G. Evans of Bucknell University contributes to the closing issue of the Bibliotheca Sacra for 1900 a paper on the title "The Son of Man". His conclusion is that our Lord selected the title because it was Messianic, "but obscurely so"; that He put into it the meaning which it has in the Book of Enoch, and also the idea of the suffering servant in Isaiah; that to Him it was a title of dignity, but that to His hearers it conveyed at first no clear meaning, arousing inquiry indeed, but solving nothing.

Among the papers of special interest in the closing number of the International Journal of Ethics for 1900, we may refer to one by Gilbert Murray on "National Ideals; Conscious and Unconscious," and another by Alfred W. Benn on "The Relation of Ethics to Evolution". "The doctrine of Evolution," says the latter, "from which so much had been hoped, throws no fresh light on the problems of ethics, although perhaps the study of ethics throws some light on the evolution of that doctrine itself. . . . The lessons on which the world's choicest spirits have lived are not made obsolete by

any modern discoveries; nor is there reason to believe that a reversal of moral values is, any more than a reversal of logical values, among the surprises which the future has in store."

Mind for October 1900 contains a number of articles of which special mention might well be made. Among them is one by R. R. Marett on "The Normal Self; a suggested Formula for Evolutionary Ethics," the object of which is to supplant by a better formula the "specious concept that still figures conspicuously at any rate in the more popular 'evolutionary' text-books, viz., that of the 'Tribal Self,'" as put by W. K. Clifford.

In the last quarter's issue of the *Presbyterian and Reformed Review*, we notice an elaborate article by Dr. J. Oscar Boyd on "The Historicity of Ezra," and another by Professor J. I. Marais which gives an interesting sketch of the "Dutch Reformed Church in South Africa".

The closing number of the Neue kirchliche Zeitschrift for 1900 contains an article by Pfarrer E. Steudel on the "Truth of Christ's Pre-existence in its Importance for Christian Faith and Life," which will repay perusal.

The American Journal of Theology for the last quarter of 1900 opens with an important paper by Professor Kaftan on "Authority as a Principle of Theology". Professor W. R. Betteridge writes interestingly on "The Historical and Religious Significance of the Old Testament Prophets". Dr. George B. Gow contributes an elaborate paper on "The Place of Expiation in Human Redemption". His position is that, if we take expiation in the sense of the satisfaction rendered to the "Divine feeling toward the sinner in view of his transgression," i.e., the feeling of the Divine righteousness, "we need not rebel against such expressions as vicepenal suffering, expiation in the blood of Jesus, the suffering of the just for the unjust and of the innocent for the guilty, propitiation made once for all, and others of like nature". He adds that, however defective or overcharged the language of some of our hymns and our popular preachers may be, the "religious world will never let go the reality of a Divine propitiation for sin which underlies this strong languageof religious feeling".

We have pleasure in noticing also The Book of the Future Life, a valuable anthology of passages from all ages and all kinds of literature, bearing on the great question of Immortality, arranged in chapters according to their several subjects and prepared with great care and admirable discernment by Pauline W. Roose, assisted by David C. Roose, a book which none can read without delight and edification; a new edition, now the fourth, of Mr. E. Griffith-Jones's, The Ascent through Christ,² an able and seasonable book which has been already favourably noticed in this Review,3 and which deserves all the success it has had; State Prohibition and Local Option, 4 a timely and welcome reprint of two chapters of Messrs. Joseph Rowntree and Arthur Sherwell's book on The Temperance Question and Social Reform, the most important contribution which has been made of recent years to the consideration of the questions of which it treats; The Religious Spirit in the Poets,5 a collection of essays by Bishop Boyd Carpenter, on the relations between religion and poetry, illustrated by special studies of Spenser, Marlowe, Shakespeare, Milton, Coleridge, Tennyson and Browning, popular in style, an appreciative, pleasant and instructive companion for quiet hours; a small volume, All Change,6 with the sub-title "Jottings at the Junction of the Nineteenth and Twentieth Centuries," by Wilfred Woolam, M.A., LL.M. Camb., a collection of reflections, homilies in miniature, and thoughts on such subjects as "The Havoc of Time," "The Age of Wonders,"

¹ London: Elliot Stock, 1900. Cr. 8vo, pp. 275. Price 6s.

² London: James Bowden, 1900. Cr. 8vo, pp. xxvi. + 409. Price 3s. 6d.

³ Vol. x., p. 43.

⁴ London: Hodder & Stoughton, 1900. Cr. 8vo, pp. xvi. + 115-369, and 695-726. Price 1s. net.

⁵ By the Right Rev. W. Boyd Carpenter, D.D., Lord Bishop of Ripon, Hon. D.C.L. Oxon. London: Isbister & Co., 1900. Cr. 8vo, pp. 247. Price 5s.

⁶ London: Elliot Stock, 1900. Sm. cr. 8vo, pp. 76.

etc., often well-expressed and suggestive, but why do they begin with so irritating a grammatical blunder as this: "Neither love nor hate are learnt?"; From the Scourge of the Tongue,1 a tale well told, healthy in tone, and showing considerable inventive talent, by Bessie Marchant (Mrs. J. A. Comfort); a cheap edition of Dr. Carr's biography of the late Archbishop Benson, 2 a book which does not profess to be more than a sketch that might be useful in default of an exhaustive Life, but which gives in highly eulogistic terms the main events in the laborious and exceptionally prosperous career of an English prelate who did much for his Church and was greatly trusted and honoured; The Life of Christian Service, a collection of extracts of a devotional character from the writings of Dean Farrar, judiciously selected and arranged by J. H. Burn, B.D.; the second part of the nineteenth volume of Holtzmann and Krüger's most useful and well-nigh indispensable Theologischer Jahresbericht, giving the literature of *Historical Theology* for 1899; the twenty-first annual volume of Young England, 5 a magazine crammed with good things of all varieties in letterpress and in illustrations, a long-established favourite with boys throughout the Englishspeaking world; another instalment of the Biblical Illustrator,6 edited by the Rev. Joseph S. Exell, M.A., giving a vast mass of comment and illustration bearing on the interpretation and pulpit use of the books of Joshua, Judges and Ruth; a second edition of An Outline of St. Paul's Epistle to the Romans,7

¹London: Andrew Melrose, 1900. Cr. 8vo, pp. 207. Price 3s. 6d.

² The Life-Work of Edward White Benson, D.D., sometime Archbishop of Canterbury. By J. A. Carr, LL.D., Vicar of Whitechurch and Canon of Christchurch, Dublin. London: Elliot Stock, 1900. Cr. 8vo, pp. 273.

⁸ London: Elliot Stock, 1900. Pp. 200. Price 5s., post free.

⁴ Bearbeitet von Lüdemann, Preuschen, Ficker, etc. Berlin: Schwetschke und Sohn; London: Williams & Norgate, 1900. 8vo, pp. 171-531. Price of the complete vol., £1 10s.

⁵ London: The Sunday School Union. Large 8vo, pp. 492. Price 5s.

⁶ London: Nisbet & Co. 8vo, pp. 313+262+73. Price 7s. 6d.

⁷ London: Marlborough & Co., 1900. Cr. 8vo, pp. 204. Price 2s. 6d.

by C. E. Stuart, a thoughtful and useful series of papers, designed to help English readers to a better understanding of Paul's great argument, avoiding, therefore, all technicalities which might puzzle such readers, and concentrating attention on the essential ideas; the Report of the Census of Cuba, 1899,1 issued by the War Department of the United States, a volume full of information of great interest for the statesman, the statistician, the merchant, the geographer, the historian, and the ethnologist; a volume of excellent addresses to children by Grace Winter, Keep to the Right,2 on such topics as "Seed-sowing," "Shadows," "The Sand of the Sea," "Nets and Traps," etc.; a cheap but attractive and carefully-printed edition of Kingsley's Westward Ho!3; a story by Alexander Macdougall, entitled The Autobiography of Allen Lorne, Minister of Religion,4 with some very doubtful utterances on the Puritans and the Bible and such subjects, and too much of a didactive tone, but with some stirring passages and some vivid views of Scotch ways and Highland life; a very tasteful and most welcome edition of John Pulsford's Quiet Hours,5 second series; An Essay toward Faith,6 by Wilford L. Robbins, D.D., Dean of the Cathedral of All Saints, Albany, a small book written in an attractive style and a deeply devout spirit, grappling with the problem "how to make life strong and beautiful and free," here and there somewhat wide of the mark, as e.g., when it speaks of the Bible and Protestantism (which latter term is bereft of the dignity of the capital P), but likely to be of use in its general line of statement to those who feel the pressure of a time of doubt; an Approximate Chronology of the Life of Our Lord Jesus Christ,7

¹ Washington: Government Printing Office, 1900. 8vo, pp. 786.

² London: The Sunday School Union. Small 8vo, pp. 128. Price 1s. 6d.

³ London: The Sunday School Union. Cr. 8vo, pp. 484. Price 2s.

⁴ London: Fisher Unwin, 1900. Cr. 8vo, pp. 312. Price 6s.

⁵ London: Andrew Melrose, 1900. Fcp. 8vo, pp. xiv. + 352. Price 2s. 6d.

⁶ London: Longmans, Green & Co., 1900. Fcp. 8vo, pp. 173. Price 3s. net.

⁷ London: Jarrold & Sons, 1899. Small 4to, pp. 27.

specially adapted to the wants of Sunday School Teachers, Bible Classes, etc., and prepared with care and knowledge by W. H. H. Yarrington, M.A., LL.B.; an edition by H. F. Stewart, M.A., Chaplain of Trinity College, Cambridge, of Thirteen Homilies of St. Augustine on St. John xiv., giving the Latin text according to the Benedictine edition, an excellent English translation, and a considerable body of notes, all done in a scholarly fashion and in a way that will be a real help to candidates for holy orders; an edition of the Gospel of the Twelve Apostles, together with the Apocalypses of each one of them,2 by F. Rendel Harris, M.A., giving the Syriac text (which is supposed to be original), with introduction and translation, of a curious series of tracts for which the able editor claims the value both of novelty of matter and of a place of some interest in "the record of the decline of Eastern Christianity"; an important volume of Palestinian Syriac Texts from Palimpsest Fragments in the Taylor-Schechter Collection,3 for which we are indebted to the scholarship and enterprise of Mrs. Agnes Smith Lewis and Mrs. Margaret Dunlop Gibson; An Arabic Version of the Acts of the Apostles and the Seven Catholic Epistles,4 together with a Treatise on the Triune Nature of God, by Margaret Dunlop Gibson, M.R.A.S., both taken from a manuscript of the eighth or ninth century in the Convent of St. Catharine on Mount Sinai, the theological treatise (which appears to be the work of a Christian in defence of his faith against Moslems) being translated, and the Arabic text, which appears to be a translation of the Syriac Peshitta (in the case of Acts and the larger Epistles) and of the Philoxenian (in the case of the others), being carefully edited by Mrs. Gibson with the help of her sister; Advance Endeavour! 5—the Souvenir Report of the World's Conven-

¹Cambridge University Press, 1900. Cr. 8vo, pp. xxxv. + 140. Price 4s.

²Cambridge University Press, 1900. 8vo, pp. 39 + 21. Price 5s.

³ London: C. J. Clay & Sons, 1900. Small 4to, pp. xxi. + 113. Price 10s. 6d. net.

⁴ London: C. J. Clay & Sons, 1899. Small 4to, pp. ix. + 60 + pp. 105 of text. Price 7s. 6d.

⁵ London: Andrew Melrose, 1900. 4to, pp. 264. Price 2s. 6d. net.

tion of Christian Endeavour in London, 1900, a volume well worth careful reading; The Messages of Paul, by George Barker Stevens, Ph.D., D.D., Dwight Professor of Systematic Theology in Yale University, a book on the same plan as the Messages of the Prophets, previously noticed in this review,² a successful attempt, by a scholar who has already tried his hand in the matter, to make the Pauline Epistles, by arrangement, analysis and free rendering, speak clearly and distinctly to the modern mind; a small volume by R. S. Kirk, entitled, Side Lights on Great Problems of Human Interest,3 containing some suggestive thoughts, expressed in clear and simple terms, on Providence, motive as the variant and developer of life, consciousness, evolution and similar topics, and attempting to make good the eternal principles underlying the Christian Gospel on the supposition that the "Pauline and Miltonic theological system is poetical and not historical".

In view of present discussions, the Hon. Arthur Elliot has republished his volume on The State and the Church,4 which he contributed so far back as 1882 to The English Citizen series. Mr. Elliot's view is that "an Established Church is necessarily subject to the State if differences arise between them"; that "an Act of Assembly is, in law, waste paper, if it is in conflict with an Act of Parliament"; that, while it is conceivable that the royal supremacy might be dropped in the case of the English Church, it is not conceivable that an Established Church should be exempt from the control of Parliament, and that, neither in England nor in Scotland, is it possible for the State Church to "exceed the bounds fixed by Act of Parliament". Both the history and the argument which are given in the book are of interest, and Mr. Elliot has a keen eye, which at the same time is singularly blind to some things. He does not seem to be aware

¹ London: James Clarke & Co. Royal 16mo, pp. 271. Price 3s. 6d.

² Vol. x., p. 564.

³ London: Williams & Norgate, 1900. Small cr. 8vo, pp. 47. Price 1s.

⁴ London: Macmillan & Co., 1899. Cr. 8vo, pp. xxviii. + 174. Price 2s. 6d.

of the real position of the Free Churches as regards the right of the Civil Courts to deal with contracts in respect of civil effects. He does not appear to see that certain actions on the part of the civil authority which might be matter of right in the case of State Churches, would be persecution in the case of others. Nor does he understand how, apart from the form of an Establishment, there can be any "national religion" in the sense of a recognition of religion by the State itself. He perceives, however, how the case of the Disestablished Church of Ireland tells, and how changed both the situation and the possibilities are in this whole region of things since 1882, and he says much that is pertinent and worth hearing.

We call attention also to The Use of the Apocrypha in the Christian Church, by William Heaford Daubney, B.D., Rector of Leasingham, a treatise written with the view of asserting for "the other books" a better place in the regard of the Church and in its services than they have at present valuable chiefly for what it says of the references to the Apocrypha in the New Testament, the way in which they were dealt with by the early Christian writers and by ancient Councils, the use made of them at the time of the Reformation, the estimates formed of them by leading English divines, etc., on all which points it has useful information to give us; Hölle und Paradies bei den Babyloniern,2 the third part of the first year's issue of the valuable series of studies prepared by the Vorderasiatische Gesellschaft under the title of Der alte Orient, in which Dr. Alfred Jeremias, summarising the results of the most recent inquiry, gives a concise and interesting. statement of the ideas and usages of the ancient Babylonians in connection with death, burial, the underworld, the islands of the blessed, the bread and water of life in Paradise, etc.; Der Ordo Salutis in der alt-lutherischen Dogmatik,3 by Dr. Max Koch, a treatise which takes us back to dogmatic questions

¹ London: C. J. Clay, 1900. Cr. 8vo, pp. vi. + 120. Price 3s.

² Leipzig: Hinrichs; London: Williams & Norgate, 1900. 8vo, pp. 32. Price M.o.6o.

³ Berlin: Duncker, 1899. 8vo, pp. 199.

and theological distinctions and definitions largely discussed of old—ably written and of interest to the practised theologian for the account it gives of Quenstedt's statement of the order of grace or salvation, the spiritual process of the appropriation of grace, the place of faith in it, the difference between the earlier and later Lutherans on these subjects, the influence of metaphysical ideas on the evangelical faith, etc.; The Church of England: its Catholicity and Continuity 1—a series of seven lectures by the Rev. Herbert Pole, assistant curate of Bexley Heath, popular in style and having no title to originality, giving a general view of certain great epochs in the history of the English Church with the intention of disproving that it "was made Protestant at the Reformation," charging those of the present day who advocate disestablishment and disendowment with "a form of persecution, if not something worse," and dismissing Dissenters as those who "do not acknowledge the Catholicity of the English Church" and "do not seem to grasp the idea that although the Church is a national Church, it is not a national Church alone, but it is the Church of Christ, the Church of all races and all ages" —a mighty claim indeed, built up on a very little knowledge; the eighth part (carrying us to the root 750) of the important Hebrew and English Lexicon of the Old Testament,2 based on Robinson's Gesenius and edited by Professor Francis Brown, D.D., with the co-operation of Professors S. R. Driver and C. A. Briggs—a work which needs no commendation; the ninth edition, revised and improved, of Weizsäcker's justly-valued and widely-accepted translation of the New Testament; 3 a careful and sympathetic appreciation of Professor C. Weizsäcker by Professor Hegler of Tübingen 4;

¹ London: Skeffington & Son, 1899. Cr. 8vo, pp. ix. + 214. Price 5s. ² Part viii. Oxford: Clarendon Press, 1900. 4to, pp. 617-704. Price 2s. 6d.

³ Das Neue Testament übersetzt. Von Carl Weizsäcker, D.Th. Tübingen, Freiburg i. B. und Leipzig: J. C. B. Mohr; London: Williams & Norgate, 1900. Cr. 8vo, pp. 458. Price 3s. net.

⁴ Zur Erinnerung Carl Weizsäcker. Tübingen, Freiburg i. B. und Leipzig: J. C. B. Mohr; London: Williams & Norgate, 1900. 8vo, pp. 69. Price 1s. net.

an instructive and somewhat detailed exposition of Bismarck's relations to Religion and the Church, by Professor Otto Baumgarten of Kiel,1 given largely in Bismarck's own words, and bringing out among other things his dislike of the English Sunday; a similar estimate of Goethe's relation to Religion and Christianity by Professor Karl Sell of Bonn,2 exhibiting in concise and forcible terms the poet's attitude to the great questions of faith at different periods of his career; an edition of the Bible with the special designation of the "Christian Edition," prepared by Mr. T. K. Starley on the plan of taking the New Testament first and the Old Testament second in order, with an "Apology" offering reasons for this reversal of the usual arrangement; an interesting little volume on Early Christianity outside the Roman Empire,4 by Mr. F. Crawford Burkitt, Trinity College, Cambridge, consisting of two lectures delivered at Trinity College, Dublin, which deal in a scholarly and instructive way with the creed of Aphraates, the Sacraments in Aphraates, Bardesanes' De Fato and the Acts of Judas Thomas.

We have to notice also a very readable and opportune biographical sketch of Field-Marshal Lord Roberts,⁵ by Horace G. Groser; The Rights of War and Peace,⁶ by Hugo Grotius, being the Prolegomena to Grotius's De Jure belli et pacis, a statement of great historical interest and great intrinsic value, now republished as No. 101 of the Old South Leaflets; a suggestive and well-written volume, by John M. McCandlish, W.S., on Personal Character and Business Life,⁷ full of ad-

¹ Bismarck's Stellung zu Religion und Kirche. Tübingen, Freiburg i. B. und Leipzig: J. C. B. Mohr; London: Williams & Norgate, 1900. 8vo, pp. 127. Price 18. 9d. net.

² Goethe's Stellung zu Religion und Christentum. Vortrag mit Erläuterungen. Freiburg i. B., Leipzig und Tübingen: J. C. B. Mohr; London: Williams & Norgate, 1899. 8vo, pp. 104.

³ London: The Sabbath School Supply Co.

⁴Cambridge: University Press, 1899. Cr. 8vo, pp. 89. Price 2s. 6d.

⁵ London: Andrew Melrose. Cr. 8vo, pp. 144. Price 1s. net.

⁶Old South Meeting House, Boston, Mass.

⁷ Edinburgh and London: Oliphant, Anderson & Ferrier. Cr. 8vo, pp. 95. Price 1s. net.

mirable counsel for young men; a collection of Sermons for Children, by the late Thomas Sadler, Ph.D., delivered originally at Rosslyn Hill Chapel, Hampstead, on familiar themes such as "Christ and the Little Children," "The Young Samuel," "The Captive Hebrew Maid," etc., but fresh and interesting; a second and thoroughly revised edition of the Commentary on Psalms and Proverbs,2 in Strack and Zöckler's Kurzgefasster Kommentar, a very useful and scholarly book, which we are glad to see making its way among students; The Bramble King,3 a contribution to the tasteful Helps Heavenward series, consisting of a series of brief, telling, suggestive expositions of certain Old Testament parables, by Mark Guy Pearse; a clear, concise, and instructive analysis and appreciation of Martineau's Study of Religion, by Richard A. Armstrong, forming volume xviith of the series of Small Books on Great Subjects; a third edition of Thoughts Through the Year, by J. E. A. Brown, a series of sonnets of considerable merit, suggested by the collects; The Class and the Desk,6 a manual of preparation for Sunday School teaching, full of matter which should help those engaged in such work; a pamphlet on Hymns and Hymn Writers,7 by B. S. Olding, in which some good remarks will be found both on the general question of the place of hymnody in worship, and on some particular classes of hymns; The Biblical Museum, vol. x.,8 by James

¹ London: James Clarke & Co., 1899. Cr. 8vo, pp. vi. + 214. Price 3s. 6d.

² Die Psalmen und die Sprüche Salomos übersetzt und ausgelegt. Von Lic. Hans Kessler und Dr. Hermann L. Strack. München: Beck, 1899, pp. xx. + 302, and vii. + 104. Price M.6.

³ London: C. H. Kelly, 1900. Demy 16mo, pp. 147. Price 1s. 6d.

⁴London: James Clarke & Co., 1900. Pott 8vo, pp. 115. Price 1s. 6d.

⁵ London: Elliot Stock, 1899. Pp 86.

⁶ New Testament Series: Gospels and Acts. By the Revs. James Comper Gray and Charles Stokes Carey. London: Elliot Stock, 1900. Cr. 8vo, pp. 293. Price 1s. net.

⁷ London: Elliot Stock, 1899. Cr. 8vo, pp. 32. Price 4d.

⁸ London: Elliot Stock. Cr. 8vo, pp. 384. Price 1s. net. The whole series of 15 vols. is now to be had for 15s.

Comper Gray, giving a running commentary on Daniel and the minor prophets, with an abundance of homiletic and illustrative matter carefully selected with a view to the needs of ministers, Bible students, and Sunday School teachers; a second and thoroughly revised edition of Dr. Colin Campbell's The First Three Gospels in Greek arranged in Parallel Columns, a useful book, following the text of Tischendorf's eighth edition; The Biblical Text of Clement of Alexandria, collected and edited with scholarly carefulness and skill by P. Mordant, M.A., Christ's College, Cambridge, and enriched by a valuable Introduction by F. C. Burkitt, M.A., of Trinity College, Cambridge, being vol. v., No. 5, of the excellent series of Texts and Studies, edited by Dr. J. Armitage Robinson.

An excellent biography of the late Prof. Calderwood comes to us from the hands of his son and the Rev. David Woodside.3 It will be received with satisfaction by a wide circle of personal friends, by many good men in the Scottish Churches, his own and others, by the numerous body of students who were trained under him, and by all interested in the history of philosophy in Scotland. Henry Calderwood is one whose career deserves to be remembered. At an unusually early age he achieved distinction. When only twenty-four years old he wrote his Philosophy of the Infinite, in which he subjected the ideas of his master, Sir William Hamilton, and Dean Mansel to a searching criticism, asserting that we can have a real, though partial knowledge of an infinite object, and vehemently assailing the position that God is unknown and unknowable. For many years he occupied a prominent position as an advocate of a philosophy which aimed at maintaining the rights of reason in the things of religious faith

¹ London, Edinburgh and Oxford: Williams & Norgate, 1899. 8vo, pp. xv. + 223. Price 5s. net.

² Cambridge University Press, 1899. 8vo, pp. xix. + 64. Price 4s. net. ³ The Life of Henry Calderwood, LL.D., F.R.S.E., by his son and the Rev. David Woodside, B.D. With a special chapter on his philosophical works by A. Seth Pringle-Pattison, LL.D. London: Hodder & Stoughton, 1900. 8vo, pp. viii. + 447. Price 7s. 6d.

and life, and by his writings as well as his professional teaching he won a good name and great influence in America as well as at home.

The Life is the composition of two different hands, those of his son and his son-in-law. But it is a unity, nevertheless, and it is well done. Each of the two writers does his part with sound judgment and in an interesting way. The result is a telling picture of one who held for many years a distinguished place in the academic, ecclesiastical, and public life of Scotland. We have a vivid account of Dr. Calderwood's early career, his labours in his Glasgow pastorate, his long and useful occupancy of the chair of Moral Philosophy in the University of Edinburgh, the part which he took in the counsels of the Church of which he was a devoted member, his activity in the charitable and political movements of the time. We get also a just and discriminating estimate of his contributions to literature. The value of the book is made the greater by the important chapter on his philosophical works which comes from the pen of his colleague, Professor Pringle-Pattison.

Chalmers on Charity 1 is the title given to a selection of passages and scenes to illustrate the social teaching and practical work of Thomas Chalmers, D.D. The idea is a happy one, and it has been carried out in a very effective way by one who has had large experience of charity work, Mr. N. Masterman, M.A., for eighteen years a member of the London Charity Organisation Society, and sometime guardian in the parish of Kensington. The book will be of much use. Few men of our century have had the claim to be heard that Thomas Chalmers has in the matters in question. By some of the most eminent authorities on social and economic questions, he is accorded the first place in the line of the great thinkers and workers in those fields in the nineteenth century. The advice given to aspiring students by some of the most recognised teachers is this—begin with

¹ Westminster: Archibald Constable & Co., 1900. 8vo, pp. xxii. + 414. Price 7s. 6d. net.

Chalmers. The book is a real boon. If a still cheaper issue of it could be prepared, the service would be all the greater. It is a book that all should read who have their eye on the problems of the new century.

In his Outlines of Christian Dogma, Mr. Darwell Stone, M.A., Principal of Dorchester Missionary College, aims at giving, with as little of the controversial as possible, a "clear and systematic idea of the chief tenets of the faith," and he seeks to do this in a way to meet the needs of those who are not students of technical theology. He begins with a chapter on the "Approach to Dogma," and proceeds thereafter to deal in succession with the doctrines of the nature and attributes of God, the Trinity, Creation, the Fall, the Incarnation, the Atonement, the Resurrection and Ascension, the Nature of the Church, the Teaching Office and the Sanctifying Office of the Church, the operation of Grace and the Last Things. The standpoint is that of High Anglicanism. The influence of that is felt, as might be expected, in what is said of the Church. The construction of Christian doctrine is generally conservative. In some things it reminds one of Canon Liddon's ways. The book gives evidence of wide and careful reading. It is sober in spirit and presents a good view of the type of theology which it represents.

The "Cambridge Bible for Schools and Colleges" is enriched by a commentary on *The Book of Daniel* by Professor S. R. Driver. It is superfluous to say that it is a valuable addition to the series. Characterised throughout by exact scholarship and careful criticism, it provides the student precisely with what he needs, both in Introduction and in Notes. In the work of the Regius Professor of Hebrew in the University of Oxford, we see the principles of the higher criticism applied faithfully and soberly, free from the fanciful subjectivity which goes with it in so many scholars in England as well as in Germany. The questions of authorship and

¹ London: Longmans, Green & Co., 1900. Cr. 8vo, pp. xx. + 351. Price 7s. 6d.

² Cambridge: University Press, 1900. Extra fcp. 8vo, pp. cvi. + 215. Price 2s. 6d. net.

date receive full and judicious treatment. It is shown that a number of distinct and independent considerations taken from history, language and the ideas of Daniel, point to the conclusion that the book cannot have been written before B.C. 300, and that there are "grounds which, though they may not be regarded as demonstrative except on the part of those who deny all predictive prophecy, nevertheless make the opinion a highly probable one that the book is a work of the age of Antiochus Epiphanes".

The series known as the "Kerr Lectures," founded in connexion with the United Presbyterian Church, has obtained an honourable name. Previous volumes by Professor Orr, Dr. Kidd and Dr. Forrest, have been recognised as important contributions to their subjects. The Lectures for 1900 are now published, and they too will take a good place in the theological literature of Scotland. They are by the Rev. Robert J. Drummond, B.D., and have for their subject The Relation of the Apostolic Teaching to the Teaching of Christ. Mr. Drummond has been happy in the selection of his topic. It is one that has been attracting attention for some time, and one on which there is something to say. In the engrossments of a heavy city charge Mr. Drummond has snatched time to read carefully and discerningly. master of a good style. Now and then it tends to drop into the free and easy, but it is often artistic, and always clear, direct and agreeable.

Mr. Drummond has a good grasp of the method and bearings of his inquiry, and writes in the manner of one who understands what Biblical theology is. He handles his subject in the historical spirit, and makes little of the dogmatic and less of the controversial aspects of the questions with which he deals. He places the teaching of the Apostles in its proper relations to that of our Lord, and helps us to see for ourselves the justice of his exposition of these relations by setting the two sets of ideas side by side. There is a very good statement of the general features of our Lord's teach-

¹ Edinburgh: T. & T. Clark, 1900. 8vo, pp. viii. +432. Price 10s. 6d

ing, its main points, its historical connections, its purpose and circumstances, etc. Among the best chapters is one on the great terms "Son of Man" and "Son of God". That on "The Activities of the Exalted Christ" has some points of fresh interest, and contains much that is suggestive. Mr. Drummond's general conclusions are expressed in these terms-fundamental and widespread agreement, no fixity of terminology, special emphasis on one side of truth not implying divergence from those left unnoticed, and development a feature in the presentation of Christian teaching. He claims for the Apostles perfect loyalty to Christ's teaching and absolute subordination to Himself. But he holds it legitimate also to recognise the authority of the Apostles and their teaching—a derived, not an original authority, but ranking close "behind His own, just because of their nearness to the primal source, of the vividness which still remained of the impression of Himself as He was known on earth, and of the immediate quickening of their spiritual understanding by the entrance of the Divine Enlightener, the Holy Spirit, whom Christ had promised for the very purpose of leading them into all truth". These are just conclusions, and the book as a whole does much credit to the author.

The reports of two important conferences recently held on doctrinal questions are given to the public. They form instructive records of two interesting occasions on which representative theologians, belonging to different Churches or parties, met together with the view of endeavouring to understand each other's positions and discovering how far agreement existed or might be effected. One of these has the title, Different Conceptions of Sacrifice and Priesthood, and is edited by Professor Sanday of Oxford. It gives the record of a conference held at Oxford, 13th and 14th December, 1899, in which the High Church section of the English Church was represented by Father Puller, Canon Gore,

¹ London: Longmans, Green & Co., 1900. 8vo, pp. xix. + 173. Price 7s. 6d.

Canon Scott Holland, Professor Moberly and the Rev. C. G. Lang; the other sections of the same Church by Dr. Sanday (the chairman), Archdeacon Wilson, President H. E. Ryle (now Bishop designate of Exeter), Canon Bernard of Salisbury and the Rev. A. C. Headlam; while English Nonconformity was represented by Dr. Fairbairn of Mansfield College, Mr. Arnold Thomas of Bristol and the Rev. Dr. Peter Forsyth of Cambridge; Wesleyanism by Professor W. T. Davison of Handsworth; and Presbyterianism by Dr. Salmond of Aberdeen. The discussions were frank and friendly. They were undoubtedly of service in many ways, especially in removing misconceptions due to the use of inexact theological terms. The other volume gives the report of a Conference held at Fulham Palace in October, 1900,1 and is edited by Dr. Henry Wace. This Round-Table Conference, as it was called, was limited to those belonging to the Church of England. In addition to the chairman, Dr. Wace, the following clergymen and laymen, representing different parties in the Church, were members of it, viz.: Dr. W. H. Barlow, Professor H. E. I. Bevan, Dr. C. Bigg, Mr. W. J. Birkbeck, the Rev. N. Dimock, Canon Gore, Viscount Halifax, Professor Moule, Canon Newbolt, Principal A. Robertson, Canon Armitage Robinson, Canon Sanday, Dr. P. V. Smith and the Earl of Stamford. No definite resolution was agreed to, but the contending views on the mystery of Christ's presence in the Eucharist were fully explained and discussed. Some approach at least was made to the removal of ambiguities and to a better understanding of the precise points of agreement and difference. Both books will repay careful study.

We are indebted to the author of the Life of Cardinal Manning, which caused such stir some time ago, for another book which sheds some light on the history of recent ecclesiastical movements in the Church of England—the Life and

¹ The Doctrine of Holy Communion and its Expression in Ritual. London: Longmans, Green & Co., 1900. 8vo, pp. 96. Price 2s. 6d. net.

Letters of Ambrose Phillips de Lisle. The fault of the book is its great size. But it contains much that is of interest and some things that are not altogether pleasant surprises, with regard to the methods and inner history of the extreme Anglican party. De Lisle himself is regarded by some as the real author of the Ritualistic development of Anglicanism, and his career was a singular one. Brought up under evangelical influences and looking on the Pope as Antichrist, he nevertheless underwent so great a change in his religious dispositions and views that he was baptised into the Roman Catholic Church at the early age of fifteen, and he continued a devoted, not to say enthusiastic, member of that communion. He was the head and untiring promoter of the enterprise known as the "Corporate Re-union Movement between the Churches of England and Rome," and was a munificent friend of Roman Catholic causes. His diary and the correspondence which passed between him and men like John Henry Newman, Montalembert, Lacordaire and others are of great interest. The volumes deserve the attention of all students of the Tractarian movement and Roman Catholic policy in England.

Professor Burnet of St. Andrews provides us with an edition of the Ethics of Aristotle.² which will take a high place among books of its kind. The introduction contains valuable dissertations on the Nicomachean and Eudemian Ethics, the composition and style of the Ethics, and the various commentaries, as also on Practical and Theoretical Science, Ethics and Politics, the Method of Science, First Principles, Dialectics, the Final Cause, and the Platonic and Aristotelian Teleology. The statements on Final Cause and the forms of Teleology, are of very special interest. The great ethical

¹ By Edmund Sheridan Purcell. Edited and finished by Edwin de Lisle. In two volumes. London: Macmillan, 1900. 8vo, pp. xiii. + 422 and 382. Price 25s. net.

² The Ethics of Aristotle, edited with an introduction and notes, by John Burnet, M.A., Professor of Greek in the United College of St. Salvator and St. Leonard, St. Andrews. London: Methuen & Co., 1900. 8vo, pp. lii. + 502. Price 15s. net.

discussions are then given in the Greek Text, with numerous explanatory notes which go into all necessary questions of text, grammar, interpretation and history. There is also a full and most useful index, for which students will be grateful.

Professor Burnet has applied to his task the resources of a wide and penetrating scholarship, especially in the Platonic literature and in the products of the Middle and New Comedy. He has his own views on the questions which are most controverted. These are set forth with great ability and deserve careful consideration. He uses the Eudemian Ethics as the best commentary on the Nicomachean. The idea of λόγος as "rule," not as "reason," he carries rigorously out, as if it admitted of no exception. He holds that Aristotle's own psychology and moral philosophy are to be found not in these treatises but in the De Anima and the Physics. It is here that the most distinctive note of Professor Burnet's book appears. The view that considerable portions of the Ethics are of a purely dialectical character receives at his hands the widest possible extension. He regards the discussions as giving all through, not Aristotle's own ideas or arguments but the opinions and contentions of others, especially those of Plato and his followers in the Academy. It is in this general theory and in some of the applications made of it that Professor Burnet's stimulating volume will most provoke criticism.

Record of Select Literature.

I.-OLD TESTAMENT.

- GIGOT, F. E. General Introduction to the Study of Holy Scriptures. In 3 vols. Vol. I. New York: Benziger Bros. 8vo, pp. ii. + 6o6. \$2.
- RAMBAUD, E. Le Premier Esaïe; étude d'histoire et de théologie. Cahors: Coueslant. 8vo, pp. 116.
- Winckler, H. Völker u. Staaten des alten Orients. 3. Geschichte Israels in Einzeldarstellgn. 2. Tl. Die Legende. Leipzig: E. Pfeiffser. 8vo, pp. viii. + 300. M.g.
- HIRSCH, M. Die 12 Propheten, übers. u. erläutert. (In deutscher u. hebräischer Sprache.) Frankfurt a. M.: A. J. Hofmann. 8vo, pp. v. + 536. M.6.
- LÖHR, M. Geschichte des Volkes Israel, in 8 Vorträgen dargestellt. Strassb: K. J. Trübner. 8vo, pp. vii. + 168. M.4. Karten. M.2.
- Bernfeld. Der Talmud. Sein Wesen, seine Bedeutg. u. seine Geschichte. Berlin: S. Calvary & Co. 8vo, pp. iv. + 120. M.1.20.
- FAURE, E. La Sagesse divine dans la Littérature didactique des Hébreux et des Juifs. Montauban: impr. Granié. 8vo, pp. 73.
- MEINHOLD, J. Die "Lade Jahves". Tübingen: J. C. B. Mohr. 8vo, pp. 45. M.1.50.
- LÖHR, M. Untersuchungen zum Buch Amos. Giessen: J. Ricker. 8vo, pp. vii. + 51. M.2.50.
- SELLIN, E. Studien zur Entstehungsgeschichte der jüdischen Gemeinde nach dem babylonischen Exil. i. u. ii. Leipzig: A. Deichert Nachf. 8vo, pp. iv. + 302 and iv. + 199. M.II.

NETELER, B. Das Buch der Richter der Vulgata u. des hebräischen Textes, übers. u. erklart. Münster: Theis-

sing. 8vo, pp. vi + 134. M.2.40.

Bestmann, H. J. Entwicklungsgeschichte des Reiches Gottes unter dem alten u. neuen Bunde an der Hand e. Analyse der Quellen. ii. Leipzig: Dietrich. 8vo, pp. viii. + 446. M.9.

OLD TESTAMENT ARTICLES.

- Boscawen, W. St. Chad. The Creative Power of the Divine Word and Name. Babylonian and Oriental Record, VIII., 12.
- JASTROW, Professor Morris, junr. The Fourteenth Chapter of Genesis and Recent Research. Jewish Quarterly Review, Oct. 1900.
- KÖNIG, Prof. Ed. Die Siebzig Jahrwochen in Dan. ix. 24-27. Neue kirchliche Zeitschrift, XI., 12.
- SEYDL, E. Jakobs letzte Worte an Ruben. Gen. xlix. 3, 4. Z. f. kath. Theol., IV., 1900.
- COUARD, L. Die Behandlung u. Lösung des Problems der Theodicee in den Psalmen, xxxvii. 49 u. 73. Theol. Stud. u. Krit., I., 1901.
- Condamin, A. Le Récit babylonien du Déluge. Bulletin de Littérature ecclesiastique. No. 7-8.
- Fries, S. A. Jesu Vorstellungen von der Auferstehung der Toten. Ztschr. für die neutest. Wiss. und die Kunde des Urchristentums, I., 4.
- WENDLAND, Paul. Zur ältesten Geschichte der Bibel in der Kirche. Ztschr. für die neutest. Wiss. und die Kunde des Urchristentums, I., 4.
- Endemann, K. Zur Frage über die Brüder des Herrn. Neue kirchliche Zeitschrift, XI., 11.

II.—NEW TESTAMENT.

CONRADY, L. Die Quelle der kanonischen Kindheitsgeschichte Jesus. Ein wissenschaftl. Versuch. Göttin-

gen: Vandenhoeck und Ruprecht. 8vo, pp. x. + 342. M.8.

- BORDREUIL, P. La Personne de Jésus-Christ dans les Évangiles. Montauban: impr. Granié. 8vo, pp. 87.
- WHELDON, T. J. The Holy Spirit: Studies in the fourth Gospel. London: D. O'Brien Owen. 8vo, pp. 302. 3s. 6d.
- JESUS als Lehrer. Von H. U. B. O. (G. Hubo). Berlin: Th. Fröhlich. 8vo, pp. 116. M.1.20.
- Weber, V. Die Adressaten des Galaterbriefes. Beweis der rein Südgalatischen Theorie. Ravensburg: Kitz. 8vo, pp. iv. + 8o. M.1.20.
- NIRSCHL, J. Das Haus u. Grab der hl. Jungfrau Maria. Neue Untersuchgn. (Mit dem Entwurf der neuen Marienkirche auf Sion.) Mainz: F. Kirchheim. 8vo, pp. xii. + 229. M.4.
- GOOLD, E. P. Biblical Theology of New Testament. London: Macmillan. 8vo, pp. 240. 3s. 6d.
- Petersen, L. W. Paulus' Brev tel Romerne fortolket. Kopenhagen: Hagerup. 8vo, pp. 642. Krs.8.75.
- Gregory, C. R. Textkritik des Neuen Testamentes. 1. Bd. Leipzig: J. C. Hinrichs. 8vo, pp. vi. + 478. M.12.
- Hood, T. W. The Plan of the Apocalypse. York, Pa.: P. Anstadt & Sons. 8vo, pp. xiv. + 192. \$1.50.
- PRAGER, L. Die Offenbarung Johannis, auf Grund der hl. Schrift eingehend erklärt. 1 Bd. Leipzig: Deichert. 8vo, pp. viii. + 600. M.7.
- Titius, A. Die neutestamentliche Lehre v. der Seligkeit u. ihre Bedeutung f. die Gegenwart. Der geschichtl. Darstellg. 4. (Schluss.) Tübingen: J. C. B. Mohr. 8vo, pp. xi. + 250. M.5.8o.

NEW TESTAMENT ARTICLES.

- Bruston, C. La Manifestation de Dieu dan l'Évangile. Rev. de théol. et des quests. rel., 4, 1900.
- CORDEY. L'Immensité de l'Amour divin. Étude biblique sur Eph. iii. 18 et 19. Lib. Christ, 8, 1900.

Bacon, Prof. B. W. Tatian's Rearrangement of the Fourth Gospel. American Journal of Theology, 4, 1900.

Zahn, Th. D. Neue Testament Theodors v. Mopsuestia u. d. ursprüngliche Kanon d. Syrer. N. kirchl. Z., 10, 1900.

KLÖPPER, A. I Joh. v. 6, 12. Z. f. Wiss. Theol., 3, 1900.

HÖNIG, W. Die Versuchungsgeschichte. Prot. Montasch. Heft 9 u. 10, 1900.

JOYCE, Rev. J. A. Christ and the Pharisees. Indian Evangelical Review, Oct. 1900.

TURMEL, J. Histoire de l'Interpretation de 1. Tim. ii. 4. Revue d'Histoire et de Littérature religieuses, V., 2.

Loisy, Alfred. Le Pain de Vie, Jean vi. 22-59. Revue de Théologie et de Littérature religieuses, V., 2.

STIFFLER, James M. The Church of Antioch. Bibliotheca Sacra., Oct. 1900.

STANTON, Dr. V. H. The Gospel of Peter and the Recognition in the Church of the Canonical Gospels. *Journal of Theological Studies*, Oct. 1900.

III.—HISTORICAL.

GONZALES, L. The Testament of Ignatius de Loyola. Tr. by E. M. Rix; with preface by G. Tyrell. St. Louis: B. Herder. 8vo, pp. 230. \$1.

HOENSBROECH, Graf. V. Das Papstthum in seiner Sozialkulturellen Wirksamkeit. 1. Bd. Inquisition Aberglaube. (Teufelsspuk u. Hexenwahn.) Leipzig: Breitkopf & Härtel, 8vo, pp. l. + 683. M.12.

CROS, J. M. Saint François de Xavier: Sa Vie et ses Lettres. Tom. 21. François de X. en Chine et au Japon.

Paris: Retaux. 8vo, pp. xi. + 550.

DE LA TOUR, J. Les Origines religieuses de la France. Les Paroisses rurales de IV^e au XI^e Siècle. Paris: Picard et fils. 8vo, pp. 36o.

Duran, A. Le Mysticisme de Calvin, d'après l'Institution chrétienne. Montauban: impr. Granié. 8vo, pp. 75.

Schmitt. Zwei noch unbenutzte Handschriften des J. Scotus Erigena. Progr: Bamberg. 8vo, pp. 58.

- BOURIER, H. Ueber die Quellen der ersten 14 Bücher des Johannes Malalas II. (V. T. L.-Z., 1899, pp. 720). München. 8vo, pp. 67.
- Bonet-Mauray, G. Histoire de la Liberté de Conscience en France, depuis l'Édit de Nantes jusqu'à juillet 1870. Paris: F. Alcan. 8vo, pp. vi. + 263. Frs.5.
- Baljon, J. M. S. Commentar op het evangelie van Mattheus. Groningen: J. B. Wolters. 8vo, pp. xxviii. + 435. Frs.4.50.
- GROENINGS, J. History of the Passion of our Lord Jesus Christ. St. Louis: B. Herder. 8vo, pp. xii. + 435. \$1.60.
- ALDINGER, P. Die Neubesetzung der deutschen Bistumer unter Papst Innocent IV., 1243-1254. Leipzig: Teubner. 8vo, pp. v. + 195. M.6.
- Hansen, J. Zauberwahn, Inquisition u. Hexenprocess im Mittelalter u. die Entstehung der grossen Hexenversolgung. (Historische Bibliothek. Hrsg. v. der Red. d. histor. Zeitschrift, 12. Bd.) München: R. Oldenbourg. 8vo, pp. xv. + 538. M.10.
- VÖLTER, D. Der Ursprung des Mönchtums. Tübingen: J. C. B. Mohr. 8vo, pp. 53. M.1.
- Patton, J. H. Popular History of the Presbyterian Church in the United States of America. New York: R. S. Mighill & Co. 8vo, pp. xxiii. + 560. \$3.50.
- Schneider, G. A. Der hl. Theodor v. Studien. Sein Leben u. Wirken. Ein Beitrag zur Byzantin. Mönchsgeschichte. Münster: F. Schöningh. 8vo, pp. 111. M.2.6o.
- FÜRSTENAU, H. Johann v. Wicklif's Lehren v. der Einteilung der Kirche u. v. der Stellung der weltlichen Gewalt. Berlin: R. Gaertner. 8vo, pp. iv. + 117. M.2.80.
- DIEFENBACH, J. Der Zauberglaube des 16. Jahrh. nach den Katechismen Dr. Martin Luther's u. des P. Canisius. Mainz: F. Kirchheim. 8vo, pp. xii. + 209. M.3.
- EHRHARD, A. Die altchristliche Litteratur u. ihre Erforschung von 1884-1900. 1. Abtlg. Die vornicän. Lit-

teratur. Freiburg i. B.: Herder. 8vo, pp. xii. + 644. M.15.

MICHIELS, A. L'Origine de l'Épiscopat. Louvain: Van Linthout. Pp. xviii. + 431.

HISTORICAL ARTICLES.

Couture, Léonce. Saint Prosper d'Aquitaine. Bulletin de Littérature ecclesiastique, No. 9.

MÜLHAUPT, F. Zur Geschichte d. deutschen Bibelübersetzungen. Rev. int. de Théol., Oct.-Dec. 1900.

KNAPPERT, L. Babylonisch-Assyriol. Godsdienst. Theol. Tijdschr., 5, 1900.

IV.—DOCTRINAL.

SCHMID, A. v. Apologetik als spekulative Grundlegung der Theologie. Freiburg i. B.: Herder. 8vo, pp. viii. + 354. M.4.

Kneib, P. Die Unsterblichkeit der Seele, bewiesen aus dem höheren Erkennen u. Wollen. Ein Beitrag zur Apologetik u. zur Würdigg. der Thomistischen Philosophie. Wien: Mayer & Co. 8vo, pp. viii. + 135. M.2.20.

Brown, W. B. The Problem of Final Destiny: Studied in the Light of Revised Theological Statements. New York: Whittaker. 12mo, pp. v. + 319. \$1.50.

PARKER, L. D. The Sabbath transferred; with an Introduction by F. N. Peloubet. East Orange, N. J.: J. D.

Parker & Co. 12mo, pp. 151. \$1.25.

EBELING, H. Der Menschheit Zukunft. Tod, Auferstehg., jüngstes Gericht, Weltende, Hölle u. Himmel im Lichte der Bibel. Zwickau: J. Herrmann. 8vo, pp. viii. + 223. M.2.60.

KATTENBUSCH, F. Das Apostolische Symbol. Seine Entstehg., sein geschichtl. Sinn, seine ursprüngl. Stellg. im Kultus u. in der Theologie der Kirche. Ein Beitrag zur Symbolik u. Dogmengeschichte. 2. Bd. Verbreitung u. Bedeutg. des Taufsymbols. 2. Hälfte. Leipzig: J. C. Hinrichs. 8vo, pp. viii. + 353-1061. M.23.

- SEEBERG, R. Grundriss der Dogmengeschichte. Leipzig: A. Deichert Nachf. 8vo, pp. viii. + 135. M.2.8o.
- SEEBERG, R. Die Theologie des Johannes Duns Scotus. Eine dogmengeschichtl. Untersuchg. Leipzig: Dietrich. 8vo, pp. vi. + 705. M.12.
- ROCHOLL, R. Der christliche Gottesbegriff. Beitrag zur speculativen Theologie. Göttingen: Vandenhoeck u. Ruprecht. 8vo, pp. xvi. + 371. M.10.
- Weiss, J. Die Idee des Reiches Gottes in der Theologie. Giessen: J. Ricker. 8vo, pp. iv. + 156. M.3.
- CLEMEN, C. "Niedergefahren zu den Toten." Ein Beitrag zur Würdigg. des Apostolikums. Giessen: J. Ricker. 8vo, pp. viii. + 240. M.5.
- KÜNSTLE, K. Eine Bibliothek der Symbole u. theologischer Tractate zur Bekämpfung des Priscillianismus u. westgothischen Arianismus aus dem VI. Jahrh. Ein Beitrag zur Geschichte der theolog. Litteratur in Spanien. Mainz: F. Kirchheim. M.5.
- Schnedermann, G. Der christliche Gottesbegriff im Sinne der gegenwärtigen evangelisch-lutherischen Kirche. Leipzig: Deichert. 8vo, pp. xiii. + 225-499. M. 3.60.

DOCTRINAL ARTICLES.

- RICKER K. L'Idée de l'état et de l'église chez les théologiens luthériens, reformés et modernes. Rev. de théol. et de phil., 4, 1900.
- Langen, J. Das antike u. d. moderne Glaubensprincip. Rev. int. de Theol., Oct.-Dec. 1900.
- Salmond, Principal S. D. F. The Theology of Horace Bushnell. London Quarterly Review, Jan. 1901.
- KÖLBING, P. Zur Characteristik der Théologie Zinzendorfs. Ztschr. f. Th. u. Kirche, 4, 1900.
- BRUSTON, C. La Doctrine chrétienne de l'Immortalité: II. Les Prophètes parlent-ils de la resurrection des morts? Revue de Theologie et des Questions religieuses, IX., 5.

- WESTON, H. Grigg. The Resurrection of the Lord Jesus the central fact in Christianity. Bibliotheca Sacra, Oct. 1900.
- Webb, C. C. J. The Idea of Personality as applied to God. Journal of Theological Studies, Oct. 1900.
- BURKITT, F. C. St. Mark viii. 32. A Neglected Various Reading. Journal of Theological Studies, Oct. 1900.

V.—PHILOSOPHICAL.

Kastil, A. Die Frage nach der Erkenntnis des Guten bei Aristoteles u. bei Thomas v. Aquin. Wien: C. Gerold's Sohn. 8vo. pp. 38. M.o.go.

HOROWITZ, J. Untersuchungen über Philons und Platons Lehre von der Weltschöpfung. Marburg: N. G. Elwert's

Verl. 8vo, pp. xiii. + 127. M.2.40.

SCHMIDT, K. Beiträge zur Entwicklung der Kant'schen Ethik. Marburg: N. G. Elwert's Verl. 8vo, pp. iii. + 105. M.2.

Reiniger, K. Kant's Lehre vom innern Sinn u. seine Theorie der Erfahrungen. Wien: W. Braumüller. 8vo,

pp. iii. + 155. M.3.60.

Worms, M. Die Lehre v. der Anfangslosigkeit der Welt bei den mittelalterlichen arabischen Philosophen des Orients. Münster: Aschendorff. 8vo, pp. viii. + 70. M.2.50.

PHILOSOPHICAL ARTICLES.

COTTON, Julian James. The Problem of Personal Identity. Indian Review, I., 9.

CARTER, R. Brudenell. Medical Ethics. International Journal of Ethics, Oct. 1900.

ADAMS, Maurice. The Ethics of Tolstoi and Nietzsche.

International Journal of Ethics, Oct. 1900.

CALDWELL, W. Pragmatism. Mind, Oct. 1900.

- Gibson, W. R. S. The Principle of Least Action as a psychological principle. *Mind*, Oct. 1900.
- HIRN, Y. The Psychological and Sociological Study of Art. Mind, Oct. 1900.
- Preston, Dr. S. Tolver. Some Physical Conclusions in Respect of Space. *Mind*, Oct. 1900.

VI.—GENERAL.

- COURANT, M. Sur le prétendu Monothéisme des anciens Chinois. Angers: Burdin. 8vo, pp. 21.
- HAWKINS, Rev. Canon Sir John C. Some Internal Evidence for the Use of the Logia in the First and Third Gospels. *Expository Times*, Nov. 1900.
- LEWIS, Dr. Agnes Smith. What have we gained in the Sinaitic Palimpsest? Expository Times, Nov. 1900.
- Meltzer, H. Die Vorstellungen der alten Griechen vom Leben nach dem Tode. Hamburg: Verlagsanstalt u. Druckerie. Pp. 44. M.o.8o.
- SETHE, K. Sesostris. Leipzig: Hinrichs. 4to, pp. 24. M.5.

GENERAL ARTICLES.

- BARTH, A. Bulletin des Religions de l'Inde, III.: Le Buddhisme. Rev. de l'hist, des rel., juillet, août, 1900.
- Moon, Conard. Les Idées des Indiens Algonquins relatives à la vie d'outre-tombe, I. Rev. de l'hist des rel., juillet, août, 1900.
- FAIRBANKS, Arthur. The Chthonic Gods of Greek Religion.

 American Journal of Theology, XXI., 3.
- SMITH, A. P. Missionary Methods. Indian Review, I., 10.
- CONYBEARE, F. C. The Testament of Job and the Testaments of the XII. Patriarchs. Jewish Quarterly Review, Oct. 1900.
- HENDERSON, Prof. C. R. Social Ethics for Church Leaders. Biblical World, Dec. 1900.

IVERACH, Prof. James. Huxley's Life and Work. London Quarterly Review, Jan. 1900.

DAVISON, Prof. W. T. The Christian Ideal. London Quarterly Review, Jan. 1901.

Tissot, D. La Dialectique de Schleiermacher. Rev. de théol. et de phil., 4, 1900.

COMMER, E. Zur Reform der theologischen Studien. Jahrb. f. Philosof. u. spec. Theol., XV., 1.

CONTENTS.

	PAGE
	Rev. Professor W. H. Bennett, , Hackney and New College, don
HASTIE'S KANT'S COSMOGONY TURNER'S KNOWLEDGE, BELIEF AND Aber CERTITUDE	fessor James Iverach, D.D., deen 104
RAND'S THE LIFE, UNPUBLISHED LETTERS AND PHILOSOPHICAL REGIMEN OF ANTHONY, EARL OF M.A. SHAFTESBURY	ev. Professor William Johnston, ., Cheshunt College 114
MEDLEY'S CHRIST, THE TRUTH SIME'S WILLIAM HERSCHEL AND HIS WORK By the R	Rev. Joseph Traill, B.D., Wick 117
PULLAN'S THE HISTORY OF THE BOOK By the I OF COMMON PRAYER	Rev. C. Anderson Scott, M.A., don
HERRMANN'S ETHIK { By the Mon	Rev. ALFRED E. GARVIE, M.A., itrose 130
	Rev. Hugh R. Mackintosh, hil., Tayport 134
HÜHN'S DIE ALTTESTAMENTLICHEN CITATE UND REMINISCENZEN IM NEUEN TESTAMENTE By the	Rev. GEORGE MILLIGAN, B.D., uth
KOETSVELD'S DIE GLEICHNISSE DES By the E EVANGELIUMS	Rev. CHARLES F. FLEMING, B.D., dlesborough 140
MOTT'S THE EVANGELISATION OF THE By the WORLD IN THIS GENERATION Gler	Rev. ROBERT G. PHILIP, M.A., neairn
Wagner's Die Sittlichen Grund- kräfte Leo's Die Kausalität als Grundlage DER Weltanschauung VOL. XI.—NO. 2.	tipal D. W. SIMON, D.D., Brad-

											PAGE
MAYER'S VERTRA	DAS		сне С	OTT-							
NACHAE	s und		GEISTER	DES IM BIS	Ву	the Rev. Jo Portobello	они М •	ACPHI	erson,	B.D.	. 152
CHEYNE PÆDIA	AND BIBLICA	BLACK'S, Vol. II.	Encyc	cro-}	Ву	Principal S. Aberdeen .	D. F	. SAL	MOND,	D.D.	. 15
Notices					Ву	the Editor			•	•	. 16
Notices					Ву	the Editor			•		. 1

ALLEN'S LIFE AND LETTERS OF PHILLIPS BROOKS; BAIRD'S THEODORE BEZA; SIMPSON'S THE FACT OF CHRIST; STEWART'S THE ORIGINS OF THE United Free Church of Scotland; Cavalier's The Preacher's Diction-ARY; ABBEY'S THE DIVINE LOVE; JOURNAL OF THEOLOGICAL STUDIES; INTERNATIONAL JOURNAL OF ETHICS; AMERICAN JOURNAL OF THEOLOGY; MIND; PRESBYTERIAN AND REFORMED REVIEW; BIBLIOTHECA SACRA; JOHNSON AND NEWBOLT'S SPIRITUAL LETTERS OF EDWARD BOUVERIE PUSEY; EDIE'S NESTLE'S INTRODUCTION TO THE TEXTUAL CRITICISM OF THE GREEK NEW TESTAMENT; SAUNDERS' HARNACK'S WHAT IS CHRISTIANITY?; HILLIS'S THE INFLUENCE OF CHRIST IN MODERN LIFE; BURKITT'S TWO LECTURES ON THE GOSPELS; MASTERMAN'S THE FIRST EPISTLE OF ST. PETER; WILSON'S TRUTHS NEW AND OLD; THACKERAY'S THE RELATIONS OF ST. PAUL TO CONTEMPORARY JEWISH THOUGHT; PENGELLY'S JOHN RUSKIN; CHAPMAN'S THE SURRENDERED LIFE; EXELL'S BIBLICAL ILLUSTRATOR; KARV'S AUF DEM WEGE DER WAHRHEIT; LÜDEMANN'S INDIVIDUALITÄT UND PERSÖNLICH-KEIT; STELLHORN'S DIE PASTORALBRIEFE PAULI; LAMB'S OUR NATIONAL CHURCH TROUBLE; THE PREACHER'S MAGAZINE.

RECORD	OF	SELECT	LITERATURE						184

The Book of Numbers: Critical Edition of the Hebrew Text, printed in colours, exhibiting the Composite Structure of the Book, with Notes.

GE

52

57

66

184

By the Rev. J. A. Paterson, D.D., Professor of Hebrew and Old Testament Exegesis, New College, Edinburgh. London: David Nutt, 1900. Pp. 66.

Handkommentar zum Alten Testament: Richter-Ruth.

Von D. W. Nowack, Professor der Theologie in Strassburg. Göttingen: Vandenhoeck und Ruprecht, 1900. Pp. xxviii. + 201. Price M.4.80.

Lines of Defence of the Biblical Revelation.

By D. S. Margoliouth, M.A., Laudian Professor of Arabic in the University of Oxford. London: Hodder & Stoughton, 1900. Pp. xii. + 318. Price 6s.

PROFESSOR PAUL HAUPT'S great enterprise The Sacred Books of the Old Testament is making steady progress. The latest addition, Professor Paterson's Numbers, admirably realises the purpose of the series. Both in textual criticism and in analysis it is sound, scholarly, and reasonably, but not unduly, cautious. Indeed in both departments the new volume furnishes yet another illustration of the substantial agreement of modern scholars as to the criticism of the Hexateuch. Comparing the analysis with that in the Oxford Hexateuch, for instance, there is little difference in the distribution of material between IE, D and P; but there is considerable difference in the division of P into its various strata; and Professor Paterson does not so often venture to analyse IE into I and These differences indicate the limits within which analysis is at all certain. The existence of I and E and of strata in P; the analysis of I and E in most of Genesis; the

100

identification of the main body of the Law of Holiness; and some other points are finally established. But it would be a mistake to claim for any of the attempts at a complete division of JE into J and E, or of P and D into their strata the same authority which can be recognised for the generally accepted analysis into JE, D and P. At the same time, some of these attempts are partly successful, and are always useful as giving a good idea of the way in which the main documents were built up.

Professor Paterson, in his text of Numbers, is in substantial agreement with most modern scholars, e.g., with Karl Marti in the Kautzsch Bibel. The chief difference raises an interesting question. Professor Paterson relegates to footnotes, as additions to the text, many words and phrases which Marti retains; but one phrase at anyrate—"their names being changed," xxxii. 38-Marti prints in smaller type as a gloss. This variety of treatment shows the difficulty of drawing the line between the Higher Criticism of authorship, and the Lower Criticism of the text. In the case of a Pauline epistle the line is clear and broad, the text we try to recover is that of the epistle as St. Paul wrote it. But, in the Hexateuch, Higher Criticism fades away imperceptibly into textual criticism. What is the text we are trying to reconstitute? Supposing A, B, C, D, E, etc., etc., one after the other. each produced a new copy of the Hexateuch with slight modifications; one modern scholar may consider A the "final redactor," and B, C, D and E as "scribes"; he will therefore exclude their additions as "scribal interpolations" or "errors," whereas another scholar may regard B, C, D and E as "redactors" and include their additions in his text. it may be clear that a phrase was not part of the original context; but, in the absence of documentary proof, it must often be impossible to determine whether it was added by one of the many redactors, or by a scribe after the recognised period of redaction was closed.

In xxxii. the account of the settlement of Reuben and Gad in Eastern Palestine, Professor Paterson maintains that the analysis can recover accounts of this incident as given by J

and E, thus agreeing on the whole with Karl Marti and Addis, as against Cornill, Kuenen, Budde and the editors of the Oxford Hexateuch, who assign the chapter, except verses 39, 41, 42, to a late priestly writer.

It should be stated that the notes are almost entirely on the textual criticism.

Of late years Judges has attracted much attention; Moore and Budde have each of them devoted more than one important work to this book; and now Professor D. W. Nowack follows suit. The volume is worthy of his great reputation; but to the general reader its chief interest will lie in his endorsement of the views of his predecessors as to the history of *Judges*. On the controversy still being waged as to the sources of the book he follows Moore and Budde in assigning the bulk of the material to the Pentateuch sources I and E. He is also in substantial agreement with them as to the evolution of our Judges from the ancient narratives. His view is roughly as follows: Some time, apparently, before the publication of Deuteronomy, the two early collections of narratives concerning the Judges, J and E, were combined into the first edition, IE, of Judges which contained most of the contents of our book, viz., I's account of the Conquest, i. 1ii. 5, the narratives of the six greater judges—Ehud, Deborah-Barak, Gideon, Abimelech, Jephthah and Samson; the episode of the Danites, Micah and Laish; and the original story. of the war of the other tribes against Benjamin. Then a Deuteronomic editor omitted as unedifying the I account of the Conquest, the history of Abimelech, the story of Samson and Delilah, and the accounts of the Danites and of the war against Benjamin. This editor composed and inserted the account of Othniel, religious reasons for the oppressions and deliverances, and the chronological framework. A later priestly editor restored what his Deuteronomic predecessor had omitted, but entirely recast the account of the war with Benjamin, xix.-xxi.; added the five lesser judges, Tola, Jair, Ibzan, Elon, and Abdon to make up twelve; and made numerous other modifications. A later writer, who considered that Abimelech was not a legitimate judge, added Shamgar to keep the number twelve intact. Nowack maintains that the Song of Deborah is a contemporary document, but in its extant form it shows many traces of late editing. He holds that Ruth was written about the time of Ezra and Nehemiah, as a protest against their prohibition of intermarriage with foreigners.

Professor D. S. Margoliouth's book has an unfortunate title, which names a subject to which most of the contents are really irrelevant. The relevant sections are, moreover, the least satisfactory. These, however, might have been ignored, and the work as a whole might have been spoken of with cordial appreciation, if it had been styled, for instance, Miscellanea suggested by Post-Biblical Hebrew, Arabic, and other Oriental Literature. It contains much curious information from recondite sources, and illustrates the wide range of the author's linguistic studies; but it cannot be accepted as a serious contribution to the Higher Criticism of the Old Testament.

The title Lines of Defence of the Biblical Revelation is unfortunate, too, for other reasons. It is really a treatise in support of particular theories as to the Old Testament held by Professor D. S. Margoliouth, viz., that Job is a translation from the Arabic; that "the Salomonic age" is "the most likely period for the compilation of the book" [the Psalter]; that the whole of our Book of Isaiah was composed by that prophet; that the apocryphal Wisdom of Solomon was written in Hebrew by Solomon, that it was used by Isaiah, and can be quoted to show that Solomon was acquainted with the Pentateuch; etc., etc. Our author very naturally thinks that his special theories afford the best defence of Revelation. Those who differ from him are equally convinced that some of the views he attacks afford the only permanent defence; and that the critical methods and results of this book would in the long run be fatal to the influence of the Bible. We are afraid that the title of this book might be paralleled from those of Christian controversialists of all ages and schools, and we do not know that it furnishes any ground of complaint against the author. But to style a book a Defence of Revelation conveys the ugly suggestion that those whose views it combats are avowed and deliberate enemies of the Faith. We are sure that this was not Professor Margoliouth's intention, but we are afraid that the title he has chosen will be so understood by many, whose only knowledge of the book will be that a great Oriental scholar has written a Defence of Revelation against Professor Driver and his allies. With regard to the Higher Criticism in the book, we can only say three things:—

First, special importance will be claimed for this work, on the ground of the author's varied and thorough acquaintance with Arabic and some other Oriental languages. But other equally gifted Oriental linguists, e.g., Wellhausen, find in their knowledge of Arabic literature, etc., a confirmation of the views which our author attacks. Moreover the gift of acquiring languages and the critical faculty are entirely distinct, and the possession of the one does not necessarily imply the possession of the other. Indeed, this book suggests that Professor D. S. Margoliouth's time and interest have been so absorbed in his manifold linguistic studies that he has not had time to duly develop his critical faculty, or even to master the main outlines of the criticism which he attacks.

Secondly, his views as to the Wisdom of Solomon seem a reductio ad absurdum of his whole argument.

Thirdly, as has been already pointed out in the Critical Review, our author's article on the "Language of the Old Testament" in Dr. Hastings' Dictionary of the Bible states that there are cogent reasons for assigning Deuteronomy to the period of Jeremiah and Ezekiel; and that there is probably no verse in the Old Testament "earlier than 1,100 or later than 100 B.C."—a statement that implies that no portion of the Pentateuch was written by Moses. The present work must be read in the light of these statements; and its author cannot be claimed as a champion of traditional criticism.

W. H. BENNETT.

Kant's Cosmogony, as in his Essay on the Retardation of the Rotation of the Earth, and his Natural History and Theory of the Heavens.

With Introduction, Appendix, and a Portrait of Thomas Wright of Durham. Edited and translated by W. Hastie, D.D., Professor of Divinity, University of Glasgow. Crown 8vo, pp. xcviii. + 205. Price 7s. 6d. net.

Knowledge, Belief and Certitude: an Inquiry with conclusions.

By Frederic Storrs Turner, B.A., London. London: Swan Sonnenschein & Co., Limited. 8vo, pp. viii. + 484. Price 7s. 6d. net.

STUDENTS of Kant are greatly indebted to Dr. Hastie for this translation and edition of Kant's Cosmogony. a valuable work from every point of view. It needed a man of wide and varied gifts to undertake such a task, and to carry it through successfully. Dr. Hastie was the very man for the task. It needed a man acquainted with cosmogonical speculation and with the mathematical and physical knowledge which must lie at the basis of any probable and profitable cosmogonical speculation, and Dr. Hastie has the requisite knowledge. At all events he can read mathematical and physical books with intelligence, and speak of their contents with accuracy, and so much cannot be said of every one who has written on these topics. Then there are few men who have read so widely as he in the history of human thought; or who know so well the succession of systems of philosophy, and their relations to one another. He has, in particular, been a diligent student of Kant, and,

indeed, has introduced to the English reader Kant's Philosophy of Law and his Principles of Ethics, with appropriate and useful introductions. So he is particularly well qualified for this arduous task.

It is a useful task to give the English reader a translation of Kant's more scientific works in good and readable English, and to add to these works the valuable material contained in the appendices. These are Dieterich's Summary of Kant's Theory of the Heavens, the Hamburg account of the theory of Thomas Wright of Durham, and Professor De Morgan's account of the speculations of Thomas Wright of Durham. In fact Dr. Hastie has spared no labour in order to make this edition complete and serviceable to the student. greatest debt we owe him is in connection with the preparation of the elaborate introduction, which is of the highest value, and which enables us to understand the meaning, scope, and historical place of Kant's work. All the relevant topics are discussed with a wealth of learning and with an insight into all the problems raised in the discussion which are most admirable.

Some account of the introduction and of the topics discussed in it we shall now give. The first topic discussed in this introduction is the relation of Kant's science to his philosophy, and the outcome of the discussion is this that "the philosophical watchword of the realistic spirit of the time must be enlarged and defined anew, so as to embrace a return to Kant in his primary scientific work, and to his original scientific creation". But did not Kant himself outgrow his earlier attainment, and is not his philosophical position inconsistent with the result of his earlier scientific work? Dr. Hastie asks the question and proceeds to answer it. We allow him to give the answer:—

The very first problem he raises in the Critique and deals with as cardinal to the whole question of the origin and limits of human knowledge, is that of the nature of mathematical science and its fundamental generating intuitions, Space and Time. His criticism of the operations of the understanding is all directed towards re-establishing the validity of the idea of physical causation, and the reliability of empirical knowledge

and natural science in opposition to the scepticism of Hume. And when he comes to deal with Pure Reason itself, in its highest struggles and efforts to reach supersensible knowledge of the world, of the spiritual being of man, and of God, he clips the wings of all airy speculation in this sphere, by uncompromising reference to the world of sensible reality; and he tries to demonstrate the impossibility of speculative knowledge of these supreme non-sensible objects, just by showing that the methods and laws which are valid for empirical science cannot be scientifically applied to them. He is thus scientific all through, according to the conception of science worked out in the Baconian school; and the results of his earliest and latest speculations, notwithstanding their apparent contradiction, are, when rightly interpreted, in entire harmony with each other.

At first sight it needs a good deal of interpretation to make Kant's metaphysics agree with his physics, just as it needs also a good deal to make his metaphysics agree with his ethics. But on this we have not time to dwell.

Of great interest is the section on the scientific return to Kant. It is a brief and lucid description of the process by which scientific men came to understand and appreciate the work of Kant in this department. The names, significant in this reference, are M. Arago, Alexander Von Humboldt, Strüve, Helmholtz, Zöllner, Reuschle, Ueberweg, and others. Dr. Hastie refers to Huxley, Lord Kelvin and Professor Tait as men who have appreciated and understood the work of Kant. Exact references to the writings of all these men are given, and the tracing of this history must have cost Dr. Hastie a great amount of labour.

Kant's scientific environment and antecedents is the theme of the next section. Here, too, there is a great deal of research. It is lucidly shown how his scientific environment and antecedents conditioned the work of Kant. Perhaps the main outcome of this study is the fact that Kant was a student of Newton and an enthusiastic admirer of Newton's natural philosophy. From this source came all his mathematical and physical work. It was, also, a busy and active time in mathematical work, and the influence of this activity on Kant is well described by Dr. Hastie. This leads us on to a description of one of Kant's discoveries, to wit, the

discovery of the retardation of the rotation of the earth. This was a real discovery, the full significance of which was not appreciated till our own day. Lord Kelvin's account of the value of Kant's discovery is quoted by Dr. Hastie.

We now come to the account of Kant's natural history and theory of the heavens. A full account is given of its publication, of its various editions, authorised and unauthorised, and of the reception it met with, and then follows an account of his cosmogony in its historical relations. Ancient cosmogonies are reviewed; Kant and Descartes are compared; Kant and Newton in the historical relation of the former to the latter are described; and the suggestive influence of Wright of Durham over Kant is set forth. But the most important part of this section is the comparison between Kant and Laplace. The theory of Laplace is sketched with accuracy, and a comparison of the two theories leads to the conclusion that the theory of Kant is more probable and less objectionable. "The two theories are thus contrasted by A. J. von Oettingen, who brings out a profound difference between them: Kant starts from the primitive nebula in the universe, Laplace from the nebular disc of our solar system already in rotation. Kant makes suns and planets arise out of certain regions of space through gravitation; Laplace makes masses and rings detach themselves from the central body, through centrifugal force. Only in the case of Saturn does Kant make rings arise from the central body 'through evaporation' in which case the vapours retain their tangential swing. Otherwise, he assigns to every celestial body a certain zone of the vaporous space, out of which the matter is condensed into it. It is otherwise with Laplace, who starts from the contraction of the central body, with which its rotation must increase until the centrifugal force has become equal to the centripetal force, when with further contraction a ring shall then be detached; and this process is repeated several times. We hear often, perhaps from convenience, of a Kant-Laplace cosmogony; but the difference between them is sufficiently great to keep the views distinct and separate."

Dr. Hastie summarises with great felicity the objections against the theory of Laplace, and with equal felicity seeks to obviate the objections which have or may be brought against the theory of Kant. We cannot criticise these here. Only this shall we say, that we greatly doubt the competency of physics and physical methods to help us to conceive the making of a world. The making of a world is a concrete process; mathematics and physics lead us hopelessly away from concrete reality. A mechanical explanation leaves much unexplained, and the theories of Kant and of Laplace agree in being wholly mechanical, and any real description of the making of a world must include the thermal, chemical, electrical, magnetic and other processes, which certainly have had a place in world-making. There are other difficulties which might be mentioned had we time.

We have not left ourselves any space to speak of the contribution to theistic thought made by Dr. Hastie in this introduction. But we make room for one quotation:—

When on the latest stage of his thought, Kant had put forth all his power to establish the eternal basis of the spiritual life of man, his mind seems to revert to the glory of his early vision, and he combines it with his moral conception in that well-known burst of high philosophic rapture; "two things there are, which, the oftener and more steadfastly we contemplate them, fill the mind with an ever new, and ever rising admiration and reverence; the Starry Heaven above, the Moral Law within". And both are God's, of Whom, and to Whom and through Whom are all things, who is over all, God blessed for ever. This is cosmic theism, the only true basis of the reconciliation of Science and Religion. The principle of their final harmony is already found here in Kant. Religion and Science are ultimately one; for the first word of Religion is the last word of Science.

We took up Mr. Turner's book with no great expectation. For one thing we had never heard of the author's name till we read it on the title page. We did not know of his ability to treat a subject so deep and complex as that of knowledge, belief and certitude. We knew a little of how these topics had been treated by the great thinkers, and of the conclusions to which they had come. What could be said now on these

topics? We had not read very far when we found ourselves under the guidance of a real thinker, who could strip off the accumulated traditional covering which seems to hide from most people the real nature of almost every problem of knowledge. We found ourselves in the company of a man who knew the best that had been said on every philosophical topic, and yet could pierce to the reality beneath. We found, also, a man who could think clearly and write lucidly, who was a master of orderly and consecutive statement. We yielded to his guiding influence, and followed on till the end. We have seldom read a more interesting or a more instructive book. True we were sometimes in a state of dissent, and sometimes we hesitated to follow, but we were always interested and instructed.

We cannot tell how much we have found in the book. Nor can we deal adequately with his great argument. Not a section of the book but will bear the closest scrutiny and repay the deepest study. Whether he is dealing with the nature and grounds of knowledge, or with the character of science, or with the work and claim of psychology, or with the larger claim and higher pretentions of philosophy, he is always luminous, well-informed and instructive. We have in fact enumerated, in the foregoing sentence, the subjects of his inquiry in the first part of his book. The general title of the first part is "Abstract Knowledge," and the title of the second part is "Real Knowledge". Starting with the question. What is Knowledge? he is led to a study of consciousness and of its implications. He finds three states of consciousness as the result of a preliminary survey, and he inquires as to their nature and their relations to one another, and whether there is a higher unity to which they are related, and of which they form a part. These are knowledge, belief and certitude. As the outcome of the preliminary survey we have the following: "So far as we have been able to discern, these three words, knowledge, belief, certitude, do not mean three wholly distinct qualities or states of mind; but at the most, somewhat varying qualities which always exist together, though in varying proportions,

in one complex mental state, which is now called by one of these names, now by another. Knowledge is not wholly separable from belief, nor belief from knowledge. Certitude at first is all one with the actual knowledge or belief, and is not noticed as a quality until its opposite, incertitude, has intruded into consciousness; after which, by contrast, certitude is positively felt " (p. 38).

The results of this preliminary survey have, however, to be justified by a detailed examination of the facts. He finds on examination, that he is face to face with three certitudes, which he cannot doubt. These are the self, other selves, and the external world; and that these three exist in unity and mutual dependence in the mind. They are, also the underlying foundation of all knowledge. We state his conclusion, we cannot criticise it here, but both the conclusion and the means by which it is reached deserve the closest study.

From these certitudes and their relation to knowledge he passes on to look at science, and to ask what light is cast by science on the process of knowing. Science itself, of course, neglects the knower, it concerns itself with the known. He passes in review, mathematical science, the sciences of inorganic matter, biology, the mental sciences, logic, ethics, science in general with a view to discover what they have to say with regard to the process of knowing. At the close of this investigation he sums up: "The investigation may impress us with a sense of the incompleteness of our knowledge, and of its inadequacy to stand for the infinite reality; it may in the end modify our view of knowledge, and possibly lead to a new definition; but the knowledge itself will remain as true and as certain as ever it was" (p. 173). "In the case of the mathematical, physical and biological sciences we hold ourselves exempt from any special criticism; because we could with full confidence accept all the certain results of these sciences" (p. 176). But what are the nature and grounds of our true knowledge? He turns for an answer to psychology, the science of mind, and subjects it to a more lengthened investigation. Here he asks, What is Psychology? deals with psychological analysis, with physiological psychology, and gives special attention to the psychology of Locke, and of Wundt. The conclusion is that as regards the special problem the author has in hand psychology gives no help towards its solution. "Inasmuch as knowing is one of the chief functions of the human mind, we might have expected to find the problem of knowledge fully discussed in every possible light in psychological text-books. In fact, our special inquiry is hardly so much as mentioned. If it is mentioned, the psychologist generally hands it over to the philosopher as a subject-matter belonging to his province" (p. 187).

What then has philosophy to say on the matter? the next theme, and in the discussion of it the author passes in review the diverse philosophies, sceptical philosophy, dogmatic philosophy and dogmatic philosophers, logic as philosophy, appearance and reality, scientific concepts in philosophy, and finally sums up the results of his investigation: "We have seen one or other of these certitudes (self, other selves, and the external world) challenged—by idealism, by materialism-by Hume, Bradley, etc., and this refusal to acknowledge the certitudes contrasts with philosophy's readiness to accept the presuppositions of science. Nevertheless the disquiet which this observation might occasion us is removed when we perceive its grounds. We can see that the unwillingness or inability to accept the fundamental certitudes and the readiness to adopt scientific concepts both have their origin in the abstract intellectual character of philosophy. The three certitudes are concrete feelings and convictions; they belong to the Real which philosophy wishes to understand, and its inability to receive them unexplained into its system arises out of that abstractness which is the inherent defect of speculative philosophy. Notwithstanding this reluctance to adopt the certitudes, we observe that philosophers are still dependent upon them. They belong to the reality, apart from which the philosopher has no object of thought. He himself, although he tries to lose his personality in the artificial conception of an imaginary abstract spectator, is the real spectator of the great panorama of the world spread out

before his gaze. He is the reality behind the abstract Ego of Kant. He is the mind which has the idea of Hegel; and which is under the law of contradiction, taken by Bradley as the basis of absolute knowledge. And the 'other selves' are the realities which impose upon all the necessity of seeking universal assent to their theories. Nor is what has been called the external world, the world of real things, less indispensable to the philosopher; for this is the objective reality without which the minds would have no other objects save their own thoughts, if they would have even these. Appearances they may be, but they are appearances of the reality; and no philosopher can impugn their reality with-

out committing suicide" (pp. 349-350).

The conclusion of this investigation is that abstract knowledge is not real knowledge. What is real knowledge? It is connected with the science of ends. It is teleological. have left ourselves no space to unfold this argument. he sets forth the science of ends, describes action for an end. deals with human causality, with pleasure and happiness, with knowledge and art as ends, with duty and necessity, and religion; and he contends that in the kingdom of ends men are in contact with concrete reality. Finally he sets forth the conclusions to which he has been led, and vindicates the fundamental certitudes, and the certainty and validity of belief and knowledge. "Most important is it, for the understanding of belief and knowledge, as they really are, to grasp firmly the fact that they are names of a unity; the one living, thinking, feeling, mind apprehending and responding to the reality in which it lives. Consciousness, belief, knowledge—the three words all mean our awareness of the real; the one mind often, nearly always, being all three at once. We perceive, believe, and know as a unity. Consciousness may exist in connection with doubt. In developed man, however, consciousness is normally belief in the feeling or fact; and in most cases this belief is also to some extent knowledge" (pp. 468-9). It is worth while reading the book to find the unity of the mind insisted on with such emphasis; to have it brought home to us that we are not

intellect, or feeling, or action, but that we are living, feeling, thinking beings. It is also well to be reminded that, while the sciences are many, nature is one, and that our abstract ideas are neither adequate representatives, nor competent judges of nature, and have themselves always to be subject to the criticism of reality upon them. We had some criticisms to make on the book, on its method and procedure, and on some of its results, but we have said all that is possible in the meantime.

JAMES IVERACH.

The Life, Unpublished Letters, and Philosophical Regimen of Anthony, Earl of Shaftesbury, Author of the "Characteristics".

Edited by Benjamin Rand, Ph.D., Harvard University. London: Swan Sonnenschein & Co., Lim., 1900. 8vo, pp. xxxi. 535. Price 15s.

THE third Earl of Shaftesbury was one of the most influential writers of his time. His Inquiry marked a new departure in English Ethics, and most of the writers that immediately follow-Butler as much as any-can scarce be understood apart from him. Yet among ourselves he has hardly had justice. His evident want of interest in orthodox Christianity alienated the religious world, and later writers who would have been inclined rather to praise him for this have been repelled by what they fancied his too easy optimism and his light and at times almost flippant style. Dr. Rand's book will help to set him in his true place. It may be doubted whether it adds anything positively new to our knowledge of Shaftesbury's philosophy, but for all that it does him invaluable service, and that in many ways. There are two methods, both needful, by which we may estimate the work of a great writer. We may look to what went before and what came after, regard him as a link in a chain, ask how far he adopted the views of his predecessors, how far he sought to refute them, what effect he had on those who followed him. The other method seeks to go behind the writer's text, to discover its sources, to connect it with the character and training of the thinker, to make plain the real burden of his message and its permanent value. is here that Dr. Rand helps us. He brings us nearer to Shaftesbury, and he does it by putting him farther away.

We read through the letters and we begin to feel from touch after touch how far away that early eighteenth century is But just as it becomes obviously different from our own time it becomes more real, and the man who lived in it comes to share its reality. He too worked and thought and had a right to his opinion. And then as we read on we are the less inclined to reproach him for his optimism. means scarce adequate to his high place, disappointed in many of his political schemes, deserted by associates on whom he had relied, thwarted in his ambitions, chained to a body wasted and torn by disease, he might well have been excused if he had taken the blackest views of life. Thinking of all this, we find our sympathy grow. read the Journal, not meant for other eyes, and we are carried away back to Epictetus and Marcus Aurelius. There is little, if anything, here that we have not already found in them, but this man speaks their language more naturally, more heartily, than he did that of his own time. Here is a manlier strain than that in the Characteristics, here are confessions of the truth to him most helpful and dear which he never could have made to that light-hearted world amid which he lived. Reading the Characteristics again in the light of this we see that the affinities with Butler are closer than commonly supposed, we note much that in the mere light of contemporary thought we should hardly have per-The emphasis is changed. We think less of the controversy in which the writer played his part and more of the old abiding notes which he struck with unfaltering hand. If we read the Journal in the light of later ethical thought, we are interested to find Shaftesbury himself developing certain ideas little more than germinal in the Characteristics on lines very similar to those taken by Butler in his doctrine of the authority of conscience and Adam Smith in his conception of the invisible and impartial spectator. Perhaps all three writers more or less consciously reproduced certain ideas of Epictetus and Marcus Aurelius. Studied for its own sake the Journal gives us a most interesting picture of a genuine though imperfect religious type. Here is a faith that declines to rest on history or tradition or the witness of man, that will repose only on the reason of things, and yet willingly accepts everything, even wickedness and death, as the good will of the Supreme. This resignation alone brings happiness, and it is so complete that it is ready to forego even personal immortality if that should be best in God's sight. To all interested in human nature and religion Dr. Rand's book may be cordially recommended, and students of the ethics or the theology of the period will find it indispensable. A typical quotation from one of the letters may fittingly close this brief notice. "For our part, let us make the most of life and least of death. The certain way for this being (as I conceive) to do the most good, and that the most freely and generously, throwing aside selfishness, mercenariness, and such servile thoughts as unfit us even for this world, and much more for a better. This is my best advice; and what I leave with you, as that which I have lived and shall die by. Let every one answer for their own experience, and speak of happiness and good as they find it. Thank heaven, I can do good and find heaven in it. I know nothing else that is heavenly. And if this disposition fits me not for heaven, I desire never to be fitted for it, nor come into the place. I ask no reward from heaven for that which is reward itself. Let my being be continued or discontinued, as in the main is best. The Author of it best knows, and I trust Him with it. To me it is indifferent, and always shall be so. I have never yet served God or man, but as I loved and liked, having been true to my own and family motto, which is-' Love, Serve'."

WILLIAM JOHNSTON.

Christ, The Truth.

An Essay towards the Organization of Christian Thinking. Eight Lectures delivered in 1900, at Regent's Park College, London, by Rev. William Medley, M.A., of Rawdon College. London: Macmillan & Co. 8vo, pp. xvi. + 288. Price 6s.

William Herschel and His Work.

By James Sime, M.A., F.R.S.E. Edinburgh: T. & T. Clark, 1900. 8vo, pp. vi. + 265. Price 3s.

This is the third series of Lectures under the Trust founded in honour of the venerable Dr. Angus. Dr. Angus himself was the first Lecturer, and chose a theological subject, "Regeneration". Dr. S. G. Green succeeded him, and chose an historical subject, "The Creeds of Christendom". Professor Medley, who desires to systematize all learning, and to prevent all clashing between the spiritual and intellectual spheres, chooses a philosophical subject, "Christ, The Truth," and endeavours to prove that in Christ all the treasures of wisdom and knowledge are hidden.

These Lectures are not primarily intended for the student or expert. They are intended for thoughtful men whose hold upon the Christian Faith is vital and secure, but who are conscious that their intellectual life and their spiritual life are at seeming variance. At present, many are conscious of an uneasy feeling of cleavage between the intellectual and the spiritual. They have Faith, and they have knowledge, but each is confined in a watertight compartment. Their intellectual and spiritual lives have been kept separate. Nothing has been done to organize their thinking into a coherent whole. Such persons Mr. Medley seeks to aid, by bringing their scattered thoughts and ideas into some

kind of co-ordinated relationship, and above all by relating them finally to Jesus Christ the Supreme Truth. He seeks to bring the outlying, and sometimes alien or revolted provinces of the intellectual and emotional life, into organic relation with the metropolis of the Soul. This is done in a singularly lucid and forcible fashion. The style is a model of clear writing. Every step of the argument is easy to follow, and the skill shown in seizing the vital points makes the book a valuable contribution to the subject. A perusal will richly repay even the student or expert.

The author begins with the most outlying regions of intellectual interest, and works inward to those at the centre. The idea of our own Personality is the beginning of all knowledge. Belief thus arises, and manifests itself in Creed and Action. A Creed is simply Belief intellectualised. Action is the natural expression in conduct of what the Belief is. Belief, Creed, and Action cannot be separated: they must be brought into accord with Reality. But here lies the crux. When language attempts to set forth the profoundest Realities of Life, e.g., Love, the intellect is baffled and takes refuge in Paradox and Figure. ingly we have first to gain sufficient insight into the true nature and function of a man's mental and spiritual activity, and to use the methods applicable to each, and then we can assign to each its due place in the total harmonious development of a human life, till all our thinking is brought into a Unity corresponding to the Unity of the Thinker.

With this in view, Mr. Medley begins with Logic. He shows that Logic gives no guarantee for Truth, but only for validity of inference. Every reasoned conclusion ultimately rests on what has been ascertained some other way. The Law of Identity is the basis of all logical inference. So long as one deals with fixed and abstract conceptions, like Pure Mathematics, Logic is infallible. But when Logic deals with things in a constant state of flux and change, it is far otherwise. What was once thought primary is proved to be secondary and derivative. Definitions lose sharpness of outline, and fringe off into descriptions. Over terms and

statements a certain indefiniteness comes which would not be tolerated in dealing with Logical or Mathematical abstractions. So, as Life is ever in flux, and as the Law of Identity is ever being violated, the conclusions reached by Logic have only a hypothetical validity. Logic guarantees accuracy in reasoning: it discovers nothing.

Science is next dealt with. Science holds that the world is a Cosmos, and that there is a Uniformity of Process in all Nature. Scientific men labour to prove this Unity, but scientific explanations can never explain Religion. For the questions which Religion and Science answer are fundamentally different. Religion asks three questions: (1) What is the Origin of all? (2) What is the End of all? (3) What is the Force which impels all? Science can deal with none of those. Its function is merely to observe the Course. Order and Sequence of Nature. It cannot deal with origins at least scientifically it cannot. Till Order has begun and continued, and till there are scientific men to study it, Science is non-existent. Nor can Science pronounce as to the End whither all is tending. The most that it can do is to infer from study of the past, what the most likely direction may be, but that is all. And scientific men frankly confess that the nature of the One Cosmic Force. which unifies and impels all the other forces, is altogether inscrutable to scientific research. So from Logic and Science the author turns to Philosophy.

We philosophize because we must. We cannot but speculate on what we see. As Sir William Hamilton says, "the same necessity which compels us to think at all urges us to think-on, and to try and get our thoughts into unity and order". From the beginning of philosophy, the intellect has striven to discover an underlying Unity in the Plurality of Things and Thoughts. Thales boldly proclaimed that "all things are water". The Pythagoreans advanced from Substance and laid stress upon Form. Plato spoke of an Absolute Existence: that is, a Unity as against all Plurality; something Eternal and Immutable as opposed to all that is Temporal and Changeable. And what is this but that of

which Paul tells, when he speaks of looking "not at the things which are seen, but at the things which are not seen; for the things which are seen are temporal, but the things which are not seen are eternal"?

But Philosophy, no less than Logic and Science, has necessary limitations. It deals indeed with the totality of things, but what human mind can embrace that? It is plain that we can deal merely with such sections and aspects as can be apprehended. This is what Newman meant by "relegation to an aspect". And from simple sensational experience little can be got. Even skilled analysts can tell but little from examining the germ. Growth in a suitable environment shows the inherent potentialities of the germ in a way that analysis never can. So those who keep to the germ, and who pore over life's beginnings, and who analyse them, and draw inferences from them, are like men who sample a pear-tree by a slice from its roots; because the root was first, and without it the tree could not be. Similarly some study undeveloped or deteriorated humanity, for an interpretation of the true nature of the civilized man who walks before them in the wisdom, virtue and holiness of a child of God.

Leaving the Philosophical, the argument advances to the This goes beyond the merely Speculative or Ethical. Aesthetic. The Poet descends—seeking to express in various forms his perfect idea of the Beautiful Universal. Philosopher, on the contrary, ascends-moving ever away from the Particulars, and seeking Universality and Unity. Now Ethics are simply the most precious materials in which Ideas find realization. Ethics claim the Will. The instincts. impulses, passions, activities, all go to form that most beautiful of all achievements—the perfectly developed Will. In all Ethical Living there are two focal points. One is the need of a Just Moral Ideal. The other is to know the grounds of that peculiar Authority which is the constitutive Principle in Life and Duty. Moral Life begins when a man discerns in the outlook of Life a Better than the Present, and a Better that claims the Will. Hence comes the idea of Duty, and the claims of Conscience. And once Duty appears clear, and stands approved as such, these claims of Conscience are supreme. This is the Categorical Imperative. In every age, and in all literature, its voice is heard sounding. Amid many perversions of application, and many hardenings of the will, the unique august quality of this Absolute Claim has attracted the keenest interest of the reflective portion of man. And since the Categorical Imperative is an Absolute Claim, and implies a height above us as its source which must be Infinite, we are moved to ask who then speaks in it? The answer given is that it is Christ, The Truth.

This identification is followed by an impressive proof that Christianity elevates the human spirit into fellowship with the Divine: that it confers on men Life which is Life indeed: that it induces trust in a Person: and that Personal Truth is the Highest form of Reality: and that all this is found in Him who said "I am the Truth". We thus see that Logic, Science, Philosophy and Ethics unite with Christianity to lead men to Him who is the Supreme Truth. Logic leads us to reason correctly about God and His Works: Science traces out God's Thoughts and Works in Nature: Philosophy brings us to a Unity where the mind may rest; and Ethics exhibit Truth and Beauty meeting in what is higher than themselves. So instead of regarding these intellectual provinces as alien to Religion, or opposed to it, we should welcome them as allies. For at last they lead us to a Supreme and Central Person, who is the Highest and yet the Nearest: the Holiest and yet the One who loves us best.

In succeeding editions the quotation from Browning (p. 15) should be corrected. There are several alterations in it which are not improvements.

William Herschel and his Work makes another volume of "The World's Epoch-Makers". Some might be disposed to question if Herschel, with all his talents, justly comes under this category, but if it be granted that he does, nothing

remains but to praise this full and accurate account of his life and work. We have no work in the country which supplies what this volume gives in full. For Mr. Sime is not content with barely relating the details of Herschel's life and discoveries. He has added an interesting account also of Herschel's hardly less famous sister, Caroline, and has sketched with vivid accuracy the life and thought of the period as it was in fashionable Bath, in the royal palace, and in scientific circles. Few who read will forget the recital of sordid hardships endured by Herschel and his sister in their Hanoverian home, where their parents blindly sacrificed them both to advance unworthy members of the family. The story of Herschel's desertion from the beaten army of the Duke of Cumberland, and its detrimental effect on his after life, is set down without reserve. As far as can now be discovered, his curious wanderings for about ten years have been traced. One cannot but smile on reading that when Herschel found himself short of money in Italy, he gave an original kind of concert in which he played all at once on the harp, and on two horns fastened on his shoulders. In 1766 Herschel became an organist and musical conductor at Bath. One can only marvel at his industry. At times he gave as many as thirty-eight music lessons a week, discharged his public duties as conductor of the Bath concerts, toiled at making a telescope, and watched the heavens at night till his feet were frozen to the ground. How he overleaped every difficulty; how he discovered Uranus; how he was first pooh-poohed; how he amazed the Royal Society with his astronomical discoveries; and how he forced his way to recognition, wealth and knighthood are all related here. The only suggestion to be made is that the author should select either the form "hautboy" or "oboe". To find both on neighbouring pages is irritating. But with this trifling exception, the book is certainly worthy of ranking with any of the preceding volumes in this excellent series. Although it deals largely with astronomical matters, and might easily have been made exceedingly dull, there is not an unreadable page in the book.

JOSEPH TRAILL.

The History of the Book of Common Prayer.

By the Rev. Leighton Pullan (The Oxford Library of Practical Theology). London: Longmans, 1900. Cr. 8vo, pp. xviii. + 328. Price 5s.

THE Book of Common Prayer is a valuable monument of the English language at its most plastic moment, a priceless heritage of English piety in its most truly Catholic period; but to the systematic thinker of any school it is a perpetual provocation, to the extreme men of either party a hopeless crux. In truth, it owes no little part of its popularity and its power with the English mind just to this eminently English characteristic that it is so indifferent to system. It lends itself to many uses but stubbornly resists analysis. It has twined itself about the edifice of English society and become one of the great bonds of nationality, but it proves itself in the best sense "Catholic" in the failure of every attempt to make it the expression of any sectarian creed. There is, there must be, some living thread on which this great collection of devotional gems is strung, but it is religious not theological in its nature. Take the book as you find it, use it as you profit by it, and there are few which would be more greatly missed. But try to show any principle in its compilation, to exhibit it as a unity or even a harmony of doctrine, to claim its support for this school or for that, and you only court ignominious failure.

Mr. Pullan has met with no better success than many of his predecessors, rather with less in proportion as he approaches his task with a predisposition to make the book speak a particular shibboleth. His purpose is plain from the outset in the manner in which he arranges his material. He devotes his two opening chapters to "The Eucharist before the coming of St. Augustine," and "The Eucharist from St.

124

Augustine to the Reformation"; and the space and attention he assigns to this subject are out of all proportion to what he allows for those characteristically English "offices," Morning and Evening Prayer and the Litany. It is a yet more serious defect in a "History of the Book of Common Prayer," that it passes over some very important sections of the book altogether. The Commination Service and Form of Prayer for use at Sea have each their allotted pages; but neither the Preface of Bishop Robert Sanderson (1661) nor the Preface to the book of 1549, probably written by Cranmer, and retained in 1661 under the title of the "Services of the Church," nor yet the very important declaration "of Ceremonies" is discussed at all. The Thirty-nine Articles are not even mentioned. In fact, these which may be called the interpretative sections of the Prayer Book are studiously ignored. We look in vain for any proper account of the formation of the collection of "Collects, Epistles and Gospels," or of the sources from which the Collects are drawn. obligation to discuss these matters is acknowledged, but surely it is not met by a reference in the *Index* to another work, Dr. Bright's Commentary on the Prayer Book. Any one who will examine Bishop Dowden's recently-published work which he too modestly entitles The Workmanship of the Prayer Book, will see how, within the same space, it is possible to treat the subject with at least tolerable completeness, and will also understand how essential to a just view of the whole are the very sections which Mr. Pullan leaves out.

The explanation of these deficiences and of this curious disproportion in treatment is found in the fact that the first third of the volume consists not so much of a history of the Prayer Book as of a plea or argument from mediæval history in favour of a certain view of the Lord's Supper, the view, namely, that it is a propitiatory sacrifice. Mr. Pullan would fain persuade his readers that this is the keynote of both the primitive Christian cultus and of the English Liturgy. And of course he has behind him a considerable mass of vague and uninstructed opinion. He has against him the whole evidence of the first two centuries, the known

opinions of the authors of the Prayer Book, and the genius of that book as a whole.

It would not be unfair to say that this is the purpose of the book. And yet so far is Mr. Pullan's opinion from gathering authority through closer investigation, every new working-over of the primitive Christian documents reveals more clearly the weakness of its support. The mediæval doctrine of propitiatory sacrifice in the Eucharist can be traced back step by step, one accretion after another being stripped off, until not earlier than the third century its origin is found in a perversion of the New Testament and primitive doctrine that God requires and accepts of men the sacrifice of praise, of thanksgiving, of themselves. It cannot be too often repeated that as regards the early Church every reference to sacrifice in connection with Christian worship which falls within the first two centuries can be simply and sufficiently explained in one of two ways. It is either a reference to the spiritual surrender of the worshipper or of the Church, expressing itself in praise, prayer or adoration; or it refers to that offering (oblation or sacrifice) of material gifts (corn, bread, wine or oil) by which the inward surrender was symbolised. It would not be difficult to show this to any careful and unprejudiced student by an examination of Mr. Pullan's book alone, from the evidence he adduces (which may be presumed to be the best at his command), the admissions that he makes, and the criticism he suggests of the Roman Canon of the Mass.

The Didaché is so far from lending countenance to the theory of Eucharistic Sacrifice that it rather excludes it, describing the Eucharist as still part of a common meal by which not only spiritual but physical hunger was satisfied, and in the sacramental prayers emphasising, to the practical exclusion of any other aspect, the mutual communion of the Church gathered together in Christ. In Justin Martyr we find indications of the rising value placed upon the sacrament, the increasing reverence in which it was held. But these do not prove the existence of the sacrificial idea at this date; they only display the nidus in which that idea took root and

flourished. The Canons of Hippolytus belong of course to a much later period, and we find in them, as we should expect, a yet greater elaboration of ritual; nevertheless, even here as late as the third century the same word is used throughout for the "elements" and for the gifts of the people. purport of the evidence in every passage becomes entirely different according as we translate "oblation of the elements" or "offering of the gifts," "Eucharist" or "thanksgiving". And that the simpler non-sacrificial rendering is the correct one at least up to A.D. 200 is shown, for example, by the provision in the Canons of Hippolytus of a form of thanksgiving (i.e., Eucharist) over gifts of oil as well as those of corn and wine. As Achelis has remarked concerning the Canons: "What is noteworthy is that these views do not rest on any theories of sacrifice and priesthood; as sacrifices are described, along with the Eucharist, the gifts of the congregation, the prayers of the bishop and the penance of the excommunicate". That is to say, the Canons of Hippolytus about the middle of the fourth century present another well marked stage in the development, when the Eucharist, in so far as it was reckoned a sacrifice at all, was only one of several equivalent forms. The further development can now be traced with great exactness by any one who takes the trouble to compare the successive revisions of these Canons as we have them in the Egyptian Book of Discipline (Ludolf and Lagarde) and in the still later Apostolic Constitutions.

This theory finds even less support from the recently-recovered Prayer Book of Bishop Sarapion to which Mr. Pullan attaches much importance. There all the sacrificial language occurs before the Invocation of the Spirit, before even the recital of the words of Institution. Let any one attentively consider the offertory prayer of Sarapion, "Fill also this sacrifice with Thy power and Thy participation; for to Thee have we offered this living sacrifice, this bloodless oblation," etc. What is the "living sacrifice"? Themselves. What is the "bloodless oblation?" The bread not yet "consecrated" for the purpose of communion. Even this fourth century document protests against the meaning Mr. Pullan would put

upon the Eucharist. And in much later documents there are curious survivals of this primitive conception; as in the Roman Mass itself and in the English Coronation Service. The Prayer Book for Scotland (1637) still directs that "the presbyter shall then" (i.e., after the offertory) "offer up and place the bread and wine prepared for the sacrament upon the table that it may be ready for the sacrament". And the same pregnant phrase was in Sancroft's original draft of the rubric of 1661.

Mr. Pullan sees clearly enough the liturgical flaw in the Roman Canon of the Mass, and insists very properly on the fact that in that service the actual consecration takes place not at the words of Institution, "Hoc est Corpus," but with the prayers which follow, at the point where the Greek Church and the primitive liturgies insert the Epiklesis. But he inevitably suggests a very awkward question. At what point in the Anglican service does the consecration take place? If at the words of Institution, by what right does he as a "Catholic" depart from "Catholic Custom?" If afterwards, where and how? The Roman prayers of consecration have disappeared from the English service. The invocation of the Holy Spirit, which by the undivided Church was regarded as essential. has disappeared. Bishop Scott of Chester had a good deal of reason for his remark that there was "no consecration at all" in the Prayer Book of 1556. Is there any consecration such as Mr. Pullan requires in the Prayer Book of

It is a question which is of no importance to a Protestant. But to Mr. Pullan and his school it is vital. For him the consecration is necessary to effect the profound and mysterious change which makes possible the "sacrifice". It is for this that he scouts the "Receptionist" view. "A man who holds the receptionist theory believes that the Presence of Christ is only to be found in the faithful communicant. Therefore, although he can believe that the faithful communicant pleads the merits of the Divine Victim, he cannot believe that the Body and Blood of Christ are offered under the form of bread and wine". This is sufficiently candid,

and really gives the key to the whole system, the necessity of having something to sacrifice.

We wish that Mr. Pullan and others would be, if not more candid, more exact in their use of the phrase, "Real Presence". They speak constantly of "the Real Presence in the Sacrament" when they mean the Real Presence in the elements. Yet as the water is not the Sacrament of Baptism, so neither are the bread and wine the Sacrament of Communion. The Real Presence of Christ in the Sacrament has, as Principal Cunningham says, "never been denied by any Protestant Church". The Real Presence in, sub or cum the elements has been rejected by every Protestant Church except the Lutheran. If Mr. Pullan would go through his book, substituting "elements" for "sacrament" in every case where that is what he means, he would find a good many things to correct and possibly some reason to reconsider his position.

We have dealt only with this, which Mr Pullan himself would acknowledge to be the central position of his book. We had marked several other things to comment upon, both errors and deficiencies. They are chiefly important as illustrating the peculiar nature of the knowledge which is cultivated by the writers of this and similar works. It is minute, sometimes extraordinarily minute within a certain limited radius, but beyond that it vanishes, and in place of the results of wide study we find mere echoes of obsolete text-books. Mr. Pullan is not aware of the rehabilitation of the Protector Somerset which is in process, if it has not been completed, by Mr. Hutton and Mr. Pollard. Otherwise he would know that it is no longer the fashion even for his own school to speak of Somerset with the contempt we find on pp. 81 ff. An uninformed reader would certainly gather that the theory known to Mr. Pullan as Receptionism had only such suspect names as those of Calvin and Bucer behind it (p. 90). He would receive a false impression of James I., who was either a convinced Protestant and Presbyterian while he was still in Scotland, or so double-minded that his attitude is of no importance on a moral and religious question.

That Melanchthon said, "he would rather die than agree with the Zwinglians" may be true, but should not be stated apart from the fact that before he died he came nearly if not quite to the point of agreement, and modified the Augsburg confession accordingly.

There is still room for a history of the Book of Common Prayer. But it must be written on a larger scale, with a truer sense of proportion and with a wider outlook on all the sources from which the materials have been gathered. But above all, the history for which we wait will be truly authoritative because not written in the interest of a party, but in that large spirit of comprehension and with that unbiassed pursuit of truth which we love to think characteristically English.

C. Anderson Scott.

Ethik.

Von D. W. Herrmann. Tübingen und Leipzig: J. C. B. Mohr; London and Edinburgh: Williams & Norgate, 1901. 8vo, pp. vii. + 200. Price 3s. 6d., cloth 4s. 6d.

ALTHOUGH this volume appears as one of a series of theological handbooks, yet it is not what one might expect a book so published to be. There is no trace of its having been made to order, or cut to pattern. It is a distinctively individual work, with all the personal characteristics of the author, which have made his other books and pamphlets so attractive and persuasive; and all who have already come under the spell of his personality will, therefore, be able to give this last offspring of his mind a hearty welcome. are to be found the same sincerity of tone and fervour of feeling, the same insistence on the testimony of experience in morality and religion, the same aversion to all that seems magical or mystical in the relation of God and the soul, the same practical tendency in dealing with all problems of thought, the same concentration of interest on the person of Christ, as he has led us to expect. There seems, however, to be some advance in a more conciliatory temper, and a more guarded mode of expression on matters of controversy, as for instance, in dealing with the double sense of reality and the authority of the Holy Scriptures. Where there is any change discoverable, it is in the way of improvement. The arrangement of the book in parts, sections, chapters and paragraphs, with appropriate titles, makes the study of it much easier than that of previous works, which suffered from a lack of such arrangement.

The first part of the book deals with morality generally, the second with Christian morality particularly in two stages —its origin and its development. In the first part life as con-

trolled by natural impulse is contrasted with life as regulated by moral consciousness. Hedonism and Utilitarianism are both rejected. Determinism and Indeterminism are both set aside. The testimony of experience to unconditional moral law and to moral freedom is accepted. All that is attempted here is to interrogate the individual consciousness, as it exists in a morally constituted society. In the trust which other persons evoke in the developing personality, the three moral ideas are gained, intrinsic worth, personal independence, and unconditional obligation. The unconditional moral law demands the denial of the natural impulse of selfassertion with a view to moral community with others. This demand itself proves that a man's action is not the necessary result of his past, but that there is in him a capacity of making a new beginning. To this conception of freedom the writer attaches a very characteristic idea, "the dualism of the moral consciousness". Our freedom reveals that there is another realm of reality than that with which science deals: but, as in every free act we, so to speak, realise the realm of freedom in the realm of necessity, we have a practical proof of the subordination of the latter to the former, although we can give no logical demonstration of their unity. The moral consciousness has a twofold issue. On the one hand it completes itself in the idea of God as the good which is the ultimate power in reality. On the other hand it results in a consciousness of guilt, as the moral demand, in spite of moral freedom, has been refused. This contradiction involves a personal misery, from which the Gospel alone can deliver. Thus the writer answers one of the questions with which he expressly sets himself to deal, to show what Christianity means for a man who treats his moral consciousness seriously and sincerely. The apologetic aim, to persuade men to be reconciled to God in Christ, which dominates previous works here also prevails.

The purpose of the second part is expressly stated. It is to prove that Christian morality is not externally derived and artificially attached to the Christian faith. It is neither deducible from human nature nor imposed by Divine commandment. It is the necessary expression and exercise of the Christian life. That life is not the result of mysterious Divine operations. In conscious faith Divine grace is revealed and realised. It is the historical person of Christ, in whom this Divine grace approaches us and proves a redeeming power. Our faith is not only a consciousness of forgiveness, our restoration to communion with God, it is also power to do the good, in the new relation to the world, the future, and ourselves in which we are then placed. In these two aspects faith is new birth. As a free act of our will this change is conversion. The moral law for the Christian, as Christ expounds it, is no code of precepts to be slavishly obeyed; it is a personal capacity to discriminate right and wrong. Thus in his obedience of faith to God in Christ, the Christian preserves and realises his freedom. This argument is one of great interest and value, as traditional evangelicalism has never in theory, although generally in practice, brought into vital unity faith and works. Even if every statement in the course of the discussion may not commend itself to our judgment, yet the intention as a whole must command our sympathy.

Under the heading of the development of the Christian moral life the practical application of these principles is given. This section of the book might, with very great advantage, have been very much fuller, as what the writer gives us is so good, that one lays down the volume with an appetite for more. Considerations of space cannot afford the explanation, as Kaftan's Dogmatik, which is a companion volume, is more than three times the length. We must look to the book One reason for the inadequate treatment of this section is given in the preface; and the other may be inferred from the author's conception of ethics. He modestly disclaims that wide knowledge of human life, which would qualify and entitle him to pronounce judgment on many of its moral problems. One cannot but feel that he has underrated his powers. He insists so strongly on the independence of the individual conscience, and its obligation to judge for itself, that one cannot resist the conclusion, that he has restrained the expression of his own judgment on moral questions lest he should appear to interfere with that independence and remove that obligation. Yet as there are many immature and perplexed consciences, it is both the duty and the right of those who have meditated on these problems, not to command, but to counsel. More of this author's counsel would have been welcome. Some questions too are raised, such as the right and duty of war, regarding which a fuller treatment seems desirable, if they are to be touched at all. This is the one regret which one feels regarding this book, that there is not more of it, and such a criticism implies a commendation.

ALFRED E. GARVIE.

David Friedrich Strauss.

Von Samuel Eck, Lic. der Theologie. Stuttgart, 1899: J. G. Cotta'sche Buchhandlung Nachfolger. 8vo, pp. viii. + 278. Price 4s. 6d.

It might perhaps be held, with some appearance of reason, that the time is past for books about Strauss, inasmuch as the famous heresiarch belonged to a day that is gone; but any study of a distinguished man is worth having which is executed with such competence and fidelity as Herr Eck has brought to his task. Besides, as he shrewdly reminds us, there are many questions raised by Strauss' Leben Jesu which cannot yet be regarded as finally solved, and seem likely to remain with us for many a day. Apart from the antecedent attraction of the subject, however, the present volume amply justifies its claim to exist by merits of its own, and, by confining itself to a narrower track, provides what will in many cases be found a useful substitute for the larger works of Zeller and Hausrath.

Eck, who is chiefly concerned with Strauss' attitude to religion and Christianity, divides his subject into four sections, centring respectively round the first Leben, the consequences of that work, the new interests and occupations which followed Strauss' retiral from theology, and his final return to theology, signalised as it was by the publication of the new Leben, and his last work, The Old Faith and the New. Throughout, by the skilful use made of Strauss' letters, we are enabled to view the development of his opinions and character from the inside. One of the most interesting and convincing parts of Chapter I. is that in which we are shown how, after beginning his studies for the Leben, Strauss came gradually to hold that the new speculative philosophy of the day had too hastily set itself to reaffirm

the old dogmas (though in a rarefied and Hegelian form), and concluded that the negative and critical work of the eighteenth century must be done over again. And yet Strauss transcended the eighteenth century position when he advanced from the purely naturalistic to the mythical standpoint, thus adopting principles of criticism which had already been applied, for example, by Niebuhr to the early history of Rome. Eck gives us an altogether admirable account of the master ideas of the first *Leben*, especially of its attempt to resolve the person of Christ into the spirit of humanity, and to educe solely from the Messianic conceptions of the time the beliefs held in the Church about Jesus. The fatal limitations of the Straussian hypothesis are brought out not so much by direct refutation, as by the silent juxtaposition of a saner and deeper view.

The appearance of the Leben was the beginning of many things. So loud an outcry arose that Strauss was removed from one professional office and debarred from another. It is not surprising, therefore, to find that in his Christliche Glaubenslehre an earlier spirit of reconciliation has given place to a more bitter and unaccommodating tone. Here Eck detects the influence of Feuerbach, though Strauss did not go all Feuerbach's length till considerably later. Still even now he would substitute earth for the kingdom of heaven, and the State for the Church. In the ideal secularisation of religion, art and science will alone remain as worthy forms of spiritual activity.

There is no time to linger over the changeful years that follow. In many respects this third section is the most successful in the book. Lack of a proper calling was the curse of Strauss' life. Eck follows the restless movements of a wayward genius with the most patient tolerance, and the composure of a discerning charity. He deals briefly but adequately with Strauss' short but unhappy married life, his curiously unsuccessful divergence into politics, his half-hearted excursions into the region of art, his numerous abortive literary schemes, and those brilliant biographies in which Wahrheit and Dichtung are so strangely mingled. Special attention is

paid to his life of Ulrich von Hutten, and here, in the view he takes of the Reformers and the Reformation it becomes clear that Strauss was in reality destitute of the sense for religion. Protestantism meant for him nothing but the ideals of humane learning and the political unity of the nation. It is impossible for us to forget this when weighing his verdict upon Christ and Christianity.

There still remain the new Leben and the "Old Faith". Eck adverts with just emphasis to the fact that this second Leben Jesu was written for the German people with a culpable and amazing neglect of the verified results of New Testament criticism. Strauss was now a popular writer, and looked with scorn upon the investigations of men like Holtzmann and Weizsäcker. His reverence for Christ, too, had sensibly But a deeper and more pathetic interest still attaches to his last work, The Old Faith and the New. Here we have his final conclusions upon human life. Science, he holds, has dealt roughly, but justly, with our old beliefs. We can no longer call ourselves Christians; the only religion left us is the "worship" of the Universe; the world is nothing but matter in motion. And though Strauss, faithful to an ideal strain that was in him to the last, contends for a true and genuine ethic, yet his conclusions display a melancholy incongruity with his materialistic premises. Eck makes the wise observation that the difficulty felt by Strauss in grasping the thought of duty theoretically arose from his failure to hold fast to it in practical life.

Our author has succeeded in drawing a picture of his famous subject which lives and remains in the mind by its intrinsic vigour and truth. He shows an evident reluctance to press too harshly personal considerations of a blameworthy kind, but withal there is an unwavering determination to expose the essential weaknesses of Strauss' character and thought. In style the book is simple and scholarly, and there ought to be a reserve of power in the author of so strong and satisfying a piece of work.

HUGH R. MACKINTOSH.

Die alttestamentlichen Citate und Reminiscenzen im Neuen Testamente

von Dr. Phil. Eugen Hühn, Pfarrer in Heiligen bei Orlamünde. Tübingen, Freiburg-i.-B., und Leipzig: J.C.B. Mohr, 1900; London & Edinburgh: Williams & Norgate. 8vo, pp. xi. + 300. Price M. 6.

THIS forms the second and concluding part of a work published under the general title Die messianischen Weissagungen des israelitisch-jüdischen Volkes bis zu den Targumim, and all the commendation which was bestowed on the first part on its appearance, may be unhesitatingly extended to its successor (see Critical Review, vol. ix. p. 451, ff.). In his earlier volume, Dr. Hühn, after a brief introductory chapter dealing with such general questions as the idea and origin of the Messianic prophecies, reviewed these prophecies in historical order, citing at the same time the New Testament passages in which any reference to them could be found. second and larger volume this mode of procedure is reversed. It is now the New Testament books which are passed in review, and every Messianic citation in them is traced to its source: while along with this, at the foot of the page and in somewhat smaller type to mark them off from the main body of the work, a list of references, other than Messianic, to the Old Testament is given. The whole book is thus a most valuable guide for estimating the nature and extent of the indebtedness of the New Testament to the Old. And when we add that to all the most important passages Dr. Hühn has added short notes or comments of an historico-critical character, it will be obvious that he has laid Bible-students under a deep debt of gratitude. Opinions will vary of course

as to what are really reminiscences of the Old Testament or independent modes of expression, and in a discussion covering so wide a field, considerable difference of opinion as to many of the critical interpretations must be looked for. But the writer at least shows on every page a wide acquaintance with the literature of his subject, and presents the conclusions at which he has arrived in a remarkably clear and succinct form.

The order in which the New Testament books are taken is that of the Westcott and Hort edition, whose text is also followed. And it is further gratifying to English scholarship to find that the Cambridge Septuagint edited by Dr. Swete is used for the Old Testament citations.

In a concluding chapter, Dr. Hühn briefly summarises some of the main results to which his inquiry has led, dealing with such points as the number of citations and reminiscences in the different New Testament books, both from the Old Testament and from non-canonical literature; the various modes of citation employed; the designations given to the Old Testament in the New; and the Old Testament books which are most frequently quoted. As might be expected, the largest number of Messianic citations is found to be from the Psalter and Isaiah. On the other hand, most non-Messianic citations are taken from Deuteronomy and Exodus: while there are no fewer than fourteen Old Testament books (Joshua, Judges, 2 Kings, Obadiah, Jonah, Zephaniah, Song of Solomon, Ruth, Lamentations, Ecclesiastes, Esther, Ezra, 1 and 2 Chronicles), which furnish no citations, either Messianic or non-Messianic. The relation of Jesus' own words to the Old Testament is also discussed. and it is shown that though He refers Old Testament passages directly to Himself at most six times (Luke iv. 17 ff; Matt. xxi. 42; John xv. 25; Luke xxii. 37; Matt. xxvi. 31; Matt. xxii. 43 ff.), the relation of the central thoughts of His Teaching to the Old Testament is so close that He may be said to "live and move" in it (p. 281).

To the question whether the New Testament writers always employed the Old Testament passages according to

their original and historical meaning, Dr. Hühn answers at once, No. In this, as in other particulars, he points out they were not superior to the times in which they lived, and made use of the then-existing methods of interpretation. If, however, we cannot accept them as infallible guides on questions of exegesis, not the less are they true lights of religious knowledge (p. 282).

G. MILLIGAN.

Die Gleichnisse des Evangeliums.

Von C. E. van Koetsveld. Aus dem Holländischen übersetzt von Dr. Otto Kohlschmidt. Leipzig: Friedrich Jansa. Price 3s. net.

The author of this popular exposition of the Parables of the Gospel is already known to scholars. His great work on the same subject, De Gelijkenissen van den Zaligmaker, appeared in two parts in 1854 and 1868. The book now under review is a shorter and simpler account of the parables, not for scholars only, but for all within the Christian Church. On page 125, he tells us that his book is written in the hope that the young people, for whom he had a special care, would grow up to use it. In the German translation, which appeared in 1892, a second edition being published in 1895, the book is eminently readable. The language is simple, and that, for an English knight in search of theological adventures, is a distinct gain.

Cornelis Eliza van Koetsveld was born on the 24th of May, 1807, at Rotterdam. In his school days he resolved to enter the ministry of the Dutch Reformed Church. After five years spent at Leyden University, he was ordained at Westmaas on the 3rd of October, 1830, and began a ministry which extended over sixty years. In 1835, he was called to Berkel, and thence, with his health broken by fever, to Schoonhaven in 1838, where he remained until in 1849 he was called to The Hague. He had already begun to write for publication. In 1838 appeared his Krankenfreund, a collection of Scripture readings for the sick, the fruit of his own illness. In 1843, he acquired fame as a novelist by his Sketches from the Manse at Mastland, autobiographical stories from his own life as a minister and friend of the poor in Westmaas. The book has been translated into English, and contains many

vivid pictures from the church history of the Netherlands, as well as much sound advice upon the work of the ministry. His pen was never idle. Besides preaching every Sabbath, he found time between 1847 and 1888 to write upwards of one hundred novels, sketches and magazine articles. a popular preacher, keen to see the bearing of present-day events, and able to make his meaning very plain to the crowds that gathered to hear him. At The Hague, he became court chaplain to King William III. and the religious teacher of the young Queen Wilhelmine. In the hearing of the queen, he preached sermons which were afterwards published, on "the Children of the Bible," in 1889, and on "the Women of the Bible" in 1891. Koetsveld was also a leader in all kinds of public matters, being interested in Home Mission work in Rotterdam, in Foreign Missions to the East and West Indies, in schools for idiot children, and asylums for the insane, and he was prominent in the ecclesiastical courts of his church. Alike in his literary and in his ministerial work, he was diligent up to the day of his death, which took place on the 4th of November, 1893.

It was said of Koetsveld that he was "the father of the Dutch novel". "The man is ever an artist." He was an artist in words, and his poetic inspiration appears on every page of his exposition. "He that would understand the poet must go into the poet's land." The Bible and Nature were his two books, and he saw how much of poetry there was in the Bible. The interpretation of the Scriptures must be literary as well as theological, and, while Koetsveld was not dead to the religious message of his Master, he saw very clearly the poetic colouring of Christ's words. It is the author's custom to repeat the parable, clause by clause, giving, as he proceeds, the needful explanations. It is a perilous method, for such a multiplication of words is apt to become most wearisome, but in this book the interest is marvellously sustained. Having retold the story and made its meaning plain, he adds a very few paragraphs of application to presentday religious life. Evidently, he believes that if the parable is understood, it may be trusted to convey its own lesson.

The arrangement of the parables is exceedingly good. When the exposition of the parables by the late Professor Bruce appeared, his arrangement was severely criticised. He grouped them, according to the principal lessons that were taught, under the headings of the Kingdom and Grace and the Judgment. Professor Bruce's classification is a good one, and does not need to be covered by the protest that it has little effect upon the exposition. If there be a fault it is that the grouping is too much forgotten, and does not add interest as it might have done. The grouping adopted by Koetsyeld is most valuable and gives a special character to the book. The chapters are arranged under eight headings: Farming, Vine Culture, Shepherding, Fishing, Home Life, Feasting and Marriages, Money-making and Administration of Justice, Religion. He has seized upon the pictorial elements in the parables, rather than upon their deeper meaning and, keeping together those that are kindred, he gives an admirable sketch of the living background of our Saviour's thought. We not only learn the serious and often sad thoughts that filled the mind of Christ, but we also learn what was going on around Him as He spoke the parables. We see the daily life of the people, pictured as it appeared to Him who stooped to share it, and the fashion of the land in which He dwelt.

Very often in the closing paragraphs of an exposition Koetsveld criticises the uses that are made of the parables He shows that they have been employed to teach false doctrines and to cover unrealities in religious speech. Many of his criticisms will not be accepted by the majority of English readers. He would probably have written differently, if he had been acquainted with the church life of this country, and if it had been possible for him with his Dutch character and training to understand more fully the type of religious life that finds expression for itself in emotional and enthusiastic language. This limitation will be felt by the reader who compares his exposition of the parable of the Pharisee and the Publican with that of Dr. Marcus Dods. At the same time it is a distinct gain to have the language of the pulpit

subjected to wholesome review. Even where no change is made, the old words acquire a more sharply defined meaning.

Most readers will feel the book to be too brief, if not absolutely defective, in the region of homiletic. The author has brought out the literary graces of the parables admirably and has given light upon them from every side, he has kept the details well in hand, and has avoided the crowding out of the chief things by those of less moment, but he neglects to bring together the teaching of Christ as the science of Biblical Theology would now do, and he gives no indication of the way in which the task might be attempted, or of the use to be made of the parables in doing it. A preacher will find his expositions gain much in vividness and pictorial charm from the reading of Koetsveld's book, but he will obtain more guidance from Dr. Bruce and Dr. Dods in regard to the message to be delivered to the congregation.

An English review of a book upon the parables suggests the comparison of the new comer with those that have held the field for many years. The great book of Trench was a pioneer in the theological literature of this century. It appeared in 1841 and had attained to the fifteenth edition in 1886. Trench's knowledge of the work of previous interpreters is very extensive and he draws liberally upon it in the form of Latin and Greek quotations. The Classics also have been searched for illustrative parallels. In method of interpretation, Trench comes midway between the old and the new. He is aware that there has been too much of allegorical interpretation in the past, and he warns against it. But he deals very tenderly in detail with allegorical explanations and it is a very bad offender that comes in for unqualified condemnation.

Half way between Trench and our own day comes the suggestive book of the late Professor A. B. Bruce. It is dated 1882 and in 1893 had reached a fifth edition. It shows the fine enthusiasm of its author for certain aspects of Evangelical truth that have been forgotten by the Evangelical churches, or that have been denied their rightful emphasis.

Professor Bruce is especially the expositor of the Synoptics, and the hero that his heart loves is the "Friend of Publicans and Sinners". He is never weary of showing us that our Lord's work among the sinful was done con amore, and done with marvellous success. He is equally eager to make us see that the Christian is called to follow a good Master, to walk in the sunlight, under a Galilean sky, with the joy of the Master in his heart. Such ideas and the courage to cherish them, are amongst the best gifts of Dr. Bruce to the ministry of our day. His exposition of The Parabolic Teaching of Christ is essentially modern. He would probably allow his method to be called historical, and he would claim that the results of such a method, whether they at first sight support our preconceived theology or not, must be of the greatest religious value. We see that he is in earnest in his warning against allegory. His one aim is to make out what Jesus meant, when He spoke to His Galilean audience, and he has no patience with any attempts to foist upon the Master's words a more profound, mysterious and theologically coloured meaning. Dr. Bruce has read the work of recent expositors and critics, mostly those of Germany. The fear of them and the dread of them are upon him. He has seen so many long-held opinions overthrown, and venerable comments laughed out of court that he feels it needful to walk warily. It is a much needed and a sharp lesson for the expositor, that every explanation and comment must run the gauntlet of criticism. It makes the work of the conscientious student very hard at first, but in the end it is a discipline of infinite value.

We have also had occasion to mention the lectures of Dr. Marcus Dods. They are short and easily understood, as befits a popular lecture, but in language they are rhetorical rather than condensed. That which makes them invaluable is their practical wisdom and common sense. They are in touch with everyday life. The writer's insight into the special duties and temptations of city life is quite unique. He preaches to the merchants and employers of labour, the manufacturers, traders and speculators of Glasgow. He

Koetsveld's Die Gleichnisse des Evangeliums. 145

knows them through and through and his picture of their life is intensely interesting.

Those who read English only will be well furnished with these three expositions of the parables, but those who can add a German or a Dutch book, would find much to interest them in the beautifully-written and very unambitious book of Koetsveld, the Novelist and Preacher.

CHARLES F. FLEMING.

The Evangelisation of the World in this Generation.

By John R. Mott, M.A. London: Student Volunteer Missionary Union, 22 Warwick Lane, E.C., 1900. Price 2s. 6d. Post free.

This is the age of scientific missionary literature. Mission lands and their old religions, missionary methods and progress and problems, are being made the subjects of systematic study, and the conclusions have been recorded in such volumes as Dennis's book on Sociology and his Missions after a Century, the histories of some of the Missionary Societies, and other publications. A valuable addition is made to their number by Mr. Mott's book, The Evangelisation of the World in this Generation. It is, we believe, the most exhaustive treatment of the subject that has yet appeared.

Although not a missionary, Mr. Mott has had exceptional qualifications for writing on the subject. A few years ago he spent some eighteen months in travel, conversing with three hundred missionaries, heads of institutions, and others in Turkey, India, China, Australia, etc. He has for long been in intimate touch with the Christian Student movements of all lands, and as Secretary of the World's Student Christian Federation he is a guiding and controlling force in these movements. He is no superficial, idle visionary, but a careful and patient investigator. He knows human nature and history, and his statements are cautious and accurate. In this volume he has laid many men and many books under tribute. It is a compilation, but one that shows grasp, penetration, and argumentative capacity. The writer is, above all, a man of prayer, and his book is a book of spiritual power.

Mr. Mott, who is an American, starts with definition.

"The 'Evangelisation of the World in this Generation," he sees, is a phrase liable to be misunderstood. He explains that it does not mean the conversion of the world, or the leavening of all nations with Christian principles in a short space of years; that it does not imply any special theory of eschatology; and that it is not a prophecy of what will be effected in this generation. It is meant to express what is the ideal and standard of the Church's immediate duty, if we look at our Lord's last command, and also at what is now possible in view of the opportunities, facilities and resources at the disposal of the Church. The achievements of the early Christians, and recent missionary successes, as in Uganda and Manchuria, are set forth as an incitement to the Church, and the "Evangelisation of the World in this Generation" is stated to mean the covering of the world, in the lifetime of those now living, with a network of Christian agencies. Nor does Mr. Mott take a superficial view of what "preaching the Gospel" means, or a narrow view of the agencies to be employed. Let all agencies be employed, if only their supreme aim be to give men a knowledge of the great facts about Jesus Christ. The enterprise of world-wide evangelisation, he says emphatically, "calls for perseverance and thoroughness".

One chapter is devoted to difficulties, another to the views of missionaries and Church leaders in the home lands regarding the motto. The author also tells us what is required if the Church is to set this ideal before her and bring about its realisation. He looks to the native churches to do the most in the long run. But the foreign missionary force must be increased from over 15,000 to at least 50,000; and the Church in the home lands needs a great revival of consesecration and prayer.

The concluding chapter is occupied with "The Evangelisation of the world in this Generation" as a definite watchword. The Student Volunteer Movement (for Foreign Missions) in North America was the first society to adopt it formally, as the leaders did in 1888. The Student Volunteer Missionary Union of Great Britain and Ireland followed their example

in 1896. Some Churches and Missionary Societies have expressed approval. But Mr. Mott says they should adopt it as their missionary policy. In the last analysis, however, it must be adopted as an individual watchword by the leaders and members of the Church.

No minister or student should fail to secure this volume. Who will say that the new century may not soon witness a mighty advance if this ideal lay hold of the Church's mind and heart?

ROBERT G. PHILIP.

Die sittlichen Grundkräfte. Ein Beitrag zur Ethik. (The Fundamental Moral Powers. A Contribution to Ethics.)

Von Dr. Friedrich Wagner. Tübingen: Laupp'scher Verlag, 1899. 8vo, pp. 91. Price 2s.

Die Kausalität als Grundlage der Weltanschauung.

Von O. Leo, Gen. Lieut. z. D. Berlin: Verlag von Wilhelm Hertz, 1899. 8vo, pp. 150. Price 4s.

The kernel of Dr. Wagner's dissertation, the aim of which seems to be a development of Kant's doctrine, is as follows: In every man there is, firstly, a capability of activity, or otherwise expressed, will-power; secondly, susceptibility to passive states, or otherwise expressed, sensuousness; and thirdly, a susceptibility to ideas of various kinds, or otherwise expressed, ideality. But ideality is of a lower and a higher kind. Ideas which relate to activity conceived as completed, and which are therefore mere thoughts or states of consciousness or objects of contemplation or of vision, and nearly if not quite free from feeling, belong to the lower kind. Ideas relating to purposed modes of action, and which are themselves the product of will-power, belong to the higher kind.

So far as states or movements or activities of the soul are or might have been due to will-power, they are moral or immoral. If they are the result of the action of something that affects man's passivity, they are immoral. So far as they are the outcome of will-power exercised in the double form of production of ideas, and realisation of the same, they are moral in the highest degree.

"The immoral is always a result of the lack of certain positive qualities or powers in man." "Considered as a

quality, it is therefore something negative, something non-beënt." "Immoral actions are invariably a sign that the capability of a specific form of activity only exists or has been developed in slight measure. Inasmuch as if developed at all it must be developed by the corresponding ideal conceptions, this lack of development is also a sign of the non-existence or non-development of susceptibility to the corresponding moral ideas, that is, of lack of specific ideality."

The question here naturally suggests itself, What about will-power that both produces wrong ideas and then realises them? He replies, "the forces or powers of activity can never become immoral. Immorality always rests on the absence or omission of determinate activity and on feelings. Nothing but moral good can proceed from will-power and ideality, and where they appear to work what is immoral, it is due to passive feelings which change the direction of activity, i.e., prevent a specific form of it substituting another form. The non-happening of the first form of activity is the evil or the immoral."

All which seems to me very like begging the question. Dr. Wagner has in my judgment expended not a little subtlety to very little purpose.

Lieutenant-General Otto must be an ambitious man. In a small book of 150 pages printed in fairly large type he undertakes to meet "the need, by which the human mind has in all ages been deeply stirred, of comprehending the world as the sum-total of all perceptions and of our own existence and operation": in other words, "by means of thought (Denken) to comprehend the essential unity of the physical and psychical contents of consciousness"; i.e., the contents whose source is sense, and those whose source is the inner self and its life. The solution of the problem may be attempted, he says, in three ways, by reducing each of the two factors to the other; or both to a third. The first issues in the dynamic view of the world; the second, in the idealistic; the third regards the world as a psycho-physical

unity, and the sum-total of all working, of all knowledge that is based on sensuous perception, still further of our sensation, feeling and willing, yea even of thinking itself, we designate "Energy".

What follows thereupon is, as he states, "an attempt to determine more exactly the essential nature of this energy as the unity of actuality".

In places the essay suggests the influence of J. G. Fichte, but it can only have value for people who take an interest in quasi-philosophical curiosities.

D. W. SIMON.

Das Christliche Gottvertrauen und der Glaube an Christus.

Von E. W. Mayer, a. o. Prof. der Theologie in Strassburg. Göttingen: Vandenhoeck und Ruprecht; London and Edinburgh: Williams & Norgate, 1899. 8vo, pp. 162. Price 3s. 9d. net.

Die Wirkungen des Geistes und der Geister im nachapostolischen Zeitalter bis auf Irenaeus.

Von Heinrich Weinel, Lic. Theol. Dr. Phil, Freiburg, i. B. Leipzig und Tübingen: J. C. B. Mohr, 1899. Edinburgh: Williams & Norgate. 8vo, pp. xii. + 234.

THIS is described by the author as a dogmatic investigation on a biblico-theological basis, with a consideration of the symbolical literature. It consists of four chapters—On the Significance and Nature of Confidence in God in general; a Review of the Symbolical Literature; a Review of the New Testament Literature; and the Relation of Confidence in God and Faith in Christ; with an appendix on Faith in its formal aspect.

The result of the historical investigation is that in the ages which, from the Evangelical standpoint, may be called classical and typical, faith in Christ was regarded as the chief means for establishing and strengthening unconditioned confidence in God. This faith is not the consequence, but the presupposition or cause of the confidence. It removes the great hindrance to absolute confidence in God by removing the burden of sins and the imperfect knowledge of God, by bringing about a new religious relation to God.

There are two main types of the faith in Christ that leads to confidence in God. It does so either in the assurance

that Jesus, in some way, represents God to man, that He is the sent of God, Revealer of the divine will, bringing in the kingdom of God and dispensing eternal life; or in the conviction that Jesus in respect of His person stands in a peculiarly near relation to God, His Son, the well beloved, who has in his own possession, grace, truth and life. Of these two kinds of faith in Christ, sometimes the one, sometimes the other, is stronger. The object of this faith is the historical Jesus. And when we come to ask about the ground of this faith, we see that the divine majesty of His life amid humble surroundings, and His appearances as the Risen One, wrought powerfully in rooting and confirming this faith. In an immense variety of ways, by the word and also by the sacraments, does the Spirit produce faith. In Christ we reach to a new relation to God. The objective means of this is the person and work of Jesus; the subjective means is faith, which may be regarded as partly its condition and presupposition, partly the result in which it is made perfect; the former in so far as it is faith in Christ, the latter is so far as it is confidence in God. The author of the whole proceeding, however, is God, who wakens and grounds all faith through Jesus and the Spirit proceeding from Him.

There is just a tendency here, as with so many attached to the Ritschlian school, to mix up the devotional and the scientific, and drift into commonplace.

This is the first part of an important work by a young theologian who has studied under Gunkel, Harnack and Krüger. The present work was evidently suggested by Gunkel's very able and thorough treatise on The Operations of the Holy Spirit in the Apostolic Age and in the Teaching of St. Paul. In that work issued about twelve years ago, Gunkel discussed the question as to what the marks are by which, according to apostolic teaching, we may determine whether any particular phenomenon is a work of the Holy Spirit. In the treatise before us, Herr Weinel undertakes to consider the place and meaning assigned to the Spirit

and to spirits generally in the Christian literature of the post-Apostolic Age down to Irenæus. He begins with the period following the death of Paul, because the subject with which he deals has been fully treated in discussions on the Apostolic Age, and he ends with Irenæus because this marks the close of the period of the genesis of the Christian Church. In this first volume our author gives us two out of the four sections of which the whole book is to consist. We have here, first of all, "The Meaning of the Spirit Activities for the Religious Life of the Earliest Christian Communities;" and then, "A Representation and Description of the Activities of the Spirit and the spirits". In the second volume, with the preparation of which he is busily engaged, he promises to deal with a history of the Spirit's instrumentalities and the doctrine of the Spirit.

In the first section he shows how the conflict which the Christian has with evil spirits is described in early Christian writings. The hatred of the world to the Christian is regarded as the work of its gods, who are demons. Statues of wood and stone are indeed dead, deaf, dumb, motionless, etc., but they represent real existences. No attempt is made to deny their existence or their might, but they are wicked and opposed to God. These evil spirits, worshipped as gods, inspire false teachers and gnostics, and endeavour everywhere to tempt Christians to sin. In persecutions, too, they are the moving spirits; behind the heathen judges are the demons, inciting them to unreasonable rage or stirring up bitter scorn. In all these attempts, the object of the evil spirit is to compass the destruction of man. Ignatius, Barnabas, Justin, Irenæus, all describe heathen unconverted men as actually possessed by demons, who dwell in men and make them do whatever they will. By temptations to do wickedly, the devil seeks to bring men to eternal death, and when they commit what he tempts them to do, they are dead. By persecution he seeks to bring Christians to death by getting them to deny God. This activity of spiritual beings is a great reality with the early Christians. These beings are not shadows or phantasms, nor are they mere pictorial

representations of doctrinal ideas, but actual living spirits, whose presence is felt and vividly realised every day and at every step. When he passed by a heathen temple, the Christian of that age was conscious of the existence, presence and power of the evil spirits which the images he saw represented to the eye.

But if the Christian of this early age realised intensely the personality and power of the evil spirits, he realised with equal intensity the certainty of victory, and that by means of the presence of the Spirit, whose personality and power were conceived of in the same realistic fashion. The victory of the Christian is with demonstration of the Spirit and with power. He is in possession of that which the Jews only hoped for. The evidence of the Spirit's presence and power is the proof of the truth of Christianity. But while the vivid realisation of direct intercourse with the unseen world of spirits, led the early Christians to lay great stress on miracles, healings and exorcisms, and on visions and dreams, on the other hand it led them to give credence to, or at least disqualified them from denying, the heathen myths of healing, resurrection and prophesying. The value of the miracle, therefore, is determined by the character of him, whose power lies behind it. Hence the special weight of the proof of Christianity was laid upon the Spirit's work in regeneration. This was regarded as no mere doctrine, but as a fact of real possession.

An important chapter is given to the discussion of miraculous cures. Weinel is inclined to make a great deal of epileptical and hysterical conditions as predispositions on the part of those on whom, according to well-authenticated reports, cures were wrought. All these are recognised as the operations of spirits good or bad, by the superhuman power required for their production. One criterion for distinguishing the work of the evil spirit from that of the Holy Spirit is that in the Church the incantations and spells of heathen magic and heretical formulæ for miracle working are not used, but a simple invocation of the name of Jesus. Another criterion is the ethical quality of the effect produced by the spirit's

operation. If the spirit be good then the voice within says, Come to the Father. As Hermas puts it: "The angel of righteousness talks in us of righteousness, purity, chastity, contentment, and of every righteous deed and glorious virtue, and when these are in our heart we know that the angel of righteousness is with us. But the angel of unrighteousness is wrathful, bitter and foolish, and his works are evil, and ruin the servants of God, so when anger comes upon us and harshness, and longing after wealth, revels, and things improper, we know that the evil angel is with us."

Altogether we have in this first half of the work, a most valuable collection of materials as to the beliefs of the Christians of the second century in regard to spiritual operations. Where we now think and speak of influences, they thought and spoke of the real presence and personal working of good spirits and of evil spirits. In explaining reports of cures and requickening of the dead by certain nervous states in the subjects and cataleptic semblances of death, and prophetic utterances by ecstacy, no doubt he accounts for many such reported cures, which may be compared to similar manifestations under Edward Irving and his associates. The weak point in the book is the writer's evident inclination to interpret the Gospel miracles in the same way. We shall look forward with interest to the publication of the other important sections of this very interesting and suggestive work.

JOHN MACPHERSON.

Encyclopædia Biblica.

A Critical Dictionary of the Literary, Political and Religious History, the Archæology, Geography and Natural History of the Bible.

Edited by the Rev. T. K. Cheyne, M.A., D.D., Oriel Professor of the Interpretation of Holy Scripture at Oxford, and formerly Fellow of Balliol College, Canon of Rochester; and J. Sutherland Black, M.A., LL.D., formerly Assistant Editor of the "Encyclopædia Britannica". Vol. II.: E to K. London: A. & C. Black, MCMI. 4to, pp. 1,344. Price 20s. net.

This second instalment of the Messrs. Black's great enterprise will attract more than usual attention. It has been looked for with considerable expectation and with some curiosity. It will be examined with peculiar interest and with a critical Some of the most important subjects which a Bible Dictionary has to handle fall within its compass. It embraces such topics as Egypt, Ethiopia, Eucharist, Gospels, Hebrew Language, Hexateuch, Israel, Jesus, John. It has to deal with such books of the Old Testament as Ecclesiastes, Exodus, Ezekiel, Esther, Ezra-Nehemiah, Genesis, Habbakuk, Haggai, Hosea, Isaiah, Jeremiah, Job, Joel, Jonah, Joshua, Judges, Kings-a long list suggestive of a multitude of problems much debated and of great complexity. In the New Testament it has to concern itself with such books as the Epistle to the Galatians, the Epistle to the Hebrews, the Epistle of James, in addition to the supreme question of the claims and the history of the Evangelical records. The difficult subjects indicated by the titles Elijah, Enoch, Esau, Isaac, Jacob, Joseph, Ishmael, and others far from easy to dispose of satisfactorily, also come within its scope. The treatment which is given to topics like these, will be regarded as a good test of the worth of the work—its scholarship, its sufficiency, its fairness, its sanity, its value as a guide and help to the student. A staff of more than fifty writers has been engaged on the mass of matter that makes up the volume. Among the contributors there are many well-known names, and there is a large representation of English scholarship. In many cases the selection has been admirable, but there are some instances in which it will be difficult to justify the choice that has been made.

What is the general impression produced by the book? It must be confessed that we rise with very mixed feelings from an examination of its leading articles. Among them there are some than which none better could be desired. There are numerous articles that admirably answer the proper purpose of an Encyclopædia, full of information carefully sifted and arranged, free of eccentric, fanciful and vainglorious speculations, modest and reverent in spirit, distinguished by the caution and sobriety of a science that is worthy of the name. There are many articles, too, which, while they cannot be said to have the note of high distinction, are useful, reliable, work-a-day productions. And the praise which the first volume won for arrangement, editing and illustration, is due in equal measure to the second. Among its good things special mention should be made of its maps.

But there is, we regret to say, another side to the question. There is no restraint put upon the disposition to use the columns of the Encyclopædia as windows for the display of conjectures and speculations peculiar to the individual. No doubt a spice of this, judiciously administered, has its uses at times. It gives a flavour to an article that otherwise might be thought intolerably commonplace and dull. But there is too much of it here. There are, we do not deny, hypothetical solutions of problems in text or in exegesis which, however far-fetched, have at least the value of suggestiveness. But a little of this goes a long way, and it is vastly overdone here. Certain articles seem to be written on the supposition that it cannot be well with the world unless it is kept carefully informed of every phase of opinion through which the writer

has passed, every change that has taken place in his view of this or that sentence of a prophet, every small claim he can make to having been before others in this or that pronouncement on a passage or explanation of a difficulty, and the last brand-new theory or surmise that has had the good fortune to be born of his nimble brain. Far too much importance is attached to the chronicling of things like these, which have little or no foundation and give no real help. They may be in place in a Journal; in an Encyclopædia they are out of place. And they do not increase the confidence of the open-minded reader in the judgment of their fond parents.

There is another peculiarity that might suffer abatement with advantage. There is less, we are glad to be able to say, of the tone of condescension and patronage which made itself distressingly felt in the Introduction and in many of the articles of the former volume. But there is too much of Even men like Dillmann do not wholly escape it left still. this kind of "superior" treatment, and there are others who get it in larger doses. These are things of small moment, however, in comparison with others that force themselves upon the reader's attention. These are the lack of reverence. the recklessness, the arbitrary, pretentious, self-confident subjectivity, that obtrude themselves in too many of the contributions to this volume. If it belongs to science to be humble and cautious, patient in the gathering and sifting of its material, and careful not to draw its conclusions until it has made sure of its facts and has a sufficient body of them, and if it is unscientific to be rash and extravagant and assertive, to rush to premature judgments and to build on hypothetical foundations, then it must be said of not a little that is put forward in this volume with much assurance and with a lofty claim to be "scientific" in a sense which would pronounce even men like Harnack behindhand, that it is not science, but such a caricature of scientific method and scientific caution as can only lead to reaction.

But we must come to particulars. And as it is more pleasant to praise than to blame, we refer first to contributions to which cordial approval can be given and for which our thanks are due. Happily these are not few. There are many articles which give us in excellent form precisely what we look for in an Encyclopædia-articles which have the qualities of solid worth, reliability and helpfulness, which furnish careful summaries of the facts that make up the particular case, and place fully and impartially before us both sides of the question. There are also some articles of distinguished merit—articles that are brilliant without being showy, opening up lines of inquiry or trains of argument which mark an advance in the discussion of the problems in hand. The papers that deal with the Botany, the Natural History, the Geography, Topography and Antiquities of the Bible are, as a general rule, of the best quality. The same may be said of those on the history of nations. There is a good article on the "Hittites" by Professor Morris Jastrow, who speaks hopefully of Jensen's attempt to decipher the Hittite inscriptions, but with reserve of the theory of the Hittite language as Arvan and the prototype of modern Armenian. Professor Jastrow himself has some suggestions to make on these subjects, but he gives them with becoming sobriety and with a frank recognition of the fact that the problem is still "too complicated to warrant at present anything like a decided tone".

There is a still better article on "Egypt" by Professor W. Max Müller, of Philadelphia, who also writes well on "Ethiopia," "Goshen," and the "Exodus". In point of style, proportion, usefulness and good sense these are among the best in the book. "Edom" and "Ishmael" are also well handled by Professor Nöldeke. The subject of "Eschatology" is given to Professor Charles. His article is elaborate and full of particulars. It is committed to some very doubtful positions, e.g., to the theory that in the earlier Hebrew view man was a dichotomy, in the later a trichotomy, to the idea of four distinctly marked stages in the progress of St. Paul's doctrine of the last things, etc. But it is a learned and instructive article, particularly valuable on the witness of the apocalyptic and apocryphal writings. The long article on "Israel" by Professor Guthe is an excellent piece of work, sober, thoroughly well informed, free of extravagances, and keep-

ing by those renderings of the Hebrew history on which there is comparative agreement among Old Testament critics of the more reasonable order. Professor Moore's article on "Historical Literature" also is one of great ability, and those by the same hand on "Genesis," "Exodus," and "Judges," though they contain some things that are open to dispute, are papers of great merit. The article on "Ecclesiastes" is such as we should expect from its writer, Professor A. B. Davidson-admirable in style, full of insight, and conspicuous for its good sense. The brief but able article on "Joel" is by Professor W. R. Smith, revised and brought up to date by Canon Driver. "Isaiah" is treated at length by Canon Cheyne. It is an article showing great learning and great acumen, but it follows to a remorseless extent the process of breaking up the book into a bewildering multitude of pieces and working them up again into new combinations. Professor Schmidt of Cornell University writes on "Jeremiah". His account of the book contains some points that are open to objection, but it is on the whole a very able and instructive performance.

There are, on the other hand, some articles that are distinctly disappointing. Professor Jülicher, e.g., writes on "Essenes" and on "Gnosis". Neither article is particularly good. The latter, indeed, is far from satisfactory. It gives no indication of adequate acquaintance with the literature of the subject, and it fails to furnish any sufficient account of the sources of the Gnostic ideas. The Genealogies in Matthew and Luke also receive somewhat meagre treatment. The article on "Jesus," too, though it contains some characteristic things, is far from what we should have expected from its lamented author.

There are a good many articles, again, in which there is a great deal of somewhat wild theorising. In the article on "Judas," e.g., the editor-in-chief deals with the story of the traitor as unhistorical, and endeavours to trace the way in which it took shape and was developed. Attaching himself to Bruno Bauer, Volkmar, Keim and Brandt, he supposes that the original tradition "left the ease with which the

162

capture of Jesus was effected unaccounted for"; that Christian ingenuity had to find an explanation of that for itself, and that it found it by making use of Psalms xli. o, lv. 12-14. From these passages it would infer that the betrayer of the Lord must have been a faithless friend; and having taken this step, it would proceed to ask, if it was an apostle that was in question, who could he be but Judas Iscariot? was he not unlike the rest in not being a Galilean? he not an unlovely temper? And did he not bear the purse? And would not this last-named fact recall Zech. xi. 12 ff., a mysterious passage which "seemed to become intelligible for the time if applied to Jesus"? This is but a specimen of much of a similar kind that meets us in matters belonging to both Testaments. The basis of the book of Job is found in the Babylonian myth of Gilgames, and the origin of the name Job is traced to Ea-bani. The narrative of the raising of the widow's son is rejected on the ground of the "possible influence of symbolism" and the nature of the details. The account of the raising of Lazarus is declared to be "mainly allegorical". The origin of most of the miraculous narratives is to be sought in figurative speech, and the only instances of cures which it is permissible for us to accept as historical are "those of the class that even at the present day physicians are able to effect by psychical methods". The statements about the empty sepulchre must be rejected. Why? Because Paul is silent about them. As to the Fourth Gospel. that it was intended to be a historical work is sufficiently disproved by two or three things which belong to its beginning and its end. Here is how the matter is put-"A book which begins by declaring Jesus to be the logos of God, and ends by representing a cohort of Roman soldiers as falling to the ground at the majesty of His appearance and by representing one hundred pounds of ointment as having been used at His embalming, ought by these facts alone to be spared such a misunderstanding of its true character as would be implied in supposing that it meant to be a historical work". A short and simple method certainly of disposing of the weighty question of the credibility of a long series of

narratives in which men of a far larger historical sense than Professor Schmiedel have seen the hand of an eye-witness.

In the way in which much of the narrative in the Synoptists is treated by Dr. Abbot, we have a return to the discarded methods of Paulus. In the general view that is given of the dates and authorships of the New Testament writings we have a return to positions that the best scholarship of recent times has got beyond. None of the writings that are attributed to John, not even the Apocalypse, can by any possibility, it is asserted, be by the Apostle John. The Fourth Gospel is put back to between A.D. 135 and 170. The First Epistle of John is later still, and by a different hand. Matthew's Gospel may belong in one contingency to about A.D. 119, in another to about A.D. 130. In Mark we "no longer possess the original". As to the Third Gospel it may come in between 100 and 110, according to Professor Schmiedel. All this and much more we find put forward with the utmost confidence as if nothing had been done by Lightfoot, Harnack, Hort, Sanday and others, and as if it marked an advance. Are we to go back to the discredited positions of Paulus, Strauss, Eichhorn, and the author of Supernatural Religion, and call it "science" and "progress"?

It is in the article on the Gospels that the climax is reached. There is of course much useful matter in that article. It is clever, it is elaborate, and it puts some things very acutely. But it moves for the most part within the narrow circle of a particular style of literary criticism, and is weak on the side of historical criticism. What it comes to is best seen in its pronouncement on the extent of credible matter which it allows to be left in the evangelical reports of Christ's words. The ways of great men are always simple. And here Professor Schmiedel's method is simplicity itself. He starts with the idea that Christ was a mere man, that in the person of Jesus "we have to do with a completely human being". It is inconvenient certainly that the picture which the Gospels give of Him does not fit in with this. But what of that? The Gospels must go; every word of the Lord reported in them which does not square with this presupposition must be eliminated or explained away as a mistake of the reporters or the reflection of later ideas. To find any kind of reason to bear out this vast operation of excision makes heavy demands, it is true, on one's ingenuity. But Professor Schmiedel is a man of uncommon nerve and extraordinary mental agility and he gets to his conclusion. And what is it? Let it be given in his own words:—

"The foregoing sections may have sometimes seemed to raise a doubt whether any credible elements were to be found in the gospels at all; all the more emphatically, therefore, must stress be laid on the existence of passages of the kind indicated in section 131. Reference has already been made to Mark x. 17, "Why callest thou Me good? None is good save God only," as also to Matthew xii. 31 (that blasphemy against the Son of Man can be forgiven), and to Mark iii. 21 (that his relations held him to be beside himself). To these, two others may now be added, Mark xiii, 32 ("Of that day and that hour knoweth no one, not even the angels in heaven, neither the Son, but the Father,") and Mark xv. 34 (" My God, My God, why hast Thou forsaken Me?") These five passages, along with the four which will be spoken of in section 140, might be called the foundation pillars for a truly scientific life of Jesus. Should the idea suggest itself that they have been sought out with partial intent, as proofs of the human as against the divine character of Jesus, the fact at all events cannot be set aside that they exist in the Bible and demand our attention. In reality, however, they prove not only that in the person of Jesus we have to do with a completely human being, and that the divine is to be sought in him only in the form in which it is capable of being found in a man; they also prove that he really did exist, and that the gospels contain at least some absolutely trustworthy facts concerning him."

So then the "credible elements" amount to five passages in the Synoptists, or with the possible addition of a few more which seem to run in the same line, to nine. The passages thus left us are the few which, when taken apart from others and isolated from the general testimony of the Gospels, will best endure the interpretation put by Professor Schmiedel on the personality of Christ, and the numerous passages which speak of a unique relation of Christ to God are hidden out of sight by a wave of the hand. Whatever else this may be it is not a kind of science that ought to be associated with the name of Professor Robertson Smith. It is "science" in a craze. Imagine the Annals of Tacitus, the Letters of Cicero, or the Letters of Pliny being subjected to this kind of treatment. Would the man who attempted that have much chance of being recognised as a scientific critic by those with any title to judge?

S. D. F. SALMOND.

Notices.

Few books that have recently appeared will be more welcome than the Life and Letters of Phillips Brooks. Seven years have passed since the great Boston preacher was taken from us. The Life is later in appearing than was expected, but it is a satisfaction to have it now. It is by the hand of one who has written well on other subjects, and who by the intimacy of his friendship with Phillips Brooks and the warmth of his admiration of him was peculiarly well fitted to undertake the task. The book will be read with avidity by many. It would have had a still larger audience if it had not been so huge. It is in many respects an able performance and a worthy memorial of a distinguished man who deserves to be held in honourable remembrance. It is admirably written, and it is full of life and interest. But it has two great faults. It is far too big, and it is so much of a perpetual unvarying eulogy that its subject seems placed almost too high for common humanity. It is a very doubtful service that is done to Phillips Brooks when his enthusiastic friend and biographer prolongs the story of his career through more than 1,600 closely-packed pages, and repeats the same note of laudation at each successive step in the long detail of the narrative.

It is not only that we get a minute account of what happened to Phillips Brooks and what was done by him from year to year and from stage to stage in his career. Nor is it only that all this is enforced and illustrated by copious quotations from the letters, which are indeed almost always

¹ Life and Letters of Phillips Brooks. By Alexander V. G. Allen, Professor in the Episcopal Theological School in Cambridge. London: Macmillan & Co., 1900. 2 vols. 8vo, pp. xvii. + 650, ix. + 956. Price 30s. net.

of great interest, and add much to the picture of the man. We have all this also accompanied, and sustained, and still further extended by disquisitions from the biographer himself, so that nothing is left to the reader's own imagination. There is a risk of losing the figure of the real man in the mass of detail. There is the further risk of a reaction on the part of the reader's own judgment against the protracted eulogy.

Nevertheless, while the biography probably would have served its purpose better if it had been half its present size, it must be frankly admitted that Phillips Brooks has not suffered seriously from either of these risks. We come from the perusal of these volumes with a pretty distinct impression of the man, with a large idea of his gifts, his character, and his influence, with an enhanced sense, too, of the service he did to his generation and the eminent place he holds in the history of the American pulpit. And we cannot but admire the industry of the biographer. His devotion to his task is remarkable. We can see what a work of labour as well as of love it has been to him. We should add, too, that if this *Life* is attractive in its subject and its style, it is equally so in other things. It is handsome in form. It is beautifully printed, and it is adorned with excellent portraits and illustrations.

It is the story and the characterisation of one of the great preachers of the century. The pulpit was Phillips Brooks' throne. There he reigned, and there his power was felt. No one who ever heard him preach could miss the sense of power or forget the impression. Professor Allen helps us to understand this. He shows us how the gift first declared itself, and with what sedulous untiring care it was cultivated. The secret of the remarkable and sustained success of Phillips Brooks as a preacher is a very simple thing. It is nothing more than this—that he was content to make preaching the great business of his life, with which he suffered nothing to interfere, and that having certain gifts of nature, he laboured incessantly to train and develop them. Nothing in the book is of greater interest than the insight it gives us into the methods which Phillips Brooks followed in sermon production, the pains which he

took with it, the way in which he made everything contributory to it, and the long preparation which in point of fact stood behind even those efforts which had all the appearance of being extemporaneous. In these volumes there are disclosures of the habits and ideas of a pulpit prince that all preachers should take advantage of and turn to use.

Of great interest, too, is the insight which these volumes give us into the thought and the faith of Phillips Brooks. We are enabled to see and understand the stages through which he passed in his own religious convictions, the way in which he met the successive waves of doubtful or negative speculation that passed over his time, and the changes which took place in his conception of the message that the pulpit had to deliver. His preaching, which had at first a strong intellectual cast, became more and more direct, positive and spiritual. His sympathies were always wide, and his theology non-dogmatic, but he never yielded to the allurements of Unitarianism, nor did he ever become vague in his teaching. There was in his blood a strain derived from a long line of Puritan ancestors, men of intellect, patriotism, public spirit and fervent piety, which kept him, while liberal and tolerant, essentially evangelical; and attached as he was to the Episcopal system as he knew it in America, he had no belief in the theory of Apostolical Succession nor any liking for the claims connected therewith. He was as remarkable for his courage, too, as for his large and liberal mind. This asserted itself on many occasions, and most nobly in the stand he made against slavery in the Civil War.

Many notable men appear in these pages. For Phillips Brooks came to be the friend of most of the celebrities of his own country and of many of the distinguished men of England. It is interesting to have the record of his intercourse with these. But there is one figure that outshines them all. It is the figure of his mother. It is when we see what she was that we understand Phillips Brooks himself. We lay down this great biography with the profound sense of having been brought into contact with a great soul in the record of the son's

career, and in the story of the mother with a woman of rare gifts and character, strong and tender, wise and intensely spiritual.

Theodore Beza 1 is the subject of the fourth volume of the valuable and attractive series known as "Heroes of the Reformation," which is edited by Professor Samuel Macauley Jackson of New York University. The book is by Professor Henry Martyn Baird, the distinguished author of The Rise of the Huguenots, and it well sustains the high reputation of the Professor Baird has made conscience of his task, and has supplied a want in our historical literature in a way that will earn him the thanks of all interested in the story of the Reformation. It is a singular thing that hitherto we have had nothing in English that could be properly called a Life of Beza. Calvin's successor, the counsellor of the Reformation for many years, certainly does not deserve such neglect. We rejoice that at last he has found a biographer who can do him justice in the English tongue. Professor Baird's book is no hasty or superficial performance, but the work of one who has gone to the sources and given himself to special research and independent study. And the picture which we get from his hand is something very different from that of the stiff and narrow ecclesiastic that Beza is often held to have been. We see here what he really was—in his many strong and attractive gifts, his love of classical literature, his poetical faculty, his irenic view of the Reformed faith, his piety, his courage, his sagacious counsel, his wide sympathies, his fidelity to Calvin's principles and doctrine. We see also his limitations and shortcomings, his mistaken conception of the power and duty of the civil magistrate, the good and evil in his controversies with Westphal and others. A series of more than a score of admirable illustrations adds to the attractiveness of the book.

The Fact of Christ² is the title given to a volume containing a series of lectures by P. Carnegie Simpson, M.A., minister of Renfield Church, Glasgow. It is a singularly

¹ New York: Putnam's Sons, 1900. Cr. 8vo, pp. xxi. + 376. Price 6s.

² London: Hodder & Stoughton, 1900. Cr. 8vo, pp. xii. + 188. Price 3s. 6d.

fresh and suggestive study of the data of Christianity and the meaning of Christ. Upon the fact of Christ, says Mr. Simpson, our Christianity must be built, and the fact is a dual fact—a fact of history and a fact of experience. What this implies is then carefully brought out in its first, its further and its final meaning. An incarnation of the Divine life and power, that is the meaning which is found in Christ. And the final meaning is "that He has opened up 'the way of forgiveness for us' by, on our account, doing right by the ethical order which connects sin and its due desert, and without respect to which a true, ultimate and Divine forgiveness could not be". The book closes with some hints on the principles underlying the atonement, and an excellent chapter on the question, What is a Christian? There is much independent thinking all through the volume and much to quicken faith. Mr. Simpson will find many ready listeners when he addresses the Christian public again.

From the pen of the Rev. A. Morris Stewart, M.A., Arbroath, we have a small but carefully-written volume on The Origins of the United Free Church of Scotland.\(^1\) The book is an opportune one, appearing as it does in the train of the important ecclesiastical union recently effected in Scotland, and it is very readable as well as informing. The whole remarkable history of Scottish Presbytery indeed is given in a series of brief pointed sketches. In five compact chapters we are told how Scotland chose Presbytery, how the Secession Church, the Relief Church, the Free Church originated, and finally how the United Free Church of Scotland has come into being. The reader is helped to follow the complicated course of the story by an admirable illustrative chart prepared by the Rev. T. Ratcliffe Barnett. The book is also most attractive in form.

The Preacher's Dictionary,² by E. F. Cavalier, M.A., Rector of Wramplingham, Norfolk, is described as a "Biblical Con-

¹ Edinburgh and London: Oliphant, Anderson & Ferrier, 1901. Small cr. 8vo, pp. 98. Price 1s. 6d. net.

² London: Hodder & Stoughton, 1900. Large 8vo, pp. 641. Price 12s.

spectus and Compendium of religious and secular thought, past and present, typically arranged". It is a large and handsome volume, printed in a good, clear type, with its contents well arranged and furnished with a sufficient index. It is intended to help the Christian minister in the heaviest part of his work—that of sermon production. It does this by offering him a large collection of subjects, not merely a selection of texts. The method of treatment is to begin with an exact definition of the topic to be handled. passages of Scripture bearing on it are then brought together, and this is followed by a series of extracts from the writings of notable authors which may be useful for the exposition and illustration of the ideas. Under the title, e.g., of "The Church," we have first a brief account of the etymology of the word, then a statement of the applications of the term in the New Testament, a collection of the various passages referring to the Church as holy, apostolic, Catholic, visible, militant, triumphant, and in connection with these various topics a number of quotations from Tertullian, Cyprian, Augustine, Luther, Calvin, Pearson, Hook, Liddon, Maurice, etc. The book is less loaded with superfluous matter than are most of its class. It should be a considerable help to those who have little spare time and meagre libraries.

Under the title of *The Divine Love*, the Rev. Charles J. Abbey, Rector of Checkendon, publishes a series of short studies, first on the "Sterner Element in Divine Love," and then on the "Tenderness and Breadth of Divine Love," as these are exhibited in the New Testament. His object is to "alleviate the difficulties which hinder many from accepting the Gospel as a revelation of perfect love"—difficulties which arise specially from the doctrine of a retributive future. The book is an argument in favour of "the larger hope". It is written in a devout and modest spirit, and in persuasive language. It is successful with all those words of the New Testament in which man's future is viewed in

¹ London: Macmillan & Co., 1900. Cr. 8vo, pp. xiv. + 370. Price 6s.

the light of what God is; it is less successful in dealing with those which view it in relation to what man is, and the possibilities that lie in his will. In the case of the gravest declarations it attempts to relieve them or to make them indefinite by the familiar methods of interpretation, taking the word "eternal," e.g., to mean only "protracted," the "too late" of the Parable of the Virgins as pointing to a forfeiture of joy which may not be intended to be final, the "outer darkness" as the expression of a punishment "fitted to make a sinful soul long for the restoration of the light," etc.

The current number of the Journal of Theological Studies opens with a sermon on "Balaam" preached by Dr. Lock before the University of Oxford, in which an attempt is made to solve the enigma of the prophet's character and conduct by a critical analysis of the Hebrew text. Mr. Burkitt gives a careful and useful account of the Christian Palestinian Literature. Mr. Turner furnishes an interesting and hitherto unpublished stichometrical list of the canonical books. taken from a manuscript of Canons belonging "as early at any rate as the twelfth century" to Freisingen. The Rev. T. B. Strong begins a study of the history of the theological term "Substance". A valuable paper is contributed by the Rev. F. R. Tennant on the view given in the books of Ecclesiasticus and Wisdom of the entrance of sin and death. And among other things there is a learned and informing article by Dr. Barnes on the influence of the Septuagint on the Peshitta. The general result is that the influence of the LXX. is "for the most part sporadic, affecting the translation of a word here and a word there "; that there is more of the LXX. in the later text of the Peshitta than in the earlier; that it is only in the Psalter that "any general Greek influence bringing in a new characteristic is to be found". That new characteristic, according to Dr. Barnes, "is a dread of anthropomorphisms from which the Syriac translators of the Pentateuch were free". The whole number is a particularly good one.

The International Journal of Ethics makes a good beginning for 1901 with its January issue. Professor W. R. Sorley

gives an appreciative sketch of "Henry Sidgwick," and Mr. F. H. Hayward of Gonville and Caius College writes on the "True Significance of Sidgwick's 'Ethics,'" of which he says that "coming at the end of a long series of other attempts at Hedonistic construction" it "bears witness not only to the inroads of idealistic thought but to the internal weakness and bankruptcy of Hedonism itself". There are other papers well worth reading, such as Professor D. G. Ritchie's on "War and Peace".

In the January issue of the American Journal of Theology, which is an excellent number throughout, the Rev. L. H. Schwab presents a strong "Plea for Ritschl," defending him against the charge of subjective idealism, and claiming for his theology that it is a "vindication of the simple faith of the gospel" and that it "brings us back to that for which Christ essentially stood". The most elaborate article is by Professor Kamphausen of Bonn. It is on the prophecy regarding Shebna in Isaiah xxii. 15-25. It is of a very detailed order, and is intended mainly to "read a lesson of caution and modesty from the errors to which able expositors have given currency". Of these errors he makes up a very pretty list. Kuenen comes in for repeated criticism, but Duhm, G. A. Smith, Cheyne, Kittel, Delitzsch and others also pass under sharp review.

There are several articles in the January issue of *Mind* that will at once attract attention. One of these is an appreciation of "Henry Sidgwick" by Mr. Leslie Stephen. Another is a very penetrating paper by the late Henry Sidgwick himself on "The Philosophy of T. H. Green". There are also other papers of excellent quality, such as one by H. R. Marshall on "Consciousness, Self-consciousness and the Self," and a discussion of "Experimentation in Emotion" by C. S. Myers.

The most important paper in the first issue of the *Presby-terian and Reformed Review* for the year is one by Professor Warfield on "Predestination in the Reformed Confessions". It is a very erudite paper, going over the whole field with ample citation of authorities. It deals with the doctrine of Predestination as "the central doctrine of the Reformation,"

a doctrine rooted in the sense of the sinner's dependence on the free mercy of God and one for which the Roman Catholic system has no vital place. The general result of this historical study is that the Reformed Creeds are remarkably at one in their views of the mystery of Predestination, and that the Westminster Standards "in their exposition of its elements receive the support of the entire body of the Reformed Creeds at every salient point". There are also good papers of a different kind in this number, e.g., one by Professor Frank Hugh Foster on "The Minister of the Twentieth Century," and one by the Rev. W. H. S. Demarest on "Reconstruction in the Sunday School". The latter advocates the direct exercise of the government of the Church upon the school, a revision of the class system in the direction of larger classes and fewer teachers, a more practical connection between the school and the worship of the Church, and a more logical arrangement of the curriculum.

The Bibliotheca Sacra for January opens with a paper by Professor Jacob Cooper on "The Passage from Mind to Matter," the point of which is to show how the "difficulties of perception, or the problem of how two disparate factors can act on each other, vanish," inasmuch as they are not two, save in phenomena. "They are one in reality. As they are united in the evolution of new forms, their action is immediate; and as they are ever together in the production of phenomenal action, there is no bridge to cross between them." Professor S. I. Curtis contributes a good paper, full of information and fair in its attitude to criticism, on "The Book, the Land, the People," dealing with the method of Revelation in the Old Testament, and showing how difficulties disappear when the phenomena of the Bible, its authorship and its transmission, are looked at as they really are. There are also other readable articles, e.g., one on Coleridge by Professor T. W. Hunt.

The Spiritual Letters of Edward Bouverie Pusey,1 revised and

¹ London: Longmans, Green & Co., 1901. Cr. 8vo, pp. xvii. + 330. Price 5s. net.

prepared for publication by the Rev. J. O. Johnson, M.A., Principal of Cuddesdon Theological College, and the Rev. W. C. E. Newbolt, M.A., Canon and Chancellor of St. Paul's, are issued in a new and cheaper edition. It is a wise and welcome step. No one can understand the Oxford movement who does not understand Pusey, nor can any one understand Pusey who does not know him in the inward life disclosed in these letters. Much of his time was spent in dealing with the difficulties of individual souls by conversation or by correspondence. He met these in multitudes of cases with a wisdom as well as a patience which cannot but be admired. In the counsels and reprimands which he offered we see at times the influence of his peculiar views, but as a general rule they are of a larger and simpler order. We see him also in these letters in very different moods, tender and severe, anxious and confident, at one period dwelling on the sterner and more solemn aspects of Christian truth, at another turning his face to the side of hopefulness and peace. The letters are arranged as those on "Counsel and Sympathy," those on "Intellectual Difficulties," and those on "Theological and Ecclesiastical Subjects". There is a closing chapter which gives "Fragments of Conversations and Letters". In all the various kinds of letters much will be found that is helpful. His answers to correspondents troubled with intellectual difficulties having their origin in scientific and philosophical ideas, are in many cases as remarkable for their unexpected largeness of view and courage as for their acuteness. No ingenuous mind, however far apart from Pusey in certain things, can read these letters without feeling his respect for the writer increased, or without having his sense of indebtedness quickened.

The fifth year of the new series of the Theological Translation Library starts with two volumes which are very different in kind but each of them both important and seasonable. The one is Professor Eberhard Nestle's Introduction to the Textual Criticism of the Greek New Testament. The translator

¹ London, Edinburgh and Oxford: Williams & Norgate, 1901. 8vo, pp. xvi. + 351. Price 10s. 6d.

is the Rev. William Edie, B.D., King Edward, and he has succeeded in giving us a very readable English rendering. The book itself is one that will be much valued by New Testament scholars of all different tendencies, even by those who do not agree entirely with Professor Nestle's critical views. The book is less complete than Scrivener's "Plain Introduction" in narrative and descriptive matter, nor can it compete with Hort's volume in mastery of the history of texts and in grasp of principles. But it brings all up to date, and makes as good a handbook as the student could well desire. Dr. Nestle has the gift of admirably lucid exposition. He has also wide and varied erudition, by which, however, he never suffers himself to be overburdened. He gives us, therefore, a very clear and useful statement of the history of the printed text, the materials of criticism, and the theory and praxis of the subject. He has a good deal to say of Codex Bezae and the Western text, which is not very conclusive, but he modestly confesses himself to be "now less in a position than ever to make any definite proposals as to the way in which the goal of the textual criticism of the New Testament is to be reached". A series of critical notes on a considerable number of select passages is added. These notes are always interesting and contain much curious matter. It is difficult to discover any consistent method in the arguments of these notes, but they often call attention to readings that are apt to be overlooked, and they have some light to throw on others that are more familiar.

The other volume in this series of translations is Harnack's What is Christianity?¹ The translator is Mr. Thomas Bailey Saunders, and he has performed his task faithfully and well. It is quite a pleasure to read this rendering of a course of lectures which made so great an impression on the academic audience for whom they were originally prepared, and which have been so eagerly received in their printed form. They

¹ London, Edinburgh and Oxford: Williams & Norgate, 1901. 8vo, pp. 301. Price 10s. 6d.

give us the conception of Christianity which is formed by a great theologian, a statement of what the Gospel is in relation to asceticism, social questions, questions of public order, civilisation, creed, etc., and a sketch of the developments through which the Christian faith has passed in Greek Catholicism, Roman Catholicism and Protestantism. Professor Harnack takes our real authorities to be the Synoptical Gospels, and he takes Christ's mission and message to have been pre-eminently the revelation of God as Father. He declares, indeed, that the Gospel, as it was preached by Christ Himself, was primarily concerned "with the Father only and not with the Son". But he recognises Christ at the same time to be the only way to the Father; he holds that we must gather our idea of the nature of Christ from the impression made by Him on the first Christian community; and he tells us that that community "called Jesus its Lord because He had sacrificed His life for it, and because its members were convinced that He had been raised from the dead, and was then sitting on the right hand of God". There are some sufficiently doubtful positions in these lectures, and some which do not seem to hang well together. To some of these we have referred in noticing the German issue. But there is much that should counteract extreme negation and help faith. It is important also to get in this popular form Professor Harnack's estimates of the great historical Churches. Eastern Catholicism is regarded by him as in many respects "part of the history of Greek religion rather than that of the history of the Gospel". Roman Catholicism in like manner is to be regarded as "part of the history of the Roman World-Empire," the Popes ruling like Trajan and Marcus Aurelius, Peter and Paul having the place of Romulus and Remus, and the archbishops that of the proconsuls. In the Reformation he recognises the great qualities of inwardness and spirituality. the fundamental thought of the God of Grace, His worship in spirit and in truth, and the idea of the Church as a

community of faith. The Reformation, therefore, was the renewal of religion; it was the Gospel re-won.

We have another volume from the active pen of the pastor of Plymouth Church, Brooklyn, Mr. Newell Dwight Hillis. It is on The Influence of Christ in Modern Life, and is explained as a "Study of the new problems of the Church in American Society". It makes very pleasant reading, and is richly furnished, we are inclined to say almost overloaded, with quotations, literary references and anecdotes. These, however, are always to the point. The book dwells on the apologetic side of things and the most obvious aspects of the problems in view. It cannot be said to take us far within them. Mr. Hillis flings out now and then against the theologians, that is to say, against those of another school than his own. He has, it would seem, a dear delight in doing so, although he theologises and even dogmatises in a very pretty way himself. Sometimes, we regret to see, he allows himself in this odium theologicum to slip into sad offences against Here, for example, is how he speaks of an article of the Evangelical faith-"Time was when men talked about being clothed with righteousness and character, as if God was a wholesale dry goods merchant and kept great bales of integrity and cut off a new character suit for each poor sinner". It is difficult to say which is worst in a sentence like this—whether the taste, the confusion of ideas, or the lack of understanding. Better things are to be expected of Mr. Hillis than this. He will no doubt leave such things behind him soon, and come to see that the great doctrinal conceptions which have been the inspiration and the strength of the saintliest and most fruitful lives have more in them than he at present imagines and deserve to be spoken of in more respectful terms. Meanwhile in this volume he gives an exposition of some of the broader aspects and the more immediate applications of Christian truth which will be read not only with interest but with profit. There are those, not

¹ New York: The Macmillan Company, 1900. Cr. 8vo, pp. xiv. + 416. Price 6s.

a few, to whom it will bring a helpful message, and it is so attractively written that the reader is not likely to lay it down unfinished.

Mr. F. Crawford Burkitt of Trinity College, Cambridge, publishes Two Lectures on the Gospels,1 which were delivered at the University Extension Summer Meeting, Cambridge, 1900. The first deals with the text, the second with the Gospels as separate works. On both topics they give in clear, broad outline, a statement which can be easily followed and is worth following. They show considerable independence also, and raise some points for consideration. In dealing with the close of Mark's Gospel, Mr. Burkitt makes good use of the Gospel according to Peter, and thinks it most probable that the author of the latter writing knew and used our second Gospel in its original form before it had lost its last leaves. He gives a brief but very lucid statement of the reasons for concluding that the Synoptical Gospels have a written source, and for taking Mark's Gospel itself, not a document underlying it, to have been the document which was independently used in the composition of the first and third Gospels. When he comes to the problem of the fourth Gospel, he devotes considerable attention to the witness of the Acts of John, reminding us that that curious document shows that a devout Christian of the middle of the second century or thereby "saw no harm in inventing speeches and putting them into the mouth of his Lord". He thinks this may help us to understand the peculiar character of the fourth Gospel, but when he speaks of the discourses of that Gospel as "theological lectures" constructed out of Christ's brief and simple words, he overstates the case. Recognising the versimilitude of the record of words and events in the fourth Gospel, and unable to regard it as throughout the accurate report of an actual eve-witness, he falls back on Matthew Arnold and the Muratorian Canon, and concludes that the "work was issued in St. John's

¹London: Macmillan & Co., 1901 Cr. 8vo, pp. 94. Price 2s. 6d. net.

name, and very likely with his approval, by one who had gathered his materials from the lips of the apostle".

We have a very good Commentary on The First Epistle of St. Peter,1 which is the work of the Rev. J. Howard Masterman, M.A., Principal of the Midland Clergy College. It is meant for a help to candidates for Deacon's Orders. But it is much more than a textbook furnishing what is useful for the purposes of professional examinations. It is the work of one who knows what scientific exegesis is. There are occasional slips of a minor order. On p. 8, e.g., Dr. A. B. Davidson is credited with the authorship of an Introduction to the New Testament. A well-known German authority is designated more than once as Weizsächer, and we get Agbarus for Abgarus, dilatores for delatores, etc. But the book throughout is scholarly and also considerably independent. Masterman adheres to the prevalent opinion that Rome is the place of writing, and as to the date he regards the reign of Vespasian as, on the whole, the most probable time. thinks that in Nero's time the mere profession of the religion of Christ was becoming a capital offence, and does not admit that there is anything in the Epistle to necessitate its being placed so late as Trajan's reign. In speaking of the "Presbyters" as they appear in this Epistle, Mr. Masterman allows that there is "some doubt whether at first they corresponded more closely to our clergy or our churchwardens". Most questions, both in the Introduction and in the exegesis. are handled not only with ability but in an eminently fair spirit.

Truths New and Old² is the title given to a collection of sermons preached in the Parish Church of Rochdale by the Ven. James M. Wilson, M.A., Vicar of Rochdale and Archdeacon of Manchester. There are four discourses on the Incarnation, four on the Advent, and others on our Lord's

¹London: Macmillan & Co., 1900. Cr. 8vo., pp. x. + 190. Price 3s. 6d. net.

² Westminster: Archibald Constable & Co., 1900. Cr. 8vo, pp. xii. + 372. Price 6s.

Divinity, Prayers for the Departed, Conversion and Renewal, etc. There are also some of a different kind-studies of Balaam, Manaen, St. Paul at Thessalonica, etc. general object of the volume is to express old doctrines in ways "not out of harmony with new modes of thought, and to show that all new knowledge may be absorbed into the Christian faith without destroying it". So Archdeacon Wilson in dealing with the Incarnation seeks to present it as the ultimate truth to which Nature points, and as the satisfaction of human instincts and aspirations, while he sets it forth as the distinctive and central truth of Christianity. On all the subjects, doctrinal, ethical, apologetical, exegetical, practical, which he takes up he has something to say that is worth listening to, and all is written in an admirably clear and simple style, relieved of all technical terms. most others Archdeacon Wilson has the enviable gift of terse, lucid exposition. He writes always in a broad and liberal spirit, and in a way that takes us into the inward meaning of things. It is good for one to read a book like this, which shows how the main truths and principles of Christianity commend themselves to a distinguished Churchman who is also an experienced teacher, and a man familiar with the science of the age.

We are indebted to Mr. Henry St. John Thackeray, M.A., Divinity Lecturer in Selwyn College for a volume on The Relations of St. Paul to Contemporary Jewish Thought.\(^1\) It is the essay which gained the Kaye Prize for 1899. Mr. Thackeray has been fortunate in his subject. He could not have got one that better fitted the time and the need, and he has made diligent use of his opportunity. He does not claim any originality for his work, and he frankly acknowledges the limitations of his acquaintance with certain parts of the field he has to traverse. But he has succeeded in giving a careful and useful account of Jewish thought in St. Paul's time. He brings together much pertinent matter relating to the points of contact between the popular Jewish ideas and

¹ London: Macmillan & Co., 1900. Cr. 8vo, pp. xvii. + 260. Price 6s.

the Apostle's writings, the way in which his doctrine was influenced by these ideas, his use of the Old Testament, his method of interpretation etc. He has studied the best literature on the subject, or to speak more correctly, much of that literature; for there are some remarkable gaps in his references. The result is a summary statement that will be of decided service to many.

His book has some serious defects. He has not thought himself sufficiently either into the great ideas of the Old Testament or into those of Paul. He is not particularly strong in imagination or in historical insight, and is apt to measure the great things of the Apostle by a very modern, English University view of things. He adopts much too easily interpretations of important passages of the Epistle which burden the Apostle with inconsistencies that are alien to his writings, and with millenarian and other peculiar conceptions which have no real place in them. Least of all can he enter into those profound doctrinal ideas of which Paul is the great exponent and which belonged to his very life. Justification by faith and doctrines akin to that Mr. Thackeray seems to regard as to a large extent Rabbinical and unreal, and now and again he betrays a tendency to depreciate the Apostle. On the other hand there are many points that are admirably handled. Among these we may refer in particular to the discussion of such terms as "the last Adam," "the second man from heaven," etc. And as a whole the volume is a good contribution to the study of the Pauline teaching.

We notice also a biographical sketch of John Ruskin, by R. Ed. Pengelly, compact, well-written, and to be cordially recommended to the attention of young readers in particular; a series of short, simple, devout meditations on The Surrendered Life, prepared by the Rev. J. Wilbur Chapman, D.D., with a special view to the edification of young people of the Society of Christian Endeavour; a further instalment

¹ London: Andrew Melrose. Sm. cr. 8vo, pp. 127. Price 1s. net.

² London: The Sunday School Union. Pp. 79. Price 1s.

of the Biblical Illustrator, edited by the Rev. Joseph S. Exell, M.A., giving a great wealth of anecdote, simile, expository and homiletic comment and the like on the Books of Chronicles. Ezra, Nehemiah and Esther; Auf dem Wege der Wahrheit,2 a series of brief essays, by Dr. G. Karv, in which much is said that should be helpful to inquirers on such subjects as God and the World, Man, Revelation, Christ, Faith, the Bible, the Church, etc.; Individualität und Persönlichkeit,3 a clear and instructive statement of a difficult question by Professor Hermann Lüdemann of Bern; the second part of Professor F. W. Stellhorn's study of the Pastoral Epistles, Die Pastoralbriefe Pauli,4 those dealt with being 2 Timothy and Titus (with an appendix on the epistle to Philemon), and the method being to give both a translation and an exposition, which are done in a good and useful style; Our National Church Trouble, Diagnosis and Remedy, by Andrew Simon Lamb, the intention of which is to demonstrate the Protestant character of the normal constitution of the Church of England, and to find relief from the present disorders not by disestablishment but by getting the episcopate filled by Protestants; the eleventh volume of The Preacher's Magazine,6 conducted with great ability by Messrs. Mark Guy Pearse and Arthur E. Gregory, containing many instructive and useful papers by men like Professor Findlay, Messrs. Maggs, Moulton, Beet, Pope, Platt, Workman and others, who have the gift of recognising the subjects that are of most immediate interest to preachers and of writing effectively on them.

¹ London: Nisbet & Co. 8vo. Price 7s. 6d.

² Tübingen und Leipzig: J. C. B. Mohr; London: Williams & Norgate, 1901. Cr. 8vo, pp. vii. + 123. Price 1s. 9d.

³ Rektoratsrede gehalten am 66 Stiftungstage der Universität Bern den 17 November, 1900. Bern: Benteli. 8vo, pp. 24. Price M.o.9o.

⁴ Gütersloh: Bertelsmann; London: Williams & Norgate. Cr. 8vo, pp. 147. Price 2s. 6d. net.

⁵ London: Nisbet & Co., 1900. Sm. cr. 8vo, pp. 77. Price 1s.

⁶ London: C. H. Kelly, 1900. 8vo, pp. 580. Price 5s.

Record of Select Literature.

I.—OLD TESTAMENT.

- Eтнт, W. Die Purimsage in der Bibel. Untersuchungen üb. das Buch Ester u. der Estersage verwandte Sagen des späteren Judentums. Ein Beitrag zur vergleich. Religions-geschichte. Berlin: G. Reimer. 8vo, pp. v. + 92. M.2.40.
- Gunkel, H. Genesis, übers. u. erklärt. Göttingen: Vandenhoeck & Ruprecht. 8vo, pp. viii., lxxiv. + 450. M.9.80.
- PATERSON, J. A. The Book of Numbers. Critical edition of the Hebrew Text printed in colours, exhibiting the composite structure of the book. Leipzig: Hinrichs. Lex. 8. Pp. 67. M.5.50.
- ONGEVAL, G. van. Praelectiones in geographicam biblicam et antiquitates Hebraicas. Ghent: Siffer. 8vo, pp. viii. + 140. Fr.2.
- BAENTSCH, B. Exodus-Leviticus, übers. u. erklärt. 1. Abth., die histor. Bücher. ii. Bd. 1. Thl. Göttingen: Vandenhoeck & Ruprecht. 8vo, pp. iv. + 441. M.8.
- Nowack, W. Richter-Ruth, übers. u. erklärt. 1. Abth., die histor. Bücher. iv. Bd. 1. Thl. Göttingen: Vandenhoeck & Ruprecht. 8vo, pp. xxviii. + 201. M.4.8o.

OLD TESTAMENT ARTICLES.

- VIREY, P. Note sur le pharaon Ménephtah et les temps de l'exode. Rev. Bibl. Int., 4, 1900.
- Schloegl, N. Études métriques et critiques sur le livre des Proverbes. Rev. Bibl. Int., 4, 1900.
- ROTHSTEIN, J. W. Psalm 78 ein Zeuge für die jahwistische Gestalt der Exodus-Tradition. Z. f. wiss. Theol., 4, 1900.

- SCHMIDT, Nathanael. The Book of Jeremiah. New World, Dec. 1900.
- SHECHTER, Prof. S. Geniza Specimens. Jewish Quarterly Review, Jan. 1901.
- CONYBEARE, F. C. The Testaments of the Twelve Patriarchs. Jewish Quarterly Review, Jan. 1901.
- CONNOLLY, Dom. On Job xxxiv. 20. Journal of Theological Studies, Jan. 1901.
- TENNANT, Rev. F. R. The Teaching of Ecclesiasticus and Wisdom on the Introduction of Sin and Death. *Journal of Theological Studies*, Jan. 1901.
- KAMPHAUSEN, Prof. Adolf. Isaiah's Prophecy concerning the Major-Domo of King Hezekiah. American Journal of Theology, Jan. 1901.
- Schürer, E. Zu ii. Macc. vi. 7. (Monatliche Geburtstagfeier). Ztschr. f. d. neutest. Wissenschaft u. d. Kunde des Urchristentums, ii., 1.
- SAYCE, Prof. A. H. Recent Biblical Archæology. Expository Times, March 1901.
- ALLEN, Rev. Willoughby C. The Old Testament Quotations in Mark. Expository Times, March 1901.
- Osgood, Howard. Isaiah the Myth and Isaiah the Prophet. Bibliotheca Sacra, Jan. 1901.
- ACKERMAN, Rev. A. W. Ezekiel's Contribution to Sociology. Biblical World, Feb. 1901.
- HARPER, William R. Constructive Studies in the Priestly Element in the Old Testament. II. The History of Worship in the Earlier Old Testament Period. Biblical World, Feb. 1901.

II.—NEW TESTAMENT.

- GEISENDORF, T. L'avènement du roi messianique, d'après L'apocalyptique juive et les évangiles synoptiques. Cahors: Coueslant. 8vo, pp. 256.
- FOUARD, C. The Last Years of St. Paul, translated by G. F. X. Griffith. London: Longmans. Cr. 8vo, pp. 336. 9s.

- NESTLE, Eberhard. Introduction to the Textual Criticism of the Greek New Testament, translated by William Edie. London: Williams & Norgate. 8vo, pp. 368. 10s. 6d.
- ABBOTT, Edwin A. Clue: a Guide through Greek and Hebrew Scripture. London: Black. 8vo, pp. 178. 7s. 6d. net.
- MOFFATT, James. The Historical New Testament. Edinburgh: T. & T. Clark. 8vo, pp. xxvii. + 726. 16s.

NEW TESTAMENT ARTICLES.

Montefiore, C. G. Rabbinic Judaism and the Epistles of St. Paul. Jewish Quarterly Review, Jan. 1901.

HIRSCHFELD, Dr. H. Mohammedan Criticism of the Bible. Jewish Quarterly Review, Jan. 1901.

BARNES, Dr. W. E. On the Influence of the Septuagint on the Peshitta. Journal of Theological Studies, Jan. 1901.

DAVIES, Rev. Dr. J. Ll. The "Righteousness of God" in St. Paul. Journal of Theological Studies, Jan. 1901.

WABNITZ, A. Quelques observations sur le chapître xvi. de l'épître aux Romains. Rev. d. Théol. et d. Quest. Rel., 5, 1900.

BLASS. Priscilla und Aquila. Theol. Stud. u. Krit., I, 1901. BRUSTON, C. Les saints Évangiles. Revue de Théologie et

des Questions Religieuses, ix., 6.

BERTHOUD, J. Remarques sur quelque passages de l'Évangile de Jean et des Actes des Apôtres. Revue de Théologie et des Questions Religieuses, ix., 6.

COFFIN, C. P. Two Sources for the Synoptic Account of the Last Supper. American Journal of Theology, Jan. 1901.

- CORSSEN, P. Die Recension der Philoxeniana durch Thomas von Mabug. Ztschr. f. d. neutest. Wissenschaft u. d. Kunde des Urchristentums, ii., I.
- JANNARIS, A. St. John's Gospel and the Logos. Ztschr. f. d. neutest. Wissenschaft u. d. Kunde des Urchristentums, ii., I.
- HARNACK, A. Zu Lc., i. 34, 35. Ztschr. f. d. neutest. Wissenschaft u. d. Kunde des Urchristentums, ii., I.

- KELMAN, John. St. Paul the Hebrew, the Greek, and the Roman. I. St Paul the Hebrew. Expository Times, March 1901.
- LEWIS, Dr. Agnes Smith. What have we gained in the Sinaitic Palimpsest? Expository Times, March 1901.
- RAMSAY, Prof. W. M. The Statesmanship of Paul. Contemporary Review, March 1901.
- EVERTS, Rev. W. W. The Argument from Silence as applied to the Account of the Nativity. *Homiletic Review*, Feb. 1901.
- HERSMAN, C. C. The Parable of the Unjust Steward. The Bible Student, Feb. 1901.

III.—HISTORICAL.

- FUNK, F. X. Das Testament unseres Herrn u. die verwandten Schriften. 1. u. 2. Hft. Mainz: F. Kirchheim. 8vo, pp. xii. + 316. M.g.
- Dunin-Borkowski, St. v. Die neuern Forschungen üb. die Anfänge des Episkopats. Freib. i. B.: Herder. 8vo, pp. viii. + 187. M.2.40.
- GUMMERUS, J. Homöusianische Partei bis zum Tode des Konstantius. Ein Beitrag zur Geschichte des arian Streites in den J. 356-361. Leipzig: A. Deichert Nachf. 8vo, pp. iv. + 196. M.4.
- Arnold, C. F. Die Ausrottung des Protestantismus in Salzburg unter Erzbischof Firmian u. seinen Nachfolgern. Ein Beitrag zur Kirchengeschichte des 18 Jahrh. 1. Hlfte. 8vo, pp. vii. + 102. M.1.20.
- AINSLIE, Douglas. John of Damascus. London: Unicorn Press. Cr. 8vo, pp. viii. + 129. 5s. net.
- Purves, Rev. Dr. G. T. Christianity in the Apostolic Age. London: Smith, Elder & Co. Cr. 8vo. 6s.
- CRAIK, Sir Henry. A Century of Scottish History. Edinburgh and London: Blackwood & Sons. 2 vols. 8vo, pp. xiii. + 486, x. + 472. 3os. net.

HISTORICAL ARTICLES.

- CONYBEARE, F. C. On the Date of Composition of the Paschal Chronicle. Journal of Theological Studies, Jan. 1901.
- BURKITT, F. C. Christian Palestinian Literature. Journal of Theological Studies, Jan. 1901.
- Schlecht, L. Pirkheimers zweite Komödie gegen Eck. Hist. Jahrbüch., xxi., 2 u. 3, 1900.
- Burrage, Henry S. Why was Roger Williams banished?

 American Journal of Theology, Jan. 1901.
- HENNECKE, E. Die Grundschrift der Didaché und ihre Recensionen. Ztschr. f. d. neutest. Wissenschaft u. d. Kunde des Urchristentums, ii, 1.
- PREUSCHEN, E. Ein altchristlicher Hymnus. Ztschr. f. d. neutest. Wissenschaft u. d. Kunde des Urchristentums, ii. 1.
- ROGER, Walter, M. Ultramontanism in Canada. Presbyterian and Reformed Review, Jan. 1901.
- DE Soissons, Count S. C. A German Movement against Pessimism. Contemporary Review, March 1901.
- McLanahan, S. Recent views regarding the origin of the Lord's Supper. The Bible Student, Feb. 1901.

IV.—DOCTRINAL.

- WAXER, G. Der Subjektivismus in Frank's "System der christlichen Gewissheit". Ein Beitrag zum Verständnis seiner Theologie. Gütersloh: C. Bertelsmann. 8vo, pp. 137. M.2.20.
- HERRMANN, W. Ethik. Tübingen; J. C. B. Mohr. 8vo, pp. ix. +200. M.4.40.
- Vaughan, J. S. La Vie d'outretombe, ou raison et révélation sur l'immortalité de l'âme. Clermont-Ferrand: Bellet. 16mo, pp. 207.
- Weaver, L. Christian Theology: a Concise and Practical View of the Cardinal Doctrines and Institutions of Christianity. Dayton, O.: Unit. Brethren Pub. House. 8vo, pp. xiii. + 381. \$2.

- Burwash, N. Manual of Christian Theology on the Inductive Method. London: Marshall. 2 vols. 8vo, pp. 454, 414. 12s.
- CALEY, W. B. Russel. The Doctrine of Justification according to Scripture and the Church of England. London: Stock. 18.

DOCTRINAL ARTICLES.

- LACY, Rev. R. L. Sin and Holy Scripture. Indian Evangelical Review, Jan. 1901.
- STRONG, Rev. T. B. The History of the Theological Term "Substance". Part I. Journal of Theological Studies, Jan. 1901.
- PAUL, Herbert. The Roman Catholic Doctrine of Indulgences. Nineteenth Century and After, Feb. 1901.
- LAIDLAW, Rev. A. S. Pauline Anthropology and Christian Doctrine. I. Christ and Adam. Expository Times, March, 1901.
- DORNER, A. Einige Bemerkungen zu neuern Ansichten über das Wesen der Religion. Z. f. Wiss. Theol., 4, 1900.
- BRÜCKNER, W. D. Christologie des Marcusevangeliums. Prot. Monatsh., 11, 1900.
- Fries, S. A. Jesu Vorstellungen von der Auferstehung der Toten. Z. f. neutest. Wiss., 4, 1900.
- LAFON, L. Étude sur la Sainte-Cène. Revue de Theologie et des Questions Religieuses, ix., 6.
- CONN, Prof. H. W. Some Questions that Evolution does not answer. Methodist Review, Jan.-Feb. 1901.
- GIFFORD, Rev. M. W. Christianity not an Evolution. Methodist Review, Jan.-Feb. 1901.
- Schwab, Rev. L. Henry. A plea for Ritschl. American Journal of Theology, Jan. 1901.
- HARRIS, Prof. J. Rendel. A Tract on the Divine Nature of God. American Journal of Theology, Jan. 1901.
- Warfield, Prof. Benjamin B. Predestination in the Reformed Confessions. Presbyterian and Reformed Review, Jan. 1901.

DE ROSNY, Léon. Le Nirvâna. L'Humanité Nouvelle, Fevr. 1901.

GOODSPEED, George G. The Atonement in non-Christian Religions. Biblical World, Feb. 1901.

DARLING, T. G. The Eschatology of our Lord. The Bible Student, Feb. 1901.

WARFIELD, Prof. Benjamin B. The Fundamental Significance of the Lord's Supper. The Bible Student, Feb. 1901.

V.—PHILOSOPHICAL.

JACKSON, H. On some Passages in the Seventh Book of the Eudemian Ethics attributed to Aristotle. Cambridge: University Press. 8vo, 2s.

SMYTH, John. Truth and Reality, with special reference to Religion. Edinburgh: T. & T. Clark. Cr. 8vo, pp.

262. 4s.

GOMPERZ, Theodor. Greek Thinkers. A History of Ancient Philosophy. Authorised edition. Vol. I. Trans. by Laurie Magnus. London: J. Murray. Roy. 8vo, pp. 626. 14s. net.

ARRÉAT, Lucien. Dix années de philosophie. Études critiques sur les principaux travaux publiés de 1891 à 1900.

Paris: Alcan. 12mo, pp. iv. + 18o. Fr.2.50.

BINET, Alfred. L'Année psychologique, publié avec la collaboration de H. Beaunis, Th. Ribot et Victor Henri, 6e année. Paris: Schleicher. 8vo, pp. 554. Fr.15.

Godard, André. Le Positivisme chrétien. Paris: Bloud et Barrat. 8vo, pp. 374. F.5.

PHILOSOPHICAL ARTICLES.

STEPHEN, Leslie. Henry Sidgwick. Mind, Jan. 1901.

Russell, B. On the Notion of Order. Mind, Jan. 1901.

MARSHALL, Henry. Consciousness, Self-consciousness, and the Self. Mind, Jan. 1901.

Sidgwick, Henry. The Philosophy of T. H. Green. Mind, Jan. 1901.

- CALDWELL, W. Schopenhauer and present Tendencies. New World, Dec. 1900.
- CHAPMAN, John Jay. The Unity of Human Nature. International Journal of Ethics, Jan. 1901.
- STRATTON, George M. A Psychological Test of Virtue.

 International Journal of Ethics, Jan. 1901.
- MEAD, Prof. George H. A new Criticism of Hegelianism: is it Valid? American Journal of Theology, Jan. 1901.
- MATTHEWS, Washington. L'Étude de l'éthique chez des races inférieures. L'Humanité Nouvelle, Fevr. 1901.
- COOPER, Jacob. The Passage from Mind to Matter. Bibliotheca Sacra, Jan. 1901.

VI.-GENERAL.

- GLOAG, Rev. Dr. Paton. Evening Thoughts. Edinburgh: T. & T. Clark. Cr. 8vo, pp. 294. 4s.
- HILLIS, N. D. The Influence of Christ in Modern Life. London: Macmillan. Cr. 8vo, pp. 430. 6s.
- HORT, F. J. A. Sermons on the Books of the Bible. London: Macmillan. Cr. 8vo, pp. 152. 3s. 6d.
- JOWETT, Benjamin. Sermons on Faith and Doctrine. London: Murray. Cr. 8vo, pp. 374. 7s. 6d.
- Peabody, F. G. Jesus Christ and the Social Question. London: Macmillan. Cr. 8vo, pp. 380. 6s.
- ALLEN, Prof. A. V. G. Life and Letters of Phillips Brooks. London: Macmillan. 2 vols. 8vo, pp. 670, 968. 3os. net.

GENERAL ARTICLES.

- HOWORTH, Sir H. H. The Early History of Babylonia. IV. The Earliest Semites. English Historical Review, Ian. 1901.
- HENRIQUES, H. S. Q. The Jews and the English Law. Jewish Quarterly Review, Jan. 1901.
- Scott, Rev. T. J. The Problem of Islam. Indian Evangelical Review, Jan. 1901.

- WHERRY, Rev. Dr. E. M. Methods of Evangelistic Work among Muslims. Indian Evangelical Review, Jan. 1901.
- NICKLIN, J. A. The Greek View of Life. International Journal of Ethics, Jan. 1901.
- Yokio, Tokiwo. Education in Japan. International Journal of Ethics, Jan. 1901.
- RICHARDSON, Dr. Ernest C. Theological Universities and Theology in the Universities. American Journal of Theology, Jan. 1901.
- FOSTER, Frank Hugh. The Minister in the Twentieth Century. Presbyterian and Reformed Review, Jan. 1901.
- SHEDD, William A. Mission Policy and Political Principles.

 Presbyterian and Reformed Review, Jan. 1901.
- Demarest, W. H. S. Reconstruction in the Sunday School. Presbyterian and Reformed Review, Jan. 1901.
- CONDER, Lieut.-Colonel C. R. Results of Syrian Stone-Lore. Homiletic Review, Feb. 1901.

N

WASHBURN, Rev. Dr. George. The Present Duty of the Church toward the Mohammedan World. Homiletic Review, Feb. 1901.

CONTENTS.

		PAGE
SERAPHIM'S THE SOOTHSAYER BALAAM	By the Rev. Henry Hayman, D.D., Ulverston	195
WILLISTON WALKER'S THE REFORMA-	By the Rev. C. Anderson Scott, M.A., London	202
Macpherson's A History of the Church of Scotland from the Earliest Times down to the Present Day	By the Rev. C. G. M'CRIE, D.D., Ayr .	205
JOWETT'S SERMONS ON FAITH AND DOCTRINE	By the Rev. Henry Hayman, D.D., Ulverston	209
STUBBS' PRO PATRIA OWEN'S "I SAY UNTO YOU" MATHESON'S STUDIES OF THE PORTRAIT OF CHRIST, II. GLOAG'S EVENING THOUGHTS LANG'S THE MIRACLES OF JESUS	By the Rev. W. B. COOPER, M.A., Bristol	214
SMITH'S MODERN CRITICISM AND THE PREACHING OF THE OLD TESTAMENT ADLER'S STUDENT'S HEBREW GRAMMAR GIESEBRECHT'S DIE GESCHICHTLICHKEIT DES SINAIBUNDES STAERK'S STUDIEN ZUR RELIGIONSUND SPRACHGESCHICHTE DES ALTEN TESTAMENTS LITTMANN'S UEBER DIE ABFASSUNGSZEIT DES TRITOJESAIA FÜLLKRUG'S DER GOTTESKNECHT DES DEUTEROJESAIA	By the Rev. J.A. SELBIE, M.A., Maryculter	219
CRAIK'S A CENTURY OF SCOTTISH HISTORY	By ROBERT S. RAIT, M.A., New College, Oxford	
Ménégoz' Publications diverses sur le Fideisme	By the Rev. A. HALLIDAY DOUGLAS, M.A., Cambridge	
ROTHSTEIN'S DIE DYNASTIE DER LAHMIDEN IN AL-HÎRA VOL. XI.—No. 3.	By the Rev. Professor G. G. CAMERON, D.D., Aberdeen	239

		PAGE
Weiss's Die Predigt Jesu vom Reiche Gottes Weiss's Die Idee des Reiches Gottes in der Theologie	By the Rev. Lewis A. Muirhead, B.D., Broughty-Ferry	243
Jäger's Luther's religiöses Interesse an seiner Lehre von der Realpräsenz	By the Rev. Charles F. Fleming, B.D., Middlesborough	249
Moffatt's The Historical New }	By Principal S. D. F. SALMOND, D.D., Aberdeen	252
Notices	By the Editor	257

BUTLER'S SCOTTISH CATHEDRALS AND ABBEYS; GARROD'S THE SECOND EPISTLE TO THE THESSALONIANS; How'S WILLIAM CONYNGHAM PLUNKET; INTERNATIONAL JOURNAL OF ETHICS; HOMILETIC REVIEW; BIBLIOTHECA SACRA; PRESBYTERIAN AND REFORMED REVIEW; REVUE D'HISTOIRE ET DE LITTÉRATURE RELIGIEUSES; MIND; JOURNAL OF THEOLOGICAL STUDIES; TAYLOR'S HEBREW-GREEK CAIRENE PALIMPSESTS; BEEBY'S DOCTRINE AND PRINCIPLES; RAIT'S THE SCOTTISH PARLIAMENT BEFORE THE UNION OF THE CROWNS; BALLARD'S THE MIRACLES OF UNBELIEF; PRINCIPLES OF RELIGIOUS EDUCATION; GOULD'S THE BIBLICAL THEOLOGY OF THE NEW TESTAMENT; NASH'S THE HISTORY OF THE HIGHER CRITICISM OF THE NEW TESTAMENT; BACON'S AN INTRODUCTION TO THE NEW TESTAMENT; HANDLEY'S THE FATAL OPULENCE OF BISHOPS; FALCONER'S FROM APOSTLE TO PRIEST; JACKSON'S JAMES MARTINEAU; BURTON AND MATHEWS' CONSTRUCTIVE STUDIES ON THE LIFE OF CHRIST; WESLEYAN METHODIST MAGAZINE; BIBLICAL WORLD; HOMILETIC REVIEW; ANTIQUARY; GENEALOGICAL MAGAZINE; L'HUMANITÉ Nouvelle; Methodist Review; Monatsschrift für die Kirchliche PRAXIS; ZEITSCHRIFT FÜR DIE NEUTESTAMENTLICHE WISSENSCHAFT UND DIE KUNDE DES URCHRISTENTUMS; VOPEL'S DIE ALTCHRISTLICHEN GOLD-GLÄSER; HENSEL'S THOMAS CARLYLE; WEYMOUTH'S ON THE RENDERING INTO ENGLISH OF THE GREEK AORIST AND PERFECT; RADE'S REINE LEHRE EINE FORDERUNG DES GLAUBENS UND NICHT DES RECHTS; RADE'S DIE WAHRHEIT DER CHRISTLICHEN RELIGION; TAMM'S DAS WESEN DES EVANGE-LISCHEN GLAUBENS; BRAASCH'S UEBER ERNST HAECKEL'S WELTRÄTSEL; Bräunlich's Das Fortschreiten der "Los von Rom-Bewegung" in OESTERREICH; VOLCK'S CHRISTI UND DER APOSTEL STELLUNG ZUM ALTEN TESTAMENT; FUCHS' SCHLEIERMACHER'S RELIGIONSBEGRIFF UND RELIGIÖSE STELLUNG ZUR ZEIT DER ERSTEN AUSGABE DER REDEN; ADAMS' THE MOSAIC TABERNACLE; GAUTIER'S VOCATIONS DE PROPHÈTES; HORT'S SERMONS ON THE BOOKS OF THE BIBLE; BURNS' COUNSELS FOR CHURCH PEOPLE; HOLTZ-MANN UND KRÜGER'S THEOLOGISCHER JAHRESBERICHT.

RECORD OF SELECT LITERATURE

275

The Soothsayer Balaam.

13

19

52

57

By the Very Rev. Seraphim, Bishop of Ostrojsk (From the Russian). London: Rivingtons, 1900. 8vo., pp. 352. Price 10s.

"DECIDEDLY not worth translating," must be on the whole the verdict on this attempt of a translator, who prefers to be anonymous, to present the lucubrations of the Russian prelate of Ostrojsk to the British public. Occasionally in the text and nearly always in the notes appear traces of an infantile mental attitude, which gathers the flowers of foreign scholarship, mostly German, in heaps as they come to hand; while the power of extracting their essence and arranging their quantified value is feebly and fortuitously exercised. At the same time the spirit of childlike faith in the sacred record which they evince is refreshingly acceptable, and by the term "infantile" above used, no depreciation of that spirit is intended. It is meant rather to intimate the lack of power in the discriminative handling of modern sources, accumulated in such vast volume by recent research. A note on p. 10 tells us that

Fresh evidence cannot be allowed to change opinions established long ago in our orthodox exegesis with regard to the political position of the nations of Syria and Palestine, . . . as these opinions are founded on the direct evidence of the Bible itself.

This seems to assume for "orthodox exegesis" a position assured beyond evidence and above criticism—a dangerous assumption, because uniting and identifying itself with "the direct evidence of the Bible," with the overthrow or invalidation of the "exegesis" there follows, in the eyes of its votaries, a similar result to the Scriptural record. It is probable that the object of inspiration, so far as it concerns "the nations," whether "of Syria and Palestine" or others, is to declare their relations and responsibility to God as a moral and

spiritual Governor, supreme over all, and to regard their "political position" only as incidental to this. Those relations are necessarily closer in regard to the people of Israel than in the case of extern races, because a divinely ordered polity formed part of their national existence.

On Preface pp. v.-vi. we read that:-

Scientific researches concerning this portion of the Book of Numbers could never have been considered either quite complete or thoroughly trustworthy, until the seventies of the present (late) century, when the wonderful discoveries made amongst the forgotten ashes of the ancient nations of the East were revealed.

One would suppose that among the authorities guaranteeing these "discoveries," that of Professor Sayce on the Hittite empire, and Major Conder's Heth and Moab, which goes over the very ground on which the scene of the Balaam-Balak episode is enacted, and the Tel el Amarna tablets, which have done more than any discovery of the late century to lift the veil from the political position of the nations of Syria and Palestine shortly before the Exodus, would occupy foremost places. On the contrary, the two former are wholly ignored, while the tablets only come in for scanty and secondary mention. The notes are lengthy and often recondite; they include textual and etymological criticism, exegesis, topographical and ethnographical research, the theories held regarding ancient magic and modern hypnotism; and fortify these with copious quotations from the list of authorities stated in the preface. Hebrew investigations as to the origin or form of individual words in the text abound. Of these a sample selected purely by accident is the following from chapter ii., "The Blessing and the Curse," p. 41:—

It is to be noticed that בְּקב, except in the signification "to prick," "to pierce," "to wound with a word," is also used in the sense of burning (see Steinberg under the word אַרב). The word אָרב, and the latter signifies amongst other meanings "to chisel," "to hollow out"; whence proceeds אַרבֹם a groove, manger, and הָּבָב, to be inflamed (cf. the Latin areo, uro).

This sentence is a three-decker of nonsense piled on nonsense.

Let us pass over the faux-pas of English which states that the two verbs named "is also used," and consider what can be meant by a Hebrew verb "being used in the sense of burning" except in the sense of pricking? Perhaps we have a mistranslation. At any rate the translator has cast his English sentence into a form which insures nonsense, whatever sense may have been in his mind. "The word is similar to ארה "is an etymological parody of Fluellen's famous parallel of "Macedonia" and "Monmouth". As well might one argue by comparing in Latin ficus to fucus, or urge that in English foot "is similar to" food, or pillar to pillow. The primary meaning of ארה is "to gather" (the fruit) and by consequence "to strip" (the tree) (see Cant. v. 1, Ps. lxxx. 3); as we say "to pluck" feathers and "to pluck" a fowl. There is no noun ארוֹח. The word probably intended, but misspelt and mis-pointed, is אָרָיָה (also אָרָיַה), but found only in the plur. הֹז = (I Ki. v. 6, 2 Chr. xxxii. 28), meaning "stalls for horses". Again we have הַרָה mis-spelt הַרָה (which means "to conceive"). The comparison of the Latin areo, uro is false to etymology, the latter having been buro (cf. bustum and comburo). But what all this, I mean the blunders, has to do with elucidating the Hebrew verb used as "to curse," it would puzzle all critics from Aristarchus downwards to say. This is no solitary instance. These offensive puddles of ignorant pedantry are constantly in the way for the reader to step into. The verb "אַרָה, to burn, to be inflamed with fury (Fürst, Steinb.)" meets us again, p. 198, note 2. And here it may be noticed that a Hebrew verb and noun which have a syllable in common are the subject of two assumptions made by modern German orientalists; (1) that one is derived from the other, which may or may not be true; (2) that the meaning of the derivative must be found also in the root. They forget that a mere accidental quality, often purely secondary, may determine the sense of the derived word; e.g., "oil,"

derived from the verb נהר, "to shine". It seems to follow that to assume any essential feature as determining the precarious link between this and its primitive is a rash and risky step. As an example of the way in which in this English translation the Hebrew element is "scamped," take p. 212 note. In the Hebrew words quoted there the letter \(\bar{\bar} \) should appear seven times over. In five of these it is misprinted 7. Earlier instances are on p. 16, note 2, TND for TND, on p. 21, ll. 5, 6, the word הנהר is misprinted נחר, and הנהר not only misprinted חנהר, but wrongly translettered as ganagar. Again on p. 42 we have a note on another verb also meaning "to curse," in its pihel form. And this is intended to be the statement, but as printed it is "in the form Ri". Here, of course, pi., the abbreviation of pihel, was meant. On p. 144 we have a note on a difficult Hebrew phrase בּדֹרָט (misprinted again as ביירט: "according to the latest investigations ירט (misprinted again as ידט means to lead to destruction. Schultens has proved by many passages that, properly speaking, this word means a path which it is impossible to quit, and which leads to destruction (Job xvi. 14)." In this Job text the verb יַרָץ occurs, which, having two letters in common, may have sufficed for our investigator. But more probably it is a misprint for Job xvi. 11, where ירשני (suffixed with object of 1st person), "he will cast me" occurs. The word is found nowhere else in the entire Old Testament; therefore, whence Schultens got his "many passages" in proof of its meaning is not easy to see. Gesenius notes that the Arabic warat = "to throw down, to ruin". "Thy way is precipitous against me," in the sense of "violently opposed to me," might thus be the resulting interpretation.

On page 169 we have a perfectly needless note on the familiar in the usage of Jeremiah for "a bare hill-top," which gave the proposing seer his wide horizon needed. Rejecting this, the author says, "There is, on the contrary, very good reason to take the word was corresponding by its formation

with the little word "קרי". There is no such "little word". He probably means (and mis-spells) קרָב, used several times with הָלַב (verb) in the phrase "walk contrary to" of Lev. xxvi.

There are plenty more of this sort of blunders, but space demands that we pass from form to substance. The author has highly elaborated his portraiture of Balaam. It is the chef d'œuvre of the volume. We learn (p. 77) that "he had the gift of inspired foresight, of vision, of foretelling," repeated (p. 80) in "he could foresee as well as conjure," and combined . . "the sorcerer with . . . the seer". Here follows in some three pages an attempt to trace these powers etymologically in his name—not very successful nor decisive—as "master of the word and action," p. 83. "He is represented as a Chaldean soothsayer gifted with powers of exorcism and cursing," to which end "he made use of his wonderful vigorous powers of will," p. 84. The question is then raised,

¹The English syntax is again faulty; if we pursue the sentence after אָרִי. we read, "and by its subordinate position (and shortness) serves . . . only to determine the manner of going". Here "corresponding" requires "serving".

was he really so endowed, or were his claims delusive? It is settled (p. 89) by affirming the reality, "that he called forth or removed misfortunes, with God's permission, by means of some supernatural innate force of will". "Trances similar to hypnotical sleep, or in a state of ecstasy," had been previously ascribed to him on p. 84. The question is then raised, "How did he discover this faculty in himself?" (p. 89). Then follows a brief statement of the Chaldean profession, study and practice of ancient magic, while a note (p. 91) informs us that "Experimental knowledge is meant here under the name of mysterious sciences" . . . and that "the enchantress of Endor belongs to the class of powerful mediums". The result is that Balaam (p. 91) was "surrounded" in his Aramean home "by sufficiently favourable circumstances for the development of his wonderful gifts" . . . "had abundant opportunities for trying his powers" . . . with "the result" that "he discovered in himself peculiar forces" in what consisting? is the next question; and to answer it we travel back again over "hypnotism" and "self-confident will". But a subsidiary question is raised (pp. 92-3), was he "likewise . . . a clear-sighted wise man?" After a comparison of Solomon, Ahithophel, Ethan, Job's four comforters, and other samples of Eastern wisdom we read that :-

It is reasonable to suppose that Balaam was acquainted with science to the same extent, for the Chaldean magi were also called wise men (Dan. ii. 12, etc.). Balaam, as a conjuror and foreteller, must also have been a wise man, and had the same knowledge of life as the wise men of Israel (pp. 95-6).

"An extraordinary lively imagination . . . a strongly developed memory . . . an eye for symmetry and an ear for harmony" are some few of "the characteristic features of the spiritual organism with which Balaam must have been endowed" (p. 94).

Space fails us for the completion of this somewhat ideal portrait, but the above will enable the reader to form his opinion. We think it will be that our author displays an excess, rising to exuberance, of imaginative rhetoric, and a

defective logic. His geography is diffuse, but weak, e.g., we learn on p. 175, note I, that "Mesopotamia" is "according to Deut. xxiii. 5, the river Aram, Northern Syria, near Tipsak and Circesium". There is no "river Aram" in Deut. loc. cit. or elsewhere, and "Circesium" is far away south-eastwardly down the Euphrates. He has confused this with the Hittite "Carchemish," although elsewhere he appears to know the distinction, e.g., p. 24, note I. A little sketch map like that prefixed to Professor Sayce's "Hittites" would have cleared up, or rather wholly spared, this geographical muddle of discursive notes. His topography of the Bamoth-Baal, a region to which Major Conder, as above noted, furnishes a lucid clue, lacks similarly that clear-cut outline which presents the scene to the life, and gives the setting to the picture.

The exegetic matter (and often the textual criticism) proceeds wholly from the standpoint (natural to the author but therefore to the English reader precisely the reverse) of the Græco-Sclavonic, or the Russian "orthodox" versions, quoted again and again in native Ruski in the notes. By the English translator all this should have been sunk.

HENRY HAYMAN.

¹ Again on p. 23, the Amu "lived in the north-east of Egypt"—perhaps a translator's slip for "to the north-east". The attempted identification of Chittim and Het, p. 241, note 1, may be doubtfully classed as geographical or Hebraistic. It could hardly have occurred to a writer who knew that Chittim begins with a ⊇ and Het with a □. For this last we have all the Egypto-Assyrian variants of Heta, Hita, Huta (Har or Hal), Hattai and Hatti (pp. 22, 26)—a needless bewilderment.

The Reformation.

Eras of the Christian Church.

By Williston Walker. Edinburgh: T. & T. Clark, 1901. Cr. 8vo, pp. 478. Price 6s.

THE series of handbooks on Church history entitled The Eras of the Christian Church, edited and for the most part written in the United States, is already favourably known in Britain, especially since the publication of Mr. Vernon Bartlet's excellent volume on the Apostolic Age. volume on the Reformation is contributed to the same series by Professor Walker of Hartford, and it may be said at once that it is fully worthy of its fellows. It is no easy matter which the author has undertaken, to treat within the compass of five hundred pages a movement so widespread, so profound and having so many centres as the Reformation. He has avoided the certainty of failure by wisdom in delimiting his field and in choosing his method. He has not thought it necessary to follow out the Reformation into all its issues, and has sketched but "cursorily the political struggles of the later Reformation age," so obtaining space to "treat with relative fulness its initial and formative stages". And at the same time he has refrained from crowding his picture with subordinate personages and details, So far as these are essential to a true presentation of the movement they are skilfully grouped in the seventh and eighth chapters, which describe the fortunes of the Reformation in the outlying countries, and the views of the radical extremists. England is specially reserved for full treatment in a separate volume. The bulk of this volume is thus left clear for comparatively full presentation of the three central streams of the movement.

In face of the acknowledged difficulty of the writer's task it is perhaps ungracious to suggest that the introductory chapter would well have borne considerable expansion. For those who come fresh to the study of the Reformation nothing is more essential than a clear view of the condition of the Church at the end of the Mediæval period, its doctrinal corruption and its moral degeneracy—such a view as is given in the opening chapters of Professor Fisher's excellent work on this subject. The old rubric, Reformers before the Reformation, may be somewhat effete; nevertheless, there is something very impressive in the recognition of the reforming movement of the sixteenth century as due to the sudden expansion of a stream of evangelical life and teaching which, often very thin, often obscured and still more often misnamed, had persisted through many centuries alongside of the broader stream of Catholic tradition. This, however, is a question of proportion, and Dr. Walker's introduction, so far as he has carried it, is clear and accurate.

The greater part of his material is naturally grouped round the names of Luther, Zwingli and Calvin. Through the very complicated history of the German Reformation he threads his way with ease and certainty. He has a quick eye for the bearing of political considerations on many of the critical religious problems of the age. Just emphasis on this factor is particularly important, as, for example, in regard to the Marburg Colloquy, to whose comparative ill-success this consideration largely contributed. Luther's reluctance to meet Zwingli and his whole attitude throughout the conference are only to be properly understood in view of his unwillingness to appear associated with him in imperial politics.

Zwingli is beginning to receive some of the attention formerly concentrated upon his two greater compeers. Of him it has been lately said that if Luther, Melanchthon, Zwingli and Calvin were to appear to-day, the one who would have to do least to adapt himself to modern ways of thought and the man who could soonest gather an enthusiastic following would be Zwingli. The remark opens a field

for curious speculation. Which of the four, for example, would be most amazed at the use to which his name is put to-day? To the Zurich Reformer Professor Walker does full justice. On the Eucharistic controversy he makes it clear that Zwingli's intense polemic was directed against every theory of physical presence in the elements, against that so strangely advocated by Luther quite as much as against the Roman view. The whole account of Zwingli's work at Zurich is excellently well done.

Not less successful is the presentation of John Calvin, his character and his influence. In distinguishing Calvin's views from those of his two predecessors the writer avoids the mistake of throwing their respective theologies into excessive contrast. He rightly lays stress on the fact that the difference between them was in many points difference of emphasis rather than of principle. His method of dealing with the problems of Calvin's policy and his government of Geneva is marked by candour and insight. And, in fact, this is one of the conspicuous qualities of the book and a very welcome one—the exceeding fairness of the author's judgments. This extends even to those who were opponents of the Reformation. A fairer estimate of the various personalities and forces which were engaged in the great struggle it would be difficult to find.

C. ANDERSON SCOTT.

A History of the Church in Scotland from the Earliest Times down to the Present Day.

By John Macpherson, M.A., Author of "Commentary on the Epistle to the Ephesians," "Christian Dogmatics," etc. Paisley, and 26 Paternoster Square, London: Alexander Gardner, Publisher to His Majesty the King, 1901. 8vo, pp. viii. + 458. Price 7s. 6d.

Before entering upon the field of ecclesiastical history, Mr. Macpherson had done good service in other departments of theological literature. In the series of handbooks for Bible classes and private students edited by Professor Dods and Dr. Whyte there are three that bear his name—The Westminster Confession of Faith, The Sum of Saving Knowledge, and Presbyterianism, all of which are executed with competent knowledge and insight. Then in the field of exegesis Mr. Macpherson has given us a Commentary on the Epistle to the Ephesians, and in that of systematic theology a work on Christian Dogmatics, both volumes displaying thorough acquaintance with the province to which they relate, and a decided gift of clear exposition.

And now, in the book bearing the above title, Mr. Macpherson comes before the reading public in the character of a Church historian. In a goodly octavo volume of 441 pages he undertakes to give his readers an account of ecclesiastical and religious movements in Scotland, from the original planting of Christianity down to the close of the nineteenth century. To the execution of this undertaking, he has brought to bear all those qualities which rendered him successful as annotator and expositor. Within the comparatively small compass of twelve chapters, we have a vast amount of well-digested information. The outlining and proportioning of the successive periods in the ecclesiastical

history of the country reveal a just appreciation of the relative importance of the movements, and the headings of the chapters are happily conceived and worded.

There are histories and histories. Some that profess to be such are merely chronicles, enumerating facts and factors, dates and deeds in a dull dry-as-dust fashion, with nothing of colour or atmosphere to render the narrative living and warm, with nothing that reveals appreciation of movements or insight into the forces that make the history of a people. To this class of historical writings there belongs worthy and wordy Wodrow's History of the Sufferings of the Church of Scotland, and perilously near to it, if not actually within it, there come the eight cold and colourless volumes of John Hill Burton's History of Scotland.

Mr. Macpherson's work is more than a chronicle. It may not be one of original research such as that of Dr. Hume Brown—too many of the books mentioned by our author in the "Literature" prefixed to the several chapters are of second rate and even third rate value—and it may not rank along-side of that marvellous product of historical genius, John Richard Green's Short History of the English People. Still, it is history in the best sense of the word. It is the work of one who is a thinker as well as a chronicler, who has the artistic feeling in addition to the collecting instinct.

By some critics Mr. Macpherson may be charged with being too extreme and unqualified in his estimate of particular men and movements. In the chapter which deals with the "Ascendancy of the Moderates" he says of Principal Robertson's administration that, although it was extolled by many of his contemporaries and immediate successors, "by more recent critical historians of the Church and period it has been almost unanimously condemned". That is too sweeping a statement. Mr. Macpherson's chapter was probably written before the publication of Sir Henry Craik's Century of Scottish History, and so that most recent apology for moderatism and the moderates could not modify his opinion as to the eighteenth century leader's place in present day estimation. But he surely is not ignorant of Principal John Cunningham's

estimate of the service rendered by Robertson in strengthening patronage and improving what the St. Andrews historian calls "the criminal procedure of the Church". Has he forgotten Dean Stanley's lecture on "The Moderation of the Church of Scotland," in which the policy of Dr. Robertson—that "model of ecclesiastical statesmanship, the true Archbishop of the Church of Scotland"—is lauded for its "complete independence of worldly influence combined with complete vindication of the superiority of the law to ecclesiestical caprices"? Moderatism has still its apologists, and Erastianism its advocates.

Other reviewers may find fault with our author's treatment of certain movements on the ground of inadequacy and of names conspicuous by absence. It certainly seems strange to read an otherwise admirable narrative of the Ten Years' Conflict, with its consequent separation, without ever coming upon the name of such a protagonist as Robert Smith Candlish. To some it will seem even more strange to find that neither at that crisis of the Church in Scotland, nor at any subsequent stage of her history, is James Begg so much as mentioned. Surely he was, in his day, as potent a factor in the ecclesiastical life of the country as, say, Dr. Robert Lee or "Mr. David Macrae of Gourock," both of whom obtain mention in the closing chapter devoted to "Recent Ecclesiastical Developments and Religious Movements".

For such blemishes the author alone is responsible; but there are others responsibility for which must be shared jointly by author, printer and publisher. Either proof revision has been entrusted to an incompetent reader or portions of the book have been carried through the press with such extreme haste as not to admit of any revision at all. There are errors in spelling, and some of these rob the sentence in which they occur of all point. Thus Andrew Melville is made to call his sovereign, "God's silly vessel," instead of vassal; and the wife of John Welsh of Ayr—worthy daughter of John Knox—who repelled with scorn the suggestion of the same royal bully that she should persuade her husband to submit to the bishops, by spreading

out her apron and saying, "Please, your Majesty, I'd rather kep his head there," has the force and grim reference of her words taken out of them by the meaningless substitution of, "I would rather keep his head there".

There are inaccuracies in grammar, such as the coupling of a singular nominative with a plural verb, and vice versa. There are transpositions in the figures of dates, as for example when Benedict XIII., the anti-Pope, is stated to have claimed papal rank "from A.D. 1378 to A.D. 1714," and when, on page 243, the active persecution of John Brown of Wamphray is said to have begun "in May, 1762," although shortly before that the author of the Abologetic Narration is represented as "probably settled in Wamphray about 1638". And there are blunders not a few in the naming of places and persons. Thus the Galloway covenanters who captured Sir James Turner in Dumfries are represented as thereafter marching all the way to "Argyleshire" instead of stepping into Ayrshire. In the case of persons, Principal Hadow, the opponent of the Marrow men, figures as "Principal Haddow"; Thomas Colier, one of the founders of the Relief Church, as "Mr. Collier": Lord Rutherfurd as "Mr. Rutherford afterwards a distinguished judge". John Brown of Haddington, author of the Self-Interpreting Bible, receives at the hands of Mr. Macpherson what no university conferred upon him during his life, the honorary degree of D.D.; and Sir Henry Moncreiff Wellwood, the biographer of Dr. John Erskine, suffers a double disfiguring of his name which appears in two successive chapters as "Sir H. Wellwood Moncrieff".

These and other inaccuracies are obviously slips either of the penman or the pressman. The exercise of a little care in revision of the proofs would have rectified them, and it is safe to predict they will not disfigure the pages of subsequent editions of a work which so amply merits reprinting.

C. G. McCrie.

Sermons on Faith and Doctrine.

By the late Benjamin Jowett, M.A., Master of Balliol College. Edited by the Very Rev. the Hon. W. H. Fremantle, D.D., Dean of Ripon. London: Murray, 1901. Cr. 8vo, pp. 354. Price 7s. 6d.

An evident attempt to rescue a remnant of faith in the Unseen from extinction in many minds hard pressed by special temptations to unfaith, such as the last quarter of the nineteenth century presented, is the keynote of these discourses. A disciple, on the contrary, of St. Paul, echoing that Apostle's determination "to know nothing" among the doubters of our day, "but Jesus Christ and Him crucified," would find but little to foster his resolution, and, candour compels the avowal, would find not a little to shake it. the attempt to find a common standing ground, where the rescue of that remnant may be effected, is boldly and honestly made; and may with a large class of minds be successful, or at any rate prove sympathetic and acceptable. True, this common ground is, from a Christian standpoint, largely The great primary attributes of God, neutral territory. Love, Justice and Truth, are repeatedly invoked. emerge ever in the foreground; and the effect of their prominence is to overshadow all that is most characteristic of "God in Christ reconciling the world to Himself," through the incarnation and redemption effected by the Divine Son, and through the sanctifying and sacramental grace imparted by the Holy Spirit. The reader, indeed, rises from the perusal of these sermons with the thought, what a first-rate philosophic heathen the late Master of Balliol would have made, how enthusiastic a preceptor, how illustrious an example, of the Platonico-Pyrrhonist School! He might Vol. XI.—No. 3. 14

have been "a guest" not "with Daniel at his pulse," but with Socrates at his pint of hemlock; might have discoursed of the good, the true, the beautiful, the ideal good as rooted in the idea of God, have insinuated a qualm of doubt as to the conclusiveness of the argument in the *Phaedo*, have shown how the doctrines of all the leading schools might be "reworded" into each other, and have ended by vowing the final cock to Asklepius, as the curtain fell.

"On Faith and Doctrine" is their assumed title, i.e., on a faith independent of creeds and a doctrine which soars above dogma. Yet there are here and there noble passages which individually command assent and compel acceptance. Take, for instance, the statement on p. 15 of the inherent and insuperable "distance between man and the lower animals".

Even in his external characteristics the difference is enormous. How, in any struggle for existence, could the brain of man have been developed, which is said to be three times as great in proportion to his size as that of any known animal? How did he acquire his upright walk, or the divisions of his fingers, or the smoothness of his skin, all which might be useful or suitable to him in his human condition, but could not have tended to preserve him in his previous struggle. How did he learn to make or use tools, and especially the greatest of all of them, that is, fire? Who taught him language, or gave him the power of reflecting on himself, or imparted to him the reverence for a superior being, of which there seem to be no traces among the animals? . . . The approximation, though striking to the eye, is not in what is characteristic of man, but in what is not characteristic of him. Still the chasm remains between the jabbering of animals and the language of man, between the stationariness of animals and the progress of man, between the instinct or imitative powers of animals and the reason of man.

Again, in the "Additional Sermon on Friendship" we find some noble thoughts. There, indeed, the thinker's course was more unfettered. He had all the ancient world behind him where to choose, and justly remarks that, "partly owing to the different character of domestic life, the tie of friendship seems to have exercised a greater influence among the Greeks and Romans than among ourselves". He notes with enthusiasm the open door of friendship in youth; and after discussing the triple-graded friendship of "the ancients,"

adds, in reference to the highest kind, that "for the sake of the noble and the good":—

They seek to impart to one another the best which they have; they inspire one another with high and noble thoughts; they may sometimes rejoice together over the portion of their work which has been accomplished, and take counsel about that which remains to be done. . . . They desire, if I may use a homely expression, to keep one another up to the mark; not to allow indolence, or eccentricity, or weakness, to overgrow and spoil their lives.

Again, who would not concur heartily in the following founded on "One having authority" (St. Matt. vii. 29)?

In the exercise of authority there must be a basis of kindness and goodwill; but many other qualities are also required in those who would influence or control others. Perhaps there must be a degree of reserve, for the world is governed not by many words but by few, and nothing is more inconsistent with the real exercise of power than rash and inconsiderate talking. We are not right in communicating to others every chance thought that may arise in our minds about ourselves or about them.

As a counsel of prudence, from one who had felt the cares of office, this reads masterly.

The following gives probably a truer key to the preacher's mental standpoint than any other of equal length:—

It is not absurd sometimes to discard the ordinary use of language, and to seek to form a conception of religious truths without employing the technical terms in which theologians have described them. Half the controversies in the world would have been at an end, if this condition had been imposed upon them, neither can we really understand religious or any other propositions if we are unable to "re-word" them.

And the preacher invites us to see what follows, if we apply this method to "our judgment of men"; adding, "we can no longer divide them into theists and atheists, religious and irreligious," etc. Of course, when for exact language inexact is substituted, all tests become hazy and all distinctions, which the exact imposes, tend to vanish. In proportion as a science is exact, it at once becomes unscientific by the process, and loose thought follows loose language. Try it by "re-wording" a proposition of Euclid. "Too severe a test," some one would say. Well then, try it by re-wording

an Act of Parliament, or the medical diagnosis of diseases. No sane man would attempt this happy-go-lucky method with any subject which he regarded as supremely of importance. Jowett did not regard theological distinctions as important, and therefore recommends the experiment in vili corpore, as it appeared to him. Of course, as a mere mental exercise for ourselves, such "re-wording" may have its use; but to erect it into a practical standard of judgment, which he clearly does, is to trifle with the truth which language was meant to guard.

He gives us in effect a sample of his method on p. 351, where, as an illustration of how friendship may flow out of a religious principle, he quotes, and at once re-words, Ps. lv. 14 (Heb. 15). "'They walked together in the house of God as friends'; that is, if I may venture to paraphrase the words, 'They served God together in doing good to His creatures'." There is something approaching a travesty in this sample. The thought of the original founds companionship on the most solemn act of Divine worship in the temple; the preacher distorts it into human beneficence outside. "Peter and John went up into the temple at the hour of prayer," and on their way healed the impotent man outside. The actions which the sacred narrative distinguishes, Dr. Jowett by his "re-wording" confounds. In short to "re-word" means "to explain religion quite away".

And this compels the further remark that the preacher's quotations, in his treatment of them, lose their original point, and come in merely as garnish to a preconceived idea. So he treats Rom. xi. 32, and Gal. iii. 22 (of "God concluding all in unbelief," or "under sin"). St. Paul's context in each case shows that his meaning refers to the special mercy of salvation through Christ being thrown open to all without preference. The preacher uses them as if declaring a wholesale mercy to those who have never heard of the name

¹The phrase "as friends" is wide of the mark: "in the (festal) crowd" is Bishop Perowne's rendering: "with the throng" is R. V. Dr. Jowett here follows the paraphrase, misleading in this instance, of the Prayer-Book Psalter.

of the Saviour. St. Paul's argument in Rom. x. 13 f., "whosoever shall call upon the name . . . shall be saved. How then . . . call on him in whom they have not believed? How . . . believe in him of whom they have not heard," etc., would be an argumentum nihili with the preacher. He would probably not shrink from re-wording the Lord's Prayer, the baptismal formula, and the apostolic benediction.

On p. 246, repeated in effect on p. 249, we have the astounding statement, "The life of Christ is the life of a private man, which stands in no relation to the history of the Jewish nation". Surely Our Lord's own words before the High Priest (St. John xviii. 20), "I ever taught in the synagogue and in the temple whither the Jews alway resort; and in secret have I said nothing," are an express contradiction of the preacher; just as the entire acts and words of the Holy Week are a general refutation of his statement. Even the chief priests and Caiaphas knew better than this (xi. 48, 50, 51). They saw clearly the closest relation between His "life" and their "nation," and took their measures expressly on that ground.

So on the even more vital and awful question of what men may pray for (p. 255), one too deep in its roots to be argued here; it is enough to say that every one of the Lord's own healing mercies contradicts the canon which the preacher seeks to lay down. Finally, the sermon on immortality (pp. 317 f.) is argued throughout without any definite reference to the Resurrection of Christ as its basis in fact. The sublime words, "I am the Resurrection and the Life," nowhere appear; while a statement on p, 321 (bottom), "Nor, again, should I be disposed to rest the belief in immortality on any past fact, once happening in the course of the world's history" (our italics), appears explicitly to renounce any such basis. How far this squares with the universal tenor of apostolic preaching, "Jesus and the Resurrection," and more particularly with St. Paul's express and elaborate vindication of it in I Cor. xv. 12-18, any average reader can judge for himself.

HENRY HAYMAN.

Pro Patria! Sermons on Special Occasions in England and America.

By Rev. C. W. Stubbs, Dean of Ely. London: Elliot Stock. Pp. 182. Price 6s.

"I say unto you."

By J. W. Owen, B.A. Oxon. Melbourne: Melville Mullen & Slade. Pp. viii. + 220. Price 7s. 6d.

Studies of the Portrait of Christ, Vol. II.

By Dr. George Matheson. London: Hodder & Stoughton. Pp. 357. Price 6s.

Evening Thoughts.

By Rev. Paton J. Gloag, D.D. Edinburgh: T. & T. Clark. Cr. 8vo. Pp. 284. Price 4s.

The Miracles of Jesus, as Marks of the Way of Life.

By Rev. Cosmo G. Lang, M.A. London: Isbister. Cr. 8vo. Pp. 296. Price 6s.

THE contents of the first volume would be more accurately described as addresses. They are hardly sermons. They deal with subjects of the most diverse character. "A Thanksgiving for Shakespeare," "The Rosary of St. Michael," "The May Festival of Church and Labour," "The Health Right of the People," are among the titles, and give a very fair idea of the scope of these collected addresses.

It is right to say, as the author explains, that the publication is due more to importunities for a memorial volume, than to there being any confident message, or even to their own intrinsic importance. The different subjects have no interrelation, other than the well-known attitude of the Dean to Christian social thought and life. These several addresses have not the continuity of thought that many readers will remember in Village Politics. The truth is they are very loosely thrown together. A lumbering sentence like the following is not uncommon. "It is the contention indeed of those who accept the Christian philosophy of history as the true one, that the struggle for liberty in its various forms which has in effect been the subject of the civil history of modern Europe since the time of Christ, is directly to be traced to the primary Christian doctrine of the intrinsic value of the human soul as such" (p. 166).

By far the best of the addresses are one on International Peace, a luminous statement made at the Hague in the Embassy Chapel when the International Peace Commission was sitting there; and one on the Creed of Christian Socialism. The latter is diffuse, and, in regard to the form, open to criticism; but has the directness and thoroughness which characterise the author's works whenever he has the necessary leisure to mature expression.

The book is fresh and interesting, though fragmentary.

Mr. Owen's book is a somewhat elaborate effort to state afresh the supreme authority of Christ. There is a feeling of strain throughout the work. Terms are changed, sometimes gratuitously; as when the author adopts for the Apocalypse "The Book of the Unveiling"; the word "Katholick" as a protest against the exclusive use of Catholic by a single school or church.

The author describes his work as an essay in constructive religious meliorism. The first forty-five pages are taken up with prefatory note, another note of ten pages on the due use of terms, and an autobiographical introduction. In this last he narrates previous mental and moral errancy. To avoid the constant repetition of "writer," the name of "The Outcast" has been assumed, partly that it may stir some kind-hearted people's sympathy and so incline their ears to hear, and partly because it truly describes, to himself at

least, the position in which his expression of what he has come to regard as the Way of Truth seems to have landed him, in great measure, for the remaining days of his earthly

pilgrimage (p. 14).

A curious limitation appears, however, in his reactionary doctrine of the Church. Schism is still a fearful bogey in his imagination, although to other minds equally loyal to Christ that which he so describes would mean evangelic freedom.

The book is an earnest, honest piece of work; but has not the sharp personal imprint that betokens the true teacher.

Dr. Matheson has been encouraged by the cordial reception of his first volume to prepare these later studies for the press. The scope of the present volume is from the Feeding of the Multitude at Bethsaida Julias to the supreme event on Calvary. There is a continuation of the same method as in the former work. The human development of Jesus is again the leading purpose. We have the same rare insight, occasional extravagance of fancy and interpretation, boldness of penetration and independent imagination everywhere. Certain words seem to fascinate him for the time being, getting down on the paper by their own importunity rather than because they are the most apposite word at the moment; "glittered" is an instance on pp. 2, 218.

There is much pleasant and helpful reading of a stimulating and devotional kind; but the author does not attain the same uniform excellence as in the first volume. In particular the devotional paragraphs appended to each chapter are not so spontaneous. They are indeed more of the nature of soliloquies than of prayers. The work is fresh and a real help to the devout life; and is cordially to be welcomed alike for its strength and beauty.

Dr. Gloag has brought together in this volume some thirty sermons. They are representative of his ministry of fifty years. The book is of the nature of a memorial volume. It is dedicated to the three parishes in Scotland where the author laboured; and his old people are sure to welcome this remembrance of days that are gone.

The subjects are not consecutive. Great variety indeed obtains. All are either ethical or doctrinal; they all touch practical life. Among the themes may be mentioned: Christian Courage, The Test of Experience, Angels Examining the Scheme of Salvation, Immortality the Characteristic and Responsibility of Man, The Joy of Jesus. They are earnest, even statements of doctrine, duty and aspiration.

Mr. Lang gives us a notable volume of sermons. They are very human, simple, earnest, lucid, luminous. They place the author in the front rank of Anglican preachers.

There is nothing showy or pretentious. Learning is not obtrusive; but the knowledge of the human heart is intimate and wide. They are not apologetic sermons. Neither are they mere hortatory spiritualisings of the miracles. There is a strenuous directness and an intense devoutness which give singular power to these pages. The restatement of the miracle aims at and as a rule strikes the conscience. The spiritual significance is seized upon, skilfully illustrated and enforced. It is always fresh and suggests some pregnant truth of life.

Any of the chapters will show the method. Take the very first: The Water made Wine. There are four sections: 1, Jesus at the Marriage Feast; 2, Jesus and His Mother; 3, The Water and the Wine of Life; 4, The Best at the Last.

The treatment of the man sick of the palsy may also be instanced. Again there are four sections: 1, The Faith of Friends; 2, The Secret of the Sick Man; 3, Social Palsy; 4, Forgiveness.

"The faith of the friends availed for the sick man's healing. . . The linking of lives is one of the common mysteries of the world. The forces that mould men's lives have often their springs in the lives of others" (pp. 62, 63).

"But how is it (repentance) to be aroused? Many of the older methods avail no longer. Threats have lost their terror. It is vain now to draw pictures of the doom of the sinner, such warnings were once too common; they have lost their power. . . . The face of the man in the street as he listens to the appeals of a street preacher is a sign of the times. The sense of sin, the revival of conscience, can only come through the attractive constraining power of a vision of goodness. The man sick of the palsy must be brought into the presence of Christ. . . ." (p. 73).

"There is some old sin, haunting ever the chambers of memory, restless, unappeased. There is some 'root of bitterness springing up' and spreading its bane over all the energies of the soul. It may be in itself apparently small, a grudge, perhaps, which I will not forget; a resentment which I will not conquer. It may be a crossness of temper; an indulged irritability; a germ of jealousy; an uncontrolled looseness of speech; a habit of censorious judgment; a love of gossip; a reluctance to pierce softness of living with the

sacrifice of discipline. . . ." (pp. 67, 68).

These are specimens of passages all through the book. The book is so strong and worthy that it is an ungracious task to so much as intimate blemishes. In a second edition, however, such a barbarism as "scything," "a peasant scything the grass" should be removed.

W. B. COOPER.

Modern Criticism and the Preaching of the Old Testament.

Eight Lectures on the Lyman Beecher Foundation, Yale University, U.S.A. By George Adam Smith, D.D., LL.D., Professor of Old Testament Language and Literature, United Free Church of Scotland College, Glasgow. London: Hodder & Stoughton, 1901. 8vo, pp. xii. + 325. Price 6s.

2. Student's Hebrew Grammar, with Exercises and Vocabularies.

By Michael Adler, B.A., Minister of Hammersmith and West Kensington Synagogue; Senior Hebrew Master at the Jews' Free School, London. London: D. Nutt, 1901. 8vo, pp. viii. + 196. Price 3s. 6d. net.

3. Die Geschichtlichkeit des Sinaibundes.

Untersucht von F. Giesebrecht, Professor der Theologie an der Universität Königsberg. Königsberg i. Pr.: Thomas & Oppermann; London: Williams & Norgate, 1900. 8vo, pp. 65. Price 1s. 2d. net.

4. Studien zur Religions- und Sprachgeschichte des Alten Testaments.

Von Dr. Willy Staerk, Lic. Theol. II. Heft (I. Prolegomena zu einer Geschichte der israelitischen Vätersage; II. zur Geschichte der hebräischen Volksnamen). Berlin: G. Reimer, 1899. 8vo, pp. vi. + 85. Price M.3.

5. Ueber die Abfassungszeit des Tritojesaia.

Von Dr. Eno Littmann. Freiburg i. B., Leipzig und Tübingen: J. C. B. Mohr; London: Williams & Norgate, 1899. 8vo, pp. vi. + 52. Price M.1.50.

6. Der Gottesknecht des Deuterojesaja.

Eine kritisch-exegetische und biblisch-theologische Studie von Lic. Theol. Gerhard Füllkrug. Göttingen: Vandenhoeck und Ruprecht; London: Williams & Norgate, 1899. 8vo, pp. vii. + 119. Price 3s.

I. IF justice is to be done to Professor G. A. Smith's book, its title must be noted-Modern Criticism and the Preaching of the Old Testament. Its aim is not to establish critical results but to popularise them and to show their practical bearing. The Old Testament scholar will find little information here that is new to him, but to the working minister and the educated layman the book is meant to fill what is widely felt to be at present a serious gap. Dr. Smith has special qualifications that fit him to mediate between the expert and "the man in the street". He speaks at once with knowledge of his subject and sympathy with the perplexities of a transition period. He has the gift of clear exposition and the command of interesting language. Himself a born preacher, he may be listened to with confidence as he shows the preacher how to use the Old Testament, and fixes on the points that are of permanent homiletical value. At the present juncture the book before us possesses something of the character of a manifesto, similar to the interesting and suggestive article, "The Old Testament in the Light of To-day," by Dr. Driver in the Expositor of January last.

The eight lectures that make up the present volume were delivered before the Yale University in 1899, and are printed very much as they were spoken, except that into some of them additional matter has been introduced, based upon three more recent works, Driver's essay in Hogarth's Authority and Archaeology, Budde's lectures on the Religion of Israel before the Exile, and Charles's Critical History of the Doctrine of a Future Life. Lecture VII. has been entirely rewritten for the purpose of introducing a detailed account of the influence of the prophets upon the social ethics of Christendom.

Although, as we have said already, our author does not seek here to *establish* the results accepted by modern criticism, he finds it necessary in the first two lectures to pave the way for the practical treatment followed in the other six.

Lecture I. seeks to prove from the New Testament that Old Testament criticism is not only a liberty but a duty. While we find Jesus and His Apostles treating the old Testament as of abiding validity, Jesus yet deals very freely with some of the requirements of the Law. While the Apostles of our Lord manifestly believed even in the inspiration of the text of the Old Testament, their methods raise critical questions at every turn. Not only do they cite extra-canonical writings and appeal to questionable traditions (I Cor. ii. q: Heb. xi. 37; Jude 9, 14 f.), but they quote the Old Testament for the most part in the Septuagint version, and that even in cases where the Greek conveys an opposite sense from that intended by the original writer (I Cor. xv. 55). They quote from memory, they mix up different Old Testament passages, and appear at times (e.g., I Cor. ii. 9) to fuse an Apocryphal quotation with one from Isaiah. Still more remarkable is the Apostles' exeges in some instances (e.g., I Cor. ix. 9; 2 Cor. iii. 13 ff.; Gal. iv. 22 ff.). From all the phenomena taken together, Dr. Smith considers that we may infer, on the one hand, the abiding value of the Old Testament for the life and doctrine of the Christian Church, but, on the other hand, that the Church is not bound to obedience to all its laws or to belief in all its teachings. She must discriminate, as her Master did, and she will be helped to do this by facing the problems of textual, literary and historical criticism. The author appears to us to accomplish his purpose in this lecture, and that in a fashion which has a good deal of originality about it.

Lecture II. gives within the compass of 44 pages one of the best sketches we are acquainted with of the course and character of modern criticism. Our author has no difficulty in showing, in the first place, that the historical criticism of the Old Testament is neither so recent in its origin nor so precarious in its results as is often alleged. It had its pioneers as long ago as Simon (1680) and Astruc (1753), and the movement was carried forward by Eichhorn, Ilgen (the discoverer of the second Elohist), Geddes, Vater, de Wette, Bleek, Ewald and Hupfeld (who arrived independently of Ilgen at his results and established them on a sounder basis). In this way, as Dr. Smith points out, the main lines of the analysis of the Hexateuch had been laid down before the middle of the nineteenth century, and all subsequent investigations have only confirmed and developed them. We need not linger on the services rendered by more recent critics, like Kuenen, Wellhausen, Robertson Smith, Driver, Briggs, who have also done much for the prophetical and poetical books. Scripture students who have worked hard on the Old Testament for years know that criticism has been victorious all along the line, and that the traditional conception of the Old Testament is as completely superseded as the Ptolemaic conception of astronomy. The strength of the critical position, as Dr. Smith shows, lies in the fact that its grounds are historical not philosophical, that its conclusions are reached a posteriori, by induction, and not a priori, as part of a system. Our author is equally convincing when he shows that there is no antagonism between criticism and archæology.

Lecture III. is one to which many will turn with interest. Under the title "The Historical Basis in the Old Testament" it deals with the question, What does criticism leave us in the Old Testament? Addressing himself especially to preachers, Dr. Smith points out to them how much there is in the historical books (leaving out of account for the moment the Hexateuch) whose authenticity is unquestioned, e.g., the Song of Deborah, the Dirge upon Saul and Jonathan; that many of the stories of the Judges are universally accepted as historical; and that with Samuel we enter upon real and indubitable history. He notes too, in passing, the relief that criticism affords the preacher, relief sometimes intellectual (as when it shows him that we have often duplicate narratives of the same event, as, for instance, David's introduction to Saul), at other times moral (as when

it proves that David's dying charge to Solomon in I Kings ii, is probably late and unhistorical). How rich, again, in homiletical material is the story of Elijah! And even if, with the great majority of recent critics, the Davidic element in the Psalms has to be reduced to very meagre proportions, the religious value of the Psalter does not suffer. Nor are the profound moral and religious truths with which the stories of the Creation and the Fall are charged, discredited by the fact that their framework is myth and legend similar to what we have recovered from Babylonia. Even the historical value of the early chapters of Genesis, he thinks, is not wholly destroyed by their legendary character (p. 92). The stories of the patriarchs, although in them personal and tribal history are often confused, and later conceptions and conditions transferred to an early date, have at the heart of them historical elements. But, more important, the power of these narratives on the heart, the imagination, the faith of men can never die.

Lecture IV. deals with the evidence for a Divine Revelation in Israel. Our author finds in Israel's ethical attainments before the eighth century the distinction between her religion and that of the other Semites; traces the source of this to the introduction to the nation of Jahweh as their God; and pronounces every stage of its progress to have been achieved in connection with some impression of His character. Very interesting is Dr. Smith's criticism of Dr. Budde's utterances upon Israel's choice of Jahweh. The whole argument in this lecture is so constructed, as the author himself allows. as to produce its full force on the mind only of a believer in the Incarnation. But "if we recognise that God was in Christ revealing Himself to men and accomplishing their redemption, it cannot be difficult for us to understand how at first, under the form of a tribal deity—the only conception of the Divine nature of which the Semitic mind was capable -He gradually made known His true character and saving grace".

Lecture V. deals with the spirit of Christ in the Old Testament and deals very satisfactorily with such subjects as typology and Messianic prophecy. By the way, we regret

to find Dr. Smith still holding to the *individual* sense of the servant of Jahweh in Isaiah liii., a view which we hope to see abandoned before long. At present, however, if our author errs, he errs in good and numerous company.

Lecture VI. is one of the finest in the book. The English reader who wishes to learn what were the beliefs and the hopes of men in Old Testament times regarding immortality, will find here a statement at once clear and exhaustive. With scarcely a word of it are we inclined to disagree, and we would specially note, as helpful to the preacher, section 3, entitled "The Use to our own Day". We have, indeed, seen exception taken to the paragraph on p. 213 beginning "Yet . . . it is well for us all sometimes to pitch our religious life in terms which do not include the hope of a future". Read, however, in its connexion, there is not a wiser, a truer, or a nobler paragraph in the book.

Lecture VII., in which well-merited tributes are paid to Professors A. B. Davidson and W. R. Smith for their services to the Prophetical literature, deals with the preaching of the prophets to their own times, with some account of their influence upon the social ethics of Christendom.

Lecture VIII. shows how the Christian preacher may find valuable material for his purpose in the Wisdom literature of the Hebrews. In both lectures there is a great deal of instruction, and not a little with an intensely practical bearing, but we must ask readers to go to the volume and discover this for themselves.

Dr. G. A. Smith is a scholar who knows whereof he affirms, and it is well to remember that the verdict of scholarship will be the final verdict on the points treated of in this volume. He is at the same time aware of the responsibilities of scholarship. The work he has done here had to be done, and he has done it well. We trust that his book will find its way into the hands of many an earnest perplexed student of Scripture, for sure we are that the only safe "Lines of defence of the Biblical Revelation" (the sad misnomer of Professor Margoliouth's extraordinary articles in last year's Expositor) are such as are here laid down.

2. Mr. Adler, already known as the author of Elements of Hebrew Grammar, has published a useful manual entitled Student's Hebrew Grammar. In relation to such a work as Kautzsch-Gesenius it occupies an intermediate stage, a good deal less advanced than the unrivalled Grammar of Professor A. B. Davidson. We have gone pretty carefully through the book, and have been specially struck with the skill displayed in the construction of the exercises. The why, as distinguished from the that (e.g., in the matter of the declension of nouns) is not so clearly explained as in Davidson's Grammar. hints for learning the verb (pp. 79, 80) are, as the author claims for them, very helpful. We regret to have to add that the reading of the proof-sheets cannot have been very carefully attended to, for not a few slips have been overlooked which detract from the value of a work intended for beginners. We refer especially to the incomplete pointing of Hebrew words in many cases where it was meant to be complete. And why is Professor Kautzsch's name uniformly misspelt "Kautsch"?

3. Dr. Giesebrecht has done well to publish this pamphlet. which has grown out of a lecture he delivered at Königsberg. It deals with an interesting and difficult subject. While the historicity of a sojourn of Israel in Goshen, and of their Exodus thence under the leadership of Moses is increasingly admitted by historical criticism, a very large proportion of Old Testament scholars, headed by Wellhausen, continue to question the narrative (Exod. xx. ff., xxxiv.) of the Sinai covenant. The literary difficulties that surround this problem have long been familiar, and still more formidable are the objections from the side of the supposed religious development of Israel. Was the ancient popular notion not that of a natural relation between Jahweh and Israel? What place or need was there for establishing by "covenant" a relation which was a matter of course? Is not the whole conception of a covenant entered into at Sinai the product of reflection based on the ethical monotheism of the prophets? The pamphlet before us seeks to answer these questions and to meet the objections, which are most fully and fairly stated. Giesebrecht believes that he can trace the monotheism of Amos much further back, through men like Micaiah ben Imla and Elijah, to Moses himself, and he certainly succeeds in establishing the probability that a *choice* was made at Sinai, whether of Jahweh by Israel or of Israel by Jahweh, which may be represented as a "covenant". He appears also to meet not unsuccessfully the objection founded upon the meagre, if at all existent, references to such a covenant in the older historical and prophetical literature. We cannot, however, follow him in placing the weight he is inclined to do upon passages like Hos. vi. 7 and viii. 1.

The pamphlet merits and will repay careful study, were it for nothing more than the clear account it gives of the status quaestionis.

^{4.} This is the second and final instalment of Dr. Staerk's preliminary investigations with a view to a history of the Israelitish patriarchal stories. It deals first with the figures of the Jacob story: Jacob-Israel, Esau-Edom, Leah and Rachel, Reuben, Simeon and Levi, Dan, Joseph and Ephraim and Manasseh, Benjamin. Similar conclusions are reached to those which were formerly put forward regarding Abraham, Isaac, etc. An important part of the work is that which deals with place names and sacred spots, Sodom and Gomorrah, Beer-sheba, Hebron, Moriah, etc. finally, a section on the history of Hebrew national names, Israel, Jeshurun, Samaria, Jerusalem and Zion. Every student of the history of Israel will find material of value in the investigations of Dr. Staerk, which are conducted in a strictly scientific spirit, and will await with interest the appearance of the work for which the foundation has now been laid.

^{5.} Deutero-Isaiah has long been an accepted fact, and Trito-Isaiah (the author postulated by Duhm and others for Isa. lvi.-lxvi.) is striving hard to gain a permanent footing in the domain of Old Testament scholarship. The object

of Dr. Littmann in the pamphlet before us is not to prove that the above chapters do not belong to Deutero-Isaiah (this is rather taken for granted), but to fix their date. He finds sufficient grounds, linguistic and those connected with the subject-matter, for assigning lvi.-lxiii. 6, lxv., lxvi., to one author, Trito-Isaiah proper, who wrote after the interruption of the building of the walls, but before the arrival of Nehemiah (i.e., between 457 and 445). He ascribes lxiii. 7-lxiv. to an earlier period (538-520) and to a different pen. Some of Littmann's arguments, notably those based on supposed allusions to the Samaritans in chapters lvii., lxv. and lxvi., appear to us to be rather precarious, but that he has made a contribution of real value to the discussion of the question will be admitted by all competent judges.

6. Dr. Füllkrug writes with full knowledge of the points at issue in the Ebed-Jahweh controversy, and he has given us a very full and interesting discussion of these in the work before us, which consists of two studies, a critico-exegetical and a biblico-theological. In both there is much valuable matter, and the book may be commended to all, while it will receive a specially warm welcome in some quarters. At the same time we have to confess for ourselves that there is not a little in it from which we dissent, and in particular we differ entirely from the author when he makes the Servant to be in the mind of the prophet a personage of the *future*, who is to deliver Israel from exile. The soteriological elements in the Servant conception can be conserved without an interpretation which appears to us to be inconsistent with many passages and required by none.

J. A. SELBIE.

A Century of Scottish History.

By Sir Henry Craik, C.B. Edinburgh and London: Wm, Black-wood & Sons, 1901. 2 vols. 8vo, pp. xiii. + 486, x. + 472. Price 30s. net.

SIR HENRY CRAIK'S sketch of the making of Modern Scotland "from the days before the '45 to those within living memory" appeals to two distinct interests. Of the historical chapters of his book we do not propose to speak here. They are always carefully and often brilliantly written, and they constitute a notable addition to the sources of recent history. The philosophical and ecclesiastical side of the work will more naturally attract the readers of the CRITICAL REVIEW, and to this portion of the story we intend to confine our remarks. The only portion of the book which we might venture to describe as inadequate, is the chapter dealing with the Scottish Universities and the Scottish Philosophy, and such inadequacy arises from the fact that the North of Scotland has not the honour to number Sir Henry Craik among its sons. Scottish historians should belong to the North; Edinburgh and Glasgow will always command sufficient attention from the Aberdonian press; but what justice can Aberdeen expect from the South, which (like virtue) is self-sufficient? In dealing with Aberdeen, Sir Henry Craik's wonted accuracy deserts him entirely; he has even forgotten that Aberdeen like England once possessed two Universities. The result of forgetting the existence of Aberdeen has more than once led to disastrous results, and a just fate has not permitted Sir Henry Craik to escape. Thus he tells us that Regenting was abolished in Aberdeen in 1754, and a few pages later mentions that it was in full force during Reid's tenure of office of 1751-1766 (Sir Henry Craik says 1752, but that is Dugald Stewart's error). Both statements are partially correct; Marischal College abolished the regenting system and King's (under Reid's own guidance) maintained it for fifty years more. Reid, by the way, would not have described King's College as his Alma Mater nor Beattie as his colleague in the Professorship of Philosophy. It is a piece of poetical justice that almost all of Sir Henry Craik's few lapses from accuracy should occur in dealing with Reid, the only Aberdonian who atoned for his sin of birth by migrating to Glasgow. did not visit in 1738 "his uncle David Gregory, Professor of Astronomy at Oxford" (that great and good man had died two years before Reid was born), but his cousin, David Gregory, Professor of Modern History. May we add that an Aberdonian, in writing of Reid, could not have omitted a reference to his influence upon Cousin and French philosophy in the early part of the nineteenth century. Sir Henry Craik's injustice to Aberdeen is not confined to Reid. It was scarcely fair to say so much of Beattie and nothing of a much greater than Beattie, Principal George Campbell, the author of the Dissertation on Miracles and the Philosophy of Rhetoric. And lastly, Sir Henry has brought against the Universities of Aberdeen the serious indictment of having "apparently neglected the injunction" of the Commission of 1695 to prepare compendiums on "the generall and speciall physicks". When did Aberdeen neglect any duty? The MSS, in question are still preserved to meet Sir Henry's slanders: and, not only so, but they are preserved in Edinburgh, and so might be thought to have merited attention. The King's College MS. is in the Library of the University of Edinburgh, and the Marischal College MS. in the Register House. All this, too, occurs in a chapter in which we frequently have the author at his best; his sketches of Hutcheson, Hume, Adam Smith and Dugald Stewart are written with a sympathetic insight into their characters and aims, which none can earn who were born North of the Forth. Five years spent in Aberdeen should be an indispensable qualification for the historians of Scotland.

When we turn to the ecclesiastical chapters of the book, we find Sir Henry Craik a doughty champion of the Moder-

ates. In his second chapter, he defends the Patronage Act of 1712, on the ground that it rendered possible the existence of the Moderate party, and he describes the Patronage Act of 1874 as the result of one of "those strange moods of compliance which sacrifice principle to popularity," and of "a vain attempt to conciliate irreconcilable opponents". We agree with Sir Henry Craik that the Moderates have received but scant justice, but we doubt if the growth of a more liberal spirit in the Church can entirely be attributed to the Patronage Act. For the more extreme Presbyterians had either refused to enter the Establishment in 1689 or had been offended by the Union of 1707, and the Evangelical party were never devoid of representatives who "passed beyond a conception of religion founded upon Hebraic models, and found interest and occupation in literature and in the general intercourse of the world". Moreover, Sir Henry Craik has to acknowledge, later on, that the passing of the Act was a gross breach of faith on the part of the English Parliament which had covenanted to maintain the Church of Scotland as it existed at the Union of the Kingdoms. To this infamous Act is due directly or indirectly almost every division in the ranks of Scottish Presbyterianism. We decline, by the way, to hear, even from so staunch an advocate of party principles, anything about "irreconcilable opponents" in Scotland; they are unknown in the twentieth century.

Sir Henry Craik's account of matters ecclesiastical is written entirely from this standpoint, and we expect from him, accordingly, a satisfactory treatment of the great epoch of moderation. Nor are we disappointed, for he has given us the first concise and adequate description of the Church under moderate rule, and without unduly minimising the merits of their opponents. His treatment of Alexander Webster of the Tolbooth is, for instance, eminently fair. Into the Disruption controversy we do not propose to enter. Sir Henry Craik seems to us to take things a little too seriously when he says that "no government could have satisfied claims which in their very essence were inconsistent with the supremacy of civil law," and a little too lightly when he says that he supremacy of civil law," and a little too lightly when he says that "no government could have satisfied claims which in their very essence were inconsistent with

sympathises with smiles evoked by "the solemn guise of enthusiastic heroism which religious contentions, conscientiously no doubt, but sometimes mistakenly, assume". On many of the controversial issues raised in the book we should be constrained to differ from Sir Henry Craik. We therefore the more willingly acknowledge the many merits of his book: its pleasant style, its wide outlook, its sense of proportion, and its loyalty to Scotland. It is an essay which no subsequent student can afford to ignore.

ROBERT S. RAIT.

Publications diverses sur le Fidéisme.

Par Eugene Ménégoz. Paris: Fischbacher, 1900. 8vo. Pp. 425.

More than twenty years ago M. Ménégoz published a little work entitled Réflexions sur l'Évangile du salut. The brochure was a brief and summary statement of a certain view of the nature and contents of Christian faith. During the interval between that publication and the present time, M. Ménégoz had occasion to amplify his doctrine and explain its bearings, in the course of his New Testament studies: and throughout that period, as his views attracted attention and gained influence, they also excited a certain amount of controversy, especially in French Protestant circles. The present volume is a collection of the various contributions made by M. Ménégoz to discussion and controversy upon the nature of faith, since the first publication of his Réflexions. A book so constructed out of occasional writings is necessarily lacking in outward unity and coherence; and many of the pieces included in it are of a slight and fugitive character; but the general effect of the whole is undoubtedly to set the writer's main positions in clear relief. In viewing his problem from many different points and answering a variety of objections, Ménégoz maintains a clear and simple doctrine with great consistency and tenacity; and in spite of its apparently miscellaneous character the book leaves a single impression upon the mind. In fact, it must be allowed that the method adopted forms an admirable introduction to the author's system of ideas, and that many of the shorter fragments, which are in truth no more than letters to journals, shed rays of illumination upon cardinal points. Besides controversial pieces, articles and addresses on various occasions are included in the volume; and relevant passages from the author's published works. The original *Réflexions* are republished by way of introduction to the whole, and well serve the purpose of a summary or conspectus of the writer's position. The work of 1879 was a brilliant little performance: although no more than a sketch it was wonderfully complete in outline; the bearings of the central principle upon the various aspects of Christian life and heads of Christian theology were rapidly glanced at, and the main points of a revised theological system filled in with a light but firm hand.

The new book may be described as a collection of essays and suggestions towards a true view of the nature of saving faith, and the relations of saving faith to historical belief and theological dogma.

The chief purpose of M. Ménégoz in his various writings upon faith has been to distinguish faith from belief in theological dogma. In this effort, however, he has not been actuated (at least according to his own profession and intention) by the spirit of negation. He expressly bases the distinction of faith (la foi) from intellectual belief (les croyances) upon religious grounds, and argues for it as an application of the great evangelical and Protestant principles of the simplicity and the spirituality of faith. It is, he says, the essentially Christian view of life and religion that we are saved by faith alone, and that faith is of a moral nature—a movement of the whole personality, a spiritual quality and a spiritual act.

He accuses orthodoxy (so called) of contradicting in effect this fundamental Christian principle. The elder orthodoxy, as is well known—Catholic and Protestant alike—solemnly declared that whosoever did not firmly "believe" the dogmas proclaimed in the Athanasian Creed (Symbolum Quicunque) should without doubt perish everlastingly (absque dubio in aeternum peribit). Next, since for Protestantism all that it was needful to believe for salvation was contained in the Bible, belief in the Bible and in a certain view of its authority (croire à la Bible in abstracto) was understood to be involved in saving faith. Something more than the intellectual assent was required; but the intellectual assent was always

presupposed. The "enlightened orthodoxy of the present day" (l'orthodoxie mitigée moderne) has somewhat modified this view of what is essential to saving faith. It has pared down and attenuated its demands in the way of theological and historical belief; some of its exponents have reduced them to a minimum. There remain, however, certain dogmas and certain facts to which by the nature of the case only an intellectual assent is possible, and intellectual assent to which is considered to be a constituent element in saving "faith". (See pp. 30, 220, 252, 267.)

A singular distinction has arisen (M. Ménégoz remarks in one place) which was unknown to the Reformers, between essential and non-essential Christian facts (des petits faits chrétiens et des grands faits chrétiens). The line of distinction is variously drawn by different individuals among our modern "enlightened" theologians. "This is excessively convenient." It allows each to class among "small matters" those narratives which he does not believe or which he permits his friends to disbelieve, but to reckon as essentials of faith what he himself holds to be historically true and will not permit to be doubted. The domain of non-essentials is in our day, says M. Ménégoz, being progressively enlarged. The region of "essential facts" is by many orthodox theologians reduced to the supernatural conception and bodily resurrection of Christ (p. 220).

M. Ménégoz suggests that this whole mode of reasoning proceeds upon a false conception of the nature of faith, and of its relation to revelations of God and the truths of the

Gospel.

He defines faith as "the consecration of the soul to God". It is (to quote his own words) "un acte intérieur, libre, personnel, correspondant au péché et accompli dans la même domaine central de la vie spirituelle, un acte du moi tout entier, par lequel l'homme s'arrache au péché et se donne à Dieu" (p. 17). "La prédication de l'amour de Dieu pour la créature pécheresse rencontre un joyeux et entier assentiment dans notre âme" (p. 16). "Celui qui lui donne son cœur est sauvé, quelque justes on fausses que soient, du reste, ses

croyances, et celui qui ne le lui donne pas sera condamné, quelque orthodoxe qu'il ait été " (p. 225).

This is the doctrine to which M. Ménégoz has given the somewhat barbarous name of "le Fidéisme". He opposes it on the one hand to the ecclesiastical confusion between surrender to God and intellectual assent to dogma; and on the other hand to "liberalism," which rejects salvation by faith and proposes salvation by "love". The criticism of "liberalism" is important as defining the Paris theologian's real drift and intention. He does not disparage belief in order to arrive at a doctrine of justification by works. His view of life is not that of legalism, or even of "moderatism". On the contrary he points out that the moralist's difficulties about salvation by faith originate in the misconception of the nature of faith into which the dogmatist has betrayed him. It is quite true that a man cannot be saved by his "beliefs". On the other hand, he is saved indeed by faith, considered as the act of surrender which reconciles him to God. "Liberal" moralism shares the defect of every other legal view of life: it does not allow for man's actual condition, and takes no account of the fact of sin. "We might be saved by love, if our love to God and our neighbour were pure and perfect." But, says M. Ménégoz, "if we had to look to our own love for the assurance of our pardon, we should be the most miserable of all men, for it is just the insufficiency of our love to God and men which condemns us". (Pp. 31-33.)

He contends, however, that faith is in its nature a spiritual act. The length to which he is prepared to carry this principle will appear from one application which he makes of it. It is commonly said, observes M. Ménégoz, that a sincere unbeliever is more pleasing to God than an orthodox hypocrite. This familiar antithesis he declares to be false and misleading. No hypocrite can be a "believer" at all, in the sense in which Christ understood faith. On the other hand, a man who was truly sincere in his relation to God would necessarily be in a right attitude towards Him. Although he might have incorrect views in some respects, and mistaken thoughts of God, he would not be (in Christ's sense) an unbeliever.

Even if he had doubts about Christ's own Person he might still be guiltless of that wilful antagonism to God, which is the sin against the Holy Ghost. "Jesus Christ does not speak of 'sincere unbelievers'. . . . For Jesus, unbelief is a determination of the heart, and not an intellectual error. He does not oppose hypocrisy to unbelief; for in his eyes the hypocrite is an unbeliever. He treats the Pharisee hypocrites as unbelievers. Jesus knows no antithesis to unbelief but faith". (Pp. 270, 271.)

M. Ménégoz is careful to point out that on his view of faith as the act of surrender to God, independent of beliefs, an important place is still left for doctrine and in particular for the proclamation of the Gospel of the Divine revelations. True thoughts of God, he declares, must always be of great importance to the religious life. For his own part, he claims that he shows his sense of this, in his very insistence upon a sound and scientific view of theological truth (pp. 222, 228, The truth of God, and in particular the Gospel, are "objective conditions" of salvation; but faith may exist in very anomalous intellectual conditions, and it is faith which is the spiritual condition of salvation (p. 222). He refers to the analogy of the evangelical doctrine of faith and works. "Good works are the fruit of faith, and there is no true faith which does not bear the fruit of good works; but that which saves is not good works, but the faith which engenders them." In like manner, sound doctrine plays an important part in evoking faith; but it is faith which saves. (Pp. 120, 121.)

It is evident, as a matter of psychological fact, that there must be a certain intellectual element in every act of faith. It is this truth which has given colour to the dogmatic view of faith. But two other facts must also be noticed; and it is these facts which make it so important, practically, to distinguish between the intellectual causes or conditions of faith and faith itself as a spiritual act. One is, that faith may not always be fully conscious of itself: there is an intellectual element in the act of a child embracing its mother, but the child does not therefore know what it is doing. The other fact which

affords the justification and the necessity for M. Ménégoz' distinction of faith as "surrender to God, independently of beliefs," is that along with an instinctive consciousness of God, sufficient for true surrender, a given human mind may entertain many erroneous beliefs about God and His ways. "Outre cet élément intellectuel constitutif de sa foi, cet homme a encore d'autres croyances, des croyances, au sens courant de ce mot, peut-être justes, peut-être fausses. Il croira, par exemple, que les récits miraculeux de la Bible sont des légendes, que Jésus a été fils de Joseph et de Marie, que le dogme de la Trinité est une invention des Pères grecs, que l'homme est sauvé par des bonnes œuvres, que la Sainte Vierge exauce nos prières, que le pape est infaillible. Voilà des croyances qu'un chrétien peut avoir et qui sont très discutables." (Pp. 291-3.)

The attitude of M. Ménégoz towards doctrine is indicated in such words as these: "I believe that it is the revealed truth, the word of God, which converts and regenerates hearts and brings them to true saving faith. It is the good seed which, cast into good ground, brings forth good fruit" (p. 252). He cannot therefore fairly be charged with indifference to truth.

But we have not yet touched on this writer's real answer to the question concerning the fides quae creditur. His answer to that question is a very simple, yet a very strong one. It is that God is the object of faith. The faith of Abraham was faith in God. The object of the faith of all who believe the Gospel is still God. To have faith in Christ is to find God in Him. To reject Christ is, logically, to reject God; although we have the Master's own authority for saying that a man may come short of conscious faith in Him without deliberately refusing to surrender his heart to God, and that even "blasphemy against the Son of Man" need not amount to the final sin of "blasphemy against the Holy Ghost". Such is the inconsistency, says M. Menégoz—les inconséquences—of the human mind.

The fides quae, then, the object of saving faith, is not dogma, or historical fact, or any other matter of intellectual demon-

stration and intellectual assent, but the living and personal God. It is He who by faith is apprehended in any of His revelations of Himself. Ménégoz earnestly protests against the suggestion that this is to make the object of faith vague or unreal. What, he asks, could be more real, as the object of faith, than the God of the Bible, the God of the prophets, the God of our Lord Jesus Christ; the God of prayer, the God of salvation? (p. 273). Again he repudiates with just indignation the interpretation put upon his teaching, that by faith apart from dogma he merely means "believing something," "believing anything, no matter what". object of religious faith is God. The forms under which this faith manifests itself may vary according to times, places, individuals. But if the faith is real, it goes back always in the last analysis to God. . . . Faith is the consecration of the soul to God. And we believe and confess that when a man has that faith he is saved. He is saved, though he may have in his head all sorts of erroneous ideas." (P. 245).

A. HALLIDAY DOUGLAS.

Die Dynastie der Lahmiden in Al-Hîra. Ein Versuch zur arabisch-persischen Geschichte zur Zeit der Sasaniden.

Von Dr. Phil. Gustav Rothstein, Kandidat d. Theologie und Mitglied d. Kgl. Predigerseminars zu Wittenberg. Berlin: Reuther und Reichard; London and Edinburgh: Williams & Norgate, 1899. 8vo., pp. vi. + 152. Price M.4.50.

The town of Hîra occupied a site a little to the south-east of the modern Meshed Alî, seventy miles or more south of the site of ancient Babylon. The district around was of more than average fertility: "Have you ever seen anything to compare with this?" exclaimed a prince of Hîra to his vizier. It appears also to have been more healthy than might have been expected from its situation. "A day and a night in Hîra is better than a year of medicine"; so ran the proverb. When the Mohammedans conquered Persia and added it to their empire, Hîra was eclipsed by the newly-founded Kufa, which lay some three miles to the north, and, as the capital of Irak of the Arabians, played an important part in the early period of the Caliphate. Dr. Rothstein's monograph, however, deals with a time prior to the rise of the Mohammedan power.

A number of years before Mohammed began his career of conquest Hîra had been invaded by Arabs who settled in the district, and established a government of their own. The date of this invasion it is not easy to determine. If the opinion is well-founded that Hîra under the Arabs had early to contend with Zenobia, the settlement must have been effected near the beginning of the third century A.D., and this, upon the whole, is the most probable date. At that time the Parthian Empire was about to disappear. An outlying district, like Hîra would be a tempting prey to an ambitious warrior or tribe who had the courage to strike the

necessary blow. Ardishir (Artaxerxes) struck for Persia, and, in the year A.D. 226, founded the Sassanide dynasty, which revived the glories of Cyrus, and humbled the might and the pride of Rome. There is no more likely period for a successful invasion of Hîra by Arabs from the peninsula to the west of the Persian Gulf.

The early history of the colony is involved in obscurity. So is the beginning of the Lahmide dynasty. There are traditions (North-Arabian, and South-Arabian), but they cannot be verified. The founder of the house appears to have borne the name of Naṣr, and the first actual ruler was Amru son of 'Adî. If the tradition is trustworthy that Arabs established themselves in Hîra in the first half of the third century, it is probable that the Lahmides came into power in the last half of the same century. Further, as they maintained their sovereignty to the eve of the Mohammedan conquest, it is not improbable that they rose to power under the favour of the Sassanides, who would find it to their advantage to have a friendly Arabian dynasty near the head of the Persian Gulf; this, however, is a pure conjecture.

Dr. Rothstein traces the history of the country under the Lahmides from Amru to Nu'man III., who reigned from A.D. 580 to 602. On his death Chosroes II. took the government of the country into his own hands, and the Lahmide dynasty came to an end. The country had its share of the chequered experiences of the last years of the Sassanides, and, in A.D. 635 or 636, fell into the hands of the all-conquering Moslems.

These Lahmide rulers were capable men and gained for themselves and their country a position of considerable influence. They were not independent. Their country, as already noted, formed part of the Persian Empire of the Sassanides, and shared its chequered history. But Hîra under the Lahmides was not the least important or influential of the Persian provinces. Its connection with the heart of the Arabian world was maintained through its suzerainty over Bahrein in the Persian Gulf. The door was thus kept open for fresh migrations from the Arabian

Peninsula, as opportunity might offer, or the condition of Hîra might require. This connection with Arabia enhanced the value of the province to the new Persian empire, and it causes little surprise to find Hormisdas IV. bestowing on Nu'man III., a diadem of the value of 60,000 dirhem or drachmae (probably worth between two and three thousand pounds sterling).

An interesting question is raised in connection with the religion of Hîra. The population appears to have consisted of three distinct classes, (1) the Tauûh, (2) the 'Ibad (3) the 'Ahlaf. The first dwelt in huts or tents, like the Bedouin, the third formed a sort of clientèle to the other two classes. Our interest lies chiefly with the second class, who abandoned the Bedouin life, built houses and settled in fixed habitations. The name, 'Ibad, means servants or slaves, and it appears to have been applied to the Christians of Hîra. Those who bore this name belonged to different tribes of Arabs, the bond uniting them being that of religion. There is no reason to believe that this name was applied to the Christians of Hîra as a term of reproach, by those of a different faith. In matters of religion, 'Abd, among the Semites, bears too honourable a sense for such an explanation. It may be, as Dr. Rothstein suggests, that the name was self-assumed. Worshippers were servants-slaves-of the god they worshipped. Christians were persuaded that they worshipped the one true god; and they might fitly claim to be Al-'Ibad,the servants or slaves—the only true servants or slaves of the one true god. That the name was of ancient usage appears from the fact that Arab authors of a later period were ignorant of the origin, and gave different explanations of it. Accordingly there must have been a Christian community in Hîra at a comparatively early date. When the Christians were designated 'Ibad we have no means of determining. But the probability is that they were comparatively numerous before a distinctive title of this kind was generally acknowledged. Whether the situation was created by a special migration on a large scale, or by the gradual growth of years, must be matter of conjecture.

The Christians of Ḥîra—as might have been expected from their relation to Persia—supported Nestorius. Al-Hîra became a Nestorian cathedral city, and Dr. Rothstein gives a list of bishops from A.D. 410 to A.D. 604. The Mohammedans were then almost at the door.

Though the Christian part of the population was sufficiently numerous and important to bear a special name, the Lahmide dynasty, as such, does not appear to have formally accepted the Christian faith. Members of the royal family came under Christian influence, and apparently the last ruler of the house professed himself a Christian. But, with Persia on the one side and Arabia on the other, it is possible that, in matters of religion, these Lahmides anticipated Henry IV. of France, who held that the sovereignty of his country was worth a Mass.

This little volume furnishes a good example of the kind of work which we have become accustomed to expect from German scholars. The movements of life in Hîra as depicted by Dr. Rothstein have not affected the history of the world, either politically or ecclesiastically. But for the industry and the patient investigation of details (often comparatively uninteresting) on the part of German specialists, these pre-Mohammedan annals of Hîra would probably not have been narrated to us in these exciting days at the close of the nineteenth century. But the Kaiser, if Providence consents, is by-and-by to have a Railway Terminus on the Persian Gulf. And Dr. Rothstein has perhaps rendered a patriotic service by directing attention, as he does, in this volume, to the pre-Mohammedan history of a district which, if this Railway is constructed, will, at no distant date, fall under German influence, with the consent of the head of the Mohammedan world. To Britons, whose interests are supreme in the Persian Gulf, any work which throws light on the past history of the territories adjacent to the Gulf should be cordially welcomed; and we offer such a welcome to Dr. Rothstein's history of Hîra.

GEO. G. CAMERON.

Die Predigt Jesu vom Reiche Gottes.

Von Johannes Weiss, Doctor und Professor der Theologie zu Marburg. Zweite, völlig neubearbeitete Auflage. Göttingen: Vandenhoeck und Ruprecht; Edinburgh and London: Williams & Norgate, 1900. Pp. viii. + 214. Price 5s.

Die Idee des Reiches Gottes in der Theologie.

Von Johannes Weiss, Doctor und Professor der Theologie in Marburg. Giessen: J. Ricker'sche Verlagsbuchhandlung; Edinburgh and London: Williams & Norgate, 1901. Pp. 155. Price 3s.

JOHANNES WEISS'S Predigt Jesu has made an undoubted "hit". It is more than eight years since the first edition was published. Other books such as Bousset's Jesu Predigt in ihrem Gegensatz zum Judentum, and Haupt's Eschatologische Aussagen Jesu have occupied more or less the same ground, and latterly in his discussions of the Son of Man problem (Skizzen u. Vorarbeiten, Heft 6, 1899), Wellhausen has rushed into the fray with much of his wonted force, but much also of unwarranted confidence. All this goes to corroborate the opinion that Weiss has struck a mine in the criticism of the New Testament which some leading students of the Gospels see clearly, and many others feel vaguely, promises a yield of real value in the way of understanding the interaction of ethical truth and religious conviction in the mind of Jesus. Weiss's book had from the first the interest of the personal The views it contains had to come to expression element. if the author's mind were to be relieved of the pressure of the conflict between the "fine modern thought" of the Kingdom of God in the system of his master, Ritschl, and the idea of the same name in the teaching of Jesus. The general result of the conflict, as the readers of the first edition know, was that the bond of connexion between the

modern doctrine and the thought of Jesus was found to be very loose indeed. The difference might be expressed by saving that in Ritschl's system the kingdom is mainly an ethical and only secondarily an eschatological magnitude, whereas in the actual teaching of Jesus rather the converse is true. The special interest of this "new and fully revised edition" is threefold. (1) There is the admission that the antithesis between the ethical and eschatological elements of the concept was stated in the first edition in a somewhat extreme and offensive way. (2) There is the assertion that the author's thesis has been in the main accepted even by his critics, and that his own view of things in harmony therewith has been "deepened and placed on a broader foundation" (Preface). (3) Thirdly and specially there is the claim to have to come to an understanding with the intervening literature on the matters under discussion.

Weiss's leading idea is that Jesus habitually thought of the kingdom as a magnitude of the future which yet was immediately to appear. Every one sees that there is an eschatological element in the preaching of Jesus. It gather's emphasis on the eve of the Passion. It is prominent in the talk with the disciples on the downfall of the Jewish State. It appears in the testimony of Jesus at His trial, but it appears also-for the ethical systematiser disconcertingly enoughin other places, e.g., Matt. x. 23-32 f., xvi. 27 f., xix. 28. till recently it has seemed natural to students of the teaching of Jesus to lay the main stress on what they considered the "ethical" element—the kingdom to be sought first, the kingdom like leaven, its law that of service, etc. The eschatological element had an alien and dubious aspect, and the "obvious defectiveness" of the reports as seen in their contradictions (e.g., Mark xiii. 30, cf. with ver. 32) offered a fair excuse for declining to give it prominence. It may be soberly claimed for Weiss that his book has done much to make evasion of this kind henceforth impossible. As we read his book we get the impression that the time has come to do justice to an element in the recorded teaching of Jesus that has been unwarrantably thrust into the background. It is not merely that the element in question is in the records just as clearly as the so-called ethical element, but that it can hardly have been in the mind of Jesus in the degree which some at least of the witnessing passages imply without affecting the set and substance even of the teaching where no distinctly eschatological element appears. According to Weiss the eschatological element is not only pervasive but dominant. The antithesis between eschatological and ethical is, of course, not an absolute one, for even a kingdom that is only "coming"—especially one that is "at hand"—must be conceived under ethical conditions. But the peculiar conviction of Jesus regarding the imminence of the kingdom and His own relation to it has given a colour to His ethical teaching, of which modern systematising of His doctrine has largely failed to take note. For instance, it may very well suit the Christian conscience of to-day to treat sayings like losing one's life to save it, or hating one's father and mother as mere "significant paradoxes," but in fact, according to Weiss, the terms employed are explicable only on the assumption that the Speaker believed that the natural life of men with all its circle of interests was on the point of being completely dissolved and transformed.

It is, perhaps, too early in the day to attempt any finality of judgment on the main thesis of Weiss's truly able and fine-toned book, but it may safely be said that to read it with care is good advice to give to any one who wishes to get at the heart of the most burning problem of present-day criticism of the Gospels. It may turn out that more falls to be said for the every-day ethical side of the teaching of Jesus than Weiss (the Preface notwithstanding) is willing to allow, but it may be cordially admitted that his book—particularly in the new edition—invites those who aspire to a "rigorous" treatment of the evangelical records, to enter upon a path of investigation, which promises better results than those that are merely or chiefly negative.

Those who have read the first edition will probably turn with special interest to the sections of the new edition on the Son of Man problem, the philological aspects of which have been made so prominent since the appearance of Lietzmann's Menschensohn in 1896, and they will read Weiss's criticisms on the views of Wellhausen on the one side, and Dalman on the other, with none the less interest that they are the criticisms of one who modestly claims to be only a "layman" as regards the purely linguistic question. It is, perhaps, too much to expect that the philologists will accept the last word on this subject from a layman, but one who is not a philologist and yet has tried to learn something from those who are versed in Hebrew and Aramaic, may be allowed to testify that Weiss's utterances on this whole subject seem to him the most satisfactory that have yet appeared in print. The argument, in which Weiss claims both for Jesus and the recorders of His words-whether in Aramaic or in Greek translation—the same kind of liberty of abbreviated reference to the "one like unto a son of man" of Daniel vii. 13, which Wellhausen claims for the authors or translators of Enoch and Ezra iv., will, I venture to think, strike most readers as both just and acute. In any case, the theory that accounts for the phenomena of our Gospel's by the supposition of blunder on the part of the Greek translators—as if ὁ νίὸς τοῦ ἀνθρώπου, in a distinctive titular sense, were as natural to Greek, as the experts say, Bar-nasha is unnatural to Aramaic-may be considered once for all exploded.

This very living little book is an enlargement of a paper delivered by the author at a theological conference held at Giessen in June, 1900. It may be taken as a sequel to his *Predigt Jesu*. In that work he told his readers that, though Ritschl's conception of the Kingdom of God and that expressed by the same phrase on the lips of Jesus are "two very different things," the modern conception, of which Ritschl was the latest interpreter, was yet justly the central idea of present-day Christian teaching and the fittest to "bring the Christian religion near to our generation" (op. cit., Preface). Also he had indicated his belief that the roots of Ritschl's conception were to be sought in Kant and the

theologians of the Aufklärung. Die Idee endeavours to justify this statement of the case from history. Those who think that in his longer work the author has exaggerated the degree in which the thought of Jesus was confined to the forms of Jewish eschatology (in particular the thought of this world as the kingdom of Satan and of its impending destruction), will find the fault repeated here and to that degree will incline to the opinion that Ritschl's conception is closer to the thought of Jesus than Weiss will allow. But it will be possible even for those who take this view, to feel that Weiss has done within wonderfully brief compass a very valuable piece of critico-historical work. He traces the conception of the kingdom from the times of Jesus down to the present day, devoting the last and longest section (pp. 110-155) to Ritschl and the criticism to which his doctrine is liable together with the extension of which it is capable. It by no means detracts from the value of this historical sketch that one feels often, especially perhaps in the sections on Augustin and the Pietists (pp. 19-25 and 43-48), how much the author owes to the master whom he criticises. It rather adds to it. We have here a criticism of Ritschlianism in its central ethico-theological idea by one who is not ashamed of his father's house, and would probably bear the badge "Ritschlian" more willingly than any other. Also the author has placed us under debt by the footnotes, in which in most cases he gives us in the authors' own words the statements criticised in the text. The fullest and most interesting sections are naturally those on Augustin, Luther, Schleiermacher and Ritschl himself, but of scarcely inferior interest are the more speculative sections on Kant and the rationalists. Notoriously, the strong positive tendency in Ritschl's teaching (the force of which, by the way, is seen most convincingly in religious personalities of the type of J. Weiss himself) was bound up in Ritschl himself with a somewhat ostentatious scepticism as to the powers of the human mind, yet it is as impossible for a thinker to escape being a metaphysician, whether a good or a bad one, as it is for any one to leap beyond his own shadow, and a perusal of

Weiss's book will confirm most people in the impression that Ritschl's negation of metaphysics, and his positive vindication of the value-judgment, both rest on the Kantian theory of the confinement of knowledge to the *phenomenal* as distinguished from the *noumenal* world. A sign of this is his well-known comparison of the compass of Christian theology to an ellipse (as distinguished from a circle) with the two foci of the doctrine of Reconciliation and the doctrine of the Kingdom. Persons who are mathematicians as well as theologians, may find something helpful in the image or in the amendment suggested by Weiss, *viz.*, the figure of two intersecting circles; to others it may suggest only a pause in thinking that cannot be prolonged even by the subtlety that would escape metaphysics.

In another point of view, perhaps, this unsatisfactoriness is a sign of the real greatness of Ritschl as a religious and theological personality, and readers of this notice, who can enjoy a well-written German book, but fear Ritschl even in English, will find themselves stimulated and edified in a rare degree if they are induced to read this singularly sympathetic appreciation and, at the same time, keen criticism of a great master by one of his most living pupils. Specially deserving of attention, as showing both the religious spirit of Weiss himself and his intellectual outlook, are the few closing pages devoted to the comparison of Lotze and Ritschl. much appreciation of the high-toned genius of both these great contemporary Göttingen professors, Weiss marks with unmistakable point the contrast between the pessimism of the philosopher, who bewails the conversion of the inspirations of one generation to the "current coin worn away in the handling" (abgegriffene Münze) of the next, and the optimism of the man of faith, even the Ritschlian, who, in view of the same facts, rejoices because no fire is dead whose sparks strike new matter and burst into new flame.

After reading *Die Idee*, one is tempted to say that even "Ritschlianism" cannot be dead, if, striking the minds of its own pupils, it bursts into brilliant criticism of itself.

Luther's religiöses Interesse an seiner Lehre von der Realpräsenz. Eine historisch-dogmatische Studie.

Von Karl Jäger, Lic. Theol. Giessen: J. Ricker. 8vo, pp. 92.

Price M.2.

THE influence which Luther has in the German Churches is quite unique. His writings have appeared in countless forms, and the finest complete edition is now being slowly issued from a publishing house in Weimar, to consist of about forty volumes. Students preparing for the ministry are required to study several of his works with care, and the preaching which is heard in the churches is largely coloured by his ideas. He is honoured by the straitest school of theologians, and the Ritschlians claim his support for their master's teaching. What the writings of Wesley are to the Methodist ministry, that the works of Luther are to the preachers and theologians of Germany. It is unfortunate that so little of Luther can be had in English translation, but what we have is valuable. His Primary Works have been edited by Wace and Buchheim, containing his catechisms, "The Babylonish Captivity of the Church," etc. His Table Talk can also be obtained, some of his commentaries, a collection of his sermons, one or two of his doctrinal treatises, and certain volumes of "Select Works." We have only a small portion of the large mass of Luther's writings, and these do not show the whole course which his ideas ran. His writings were mostly occasional, composed to meet the needs of the Church in different periods and at each new emergency. He always spoke out plainly, as he then thought and felt, without much regard for consistency with what he had said before. As he wrote, he was slowly laying aside the doctrines, customs and prejudices of the Mediæval Church, at one time taking for granted what at a future time would be denied. The nearest analogy, perhaps, is in the writings of Cardinal Newman, who wrote passionately in defence of the views that prevailed with him at every stage of his spiritual

250

development, but the two men were going in opposite directions; Newman was going Romeward, Luther had turned his back upon Rome.

The course of Luther's advance in regard to the Lord's Supper may be traced in many treatises, sermons and letters. These have been admirably summarised by Karl Jaeger in the essay named above. In his own statements upon the nature of the Eucharist, the author follows the guidance of Dr. Hermann Schultz and shows himself also a disciple of Ritschl. In his standard work, Zur Lehre vom heiligen Abendmahl, Dr. Schultz gives a sketch of about 150 different German treatises upon the Eucharist that have appeared since 1817, the year of the Union of the German Churches. One after another of these is condemned as not genuinely Lutheran, and the question becomes acute, What did Luther teach? Answers to this question may be found in the larger books upon the reformer's life and theology, and the account here given by Jaeger, which is brief, clear and sufficient, may be confidently recommended. In Part I., the author shows, by the earlier writings, between 1517 and 1523, how Luther gradually arrived at his manner of stating the doctrine of Consubstantiation, and how it was connected with his proclamation of justification by faith. For Luther the Christian life was a life of conflict against sin and its terrors. He longed for some assurance that his sins were forgiven by God, and that his Master, Christ, was with him indeed to be his helper. It seemed to him that the Real (bodily) Presence of Christ in the Bread and Wine, brought this assurance within the reach of every one who partook of the Supper. Doubtless, in time, Luther might have seen that in his doctrine of the Grace of God, he possessed the solution of his difficulty, without the aid of a Bodily Presence in the Bread and Wine. He was hindered from making this further advance by the controversies into which he was drawn by Protestant leaders who had proceeded more quickly than himself in reconstructing Christian doctrine, upon lines which he himself had suggested to them. In conflict with them Luther's doctrine was prematurely crystallised.

The second part of the essay describes the contest with Carlstadt and other enthusiasts, in which Luther dogmatised about the Real Presence and fell back upon the authority of Scripture, demanding a superstitious reverence for the letter which he had not hitherto shown. At this time he began to reiterate his phrase, "The words of the Lord stand fast: 'This is My body'".

The third part deals with the still more important controversy with Zwingli and the Swiss Reformers. In the course of that discussion occurred the Conference at Marburg, in 1529, at which an attempt was made to unite the divergent parties of the Reformers upon a common basis of doctrine and of policy. The interpretation of the Lord's Supper was the matter upon which they could not agree. It seemed to Luther that the doctrine of Zwingli proceeded from an inadequate Christology. If they could not maintain the Real Presence of the divine-human Christ in the Bread and Wine, neither could they have confidence that Christ was sufficient in Himself to be the Saviour of men. In reading Luther himself, especially in his earlier works, we see that the controversial element is much less insistent than the religious and practical. There is a unique charm in Luther's homiletic. His language is terse, graphic and richly coloured. The endless variety and the simplicity of his style are apt to be lost in translation. His sermons are full of surprises and full of suggestiveness, as when, in his discourse of 1519, he shows that trial and sorrow prepare men for the Lord's Table, by making them seek the sympathy of Christ and of their fellow Christians. The passionate desire for salvation which underlay his whole religious life, compelled Luther to maintain his opinions tenaciously and to be vehement in controversy. To very many, his battles with Romanists, and with Reformers who differed from him, have appeared to be only a pitiable display of dogmatism and stubbornness. It is interesting to learn from a careful student and staunch defender, what the religious interests were for which Luther so earnestly contended.

CHARLES F. FLEMING.

The Historical New Testament.

Being the Literature of the New Testament arranged in the order of its literary growth and according to the date of the Documents. A new Translation.

Edited with Prolegomena, Historical Tables, Critical Notes, and an Appendix. By James Moffatt, B.D. Edinburgh: T. & T. Clark, 1901. 8vo, pp. xxvii. + 720. Price 16s.

FIRST NOTICE.

THIS is a massive book, which everywhere gives evidence of scholarship, extensive reading, and untiring industry. would do credit to an old and experienced hand. As the production of a young minister it is a remarkable achievement, and it indicates a capacity which speaks of good work yet to come. We have need of more students of the New Testament. It is a satisfaction to see that in the ranks of our younger men there are some who are devoting themselves to this supremely important line of study, and who have the faculty for it. If they are willing to walk in the paths of sober, solid inquiry, practising patience and content to put themselves in training under the hand of the great masters of scientific historical investigation, they will do much for the Church of Christ and for sacred learning. Mr. Moffatt has it in him to take a high place in that list. this volume he has at once acquired a right to be heard, and has made a distinct addition to the literature of a great subject.

It is but the simplest justice to say this. A great deal more, indeed, might well be said of certain outstanding and excellent qualities of the book. As we make our way through its crowded pages our respect is won by the width of its acquaintance with what has been written by others, and the feeling deepens as we see more and more of the author's command of the details of the various questions which come under discussion. The treatise is distinguished throughout

by the strenuous, conscientious endeavour to know its subjects all round. And while it handles the literary and historical problems belonging to the New Testament writings with the freedom of independent inquiry, it is reverent in presence of the Word of God. It is a pleasure to discharge this debt of thanks and commendation before we look more narrowly into the book. Those who knew Mr. Moffatt as a student in the University of Glasgow and in the Free Church College in that city expected much of him. In some directions these expectations have been more than fulfilled by this publication. But there are things in it which we cannot but regard as disappointing, and some of these are of serious moment. We should be slow to think that they are likely to adhere to Mr. Moffatt's style of work. There is a good deal in his book that may secure the cheap applause that is given to anything that looks startling, defiant, or out of the way. We think better of Mr. Moffatt than to apprehend that he may be misled by that. We believe him to be capable of doing something better than this first effort, something less ambitious perhaps, but more consistently scientific and of more enduring value.

There is one thing, however, that unfortunately forces itself upon our notice in the preface, in the Prolegomena, and here and there all through the book—that is, Mr. Moffatt's attitude to others, especially to some of those who have earned the best title to our gratitude and honour. He allows himself to speak of these in terms which would be unbecoming on the lips of a veteran. They are utterly out of place and unseemly on the part of one who is making his first venture in regions in which these others have been workers for a lifetime. The superb nonchalance with which he dispenses his approbation and disapprobation on all sides is quite embarrassing. At times one knows not whether to be indignant or amused. His sympathies go too evidently and too often with extremists of the type of Messrs. Reville, Blair and Brandt. Our great English scholars come off rather poorly at Mr. Moffat's hand, and even Harnack does not escape. Far less is made of Lightfoot's work than

ought to be, nor is Mr. Moffat always quite fair to scholars He does not indeed always take time to of his order. understand them. He refers to Canon Driver as if he "declined to admit the legitimacy" of real historical research in the New Testament literature, and as if he played "the rôle of the theological Canute". How gross a misrepresentation this is, any one may see who takes the trouble to look into the very passage that Mr. Moffatt points to. At times he says an appreciative word about Hort. But even that scholar is placed before us as an instance of "the desperate plight to which literalists are reduced," when he takes a different view of "the problem of the twelve and the Gentiles" from Mr. Moffatt. And as to Dr. Sanday, he is disposed of in a way that is past all endurance. Supporting himself by a reference to a passage in the Canon's Commentary on Romans, Mr. Moffatt is unrestrained enough to speak of "a concern to establish the historicity and continuity of the faith." which "assumes the advocate's garb and intrudes upon the study of Christian literature," and is apt then to "bring a leprosy of incompetence which taints even work that is professedly written upon critical principles". The victim of a "leprosy of incompetence"—this is Mr. Moffatt's polite and modest description of one of the best of men and the most esteemed of scholars!

If we were to take Mr. Moffatt's estimates indeed, we should have to look upon New Testament study, in our own land at least, as having been in a chaotic and parlous condition till this annus mirabilis, 1901. He describes the present state of New Testament criticism in this country as "still marked by immaturity in many vital sections". He tells us that whole pages of his book would "have been gladly omitted"... "had there been (for example) any modern and thorough N.T. Introduction to which an English student could be referred with safety or satisfaction". As to "this side the channel" the condition of things is, in his view, that "the reign of timidity and superficiality lingers on in the treatment of writings such as Acts and the Apocalypse". It would be unjust to say

that this is always Mr. Moffat's tone, for he can be appreciative too. But one regrets to find so much of it. It is no pleasure to speak of it. There is a spirit in it of which Mr. Moffatt should purge himself, if he is to do what his talents make him capable of doing. Modesty is not the least of the qualifications for understanding the New Testament and finding the secret of its problems. We can wish nothing better for Mr. Moffat than that he should commit himself for the next half-dozen years to the guidance of men like Lightfoot and Hort, and Sanday and Driver and Hatch, and study their methods and work through some section of early Christian literature in their way. At the end of that term he would think very differently of some of his predecessors in New Testament inquiry. He would also have a better conception of what historical criticism is, and of the nature of the demands it makes upon the student.

In his interesting preface Mr. Moffatt explains very clearly the idea of his book. His object is to trace the literary growth of the New Testament writings and place them in their exact historical lie and chronological order. This is a very proper thing to aim at. But we confess to considerable surprise when we notice the claims which, in this respect, Mr. Moffatt prefers in behalf of his work. He speaks of it as if it were quite a novelty. Of his scheme of grouping the critical materials, he says that, so far as he knows, it is "quite unique". He describes his edition as a "pioneering edition". But there is nothing so very unusual in his object and his plan. Every one who attempts to write a New Testament Introduction has to settle with himself first of all whether he is to follow this method or not. Not a few have adopted it and worked it out with great ability long before Mr. Moffatt. Not to speak of writers like Conybeare and Howson and Dean Farrar, there is the eminent case of Reuss in his Geschichte, a book which deserves much more recognition than it gets in this volume. It is the plan followed by von Hofman in his systematic examination of the Tübingen construction of the New Testament literature in his Heilige Schrift zusammenhäugend untersucht, and (under the Tübingen idea) by Hilgenfeld, Samuel Davidson, etc. It is by no means an unfamiliar method. It is also one for which much can be said. We agree with Mr. Moffatt in thinking it the best. But we must add that we do not agree with him in his exaggerated view of the benefits that accrue from it, and the small account which he takes of the dangers attending it. The limits of time within which any valid criticism must keep the origin of the bulk of the New Testament writings, deprive the historical arrangement of books to a large extent of the value in respect of the evolution of ideas which it might have in the case of the Old Testament, if it could be made out on an adequate scale there. Even within these limits the relative dates of a number of the books are by no means sufficiently certain to give the scientific student much confidence in connecting important results with matters of chronological succession.

As it is, while we gladly confess that there are many painstaking discussions in this volume, and much to which it is well to have our attention directed, the "historical" method which it claims to pursue does not appear to us to either be very thoroughly or very consistently carried out. There are frequent lapses into subjectivity, and ideas—ideas of the probable or improbable, the appropriate or inappropriate, on the part of the writers in given circumstances—again and again are made the ruling elements. The principles stated in the Prolegomena, and the application of these to some of the more important questions, offer much matter for criticism. Above all, the theory of "interval" between event and record, and the position in which the testimony of the gospels is left, require careful consideration. The limits of this preliminary notice permit us to speak only of certain general aspects of Mr. Moffat's treatment of his subject. We shall say something of these particular questions in our next issue. time we recognise the value of much that is attempted here in source-criticism, in the construction of a chronology of the writings, and in the adjustment of the books to the external as well as internal history of the times.

S. D. F. SALMOND.

Notices.

The Rev. D. Butler, M.A., of Abernethy, Fifeshire, writes on Scottish Cathedrals and Abbeys.¹ The book belongs to the Guild Library series, and has the advantage of an introduction by Principal Story. It is packed full of matter. On every page it bears witness to the zeal and industry of the writer, and to his diligence in consulting the best authorities. Those who wish to get in small compass a reliable account of old Scottish architecture and the most interesting of the old ecclesiastical edifices of the North will find it in these compact pages.

The Rev. G. W. Garrod's The Second Epistle to the Thessalonians: Analysis and Notes 2 is constructed on the plan on which he has already dealt with the Epistle to the Colossians, First and Second Timothy, and First Thessalonians. It is intended especially for the use of students in the Training Colleges of the Church of England. In simple and lucid terms it gives a digest of the matters which it most concerns such students to know with regard to the origin and history of the Epistle and its contents. It provides also an exposition of the Epistle verse by verse, which is done in a careful and scholarly way, and with a proper regard to the object specially in view. It makes a very good text-book.

Mr. How's William Conyngham Plunket³ is a very readable book. His previous memoirs of Bishop Walsham How and Bishop John Selwyn have won him a good name among

¹ London: A. & C. Black; Edinburgh: R. & R. Clark, 1901. Cr. 8vo, pp. viii. + 210. Price 1s. 6d.

² London: Macmillan & Co., 1900. Cr. 8vo, pp. viii. + 163. Price 2s. 6d. net.

³ William Conyngham Plunket, Fourth Baron Plunket, and Sixty-first Archbishop of Dublin. A memoir by Frederick Douglas How. London: Isbister & Co., 1900. 8vo, pp. viii. + 392. Price 16s.

258

writers of biography, and the present work is as well done as Archbishop Plunket was certainly one that these others. deserved to be remembered. Descended on the father's side from one of the great Parliamentary orators, and on the mother's side from an Irish Chief Justice. William Plunket was marked out for distinction, and he sought it in the service of the Church. All through his career he was a decided and consistent evangelical, and longed for closer relations among the various Protestant Churches. He was by no means narrow, however, in his doctrinal position, nor could it be said of him that he was anything but fair and tolerant in the exercise of his Archiepiscopal rule. He did not, indeed, please all parties on every occasion; but he lived in difficult times and had some unusually delicate questions to deal with, and the final judgment must be that he conducted himself with a dignified consistency, and a firm but generous wisdom that showed him to be a man far above the average rank of Irish ecclesiastics. He took a large part in the controversy over the proposed revision of the Irish Prayerbook, advocating changes which should conciliate the Protestant laity. He took a strong and determined position also in the keenly contested question of the consecration of Bishop Cabrera for the Spanish Reformers, inspired by a just zeal for the cause of the Protestant faith in Spain. Above all, the disestablishment of the Irish Church brought out his best qualities. He did much more than most men to reconstruct the Church and give her a new lease of life. His whole policy at that juncture was far-seeing and judicious. While the Primate and Archbishop Trench and others were gloomy and full of fears, he addressed himself hopefully and vigorously to a new settlement of affairs, and in his later years he was frank enough to confess that when he counted up the advantages and disadvantages which followed disestablishment, the gains outweighed the losses. The account which his biographer gives of these events, of his work as Bishop of Meath, of his brief tenure of the Archiepiscopate, and of his personal character is full of interest. It leaves us with a distinct and pleasing impression of a good and capable

man who had the qualities of a statesman as well as a churchman, and played a large and useful part in the ecclesiastical affairs of Ireland.

The April number of the International Journal of Ethics opens with an article by John M. Robertson on the "Moral Problems of War," which is in the main a lively criticism of Professor Ritchie's paper on "War and Peace" in the previous issue. There are suggestive papers by Bernard Bosanguet on "The Meaning of Social Work"; Mary Mills Patrick of Constantinople on "The Ethics of the Koran"; Guglielmo Ferrero of Florence on "The Evolution of Luxury," etc. Mr. Charles Gray Shaw of the New York University writes on "The Theory of Value and its place in the History of Ethics". He is of opinion that something more fundamental is demanded now than the adjustment of the respective claims of Hedonist and Intuitionist, and that this is to be found in a theory of value. He criticises the ancient method of ethics, with its idea of objective good and subjective virtue, as "lacking in life and force," and declares modern systems of ethics, as well as ancient, faulty, as wanting value as an ethical principle. He proceeds to show how a valuational theory should be regarded from the standpoint of psychology, and ethics, and metaphysics, and how the nature of the concept and its ultimate validity are to be determined thereby.

In the Homiletic Review for May we notice a short, popular paper on the "Hittites," by Professor Sayce, and an interesting lecture by Professor Schechter of the University of London on "Some Rabbinic Parallels of the New Testament," dealing with the genealogies, the various passages in which fruits, harvest, flowers, etc., are used as similes, the symbolism of the Holy Ghost, etc.

In the Bibliotheca Sacra for April Professor Frank Hugh Foster writes very sensibly on "The Limits of Theological Freedom," and Mr. R. R. Lloyd contributes a good paper on "The Historic Christ in the Letters of Paul". Not to mention others well worth reading, there is also an interesting article by Professor George Mooar, entitled "Reminiscences of Atonement Theory". It takes us back to the Hopkinsian

theology, the teaching of Bushnell, Park and Shedd, the infiltration of Broad Church ideas, the influence of the Kantian philosophy and the Ritschlian theology, etc., and concludes by pointing to some significant instances of the reassertion of the deep expiatory view.

In the issue of the *Presbyterian and Reformed Review* for the current quarter Dr. James Lindsay of Kilmarnock writes on "Man's Place in the Cosmos," and the Rev. N. M. Steffens says some true and seasonable things on "Calvinism and the Theological Crisis". Among other papers we make special mention of one by Professor Warfield of Princeton on "The Making of the Westminster Confession," which gives an able and admirably clear and extended account of the modes of procedure in the Westminster Assembly, the course of the debates, and the whole way in which the Confession took shape.

The most important paper in the Revue d'Histoire et de Littérature religieuses for Mars-Avril is one on the Babylonian myths and the first chapters of Genesis, in which M. Alfred Loisy deals in his scholarly and capable way with the special questions of Creation, Primordial Chaos, and the Conflict of the Creator with Chaos. There are good papers also by M. Charles Cumont on "Le Taurobole et le culte de Bellone," and M. Charles Michel on "Greek Mythology," one of a series on the religions of the classic nations before Christianity.

The April number of Mind begins with an able paper by Sydney Ball on "Current Sociology," in which the prevalent conceptions of the study and the various claims preferred in its behalf are reviewed, and the necessity of organisation and the division of labour is urged. "It seems a matter of indifference," the article runs, "whether you give the title of 'Sociology' or of 'Social Philosophy' to the general plan of such studies; the one suggests the more positive, the other the more teleological aspect of social science. The main thing is that sociology, so far as it claims to be an application of the scientific spirit to social and political problems, must be prepared to 'imitate' the 'infinite patience' of science." Another important paper is one by Professor James

Seth on "The Ethical System of Henry Sidgwick". Professor Seth takes Sidgwick's main interest to have been in "the question of the true method of the distribution of the Good, rather than the question of the nature of the Good". He adds that "in spite of the acuteness of his criticism of psychological Hedonism, he seems to have underestimated the difficulties of ethical Hedonism".

In the current number of the Journal of Theological Studies Professor Moberly of Oxford reviews the "Fulham Conference on Communion with the Atonement". The question of the exact statement of the Eucharistic doctrine is carried back to the question of the interpretation of sacrifice and the theology of the atonement, and the argument is that the evangelical doctrine of the Eucharist (which is explained as a "reception of the dead Christ") can be made to appear adequate only "if the whole significance of the atonement, as atonement, was completely consummated when the tomb closed over the dead Christ, so that all that followed after was but the sequel which ensued upon, but was no vital part of the significance of, atonement or sacrifice". In his line of argument, which contains some good points, Professor Moberly commits himself to those views of the Biblical use of the term "blood" for which Bishop Westcott's name has gained a too easy acceptance, but which have no real foundation in Scripture, and in natural connexion with this to a mistaken interpretation of the classical passage in Leviticus xvii. 11. Dr. James Drummond writes on "The Use and Meaning of the Phrase 'The Son of Man' in the Synoptic Gospels". In this paper he deals with the preliminary question whether the title existed or could exist in Aramaic, and whether Jesus ever applied it to Himself. As to the linguistic argument, he is content to allow it to remain in suspense until Aramaic scholars are more agreed among themselves. He thinks, however, that the weight of opinion and of probability is "in favour of the view that the original expression translated 'Son of Man' in the Gospels was barnasha," and that this meant simply "the man". He adds that "if, as Dalman supposes, it was not current, it would more easily lend itself to a special interpretation; but, even if it was current, it is surely not impossible that 'the Man,' pronounced with a little emphasis, might be used to denote the figure in Daniel's vision'.

The master of St. John's College, Cambridge, has laid Hebrew scholars and others under large obligation by the publication of his Hebrew-Greek Cairene Palimpsests. They are taken from the Taylor-Schechter collection, and are printed in splendid style, with eleven admirable collotype reproductions. They are of very considerable interest, and are furnished with useful notes on the script and on the renderings. They include a Hexaplar fragment of Psalm xxii., parts of Psalms xc.-ciii. in the Greek of Aquila, and some small portions of the Gospels, Acts and I Peter. Among other points of interest in these fragments, Dr. Taylor notices that some Hebrew letters are vocalised according to the Third System of pointing. The volume is a credit to the editor and to the University Press. There is, however, one awkward slip in the Preface in the title of Gesenius's Geschichte.

Mr. C. B. Beeby's volume on *Doctrine and Principles*,² is made up of a series of "Popular Lectures on Primary Questions". It is written in a clear and telling style, and handles a number of subjects of present-day interest in a free and trenchant manner. It makes a good many affirmations with which we by no means agree, and takes up again and again an extreme position. We see Mr. Beeby at his worst on properly doctrinal questions, and at his best on such subjects as the principles of Protestantism and Catholicism, Agnostic Philosophy and Christian Truth, the contrast between the Nicene Creed and the Athanasian, etc. His treatment of the doctrine of imputation is a fair example of his method. He admits that the "old notion of imputation lingers on in the New Testament, and lies at the background of all its system of thought". He counts it impossible that "the

¹ By C. Taylor, D.D. Cambridge: University Press, 1900. 4to, pp. 104. Price 15s.

² London: Williams & Norgate, 1900. 8vo, pp. viii. + 217. Price 4s.

Evangelical theologians of the New Testament Scriptures should have emancipated themselves wholly from the dominion of the forms and idioms of thought which belonged to their age—a reflection of their social life, with its law of corporate responsibility". But he thinks that "with the higher conception of the character of the Divine Being, the doctrine of imputation has lost all its sting. For it is now the imputation only of the righteousness of Christ and no longer the imputation of sin and guilt," that being, according to our author, the teaching of the Epistle to the Hebrews. In most of the statements of the book there is a good deal that almost hits the mark, and there is much that deserves consideration, but there is also often a curious misconception both of the New Testament teaching and of the Church's doctrine.

From Mr. Robert S. Rait, fellow of New College, Oxford, we have a handsomely printed volume on The Scottish Parliament before the Union of the Crowns.1 It is based upon an essay which won the Stanhope prize in the University of Oxford, and it is to a considerable extent a reprint of two articles which appeared in the English Historical Review. papers attracted attention at the time, and Mr. Rait has been well advised to prepare the present volume. He has a good, clear style, a great love for history, and a remarkable faculty for historical research. He has been trained in a good school, and has kept the best models before him. He has a very healthy sense of the difficulties and limitations of his task, and a just perception of the wide general difference between English constitutional history and Scotch. "The student who would attempt such a problem as this must be familiar," he well says, "with the outlines of English constitutional development, but he must also be prepared to banish from his mind all prejudices and prepossessions derived from such knowledge. For he will here find no record of liberty slowly broadening from precedent to pre-

¹ London, Glasgow and Dublin: Blackie & Son, 1901. 8vo, pp. xxvi. + 121. Price 5s. net.

cedent, no statesman kings, surrounded by sagacious advisers, defining the scope and the purpose of a legal system, no patriot barons banded together to wrest from an unwilling monarch a power which was not being wielded for the national good, no common aim uniting reformers of the thirteenth century with reformers of the seventeenth." The conclusions which he reaches with regard to the Scottish Parliament are given as "merely tentative". By the necessity of the case they are to a large extent negative. But he is able at the same time to point to some "positive and definite results". Among these he names the Education Act of 1496, which provided that barons and freeholders of substance should put their eldest sons and heirs to school from eight or nine years of age and keep them at the grammar schools until they were "competently founded" and "had perfect Latin," and thereafter send them to the Universities. But he rightly instances the judicial system of the country as the most notable result. "Alone among European countries, Scotland still possesses a judicature which is the direct descendant of a Committee of the Estates." Mr. Rait will take an honourable place in the ranks of our rising students of history. We look upon this book as the earnest of much excellent work in scientific historical study.

To Mr. Frank Ballard, minister of Wycliffe Church, Hull, we are indebted for a very good book on The Miracles of Unbelief.¹ He has in view the "paralysis of faith" which has seized large classes, and which means great loss to the Church. He regards it as one of the pressing needs of the day that the churches should meet this condition of mind with wisdom and love, and thinks it should be useful to utter a "reasoned protest which is, so far as it goes, unanswerable". His object, therefore, is to show that in point of fact the difficulties of unbelief are greater than those of faith. He does not claim for this line of reasoning the value of a final proof of the truth of Christianity, but he rightly asserts first that "it at least opens the way for the appreciation of such

¹ Edinburgh: T. & T. Clark, 1900. 8vo, pp. xiv. + 362. Price 6s.

proof as only experience and observation can afford". Taking in succession the realm of physical science, the facts of history, the realm of psychology, the moral realm, the problem presented by Christ Himself, and the spiritual realm, he works out his argument with much acuteness and in a telling, popular way. As it is finally summed up it is skilfully put, and makes a distinct and deep impression.

Under the title of Principles of Religious Education 1 we have a valuable course of lectures which were delivered under the auspices of the Sunday School Commission of the Diocese of New York. The Bishop of New York, Dr. Henry C. Potter, contributes a brief Introduction. The lecturers are Professor N. M. Murray of Columbia University, Bishop Doane of Albany, Professor De Garmo of Cornell University, Dean Hodges of Cambridge, Mass., Mr. Pascal Harrower, Chairman of the Sunday School Commission, Diocese of New York, Dr. W. L. Harvey, President Hall of Clark University, Professor F. M. M'Murry of Columbia University, Professor Kent of Brown University, and Professor Moulton of Chicago University. These are men of reputation and experience. They are capable of doing good work, and they have done it here. They say much that is of worth on religious instruction, the educational work of the Church, the Sunday school, the preparation of the Sunday school teacher, the child-mind, etc. The book amply repays the reader.

Three volumes of the series of the "New Testament Handbooks," edited by Professor Shailer Mathews, are before us. Professor Ezra P. Gould writes one on The Biblical Theology of the New Testament.² His critical presuppositions are that the early teaching of the Apostles is given in the first twelve chapters of Acts, and their later in the Synoptic Gospels, James, I Peter and the Apocalypse; and that Ephesians, Colossians, I and 2 Timothy, Titus, Hebrews, 2 Peter, Jude

¹ New York, London and Bombay: Longmans, Green & Co., 1900. Cr. 8vo, pp. xx. + 292. Price 3s. 6d. net.

² New York: The Macmillan Company, 1900. Cr. 8vo, pp. xvi. + 221. Price 3s. 6d.

and the Johannean writings are all Alexandrian. His general conclusion consequently is that the spiritual teaching of Jesus became "in the hands of the Twelve a materialised Jewish Messianism, in the hands of Paul a return in part to the spiritualism and catholicity of our Lord's teaching, but, on the other hand, a mixture of theologising and priestism with that spiritual element; that in the debate between Paul and the Twelve, the early Apostles went back to the teaching of our Lord, writing the Synoptic Gospels to show His view in regard to the matters under controversy; and, finally, that in Alexandrianism the Gospel underwent its last transformation into a system of speculative philosophy". This is all given as if it admitted of no question. In reality, it is a new and dubious form of the familiar Tendency Criticism, open to most of the objections which have proved fatal to the main Tübingen positions. Apart from this, however, the exposition of the ideas of the New Testament is in many cases able and instructive, and is less influenced by the critical presuppositions than might have been expected. A large amount of work is represented by the volume, and there are abundant references to the literature of the subjects handled. Professor Henry S. Nash contributes a volume on The History of the Higher Criticism of the New Testament.1 He gives an excellent vindication of the rights of criticism, and a good summary of its history. The most interesting sections of the book are the sketches of the leaders in the critical movement from Richard Simon and Semler onward. The estimates of Schleiermacher, Baur and Ritschl are particularly good. The onesidedness and exaggeration which are apt to cling to criticism in the reaction against traditionalism are frankly acknowledged. But it is rightly said that "the sins of critics no more impair the authority of criticism than the sins of Churchmen impair the right of the Church to exist". Not only so, but Professor Nash is bold enough to claim that criticism has its inspiration and that it "enters, as a new ideal, into the life of the Church". The third

¹ New York: The Macmillan Company, 1900. Cr. 8vo, pp. xi. + 192. Price 3s. 6d.

volume is An Introduction to the New Testament 1 by Professor B. W. Bacon of Yale Divinity School. The first part of the book is occupied with an account of the history, method, scope and present state of the science, the growth of tradition, and the formation of the canon. Much is packed into the two chapters which deal with these things. The New Testament books are then examined in groups in the following order: the Pauline Epistles, the Catholic Epistles, the Historical Books, the Johannean writings. A careful analysis of each book is given. The literary and historical questions are discussed in a capable and independent way. The author follows his own course and looks at things with his own eyes. He is more in sympathy with Harnack than with the original Tübingen position. great English scholars, Lightfoot, Hort, Westcott, Hatch, Sanday, etc., obtain much less notice than they deserve. Professor Bacon's method, however, resembles theirs to a considerable extent. He gives his first attention to the historical testimony, and aims at getting through tradition back to fact. He bestows much attention, therefore, and with good reason, on early tradition. Some of the results to which he comes are of interest. He regards Galatians as the earliest of the Pauline Epistles, or at least as only a few months later than I and 2 Thessalonians. The only one of the earlier epistles of Paul which admits of any reasonable doubt is 2 Thessalonians, and with respect to it he is of opinion that no theory of its origin is "so free from serious objection as the view supported by its own representation and by the unbroken tradition of antiquity". The cosmology of Ephesians seems to him essentially Pauline. Of the thirteen epistles of Paul only the three Pastorals appear to him to "give good cause for dispute". Of the twenty-seven New Testament books he finds only one, viz. 2 Peter, whose testimony he is compelled to reject. The Apocalypse seems to him to be of composite origin and to belong to the later years of Domitian. As to our present Gospels and the book of

¹ New York: The Macmillan Company, 1900. Cr. 8vo, pp. xv. + 285. Price 3s. 6d.

Acts, he concludes that these are the "outcome of a larger and more complex process of growth than most critics admit". On I Peter, the Fourth Gospel and other constituents of the New Testament Canon, he makes some suggestions which are of importance. The book altogether is a very able and useful one.

Under the striking title of The Fatal Opulence of Bishops,1 the Rev. Hubert Handley, M.A., Vicar of St. Thomas's, Camden Town, gives us an "essay on a neglected ingredient of Church Reform" which is certain to attract attention and deserves to have it. It is a vigorous indictment of the great anomaly in the English Episcopal Church which leaves multitudes of the clergy miserably underpaid, and bestows upon a few dignitaries incomes which seem princely and out of all proportion. Mr. Handley shows in detail to how large an extent these great incomes are spent on the maintenance of "palaces," and on a style and state of life which separates the great men of the Church from the vast mass of those for whom they are appointed to care. The book is written in the plainest terms and with admirable courage, but all the while in the best spirit. It is in many ways a notable publication and a sign of the times not to be neglected.

From Apostle to Priest² is the title of a very sensible book by a Canadian minister, the Rev. James Falconer, M.A., B.D., of Truro, Nova Scotia. It is a "study of early Church organisation," and is based upon a course of lectures delivered in Queen's University, Kingston. The writer has made a conscientious and discriminating use of the well-known works by Reville, Hort, Löning, Moberly and others, and has produced a volume which will fill a useful place in the recent literature of the subject. He writes in a liberal spirit. His general position may be seen from these sentences: "the authority within the Church is not in a divine commission transmitted in material lines of descent, and confined to a class, but in the people led by the Spirit of God"; "a ministry is necessary to the Church from the con-

¹ London: Adam & Charles Black, 1901. 8vo, pp. 149. Price 5s. ² Edinburgh: T. & T. Clark, 1900. Cr. 8vo, pp. xi. + 292. Price 4s. 6d.

dition of human affairs, and for the sake of order some form of government must needs arise; but this by no means leads to the position that any one form of ministry is of absolute necessity because divinely ordained. It may be that one form is more in accordance with the New Testament standards than another, but Episcopacy is not of divine right any more than Presbyterianism." Mr. Falconer's argument goes to show how little of a fixed constitution the Church had at first, how free she was in her movements on to the end of the first quarter of the second century, and how clear it is made by the Epistles and the Book of Acts that within the New Testament period, the policy of the Church and her methods of administration were guided by the circumstances that emerged from time to time, and not by any pre-determined plan.

Mr. A. W. Jackson's James Martineau is a welcome book. It is described as "a biography and study," and in both directions it makes an important contribution to our knowledge of Martineau and our appreciation of his genius. The first of the three books of which the volume consists is devoted to biography. We get a rapid but sufficient sketch of his career—his education, his ministry in Dublin, his ministry in Liverpool, his life in London, his literary efforts, etc. Nothing of moment seems to be omitted. Among other things to which we are glad to see proper attention given, is the painful incident of his candidature for the chair of Logic and Mental Philosophy in University College, London. Beyond all comparison, he was the fittest man for the office, but the position was given to a very young man who afterwards did excellent work, but who had slender claims at the time. The rejection of so distinguished a metaphysican as James Martineau was due to the narrowness and sectarianism of Mr. Grote and some others who were among the loudest in their professions of liberalism. It makes one of the most discreditable chapters in the history of an important educational institution. The second book

¹London and Bombay: Longmans, Green & Co., 1900. 8vo, pp. x.+459. Price 12s. 6d.

exhibits him as the "Religious Teacher," and the third is taken up with an exposition of his position as the "Philosopher of Religion". In these books Mr. Jackson's own views of religious and philosophical questions occupy some space. But they are worth having, and we are grateful to him for the very careful and elaborate expositions he gives us of James Martineau's most characteristic teaching. Until we get an authoritative *Life* of Martineau, Mr. Jackson's "biography and study" will hold the field. It will be a valuable, an almost indispensable vade mecum for the student. The author deserves our best acknowledgments for the important and opportune service he has done us in providing us with this book.

Professors Ernest Dewitt Burton and Shailer Mathews, of the University of Chicago, have published a volume of Constructive Studies on the Life of Christ.1 They form part of a series of Constructive Bible Studies edited by Principal W. R. Harper and Professor Burton. They are described as "an aid to historical study and a condensed commentary on the Gospels". The object which is in view deserves the heartiest commendation. It is to promote the historical study of the Gospels in academies, colleges, and the advanced classes of Sunday schools. There is much need of a series like this, and the idea which has inspired it is ably carried out. The standard which is aimed at is high. The work is done in a very capable way, putting young people in possession of the results of the best historical and exegetical inquiry, and leading them on to a systematic and scholarly study of the sacred records. We wish the series of which this is part large success. It will be a great boon to many, and above all it should help both to keep our most intelligent youth longer in the Sunday school system and to make the work in which they are invited to engage there more thorough, more profitable, and more interesting.

The third of a series of interesting papers on "Methodism and the New Century," by Dr. T. B. Stephenson appears in the March issue of the Wesleyan Methodist Magazine.

¹ Chicago: University of Chicago Press, 1901. 8vo, pp. 302. Price \$1.

President Harper gives the second of his "Constructive Studies in the Priestly Element in the Old Testament" in the February issue of the Biblical World. He deals here with the history of worship in the earlier Old Testament period, showing how worship constituted religion and tracing the development of the primitive Semitic worship as seen in the Old Testament on to B.C. 650. Another paper of interest is one by Professor Goodspeed of Chicago on the "Atonement of Communion" in the non-Christian religions.

In the *Homiletic Review* for February, Lieutenant-Colonel Conder writes briefly on the "Results of Syrian Stone-Lore". The example of the art and script of the Hittites discovered by Dr. Koldewey helps us, he thinks, to give an approximate date to the Hittite texts. He regards the new monument as to be placed probably between B.C. 2250 and 2150. The Rev. W. W. Everts writes sensibly on the "Argument from Silence" in its bearing upon the account of our Lord's Nativity.

The January number of the Antiquary, an illustrated magazine devoted to the study of the past, has a further instalment of the interesting series of "Quarterly Notes on Roman Britain," by F. Haverfield, M.A. The Genealogical Magazine for January contains among other things a paper by Mr. C. S. Romanes on "An Old Scottish Manuscript" a record of documents under the Great and Privy Seals of Scotland, and another by R. A. G. H. on the "Records of an English Manor for a Thousand Years". In the first issue of L'Humanité Nouvelle for the year, R. de la Grassene concludes his papers on the classification of social phenomena, and A. Hamon continues his on "Les Congrès et la situation du socialisme contemporaire". The second issue has good papers on "Le Nirvâna" by M. Léon de Rosny, "L'Étude d'éthique chez les races inférieures," by M. Washington Matthews, etc.

The Methodist Review for January-February has some very good papers, e.g., one by Dr. W. M. Patton of Yale on "Death and the Intermediate State in Islam," another by Professor C. G. Shaw of New York on "The Unity of History and Religion in Christianity," and a third by Dr. C. V.

Anthony of San Francisco on "The Doctrine of Divine Retribution".

We have received the first number of the Monatsschrift für die kirchliche Praxis. It is a new series of the magazine known for a good many years as the Zeitschrift für praktische Theologie, and is edited by Professor O. Baumgarten of Kiel. The editor contributes a paper on the "Enstehungsgeschichte einer Predigt". The opening article is by Professor Drews, and has for its title "Religiöse Volkskunde," eine Aufgabe der praktischen Theologie". The new series promises well.

The first issue of Dr. Erwin Preuschen's Zeitschrift für die neutestamentliche Wissenschaft und die Kunde des Urchristentums for 1901, opens with an important paper by P. Corssen on Thomas of Mabug's Recension of the Philoxenian Version. bringing out the fact that the Philoxenian had in some things the same fortune as Jerome's Vulgate, its text being depraved by readings of older translations. Another article which has an interest of its own is one by A. N. Jannaris on "St. John's Gospel and the Logos". Its object is to show that the Greek term lóyos cannot be taken in a hypostatic or anthropomorphic sense, that the "doctrine of the so-called Johannine Logos is foreign to the New Testament writers including St. John," and that in point of fact it is a theological product which originated and developed in the apologetic speculation of Post-Apostolic Christianity". In its most important points the paper is very far from being convincing.

We have also to notice these: Die altchristlichen Goldgläser,¹ by Dr. Hermann Vopel, an interesting contribution to the history of early Christian art, written in a clear and pleasant style, made the more attractive by a number of very good drawings, and provided with full lists of the objects and their locations; a study of Thomas Carlyle,² by Paul Hensel, forming the eleventh volume of Frommanns' Klassiker der Philosophie, giving a very readable account of the career

¹ Freiburg i. B.: J. C. B. Mohr; London and Edinburgh: Williams & Norgate. 8vo, pp. x.+116. Price 3s. 9d. net.

² Stuttgart: Frommanns Verlag (E. Hauff); London and Edinburgh: Williams & Norgate, 1901. 8vo, pp. 212. Price 2s.

of the sage of Chelsea, useful tables of the dates of the main incidents in his life and the publication of his various writings, and a valuable appreciation of his teaching and his influence; a second edition of Dr. Richard Francis Weymouth's acute pamphlet On the Rendering into English of the Greek Aorist and Perfect; 1 a couple of small publications by Martin Rade, entitled Reine Lehre, eine Forderung des Glaubens und nicht des Rechts,² and Die Wahrheit der christlichen Religion, both well worth attention, dealing with important questions, and containing not a few suggestive lines of remark; a treatise on the important subject of Das Wesen des evangelischen Glaubens,4 by a veteran pastor, H. C. Tamm, in which the Lutheran principle of justification by faith is examined, interpreted and vindicated, and the views of Schleiermacher, Dorner, Pfleiderer, Kaftan and others on the essence of the Christian faith subjected to a careful criticism; a concise and acute criticism of Haeckel, with an able restatement of the just relations of natural science to Christianity by Superintendent August Heinrich Braasch-brief, pointed and eminently fair; a pamphlet by P. Bräunlich giving a detailed and interesting account of the remarkable movement in revolt from Rome in Austria, as it is affecting Bohemia 6; a Lecture by Professor Wilhelm Volck of Greifswald on the Attitude of Christ and the Apostles to the Old Testament 7 seeking the explanation of the applications made of the Old Testa-

¹ London: Houlston. 8vo, pp. 55. Price 6d.

² Tübingen: Freiburg i. B. und Leipzig: J. C. B. Mohr; London and Edinburgh: Williams & Norgate, 1900. 8vo, pp. 48. Price 1s. net.

³ Tübingen: Freiburg i. B. und Leipzig: J. C. B. Mohr; London and Edinburgh: Williams & Norgate, 1901. 8vo, pp. 80. Price 1s. net.

⁴ Berlin: Schwetschke und Sohn. Cr. 8vo, pp. 195. Price M.3.

⁵ Ueber Ernst Haeckel's Welträtsel. Tübingen, Freiburg i. B. und Leipzig: J. C. B. Mohr; London and Edinburgh: Williams & Norgate, 1900. 8vo, pp. 49. Price 1s. net.

⁶ Das Fortschreiten der "Los von Rom-Bewegung" in Oesterreich. I. Böhmen. München: Lehmann, 1900. 8vo, pp. 75. Price M.o.6o.

⁷Christi und der Apostel Stellung zum Alten Testament. Leipzig: Deichert. London and Edinburgh: Williams & Norgate, 1900. Cr. 8vo, pp. 44. Price M.o.6o.

VOL. XI.—No. 3.

ment by the New Testament writers in their insight into the typical character of Old Testament Scripture, and finding in the use made of the Old Testament alike by Christ Himself and by the Apostles a witness to its inspiration; a Study of Schleiermacher's idea of religion and his religious position at the time of the first publication of his Reden, by Lic. Emil Fuchs, a full, careful and instructive statement; a small volume on The Mosaic Tabernacle 2 by the Rev. John Adams, B.D., giving a clear and concise account of the Levitical Priesthood and Sanctuary, admirably adapted for use in Bible classes; a short but vivid and charmingly written treatise by M. Lucien Gautier on the Calls of Prophets,3-Ezekiel, Jeremiah, Isaiah and Amos; Sermons on the Books of the Bible,4 by the late Fenton John Anthony Hort, D.D., a separate reprint of an admirable series of addresses which appeared in the volume of Village Sermons published in 1897, now issued in this form with a view to use as a textbook for Indian students; Counsels for Church People, 5 a series of selections from the writings of the late Bishop Mandell Creighton, judiciously chosen and arranged by the Rev. J. H. Burn, B.D., giving many devout and suggestive reflections on the Church and Society, Christianity, Music and Worship, Sympathy, Influence and other subjects; the fifth part of the nineteenth volume of Holtzmann and Krüger's invaluable Theologischer Jahresbericht,6 containing the index for the volume, carefully prepared by Pfarrer C. Funger.

¹ Schleiermacher's Religionsbegriff und religiöse Stellung zur Zeit der ersten Ausgabe der Reden (1799-1806). Giessen: Ricker; London and Edinburgh: Williams & Norgate, 1901. 8vo, pp. 103. Price 2s.

² Edinburgh: T. & T. Clark. Pp. 112. Price 6d.

³ Vocations de Prophètes. Lausanne: Bridel. Small cr. 8vo, pp. 93.

⁴ London: Macmillan & Co., 1900. Cr. 8vo, pp. 143. Price 4s. 6d.

⁵ London: Elliot Stock, 1901. Fcp. 8vo, pp. 202. Price 5s.

⁶ Berlin: Schwetschke und Sohn; London and Edinburgh: Williams & Norgate, 1900. 8vo, pp. viii., 937-1087. Price 2s. The vol. complete 30s.

Record of Select Literature.

I.—OLD TESTAMENT.

SCHWARZKOPFF, P. Die Weissagungen Jesaia's gegen Sanherib. Leipzig: G. Fock. 8vo, pp. 45. M.20.

EBSTEIN, W. Die Medizin im Alten Testament. Stüttgart: F. Enke. 8vo, pp. viii. + 184. M.5.

DAY, Rev. Edward. The Social Life of the Hebrews. (Semitic Series.) London: J. C. Nimmo. Cr. 8vo, pp. viii. + 255. 5s. net.

McCurdy, James Frederick. History, Prophecy and the Monuments. Vol. iii. New York: Macmillan. 8vo, pp. xxi. + 470. 14s. net.

SERAPHIM, Bishop. The Soothsayer Balaam. London: Rivingtons. Roy. 8vo, pp. 372. 10s.

TAYLOR, C. Cairo Genizah Palimpsests from the Taylor-Schechter Collection, including a Fragment of the Twenty-second Psalm according to Origen's Hexapla. Cambridge: University Press. 4to, pp. 104. 15s.

KENNETT, Rev. R. H. A Short Account of the Hebrew Tenses. Cambridge: University Press. Cr. 8vo, pp. viii. + 104. 3s. net.

OLD TESTAMENT ARTICLES.

- Johns, Rev. C. H. W. The Babylonian Noah's Ark. Expositor, March 1901.
- Brederek, E. Bemerkungen über die Art der Uebersetzung im Targum Onkelos. Studien u. Kritiken, 1901, 3.
- PLATH, M. Zum Buche Tobit. Studien u. Kritiken, 1901, 3. MENGEDOHT, H. W. Inscription of Nabu-Pal-Iddina, King
 - of Babylon, B.C. 883-852. Translated by H. W. M. Babylonian and Oriental Record, 1901, 2.

HARPER, Dr. W. R. Constructive Studies in the Priestly Element in the Old Testament. III. The History of Worship in the Middle Old Testament Period. Biblical World, March 1901.

KÖNIG, Prof. Ed. Ezekiel's Priests and Levites. Expository

Times, April 1901.

RICE, John Wesley. On the Septuagint Text of I Samuel xx. 3 and Epistle of Jeremiah xxvi. American Journal of Philology, xxi., 4.

BERRY, Prof. George Ricker. The Old Testament Teaching concerning God. American Journal of Theology, April

1901.

Denio, Prof. F. B. The Servant of Jehovah. American Journal of Theology, April 1901.

Wright, G. Frederick. Physical Preparation for Israel in Palestine. Bibliotheca Sacra, April 1901.

SAYCE, Prof. A. H. The Hittites, or the Story of a Forgotten Empire. Homiletic Review, April 1901.

Isaacs, Prof. Abram S. The Talmud in History. Jewish Quarterly Review, April 1901.

ABRAHAMS, I. Niese on the two Books of the Maccabees.

Jewish Quarterly Review, April 1901.

II.—NEW TESTAMENT.

PRAGER, L. Die Offenbarung Johannis, auf Grund der hl. Schrift eingehend erklärt. II. Bd. Leipzig: A. Deichert Nachf. 8vo, pp. 528. M.7.

DESJARDINS, G. Authenticité et date des livres du nouveau Testament. (Étude critique de l'Histoire des origines du Christianisme de M. Renan.) Paris: Lethielleux. 8vo, pp. 223.

KENYON, F. G. Facsimiles of Bible MSS. in the British Museum. Clarendon Press. Fol. 10s.

Pastor Pastorum. London: Deighton, Bell & Co. Cambridge: G. Bell. Cr. 8vo, pp. xvi.+488. 6s.

- MOFFATT, James. The Historical New Testament. Being the Literature of the New Testament arranged in the order of its Literary Growth and according to the Dates of the Documents. A New Translation. Edited with Prolegomena, Historical Tables, Critical Notes, and an Appendix. Edinburgh: T. & T. Clark. 8vo, pp. 754-16s.
- Weinel, Heinrich. Die Bildersprache Jesu in ihrer Bedeutung für die Erforschung seines innern Lebens. Giessen: Ricker. 8vo, pp. 49. 1s. 6d. net.
- FULFORD, H. W. The General Epistle of St. James explained. (Churchman's Bible.) London: Methuen. Cr. 8vo, pp. 144. 1s. 6d. net.
- Pullan, Leighton. The Books of the New Testament. Cr. 8vo, pp. 310. London: Rivingtons. 4s. 6d. net.
- Lesêtre, H. Notre Seigneur Jesus-Christ dans son Saint-Evangile. Paris: Lethielleux. 2 yols. 12mo, pp. xii. + 328, 316. Price F.5.
- Kunze, Dr. Johannes. Die Herrlichkeit Jesu Christi nach den drei ersten Evangelien. Leipzig: Dörffling u. Franke. Cr. 8vo, pp. 33. M.o.50.
- Drescher, Richard. Das Leben Jesu bei Paulus. Giessen: Ricker. 8vo, pp. 65. 2s. net.

NEW TESTAMENT ARTICLES.

- DRUMMOND, Dr. James. The Use and Meaning of the Phrase "The Son of Man" in the Synoptic Gospels. Part I. Journal of Theological Studies, April 1901.
- TAYLOR, Dr. C. Plato and the New Testament. I. St. Luke xix. 21; II. St. James i. 5. Journal of Theological Studies, April 1901.
- DENNEY, Prof. James. Theology of the Epistle to the Romans. I. The Doctrine of Sin. Expositor, March-April, 1901.
- HARRIS, Prof. J. Rendel. The Problem of the Address in the Second Epistle of John. Expositor, March 1901.

RAMSAY, Prof. W. M. The Epistle and its Parts; Letter-writing in Ephesus; Intervals and Pauses in the Epistle, etc. Expositor, March 1901.

RENDALL, Rev. F. The first Galatian Ministry. Expositor, April 1901.

Moulton, Rev. James Hope. Notes from the Papyri. Expositor, April 1901.

CHEYNE, Prof. T. K. Few Things Needful. Expositor, April 1901.

NICOL, Rev. Prof. T. The Lower Criticism of the New Testament. London Quarterly Review, April 1901.

KENDALL, May. Notes on Mark xiv. London Quarterly Review, April 1901.

ZIMMERMANN, H. Die vier ersten Christlichen Schriften der Jerusalemischen Urgemeinde in den Synoptikern und der Apostelgeschichte. Studien u. Kritiken, 1901, 3.

RAMSAY, Prof. W. M. Ephesus. Biblical World, March 1901. Henslow, Prof. G. Christ and the Book of Enoch. Church of England Pulpit and Ecclesiastical Review, March 1901.

Allen, Rev. J. Hellenistic Theology. Church of England Pulpit and Ecclesiastical Review, March 1901.

BERNARD, Rev. T. D. The Judaean Ministry of Jesus. Expository Times, April 1901.

CROSS, Rev. J. A. Recent Opinions on the Date of the Acts of the Apostles. Expository Times, April 1901.

RAMSAY, Prof. W. M. The Statesmanship of St. Paul, II. Contemporary Review, April 1901.

KENNEDY, Rev. H. A. A. Recent Research in the Language of the New Testament. Expository Times, May, 1901.

LEWIS, Dr. Agnes Smith. What have we Gained in the Sinaitic Palimpsest? Expository Times, May 1901.

LLOYD, Rhys Rhees. The Historic Christ in the Letters of Paul. Bibliotheca Sacra, April 1901.

Schechter, Prof. S. Some Rabbinic Parallels of the New Testament. Homiletic Review, May 1901.

RAMSAY, Prof. W. M. The Apostolate. Expositor, May 1901. CARR, Rev. Arthur. Baptism for the Dead. Expositor, May 1901.

III.—HISTORICAL.

- Moule, Prof. H. C. G. The Evangelical School in the Church of England. London: Nisbet. Small cr. 8vo, pp. 126. 2s.
- CREIGHTON, Bishop Mandell. A History of the Papacy. New ed., vol. i. London: Longmans. Cr. 8vo, pp. 408. 5s. net.
- GREGOROVIUS, Ferdinand. History of the City of Rome in the Middle Ages. Translated from the 4th German edition by Mrs. Gustavus W. Hamilton. Vol. i., A.D. 400-568. London: G. Bell. Cr. 8vo, pp. 558. 6s. net.
- SALEMBIER, L. Le grand Schisme d'Occident. Paris: Lecoffre. 18mo, pp. xii. + 430. Fr.3.50.
- HARLAND, M. John Knox. London: Putnam. 8vo, pp. 280. 5s.
- BAUNARD, Mgr. Un Siècle de l'Église de France, 1800-1900. Paris: Poussielgue. 4to, pp. viii. + 514. Fr.15.
- JACKSON, Samuel Macauley. Huldreich Zwingli, the Reformer of German Switzerland, 1484-1531. Together with an Historical Survey of Switzerland before the Reformation, by Prof. John Martin Vincent, and a chapter on Zwingli's Theology, by Prof. Frank Hugh Foster. (Heroes of the Reformation.) Map, Illust. London: Putnam's Sons. Cr. 8vo, pp. xvi.+519. 6s.
- CREIGHTON, Bp. M. A History of the Papacy from the Great Schism to the Sack of Rome. 6 vols. New Impression. Cr. 8vo. London: Longmans. 6s. each.
- HOARE, H. W. The Evolution of the English Bible. An Historical Sketch of the Successive Versions from 1382 to 1885. With Portraits and Specimen Pages from Old Bibles. London: J. Murray. 8vo, pp. 332. 10s. 6d. net.
- HARNACK, Adolf. The Apostles' Creed. A Translation from an Article in the 3rd ed. of Herzog's Realencyclopædie, by the Rev. Stewart Means. Revised and edited by Thomas Bailey Saunders. London: Black. Cr. 8vo, pp. 90. 1s. 6d. net.

RADE, Dr. Martin. Doktor Martin Luther's Leben, Thaten, und Meinungen auf Grund reichlicher Mittheilungen aus seinen Briefen und Schriften dem Volke erzählt, Tübingen u. Leipzig: J. C. B. Mohr. 3 vols. 8vo, pp. viii. +772, iv. +746, vi. +770. M.13.50. Geb. M.18.

WERNLE, Paul. Die Aufänge unserer Religion. Tübingen u. Leipzig: J. C. B. Mohr. 8vo, pp. xii. + 410. M.7.

Bourgain, L. L'Église de France et l'État au xixe Siècle. 1802-1900. Paris: Tequi. 2 vols. 12mo, pp. 360, 364. F.6.

Fontane, Marius. Histoire Universelle de la Papauté. Charlemagne. (De 632 à 877 après Jésus Christ.)

Paris: Lemerre. 12mo, pp. 448. F.7.50.

Janssen, Johannes. Geschichte des deutschen Volkes seit dem Ausgang des Mittelalters. Sechster Band: Kunst und Volkslitteratur bis zum Beginn des dreissig-jährigen Krieges; 15 und 16 verbesserte und vermehrte Auflage, besorgt von Ludwig Pastor. Freiburg i. B.: Herder. 8vo, pp. xxxviii. +580. F.7.

LEDOS, Gabriel. Sainte Gertrude, 1256-? 1303. Paris:

Lecoffre. 12mo, pp. iv. + 208. F.2.

Lejeal, Gustave. Jésus l'Alexandrin. Le Symbole de la Croix. Études historiques. Paris: Maisonneuve. 8vo, pp. 130. F.5.

LEPITRE, Albert. Saint Antoine de Padoue, 1195-1231.

Paris: Lecoffre. 12mo, pp. viii. + 210. F.2.

SABATIER, Paul. De l'authenticité de la légende de Saint François dite des trois compagnons. Paris: Alcan. 8vo, pp. 44.

HISTORICAL ARTICLES.

WATSON, Rev. E. W. The "De Opere et Eleemosynis" of St. Cyprian. Journal of Theological Studies, April 1901.

WORKMAN, Herbert B. John Wyclif. London Quarterly Review, April 1901.

Batiffol, Pierre. Au Séminaire historique de Louvain. Bulletin de littérature ecclésiastique, 1901, 3.

- RICHARD, Prof. J. W. The Beginnings of Protestant Worship in Germany and Switzerland. American Journal of Theology, April 1901.
- BRAMHALL, Edith C. The Origin of the Temporal Privileges of the Crusaders. American Journal of Theology, April 1901.
- WARFIELD, Prof. Benjamin B. The Making of the Westminster Confession. Presbyterian and Reformed Review, April 1901.

IV.—DOCTRINAL.

- King, Henry Churchill. Reconstruction in Theology. London: Macmillan. Cr. 8vo, pp. 270. 6s.
- CAPREOLI, J. Defensiones theologiae divi Thomae Aquinatis. Vol. ii. Tours: Cattier. 8vo, pp. xx.+592. Fr.25.
- Constantini, V. Institutiones theologiae moralis. Rome: Desclée, Lefebvre et Cie. 8vo, 3 vols., pp. 146, 304, 294. Fr.8.
- DE CONDREU, le P. L'idée du Sacerdos et du Sacrifice de Jésus Christ. Paris: Tequi. 12mo, pp. liv. + 382. Fr. 3.50.
- CERCEAU, G. Catéchisme de Léon XIII. Les principaux enseignements de Léon XIII. Paris: Rondelet. Fasc. i. 8vo, 70 cartes. Fr.o.75.
- MESSAGLIA, Aloisius. Summela doctrinae divi Thomae Aquinatis, adjecto opusculo Cardinalis de Turre Cremata de Romano pontifice. Rome: Desclée, Lefebvre et Cie. 12mo, 3 vols., pp. 256, 668, 448. Fr.6.
- ROBERTS, C. M. A Treatise on the History of Confession until it developed into Auricular Confession. A.D. 1215. London: Camb. Univ. Press. Cr. 8vo. 3s. 6d.
- HOOKER, Richard. Confession and Absolution. Being the Sixth Book of the Laws of Ecclesiastical Polity. Edited with Introduction, Analysis, Notes and Appendix by the Rev. John Harding. London: C. Murray. Cr. 8vo, pp. 141. 2s. 6d.
- Gant, Frederick James. Modern Natural Theology. London: Elliot Stock. Cr. 8vo, pp. xii. + 151. 2s. 6d. net.

SCHWARTZKOPFF, Paul. Beweis des Dasein Gottes. Halle und Bremen: Müller. Cr. 8vo, pp. vii. + 118. M.2.

Quotdbach, L. Un défi à l'incrédulité. Brussels: Schepens. 12mo, pp. 104. F.1.

REYNEN, A. Cours raisonné de religion. Liége: Dessain. 12mo, pp. 396. F.2.

DEVINE, A. Les Sacraments expliqués d'après la doctrine et les enseignments de l'Eglise catholique. Traduit de l'Anglais par l'Abbé C. Maillard. Avignon: Aubanel.

12mo, pp. vii. +658. F.6.

GIHR, N. Les Sacrements de l'Eglise catholique exposés dogmatiquement à l'usage des prêtres dans le Ministère. Traduit de l'Allemand par l'Abbé Ph. Mazoyer. Tome I. Les Sacrements en général: Le Baptême; La Confirmation. Paris: Lethielleux. 8vo, pp. xii. + 438. F.5.

Bougand, Mgr. Emile. The Divinity of Christ: An Argument. Trans. from the French by C. L. Currie. Cr. 8vo, pp. xvii. + 159. New York: W. H. Young. 5s.

CALDECOTT, Alfred. The Philosophy of Religion in England and America. London: Metheun. 8vo, pp. 450. 10s. 6d.

Gore, Charles. The Body of Christ: An Enquiry into the Institution and Doctrine of Holy-Communion. London: J. Murray. Cr. 8vo, pp. x.+350. 5s. net.

Eck, Rev. H. V. S. The Incarnation. London: Longmans. Cr. 8vo, pp. x. + 288. 5s.

Scott, Rev. C. A. Evangelical Doctrine: Bible Truth. London: Hodder & Stoughton. Cr. 8vo, pp. xii. + 308. 6s.

Schiffini, S. Tractatus de gratia divina. Freiburg i. B: Herder. 8vo, pp. viii. + 704. F.10.50.

Pesch, Tillmann. La philosophie chrétienne de la vie. Pensées sur des vérités religieuses. Ouvrage traduit de l'Allemand sur la 3^e edition par le R. P. Biron. Tome I. Première semaine. 1. L'amour de la vérité. 2. La délicatesse de conscience. Paris: Lethielleux. 12mo, pp. xvi. + 364. F.4.

SCHEDERMANN, Prof. Georg. Der christliche Gottesbegriff im Sinne der gegenwärtig Evangelisch-lutherischen Kirche. II. Abtheilung. Leipzig: Deichert. 8vo, pp. xiii. + 499. M.3.60.

SALMOND, S. D. F. The Christian Doctrine of Immortality. Fourth Edition. Revised throughout and Re-set. Edinburgh: T. & T. Clark. 8vo, pp. xix. + 565. os.

SCHULHOF, J. M. The Law of Forgiveness as presented in the New Testament. Cambridge: Heffer & Sons. Cr. 8vo, pp. xv. + 150.

DOCTRINAL ARTICLES.

- MOBERLY, Rev. Dr. R. C. The Fulham Conference on Communion with the Atonement. Journal of Theological Studies, April 1901.
- Scott, Rev. C. A. Zwingli's doctrine of the Lord's Supper. Expositor, March 1901.
- BEET, Prof. J. A. The Immortality of the Soul: III. Immortality in the Early Church. Expositor, March 1901.
- BANKS, Prof. J. S. Oxford Conference on Priesthood and Sacrifice. London Quarterly Review, April 1901.
- Brunetière, F. L'idée de Solidarité. Bulletin de littérature ecclésiastique, 1901, 1.
- TURMEL, J. Le dogme du péché originel; 2e article: Notion de la chute et du péché originel dans les quatre premiers siècles. Revue d'Histoire et de Littérature religieuses, vi., I.
- GOODSPEED, George S. Atonement in Non-Christian Religions. III. Development of Atoning Practices in Ancient Religions. Biblical World, March 1901.
- SHELDON, Prof. H. C. Scholastic Realism and some of its Bequests to Theological Thinking. Methodist Review, March-April 1901.
- STEELE, Prof. R. B. Faith and Belief. Methodist Review, March-April 1901.
- IEVONS, F. B. The Science of Religion, its History and Method. International Monthly, April 1901.

Humanism and Christianity. Quarterly Review, April 1901.

MOOAR, George. Reminiscences of Atonement Theory.

Bibliotheca Sacra, April 1901.

LINDSAY, Rev. Dr. James. Man's Place in the Cosmos. Presbyterian and Reformed Review, April 1901.

LAFON, Marc. Étude sur la Sainte-Cène (Suite et fin). Revue de Théologie et des Questions religieuses, x., 2.

Bois, Henri. La Religion, la Morale, et la Science, (Suite et fin). Revue de Theologie et des Questions religieuses, x., 2.

SANDAY, Prof. W. Atonement and Personality. Expositor, May, 1901.

V.—PHILOSOPHICAL.

MATAGRIN, Amédee. Essai sur l'esthétique de Lotze. Paris : Alcan. 12mo, pp. 166. Fr.2.

PAULHAN, F. Psychologie de l'invention. Paris: Alcan. 12mo, pp. 186. Fr.2.50.

Belot, G., Bernes, M., etc. Questions de morale. Leçons professées au College libre de Sciences Sociales. Paris: Alcan. 8vo, pp. viii. + 322. Fr.6.

RENOUVIER, Charles. Les dilemmes de la métaphysique pure. Paris: Alcan. 8vo, pp. 284. Fr.5.

Bernstein, Eduard. Zur Geschichte und Theorie des Socialismus. Berlin: Edelheim. 8vo, pp. 426. M.6.25.

ADICKES, E. Kant contra Haeckel. Erkenntnistheorie gegen naturwissenschaftl. Dogmatismus. Berlin: Reuther & Reichard. 8vo, pp. vi. + 129. M.2.

Bullinger, A. Hegelsche Logik u. gegenwärtig herrschender antihegelischer Unverstand. München: Ackermann, Verl. 8vo, pp. 150. M.2.40.

ROBERTY, E. de. Constitution de l'éthique. Paris : Alcan. 12mo, pp. 224. Fr.2.50.

BIERVLIET, J. van. Le Problème de la mémoire en psychologie expérimentale. Louvain : Polleunis et Ceuterick. 8vo, pp. 36. Fr.1.

Bourdeau, L. Le problème de la vie. Essai de Sociologie générale. Paris: Alcan. 8vo, pp. xii. + 372. F.7.50.

Fournet, J. Pensées philosophiques. Recueillies, classées, annotées et accompagnées d'une préface par J. Gardair. Paris: Lethielleux. 8vo, pp. 440. F.7.50.

LEHMEN, Alfons. Lehrbuch der Philosophie auf aristotelischscholastischer Grundlage zum Gebrauche an höheren Lehranstalten und zum Selbstunterricht. Zweiter Band, Erste Abtheilung; Kosmologie und Psychologie. Freiburg i. B.: Herder. 8vo, pp. xvi.+526. F.7.50.

MARTIN, Jules. Saint Augustin ("Les grands philosophes"). Paris: Alcan. 8vo, pp. xvi. + 404. F.5.

MICHAUT, G. Marc-Aurèle, Pensées. Traduction nouvelle. Paris: Fontemoing. 8vo, pp. xxiv. + 340. F.3.50.

MURET, Maurice. L'esprit Juif. Essai de psychologie ethnique. Paris: Perrin et Cie. 12mo, pp. 322. F.3.50.

STEIN, Ludwig. La question sociale au point de vue philosophique. Paris: Alcan. 8vo, pp. ii. + 506. F.10.

RONDELET, Antonin. Philosophie des sciences sociales. Paris: Rondelet et C 12mo, pp. 324. F.3.50.

SKARZYNSKI, Louis. Le progrès social à la fin du xixe siècle.

Paris: Alcan. 12mo, pp. iv. + 496. F.4.50.

DESERTIS, V. C. Psychic Philosophy as the Foundation of a Religion of Natural Law. 2nd ed. London: Wellby. Cr. 8vo, pp. 358. 3s. 6d. net.

Novie, L. A Sketch of the Development of Philosophic Thought from Thales to Kant. London: Macmillan. 8vo. 7s. 6d. net.

PHILOSOPHICAL ARTICLES.

Dove, Christopher C. Some Moral Heresies of the Present Day. London Quarterly Review, April 1901.

THOMSON, Prof. J. Arthur. The Present Aspect of the Evolution Theory. London Quarterly Review, April 1901.

BAYLAC, J. Le problème du mal, d'après M Renouvier. Bulletin de littérature ecclesiastique, 1901, 2.

SATTHIANADHAN, S. Philosophic Thought under Victoria. *Indian Review*, 1901, 3.

Young, Rev. Benjamin. Aristotelianism in Modern Thought; a Study in the History of Philosophy. Methodist Review, March-April 1901.

WRIGHT, Walter E. C. Sociology: a Psychological Study.

Bibliotheca Sacra, April 1901.

SHAW, Charles G. The Theory of Value and its Place in the History of Ethics. International Journal of Ethics, April 1901.

ROBERTSON, John M. The Moral Problems of War. International Journal of Ethics, April 1901.

The English Utilitarians. Edinburgh Review, April 1901.

BALL, Sydney. Current Sociology. Mind, April 1901.

SETH, James. The Ethical System of Henry Sidgwick. Mind, April 1901.

VI.—GENERAL.

HOMMEL, F. Die Insel der Seligen in Mythus u. Sage der Vorzeit. München: H. Lukaschik. 8vo, pp. 42. M.1.50.

HOMMEL, F. Der Gestirndienst der alten Araber und die altisraelitische Ueberlieferung. München: H. Lukaschik. 8vo, pp. 32. M.1.20.

FAURE, L.-F. Newman, sa vie et ses œuvres. Paris:

Perrin et Cie. 12mo, pp. 310. Fr.3.50.

BENSON, R. M. The Way of Holiness. An Exposition of Psalm cxix. Analytical and Devotional. London: Methuen. Cr. 8vo, pp. vii. + 271.

MOULTON, Richard G. A Short Introduction to the Literature of the Bible. London: Isbister. Cr. 8vo, pp. 382.

3s. 6d.

RANDOLPH, B. W. The Psalms of David with brief Notes for use in Church or at Home. London: Methuen.

12mo, pp. 2s. net.

ROBERTSON, John M. Modern Humanists. Sociological Studies of Carlyle, Mill, Emerson, Arnold, Ruskin and Spencer. With an Epilogue of Social Reconstruction. London: Sonnenschein. New. ed. Cr. 8vo, pp. 278. 2s. 6d.

- Delfour, L. La religion des contemporains. Essai de critique catholique. 3^e Série. Paris : Société française d'imprimerie et de librairie. 12mo, pp. 336. F.3.50.
- FONTAINE, J. Les infiltrations protestantes et le clergé français. Paris: Retaux. 12mo, pp. x. + 288. F.2.50.
- Pesch, H. Liberalismus, Socialismus und Christliche Gesellschaftsorduung, Zweite Auflage. Freiburg i. B.: Herder. 2 vols. 12mo, pp. xii.+772, viii.+396-602. F.17.50.
- REYNAUD, W. La civilisation païennes et la famille. Paris : Perrin et Cie. 12mo, pp. xii. + 310. F.3.50.

GENERAL ARTICLES.

- MATHESON, Rev. Dr. G. Optimism and Pessimism. Expositor, May 1901.
- BRIGHTMAN, Rev. F. E. Byzantine Imperial Coronations. Journal of Theological Studies, April 1901.
- DAVISON, Prof. W. T. Christ and Modern Criticism. London Quarterly Review, April 1901.
- MILLS, Rev. B. R. V. The Book of Common Prayer. The Ladies League Gazette, April 1901.
- Drews, Prof. D. "Religiöse Volkskunde," eine Aufgabe der praktischen Theologie. Monatschrift für die Kirchliche Praxis, Feb. 1901.
- Boscawen, W. St. Chad. Egyptian Eschatology. The Rubric of Chap. xxxvi.a of the Book of the Dead. Babylonian and Oriental Record, ix., 1.
- Manson, James. The Problem of French Monasticism. Fortnightly Review, April 1901.
- BAGOT, Richard. The Roman Catholic Cry for Tolerance.

 National Review, April 1901.
- STANLEY, Thomas. The new Humanitarianism. Westminster Review, April 1901.
- LEONARD, W. A. The Myths of Greece. Westminster Review, April 1901.
- IRELAND, Archbishop John. The Pope's Civil Princedom.

 North American Review, March 1901.

SMITH, Rev. Dr. Judson. Protestant Foreign Missions: a Retrospect. North American Review, March 1901.

CREIGHTON, Bishop Mandell. A Plea for Knowledge. Contemporary Review, April 1901.

GILES, Prof. Herbert A. Encyclopædia Maxima. Nineteenth Century, April 1901.

Poussin, Louis de la Vallée. Religions de l'Inde; remarques générales sur la philosophie et les religions indienne, etc. Revue d'Histoire et de littérature religieuses, vi., 1.

Johnson, Rev. W. H. The Philosophy of Pain. Catholic World, April 1901.

Schönberger, Rev. C. A. The Present State of the Jews. The Mission World, March 1901.

HARPER, Dr. W. R. Some Implications of the Historical Method in the Study of the Bible. Biblical World, March 1901.

MUDGE, Dr. James. Keswick and its Teaching. Methodist Review, March-April 1901.

WARD, Wilfrid. Newman and Sabatier. Fortnightly Review, May 1901.

Denney, Prof. James. Religion without Christianity. Union Magazine, April 1901.

Ladd, Prof. George Trumbull. Present Religious Tendencies in India. American Journal of Theology, April 1901.

Ancient and Modern Criticism. Quarterly Review, April 1901. FOSTER, F. H. The Limits of Theological Freedom. Bibliotheca Sacra, April 1901.

ROBERTSON, Prof. A. T. Jesus and Questions of His Time. Presbyterian and Reformed Review, April 1901.

CONTENTS.

		PAGE
Gomperz's Greek Thinkers Smyth's Truth and Reality	By Henry Sturt, M.A., Oxford	291
DEISSMANN'S BIBLE STUDIES {	By the Rev. Henry Hayman, D.D., Ulverston	298
CALDECOTT'S THE PHILOSOPHY OF RE-	By the Rev. Professor WILLIAM JOHN- STON, M.A., Cheshunt College	306
WHITTAKER'S THE NEO-PLATONISTS {	By the Rev. Professor W. L. Davidson, LL.D., University of Aberdeen	316
Watt's A Study of Social Morality	By the Rev. Professor W. L. DAVIDSON, LL.D., University of Aberdeen	320
SELLIN'S STUDIEN ZUR ENTSTEHUNGS- GESCHICHTE DER JÜDISCHEN GEMEIN- DE NACH DEM BABYLONISCHEN EXIL	By the Rev. David Eaton, D.D., Glasgow	324
	By the Rev. WILLIAM BEVERIDGE, M.A., New Deer.	
Marti's Daniel Praetorius's Das Targum zum Buch Der Richter Praetorius's Ueber die Herkunft Der hebräischen Accente	By the Rev. W. EMERY BARNES, D.D., Cambridge	
SCOTT'S EVANGELICAL DOCTRINE BIBLE TRUTH	By the Rev. DAVID SOMERVILLE, D.D., Edinburgh	339
COMMUNICATION ON SOME ASPECTS OF THE EDICTS OF THE PERSIAN EMPERORS IN THE OLD TESTAMENT	By Professor L. H. MILLS, D.D., Oxford	344
KNOPPLER'S RABANI MAURI DE INSTI- TUTIONE CLERICORUM LIBRI TRES MACINTOSH'S IS CHRIST INFALLIBLE AND THE BIBLE TRUE?	By the Rev. John MacPherson, B.D., Portobello	353
Vol. XI.—No. 4.	19	

RECORD OF SELECT LITERATURE

PAGE

359

374

Notices . By the Editor KING'S RECONSTRUCTION IN THEOLOGY; CARY'S THE SYNOPTIC GOSPELS; Peabody's Jesus Christ and the Social Question; Saintsbury's History OF CRITICISM AND LITERARY TASTE IN EUROPE; RAYMOND'S THE REPRESEN-TATIVE SIGNIFICANCE OF FORM; ECK'S THE INCARNATION; THE CENTURY BIBLE-SLATER'S ST. MATTHEW, ADENEY'S ST. LUKE, M'CLYMONT'S ST. JOHN; METHODIST REVIEW; BIBLICAL WORLD; WALSH'S DEFENCE OF THE KING'S PROTESTANT DECLARATION; MAGGS' THE SPIRITUAL EXPERIENCE OF St. Paul; Windelband's Geschichte der Philosophie; Kunze's Die HERRLICHKEIT JESU CHRISTI NACH DEN DREI ERSTEN EVANGELIEN; BALDEN-SPERGER'S DAS SPÄTERE JUDENTHUM ALS VORSTUFE DES CHRISTENTHUMS; HOLL'S ENTHUSIASMUS UND BUSSGEWALT; WEISS'S DIE DREI BRIEFE DES APOSTEL JOHANNES; WEICHELT'S DER MODERNE MENSCH UND DAS CHRIST-ENTUM; HOLTZMANN'S DIE SYNOPTIKER; MUSS-ARNOLT'S THEOLOGICAL AND SEMITIC LITERATURE FOR THE YEAR 1900; GRISWOLD'S BRAHMAN; Box's Dalman's Christianity and Judaism; Benson's Life of Edward WHITE BENSON; HOLTZMANN'S R. ROTHE'S SPECULATIVES SYSTEM; COOKE'S PALESTINE IN GEOGRAPHY AND IN HISTORY; FARQUHAR'S THE CROSS-BEARER; CALLOW'S HISTORY OF THE ORIGIN AND DEVELOPMENT OF THE CREEDS; MITCHELL'S AMOS; KELLY'S THE PREACHING TO THE SPIRITS IN PRISON; H. A. D.'S THE VICTORY THAT OVERCOMETH; ROBINSON'S OLD AND NEW CERTAINTY OF THE GOSPEL; KELLNER'S THE ASSYRIAN MONU-MENTS ILLUSTRATING THE SERMONS OF ISAIAH; BARON'S THE ANCIENT SCRIPTURES AND THE MODERN JEW; STEWART'S THE ACADEMIC GREGORIES; MACLACHAN'S DAVID LIVINGSTONE

Greek Thinkers: a History of Ancient Philosophy.

- By Theodor Gomperz, Professor at the University of Vienna. Vol. I., translated by L. Magnus. 8vo, pp. xv. + 610. Price 14s. net.
- Truth and Reality, with Special Reference to Religion; or, a Plea for the Unity of the Spirit and the Unity of Life in all its Manifestations.
- By John Smyth, M.A. (New Zealand), D.Phil. (Edin.). With Introductory Note by Prof. R. Flint. Edinburgh: T. & T. Clark, 1901. 8vo, pp. xvii. + 214. Price 4s.

ALL those who are interested in the early history of thought will welcome the appearance in English dress of Professor Gomperz's well-known work. It appears with every advantage of external form. The translator, Mr. Magnus, has performed his task in a manner worthy of all praise. The literary style is excellent, and the reader is never for a moment left in doubt as to the meaning.

It is our first duty, as a critic, to point out in what respects Professor Gomperz's work differs from the histories of Greek thought already current in this country. As compared with Zeller, the following points may be noticed. Professor Gomperz is much more ambitious of literary form. Whereas Zeller incorporates into his narrative the discussions on the value and bearing of the evidence which meet the student at every step, Gomperz rigorously dismisses all such matters to an appendix. His aim is to present a continuous, brilliantly written view of the subject, without saying much about the relative trustworthiness of the authorities. In this way he makes a considerable economy of space; and his work contains a great deal that is not in Zeller, in spite of the latter's formidable array of volumes.

Another important point of difference is that Professor Gomperz is freer from philosophic prepossessions. Zeller began his career as a Hegelian, and though in the introduction to his *Philosophie der Griechen* he expressly renounces his old master's historical method, his general view of Greek history is still dominated by the Hegelian formalism, and his interpretation of details is obviously influenced by Hegelian principles. The reader will remember what a part is played in that great work by the antithesis of subjective and objective and by the "concept". In Professor Gomperz, however, he will not find anything of the sort to worry him.

To Professor Gomperz, then, Greek thought in its general character is not a formal oscillation between "objectivity" and "subjectivity," but an aspect in the unfolding of a national culture. Accordingly, he has incorporated in his narrative such portions of the story of religion, literature and the special sciences, as are necessary to an understanding of the speculative movement. He has also availed himself of some material which did not exist when Zeller wrote, viz., those researches into primitive thought which are associated with the name of Professor Tylor. It would have been an advantage to his work if he had used them even more freely.

The only other works which need be mentioned in connexion with Professor Gomperz's are Erdmann's History of Philosophy and Mr. Benn's Greek Philosophers. The ancient part of Erdmann's book is, however, but a brief compendium; while even Mr. Benn's two brilliant volumes cover much less ground than Professor Gomperz. Mr. Benn, too, has his own presupposition which is not less definite than Zeller's, though much more fruitful. He holds that the vital principle of philosophy is that private disinterested curiosity whose foes are superstition and scholasticism, and that its history is one long struggle against these foes, beginning with partial victory in the Periclean flowering-time and ending with the defeat of the Dark Ages. And this presupposition is no less foreign to Professor Gomperz than Zeller's.

Thus it is clear that there was plenty of room for Professor Gomperz's book in the literature of the subject. We really needed a full history of Greek thought written in this objective spirit, and whatever fault may be found in detail, Professor Gomperz deserves high credit for his general conception and the honest spirit in which he has addressed himself to his task. As to his measure of success, it is considerable but not complete. He has made a distinct advance but has not achieved finality.

In the first place, it is evident that the latter half of the work is more successful than the earlier, and that, we think, is because, in the earlier, Professor Gomperz has attempted the impossible. He has made up his mind to give a full and brilliant picture of the beginnings of Greek thought when the materials for it do not really exist. We quote an example almost at random: "Solitude and the beauty of nature were the muses of Heraclitus. He was a man of abounding pride and self-confidence, and he sat at no master's feet. If we seek the first springs at which he satisfied his thirst for knowledge, and caught the intimations of universal life and of the laws that rule it, we must go back to his pensive boyhood, when he roamed in the enchanting hills, with their well-nigh tropical luxuriance, that surrounded his native city." All this is very pretty, but one would like to know how far it can be justified either from the fragments of Heraclitus himself or from the few authentic traditions preserved about him. Such innocent colouring is well enough in a primer written to allure the young; but is rather irritating than otherwise to the mature student. Nor, we feel bound to say, is the colouring particularly successful of its kind. Much of it is too conventional. one might almost say journalistic. Nor are the outlines of the picture sharp enough. Professor Gomperz does not really give us a definite idea of Heraclitus, the disappointed aristocrat, despising the mixed populace of the busy trading city, despising the barbarous obscene worship of the Great Mother, preaching scornfully the prevalence in the physical and moral world of that law in the union of opposites so painfully wanting in his native Ephesus. He labours to tell us that Heraclitus was a profound and satiric writer. But

he does not invest his figure with much human interest or help us to divine the human passion behind the dark grand phrases of the fragments. His tale falls somewhat flatly between literature and erudition.

Still less does Professor Gomperz give us a clear portrait of that most ambiguous and puzzling figure in ancient thought, Pythagoras. We can hardly say that he has used all the sources of knowledge that were ready to his hand. It was proved long ago by Zeller that Pythagoras was primarily a religious reformer, and quite secondarily a philosopher. Professor Burnet, using recent anthropological researches, has shown further what kind of religious reformer he was. He was one of those who were not satisfied with the religion which the state provided, and therefore started a society of his own for the promotion of ceremonial holiness. His prohibition of certain foods and his doctrine of the transmigration of souls prove that he reverted largely to a primitive stratum of beliefs, connected with those systems of totem and taboo which we find in numberless savage tribes. In fact, on this side of his activity, Pythagoras was closely akin to those famous "medicine-men" of Hellas, Epimenides and Onomacritus. All this is to be found in Professor Burnet's Early Greek Philosophy published in 1892. Professor Gomperz, who published the German edition from which the present translation is made in 1896, might very well have availed himself of it. But he passes it by without notice; and, to judge by the omission of Professor Burnet's name from his index, never seems to have heard of one of the most notable modern books on the origins of Greek thought.

It is the latter part of the present volume which will be read with most satisfaction. The materials being much fuller, the reader has not the painful feeling that he is assisting at the manufacture of bricks without straw, or straw of the most inferior quality. One of the most interesting sections is that devoted to the Sophists, in which Professor Gomperz carries on the work of rehabilitation begun long ago by Grote. The general impression which it leaves upon us

is that the Sophists for the most part were neither religious sceptics nor assailants of the traditional morality, but highly respectable "professor-journalists," only needing a state-endowment to subside into conventional propriety. Their ill-fame, it seems, was due mainly to the snobbish Greek spirit which contemned wage-earning, however the wages were earned,—a contempt which finds various expression in Aristophanes and Plato. It was Plato, Professor Gomperz argues, who, not unmoved by the rancour of the amateur against the professional, did most to set that black mark upon an honest and useful body of men which now bids fair to be uneffaceable. There is a great deal of truth in this argument though it is hard to give entire assent to it.

The rehabilitation is most successful in the case of the greater Sophists such as Protagoras and Gorgias. In regard to the former Professor Gomperz attacks with great spirit some old interpretations which have been repeated so often as to be credited with a quite unmerited validity. He shows that the famous *Homo mensura* tenet has not the objectionable meaning which successive generations of compendia have attributed to it. It has nothing to do with moral subjectivism but is a contribution to the theory of cognition. As such it is not an expression of individualism. The "man" who is "the measure of all things" is not the individual but the race. It does not mean either that the properties of things or the existence of things depend upon the individual's cognition. It simply has the good common-sense meaning that human nature is the standard for the existence of reality, an assertion which was controverted by some phases of Eleatic scepticism.

Professor Gomperz adduces some equally reasonable arguments to modify the traditional estimate of Gorgias. He clinches the whole matter by observing that if the Sophists had not fought upon the right side they would never have enjoyed their enormous vogue. In regard, however, to the smaller fry of Sophists we question whether this does not imply an over-estimate of the moral stability of the Greeks. Professor Gomperz has compared the ancient Sophist with the modern

journalist, and the comparison is a good one. Now even among our own journalists there are many who are not exactly fighting for the right. At one end of the scale are our Times and Spectator, at the other-certain newspapers which had better not be mentioned. With all due respect to the ancient Greeks, we fear there was an even better field for the gutter-sophist then than for the gutter-journalist now. Vilipend our modern civilisation as we will, there was more cruelty, chicanery, private cynicism and public corruption in the Athens of that day than in the England of this; or to put it less offensively, such qualities had a better chance of success. And where vices pay there will always be plenty to teach them. Moreover, the way in which Sophists had to get a living was by itself unfortunate, apart from any depravity in individuals. We know how in modern life certain professions are specially liable to certain failings: actors to vanity, journalists to shallow cynicism, academic professors to captious envy, rhetoricians to empty sentiment, law-pleaders to chicane, while an unsettled wandering mode of life is always far from conducive to a settled scheme of morals. Now the strolling Sophist was rhetorician, journalist, tutor-of-barristers and professor-of-things-in-general all in one, and always aiming at playing a brilliant part before the public eye. What a life of temptations! In spite of Professor Gomperz' able advocacy we fear the final verdict must be that the Sophists were a bad lot upon the whole; though they were bound to appear in Hellas at the time they did. and played an important, nay indispensable part in the diffusion of culture through the nation.

Mr. Smyth's book is a dissertation submitted to the University of Edinburgh for the degree of Doctor of Philosophy and rewarded with that coveted distinction. The author commands our sympathy, both for what he maintains and for what he opposes, and also for the tone of moral enthusiasm which pervades his work. To quote his own words: "The writer's main aim is to point out that the roots of philosophy

and of religion, as of morality and of natural science, lie in the constitution of the human spirit; and that, therefore, their questionings, methods, inquiries and results are as much a process of reason as is logic itself, and that their basis and objects belong truly to the constitution of things, if anything does." In the course of maintaining this thesis the author has just occasion to find fault both with naturalism and with the idealism which errs by laying stress upon the intellectual side of our nature only, to the neglect of other sides no less essential. As to the philosophic content of the work we cannot say it is commensurate with the enthusiasm of the writer. His is the fervour of the preacher who would call men to the truth by faith, rather than the patient analysis of the thinker who dare not say he believes more than he can prove by mere human reasoning.

HENRY STURT.

Bible Studies.

By Dr. G. Adolf Deissmann, Professor, etc., etc. Authorised Translation by A. Grieve, M.A., Edinburgh, etc. Edinburgh: T. & T. Clark, 1901. 8vo, pp. xiv. + 384. Price 9s.

WITH the general aim of this erudite work one cannot but heartily concur. It is to recover for the Alexandrine Greek of the Old Testament its natural affinities in the family of language, its place in the general context of human speech. The LXX interpreters did not invent a biblical dialect; they found it ready—the result of growth. It has been, perhaps unconsciously by some, considered as an artificial product, the result of the pressure of Semitic thought on Greek expression; as though the ordinary tongue was not good enough or strong enough for a divine purpose, and had therefore been spiritually fortified to make it, as it were "up to proof". But as the vulgar Aramaic of Palestine, and even its Galilean dialect, was good enough for the Sermon on the Mount, and as the Spirit at His first great outpouring chose expressly the current vehicles of human thought; so our professor stands to show that the provincial Egyptian Greek, as spoken and written in the Delta and elsewhere, was the vehicle adopted for the first great exposition of the Hebrew "oracles of God" in a form intelligible to the Gentiles. argues that the larger mass of those special phrases which used to be labelled "Biblical" were native and current there from the Ptolemæan period onwards—some indeed until we touch and enter the Middle Ages; and thus that biblical Greek is not "a distinct entity" (p. 61), or "a philological department by itself"; and that by those who so regard it "the notion of the Canon is transferred to the language, and so there is fabricated a 'Sacred Greek' of primitive Christianity" (i.e., as regards the New Testament, for which the LXX furnished the quarry). He does not of course exclude the recognition of Hebraisms, and yet assigns them narrow limits:—

We may assume that a Semitic dialect was known among the Jews of Alexandria and Asia Minor, but this cannot be exalted into the principle of a full historical criticism of their language. It seems to the writer that their national connexion with Judaism is made too hastily, and with more imagination than judgment, to support the influence of a (so to speak) innate Semitic "feeling for language". But the majority of the Hellenistic Jews of the Dispersion probably spoke Greek as their native tongue. Those who spoke the sacred language of their fathers had only learned it later. It is more probable that their Hebrew would be Græcised than that their Greek would be Hebraised. For why was the Greek Old Testament devised at all? Why, after the Alexandrian translation was looked upon as suspicious, were new Greek translations prepared? Why do we find Jewish inscriptions in the Greek language even where the Jews lived quite by themselves, viz., in the Roman catacombs? The fact is, the Hellenistic Jews spoke Greek, prayed in Greek, sang Psalms in Greek, wrote in Greek, and produced Greek literature; further, their best minds thought in Greek (p. 77).

This seems irrefragable. A Hebraism properly so-called is the outcome of a mind habituated to think in Hebrew, and which transfers, often unconsciously, its idioms to a strange medium of speech, because they form the native garb in which the thought presents itself. But the seeming Hebraisms of the LXX translation will nearly always admit of an explanation which is the reverse of this. There lie the thoughts in their native Hebrew before the translator. The less familiar he is with its idioms, the more likely will he be to render them literally, i.e., to give the sense of the individual words instead of giving the sense of the phrase. In the phrase they modify each other. He takes them over without that modification, and the finer shades of meaning vanish; often, indeed, the whole tenor and colour, leaving a result which, if not erroneous or unmeaning, is bald and crude. Thus in Ps. cxlvi. (Heb. cxlvii.) 10 the phrase θέλειν έν literally renders the Hebrew, and so also in I Sam. xviii. 22. If we had an original work before us, this would count as a Hebraism; because the thought arose spontaneously in its Hebrew form before the writer's mind. But in a translated work we suspect that the translator did not feel sure of the shade of meaning which he was required to reproduce, and so fell back on literality. The former case would arise from familiarity with the Hebrew, the latter from comparative ignorance of it. And if any one were to take the trouble to compute the instances of this literality, used apparently as a resource to cover poverty of scholarship, as they occur to him in reading the LXX, I think they would efface all doubt from his mind that this Hebraic poverty it is, not affluence, which characterises the version.

But I will give one instance which I think will save him this trouble. To a man whose mind is thoroughly steeped in a language, the familiar objects of daily life find in it the readiest expression. Now, Egypt was above all lands a land of temples and porticoes. These must have met the eye at every turn of the river. Yet the LXX description of Solomon's Temple exactly halts in those special terms where we might expect it to be readiest. The translator in ו Kings vi. 3, knows not what to make of either "the "the porch," or יביר. "the shrine". He is fain to leave them translettered as $ai\lambda \hat{a}\mu$ and $\delta a\beta i\rho$. One might even add that, if any preference were assigned as between the portions of Holy Writ allotted to superior or inferior competency in translating, the patriotic glories of the Solomonic period and of the Temple, its chef d'œuvre, would have challenged the best available resources of Ptolemaic current Hebraism. Yet here is the poverty-stricken result.

The LXX Pentateuch version is the flower of the whole, but does not wholly keep clear of these flaws. The ark of Noah (Gen. vii.) and the ark of bulrushes (Exod. ii.) is the same Hebrew word, ΤΕΤ. Yet in Genesis it is rendered by κιβωτὸς, in Exod. it is curiously translettered as θίβις, and yet inflected as θίβις, θίβει. But a single instance again may suffice to show the Pentateuchal superiority as a version to the later books of the history. The well-known phrase of entreaty, Τ, " pray, my lord," occurs in Gen. xliii. 20, where it is rightly rendered δεόμεθα, κύριε. It occurs again

I Kings iii. 26 (Solomon's Judgment), where $\delta \acute{e} \mu a \iota$, $\kappa \acute{\nu} \rho \iota \epsilon$ would have been proper; instead of which we find $\acute{e}\nu \acute{e}\mu o \iota$ $\kappa \acute{\nu} \rho \iota \epsilon$, making utter nonsense. The translator knew of 2 only as the suffixed preposition; the 2 of entreaty, even in a land of adulation like the Ptolemaic Egypt, lay beyond his knowledge, and the identity of form landed him in this blunder. The absolute $\beta \acute{a}\theta o s$ to which the version drops when it reaches the obscurities of the minor prophets is comparable only to a schoolboy trying an "unseen" in a scholarship examination. The spurious Hebraisms due, as above shown, to ignorance, when once lodged in the text of the LXX, would acquire a halo of sanctity as time went on; and, before the Christian era, become fixed in the language of Græco-Jewish religion. They thus passed easily into the phraseology of the N.T.

But our professor carries forward his method to the New Testament, and urges, "just as we must set our printed Septuagint side by side with the Ptolemaic Papyri, so must we read the New Testament in the light of the Inscriptions". . . . "It is the Inscriptions and the Papyri which will give us the nearest approximation to the truth." The former formed "the actual surroundings of the New Testament authors" (pp. 80, 81). A note here recognises that in the Classical Review, 1887, and since in the Expository Times, this use of the Inscriptions had already commenced; but claims for a countryman of his own, one Walch of Jena, 1779, earlier thus by over a century than either, the primary recognition of their value, in his Observationes in Matthaeum ex Gr. Inscrr.

The recent exhumations of Papyri, largely at Fayyûm, known by the name of our countryman, Dr. Flinders Petrie, form the chief arsenal of our author's arguments for the identity of the terminology of the LXX translators with that current around them. All the great literary capitals now possess samples of these Papyri. One example of this sort of evidence, selected not for its special importance, but for its succinct completeness, is now laid before the

reader: In 2 Sam. xxii. 3, David applies to Jehovah the phrases ἀντιλήμπτωρ μου καὶ καταφυγή μου. The former is "hitherto unauthenticated in extra-biblical literature," but occurs in Papyr. Lond., xxiii., 6 (B.C. 158-7), "in a petition to the King and Queen, in which the petitioner says that he finds his καταφυγή in them, and that they are are his ἀντιλήμπτορες". Again, the phrase εἰς βεβαίωσιν occurs in the LXX rendering of a phrase of the Mosaic law, Lev. xxv. 23, and our author claims it as an example "of great fineness and accuracy" in that context. It renders the Hebrew, אלמתת, which, by comparing Job vi. 17, where the same verb (ni. form) is used of the "evaporating" of snow-floods by heat, we may render "exhaustively," i.e., in the legal sense, of giving such an absolute title in a sale of land as shall bar all further claims. The term BeBaiwous in the sense of precisely such a guarantee was known to Attic law, then found its way to Ptolemaic-Egyptian law-phrase, and so rooted itself there that it is traceable in the salecontract documents of the Papyri from the second century B.C., to A.D. 600. Of course it would be as familiar to the LXX as our term "warranty" is to us. It occurs again in Heb. vi. 16, an epistle saturated with Old Testament LXX quotations, where its force is exactly explained as "barring all further question," πάσης ἀντιλογίας πέρας εἰς Βεβαίωσιν (pp. 91, 104-9).

In explaining the use of $i\sigma\tau\delta s$, our author is probably in error in apparently taking the stem $i\sigma\tau$ - as primary in the Greek ship, and secondary in the Greek loom (p. 135). The reverse is probably the case. The upright post with its cross-yard to hold up the thrums was an older adjunct of industry than ships rigged with mast and yard, and supplied the analogue to these latter. The spear of Goliath is compared to the "weaver's beam," *i.e.*, the $i\sigma\tau$ - or upright post which upheld the loom. The spear of Satan is illustrated by "The tallest pine hewn on Norwegian hills, to be the mast of some great ammiral". But the error, if such it be, does not affect his argument. Again,

in the argument to prove καρπόω as used in the LXX of Lev. ii. 11, and Deut. xxvi. 14, in the sense of "burn," an objection may be taken on a secondary point. Our author (p. 137) quotes "Stengel" for the statement, "καρποῦν properly signifies to cut into pieces; the holocausts of the Greeks were cut into pieces; " hence ὁλοκαυτεῖν as a resulting meaning. The italicised phrase above is gravely questionable. example is adduced. It seems more likely that the word arose from a corruption, through transposing syllables, of πυρκόος πυρκαϊὰ (πῦρ-καίω). Our author, however, is right in his main contention; and might have quoted Deut. xviii. I, where καρπώματα Κυρίου renders יהוֹה ("fire offerings of Jehovah"). This is plainly conclusive. Similarly, in discussing "the preposition (κατά) as an adverb" (pp. 138-9), he omits to quote the ἔλαβον ἀνὰ δηνάριον of St. Matt. xx. o, 10, where the preposition is similarly employed. And even more strangely, in discussing "the expression νίὸς θεοῦ ("Son of God") as one familiar in the Græco-Roman world from the beginning of the first century," although he quotes a Fayyûm Papyrus as ascribing it to Augustus (A.D. 7), he omits to quote St. Matt. xxvii. 54, where the heathen soldiers apply it to our Lord expiring. He rightly traces the viòs $\theta \epsilon \omega \hat{\nu}$ in imperial inscriptions to the Latin Divi Filius, first so applied to Augustus as above; but this means "son of Divus Julius," as, of course, by adoption he was. Divus Iulius is common in Tacitus, and occurs earlier, ironically, in Cicero's Second Philippic. And yet once more, in discussing φίλος, "the (king's) friend," traceable through many Papyri and Inscriptions back to Alexander, and thence to the Persian Court, and found as a fixed term of honour at the Ptolemæan, all reference to "Hushai, David's friend" (2 Sam. xv. 37; xvi. 16, 17, where έταιρος, ἀρχιεταιρος render ורעה) is omitted. The coincidence here, of course, is one "in the spirit not in the letter," but not therefore the less valuable.

Among the words and phrases shown to be of current use in the popular idiom, or receiving pertinent illustration from it, there are many of profound, but of course more of slight, theological importance. Of the former examples are $\tau \lambda \varsigma$ $\dot{\alpha} \mu a \rho \tau i a \varsigma$ $\dot{\alpha} \nu \dot{\eta} \nu \epsilon \gamma \kappa \epsilon \nu$, I Peter ii. 24; the quotation of Isa. liii. 4, accommodated by St. Matt. viii. 17 to a new sense (see remarks on $\beta a \sigma \tau \dot{\alpha} \zeta \omega$, pp. 102-3, and the author's remark on the probable transposition of the Isaian clauses there); also the proofs given that $\gamma \rho a \mu \mu a \tau \epsilon \dot{\nu} \varsigma$, $\dot{\epsilon} \pi i \sigma \kappa o \pi o \varsigma$, $\pi \rho \epsilon \sigma \beta \dot{\nu} \tau \epsilon \rho o \varsigma$, $\lambda \epsilon \iota \tau - o \nu \rho \gamma \dot{\epsilon} \omega$, all bore the special (and in the case of $\gamma \rho a \mu \mu a \tau \epsilon \dot{\nu} \varsigma$ rare) sense which the New Testament gives them, not in Jewish circles of thought only, but in heathen; but perhaps most noteworthy of all is $i\lambda a \sigma \tau \dot{\eta} \rho \iota o \varsigma$ -ov (pp. 124-135), proved by inscriptions to have a definite religious use in heathen ritual. It will not be supposed that in recognising the importance of the evidence adduced, all the comments of the counsel who adduces it are meant to be guaranteed.

Considerable material towards a more complete grammar of the LXX and New Testament Greek has also come to light, e.g., in regard to δs or $\delta \sigma o s \epsilon a \nu$ (for $a \nu$); to ϵi (or ϵi) μήν as a jurastic formula; to the -ων in ἐλαιῶν of Acts i. 12; to ἐνώπιον with an adverbial force; to λογεία (not λογία) of I Cor. xvi. I (pp. 142-4, 219-20). But perhaps nothing in the book surpasses the interest of the light thrown on some of the mystic symbols of the Apocalypse. What is the precise analogue, if any can be found, of the χάραγμα, "mark (of the beast)," of Rev. xiii. 11 ff.? The author gives a highly probable answer in an imperial circular stamp-plate, now in the Berlin Museum, and facsimiled here on p. 243, being about 2 in. in diameter. L λέ Καίσαρος is its legend in thick letters, deciphered to mean (L being a recognised symbol = erous " of the year") "in the 35th year of Cæsar (=A.D. 5-6)". But in the middle are two letters, read as $\gamma \rho$, of doubtful interpretation. I think they are probably $\tau \rho$, and refer, as commonly, to the "tribunitial" power. This stamp gave validation, it is supposed, to legal and public documents, especially relating to property and purchase. Taking the "beast," therefore, as the Roman imperial power personified, the yápayua is its official mark.

Last in the volume is the elucidation of Rev. vii. 9 ff.,

"white robes and palms in their hands," from an inscription of the Carian Stratonicea. Its inhabitants decree a votive chorale to two deities, to be performed publicly by thirty nobly born boys, "clothed in white and crowned with a twig $(\theta a \lambda \lambda o \hat{v})$, likewise holding a twig in their hands". The author reasonably supposes this choral custom to have had currency in Greek Asia Minor. As regards the selection of the "palm" by the Apocalyptist, he may have been influenced by the ceremonial of the Feast of Tabernacles in the Jerusalem Temple, described by Dr. Edersheim in his. Jesus the Messiah (II. 157, ed. 1900): "The pilgrims are all in festive array, in his right hand each carries what is called the Lulabh, which although properly meaning a branch or palm-branch," by usage contained other vegetation too. Of the eschatological significance of this Feast we have attestation in Jewish prophecy (Hos. xii. q; Zech. xiv. 16, ff.),

HENRY HAYMAN.

The Philosophy of Religion in England and America.

By Alfred Caldecott, D.D., Professor of Logic and Mental Philosophy in King's College, London, formerly Fellow and Dean of St. John's College, Cambridge. London: Methuen & Co., 1901. Demy 8vo, pp. ix + 434. Price 10s. 6d.

This book is a survey of the British and American literature of Theism from the period following upon the Reformation to the present day. About a fourth part of the volume consists of a classification of types of Theism. The rest of the work ranges writers under the different classes and gives some account, now the briefest of abstracts and now a lengthy critical exposition, of more than a hundred and twenty Theistic discussions.

Detailed discussion of the second part is impossible here. The general impression one gets is that of honest and careful work. Once, indeed, the author has obviously trusted to his memory, and it has played him false. But in most cases where the reader feels inclined to challenge the exposition, he will probably find on reference to the original texts, that Dr. Caldecott's view is at least a perfectly tenable one. As regards the proportion of space allotted to the various writers opinions must inevitably differ, but one feels that in some cases Anglican authors have got considerably more than their share. At the same time it is pleasant to be able to say that this is plainly due to Dr. Caldecott's naturally greater familiarity with those of his own communion and not to any ecclesiastical bias. From anything of that sort the book is singularly free. All through there is the most admirable candour and fairness, the frankest appreciation of work done by men belonging to other schools of thought and other sections of the Church. There are, however, some notable omissions that ought to be remedied in the next

edition, omissions one fancies to be explained by the fact that the neglected contributions are found in books more theological than philosophical and so not likely to be known to one whose work in other fields must have rendered impossible an extensive acquaintance with recent theology. The longer accounts are much the best. Some of the shorter strike one as inadequate, but others show real insight. In what follows an attempt will be made to summarise the earlier part of the book and to weave into the summary as much illustrative matter as possible from the second part.

Beginning with those schemes of Theism which put the emphasis upon knowledge, intelligence, truth, the constructive power of thought, we have first the type to which we may give the name of Intuitionalism. It does not believe that we can demonstrate Theism, it acquiesces in the failure of reasoning, but it thinks that the Divine Being can be known by immediate perception as the external world can be known, or else that the affirmation of His existence is a self-evident intuition like the axioms of mathematics. Lord Herbert of Cherbury and Theodore Parker are the most notable names here. This first type is the simplest of all. It is mere affirmation.

Next to this ranks Demonstrative Theism. This may be either a posteriori or a priori. The first of these is the easiest for human nature to grasp and use, and has long held the field. This method proceeds to prove one thing from another, to pass from data by means of certain principles to conclusions. Its datum is the world of finites. From this the mind moves to the belief in the infinite and carries with it the conviction of reality. The principle is that of causation, in two aspects, from effect to cause and from order to purpose. Romanism has definitely adopted this method. Locke belongs to the school, and among later members we must reckon Mozley and Flint. In the epoch after Locke the stress was put mainly upon the teleological argument as by Paley and Chalmers. The method of a priori demonstration has had little hold of English-speaking

men. Samuel Clark, however, seems to rank on the whole with the ontologists. Closely akin to the Demonstrative Theists are the Transcendental Idealists. With them too all the stress is put upon thought, but the method is different. The thought of God, it is argued, is a necessary thought; it enters into the structure of experience, of reasoning, of knowledge; it gives form to that structure, it exhibits it as a consistent and coherent whole, without it experience falls asunder. Any other world view is incoherent and unsatisfying. The Cairds, Green and Royce are the best known writers using this method.

Alongside of these we must range some who can best be described as Quasi-Transcendentalists. Some writers of this school set forth the idea of the Divine Being as arising in the manner of a hypothesis to be verified by its interpretative power, but differ from the Transcendentalists in not recognising the necessity of the idea. Others apply the Transcendental method not merely in the sphere of thought but to the whole range of personal life. That is true, is real, which must be supposed in order to yield satisfaction to the manifold needs of our complex nature. This seems to be the position of Mr. Balfour. Demonstrative Theism and Transcendentalism alike emphasise the mental rather than the moral, but there is an Ethical Theism which finds its basis in the sense of the reality of the deliverances of the moral judgment, of the obligation to do right, to be virtuous. to love goodness and to pursue it. The whole duty is never done, the complete end is never attained. Ever the ideal is before us, higher and fuller than the actuality, and this ideality is the spring of the moral argument to Theism. Ethical Theism may be Demonstrative in its method, in which case we have simply another form of the causality inference. Or it may be Transcendental with a difference. The school classed as Transcendental seeks the starting-point, the fact to be explained, in self-consciousness as knowing: the Ethical Theist seeks it in self-determination towards the ideal of goodness. The former plants itself in the sphere of knowledge, the latter in the sphere of conduct, of the moral consciousness. Dr. Temple and Dr. Campbell Fraser are typical Ethical Theists.

The next type should be that of Aesthetic Theism, making the beautiful a datum for Theism as the last type made goodness. It must of course be maintained that beauty is something apart from utility, and that it is not purely subjective. The method may be Demonstrative, arguing from the presence of beauty in the world to a cause adequate to account for it, a Being from whom it proceeds, infinitely beautiful in Himself. There is no English writer so dominated by this thought that we can class him here, but Shaftesbury and Hutcheson among earlier philosophers, Shelley, Ruskin and Seeley among men of letters, and Mr. Kennedy among theologians have done most in this direction. We have seen that the Inferential Method generally may start from two different sets of ideas, our intellectual conceptions and our moral ones, and so we may have either a Speculative or an Ethical Rational Theism. But there are some who accept both methods, who hold that speculatively or ethically Theism is proved with equal cogency. Three great typical English thinkers may best be ranged here, Hooker, Berkeley, and Among more recent supporters of this view we must reckon W. G. Ward and John Fiske.

Thus far, save in the case of the Quasi-Transcendentalists, we have been dealing with methods of Rationalism. It has been assumed that the belief in God is the result of the constructive activity of reason, and that this is more than a mental construction, that there is a reality corresponding to this necessity of thought. But there is another school of Theistic thought equally deserving of a place with the Rationalist. Empiricism claims that deeper than the constructions of our thinking lie the basal assertions of experience. The Empirical Theist holds that experience is competent to make the direct assertion that there is a Divine Being. He may take either the individual or the community as the true subject of religious belief. Some Empiricists rest content with the declaration of the common-sense of mankind, and commend it to the individual as giving a broader ground for

310

belief than his own personal experience could possibly supply. This may claim to be the ultimate account of man's religious belief, and that it is at least a proximate account is plain. It assigns a cause for it in the individual mind manifestly in actual operation. A social Theist might say: "When I have found the consummating thoughts of the religion of mankind, in this I will believe: they shall be my faith; and I will work for a religion of the future in which all men, gradually led to abandon temporary and local elements will join in what thus gathers up the common aspirations and thoughts of the race". Such a type would naturally follow the Rationalist as seeking in another fashion for that universality which Rationalism claims, and which seems impossible for the ordinary Empiricism. But no prominent British philosophers or writers have taken up Social Theism as their method. Even strong supporters of High Church views for Christian faith have not professedly extended the authority of the community over Natural Theology, whatever their real practice may have been. Practically a Romanist is in the position of being expected by the social authority to see cogency in Natural Theology, or at least to acknowledge that he ought to see it. If he does not see it, he is a Social Theist. Even among Protestants there is a practical appeal in many cases to Social Theism, an invitation not to run counter to the common consciousness of believers. In Bishop Westcott's work, individualist as he is, there are passages which include clear reference to the corporate mind of humanity as evolving religious belief.

If on the other hand the Empiricist takes the individual as the true subject of religious belief, he may think of the assertion of the Divine existence as made either by some factor of experience or by experience as a whole. It may be an assurance of feeling. It would be strange indeed if feeling were to be excluded from all share in the formation of belief. When there is presented to us the idea of God, feeling is aroused: feeling deep and penetrative and such as is not quickened by any finite source. In this we trust and by it we believe. To insist on the legitimacy of this trust is to

have a Theology of Feeling. Such a Theism rests on the assumption that a selective operation of feeling is natural and justifiable, and that a mere passive reception of objects in a purely disinterested way is not justifiable, even if it were possible, which it is not. The gratification of our feeling for the infinite is a natural right, and one upon which we are entitled to insist in face of what is at the outset a passively received picture. Such feeling, it may be urged, goes beyond mere individualism. Speaking emotionally, there is-at the highest level-but one kind of religious feeling in the human race, one kind of devotion, of adoration. There is no firstrate product of a Theology of Feeling in English thought, a somewhat surprising fact when we remember that Englishmen have given to the world an Ethics of Feeling. Hobbes alone of the Hedonists might perhaps be reckoned here. Browning's Theism too seems to belong to this type. "Since we love, we know enough," is his formula for the Theology of Feeling. Generally the tide seems to be setting this way. There is already a strong tendency among philosophers to incorporate feeling with thought in all our working towards beliefs, and it is beginning to be felt among theologians. What is meant by the stress now put upon the so-called value judgments but that no mere affirmations or negations of bare fact or event are what we mean by truth; that every vital judgment is a judgment as to value or worth? Is this not to give feeling an inalienable place?

But the Empiricist may rest upon will as the factor in experience asserting the Divine existence. His assertion of that existence may issue from an act of faith in which will predominates. The formula of this Theism of the Will, as we may call it, is: I decide to believe. In all seriousness, with full sense of responsibility, I choose the positive answer to the question whether there be a God, and adopt it as my belief. Many have accepted Rationalism for Theism who at the same time have made resort to faith when dealing with Christian doctrine. It will be found that generally, when faith is thus insisted upon over against reason, it is either the right of following feeling or the right of actively exerting

will that is meant, and in Britain by far most frequently the latter. Were such writers to place their doctrine of God on the same basis with all that comes after it we should have a Theism of Will. The time, however, has not yet arrived for a solid and systematic example of a Theism of this type. But the marked advance in recent years of a Will-Psychology is significant of much, and already we have the first beginnings of a Theism of this type. Dr. James Ward has shown how the Will-Psychology can be used in order to make clear the nature of the experience in which the roots of Theism are to be found. According to Professor James, whatever may be the ideal condition of things, our beliefs are produced by our willing nature. This indeed he thinks the normal way of belief.

Next comes the Empirical Theism which rests upon experience as a whole and may be called Personalism. Reason, Feeling, Will; so modern analysis gives the fundamentals of the life of the soul of man. In the types delineated thus far we have seen them used as furnishing separate bases or methods for Theism. But the characteristic of Personalism is that it refuses to make this separation at all, and insists on treating belief as an outcome of the soul acting as a whole. All along, at every stage, each has been contributing: a complex result has issued from a complexity of process in which the fundamental unity of personality has always been finding expression. The British protagonist of the Personal school is John Henry Newman. His central position is that the whole personality acts in judging and is concerned in assent; while reasoning proceeds by abstractions and personalities, and no man really depends upon it for the beliefs by which he guides his life. All real assents are personal in their character. All these different Empirical types are content with one or more of the ordinary faculties. Personalism, for example, regards religious belief as the outcome of ordinary natural processes, carrying us right up to apprehension of the Infinite. But the next method, to which we may give the name of Intuitivism or Mysticism, founds belief upon a special faculty or capacity of our nature. By this we

are supposed to reach beyond what is sensuous and intelligible, and even beyond the range of the moral sense. God is presented to our soul as no abstraction but as concrete, not as composite but as simple, not inferentially known but directly, not a Being only but a Spirit. The method is not to be confused with Intuitionalism which also speaks of direct knowledge of God, but of knowledge in the proper sense, akin to perception and reasoning, an immediate apprehension of the object. This latter type of Theism arises in Britain in several quarters and works itself out in various ways. In most of its adherents it is ingrained in their faith as Christians and in their mode of conceiving the life of the gospel. Coleridge and Wordsworth among the poets, Carlyle and Emerson among the men of letters, Maurice and Francis Newman, Westcott and Romanes among theological writers. all represent this type. Now we have reached the end of the Empirical types. But there are some minds able to respond to appeals from all sides. This is a similar character to that of the Personalists, but we need a group containing those who accept Rationalism in some form, and who use Empirical methods as well. The Personalists are Empiricists only, unable to see their way to Rationalism in any form. The men of this Composite type, as we may call it, take factors from both sides of the main line of cleavage. These are set side by side or more or less woven together. The most notable name to be put under this head is that of Martineau. He would not have ranked himself so, but he is wrong in describing his method of Theism as only twofold, resting on Causality and Duty. It includes a quite different feature, an intuitive apprehension of the Divine Being.

If we wish to include in our classification all those who have grappled with the Theistic problem and attempted in any degree to solve it, we must make two other additions to our list of types. There are some who reach a doctrine akin to Theism, but in so attenuated a form as to render the term Theism itself inapplicable in the sense in which Englishmen are accustomed to employ it. Such views we may describe as Quasi-Theisms. Hobbes comes

in here, and not among the Atheists. In Theism he vindicated a Deity, although of a character that to others seemed worse than worthless, and impossible as an object of worship. Some Quasi-Theisms recognise no infinity, acquiescing in finite character even for the Supreme Being. We have two prominent examples of this type in Hume and J. S. Mill. Of more recent writers Herbert Spencer and Mr. Bradley must be reckoned as belonging to other types of the same school, though on the whole spiritual Theism will claim Mr. Bradley as being on its side. The last type includes those for whom the light of Nature is too dim a guide in things divine, and who accordingly resort to Revelation. There are many assured Christian men who are sceptics or agnostics in Natural Theology. Here we must include not only those writers who deny the possibility of a Natural Theology but also those thinkers who more or less unconsciously leant all their weight upon Revelation. Dr. Dale, for example, found his own real satisfaction in the Christian Revelation of God, and experienced no practical need for Natural Theology, either personally or as a teacher and guide of others. Dr. Bruce, again, had not cleared his mind as to methods of Theism, and it is truest to his actual mind to rank him with those who have no real confidence in any other source than historical Revelation.

What is to be said of the value of this classification? One has to confess that at first it repels. It does not seem as if any good could come of so minute a division, and one feels sure that writers could not be fitted into it without much unfairness and misrepresentation. And this last objection would indeed be fatal if the great object of such a classification were simply to label each author in the most accurate manner possible. Just as one approached to this the division would become utterly useless. But what we need here is some classification that will take all this great mass of thinking and separate out the main drifts of it, show what are the paths that thought has more or less consciously pursued, that so we may take heart of hope for the future. We want to have some conception of the whole as more than

a mere aggregate, as a whole already partially ordered, and with possibility of greater order still. We want a classification that will make us feel that what has been done has not been labour in vain, that will strike new lights for the mind, suggest the certainty of progress in the future. Such a classification Dr. Caldecott has given us here. He would be the last to call it perfect, but it is the beginning of science in the matter. Once one has thought over it and read all the book, he finds the writers falling naturally into those groups, and if here and there there is a difficulty that is only what is to be expected. The typical will never be quite the same as the real.

Every student of Theism will find Dr. Caldecott's book an indispensable one, whether he agree or disagree. Only those who have done work of the same kind will recognise the amount of toil its preparation must have involved, but all will be grateful for a volume which is likely to mark a new departure in the branch of study with which it deals.

WILLIAM JOHNSTON.

The Neo-Platonists: A Study in the History of Hellenism.

By Thomas Whittaker, Author of "Essays and Notices, Philosophical and Psychological". Cambridge: At the University Press, 1901. Pp. xiii. + 231. Price 7s. 6d.

WITH much care and scholarship, Mr. Whittaker here expounds Neo-Platonism and gives an account of the leading Neo-Platonic teachers. He writes in an interesting fashion, with full knowledge and lucidly, and keeps his exposition and his criticism rigorously apart.

The handling of the subject proper is prefaced by a consideration of preceding systems of philosophic thought and of the circumstances under which Neo-Platonism arose. This includes reference to Græco-Roman civilization in its political development, to the stages of Greek philosophy, to religious developments in later antiquity, and to the nearest predecessors of Plotinus.

To the system of Plotinus himself, Mr. Whittaker devotes many luminous pages. The handling is thorough and exact, and no better summary need be desired by the student. Stress is very properly laid on the Plotinian psychology and theory of knowledge; and it will surprise many who are not acquainted with Siebeck to find how very psychological Plotinus and his school were. It may even be claimed for Porphyry that, in his De Abstinentiâ, where he is arguing against the propriety of killing and eating animals, he makes a real contribution towards the solution of the question, Is an animal psychology possible? Next is handled the Plotinian metaphysics (cosmology and ontology); and there is a section on æsthetics, which serves to set forth Plotinus as the precursor of those modern philosophers who see in Beauty a revelation of the Divine. There is also a very

helpful chapter on the mysticism of Plotinus, where the connexion between this side of his teaching with his epistemology is clearly shown, aided by an appropriate reference to Spinoza. Perhaps, more should be made of the Plotinian Categories (being rest, motion, identity, and difference); which, although not accepted by the school generally, did have a distinct significance for the master himself, and, at any rate, possess an interest of their own.

Less space is needed for the consideration of Plotinus's successors. In a single chapter, Mr. Whittaker treats of Porphyry and Iamblichus; while he devotes another to the Athenian School, centring in Proclus. The polemic against Christianity also occupies a chapter; and the book ends with a chapter headed "Conclusion," supplemented by three brief appendices and an index of names.

It is the writer's object in this work, not only to show the place of Neo-Platonism in the history of philosophy and the significance of the system for modern thought, but also, and more especially, to bring out the originality of the Neo-Platonic thinkers. For this end, he emphasizes the two facts that Neo-Platonism is distinctively a Hellenic product, and that it is essentially a philosophy, not a religion. These points need to be insisted on; for, even yet, the Oriental element in Neo-Platonism is not unfrequently given as supreme and as determinative of the system, while modern theosophists would fix our attention on its religious accretions. On the other hand, the philosophy and the religion must not be too rigidly separated. As Neo-Platonism was the last stupendous effort of Greek Paganism to revive its hold on men's minds, it was wise enough to be largely eclectic; and, as it claimed to meet the whole wants of man's nature, it could not fail to be both a religion and a philosophy. It had a Roman period, a Syrian period (so-called), and an Athenian period; but, in all the periods, we can trace one supreme and guiding principle, -viz., the longing of the individual to escape from finite existence and to become one with the Absolute, and the endeavour to do so, not through self-consciousness, but through ecstacy and self-annihilation.

This was Hellenic, only in the sense that the germ of it may be found in Plato: had it not been for contact with Eastern religious thought, it is hard to conceive how the germ would have developed as it did in the Neo-Platonists.

Mr. Whittaker objects to regarding Neo-Platonism as a part of Alexandrian philosophy. He reminds us that, although Plotinus was born in Egypt and studied in early days under Ammonius Saccas at Alexandria, he did not enunciate his philosophy till he had settled in Rome; nor was Alexandria the centre of the school in later times-that centre was Athens. No doubt, this is quite true; but, on the other hand, Plotinus was taught by Ammonius, and is reputed to have based his philosophy on that teaching. Moreover, the whole trend of Alexandrian thought is entirely consonant with Neo-Platonism; so that, on the one hand, Philo and the Judæo-Alexandrian school generally prepared the way for Plotinus, and influenced him, even if unconsciously; and, on the other hand, there is much in common between Origen. the Christian catechist, and Plotinus, both of them students under Ammonius. Surely, then, the general title of Alexandrian philosophy may quite well be retained, if we be strict in drawing the distinctions under it. There was a Judæo-Hellenic school (represented by Philo), a Christian catechetical school (of which Clement and Origen were the leading figures), and a Neo-Platonic school (headed by Plotinus); but all breathed the same atmosphere and drew their inspiration, directly or indirectly, from the thought of Alexandria.

In this way, it is possible to doubt whether Mr. Whittaker has not exaggerated the independence of the Neo-Platonists. There was Platonism before the Neo-Platonists; and the influence of (say) the Septuagint and of Philo must count for a good deal in moulding the common thought of the early Christian centuries. Here, in particular, we must look for the beginning of certain psychological conceptions and of a psychological terminology, which are only carried forward and perfected in Neo-Platonism.

In like manner, it may be possible to doubt whether, in

his concluding chapter, summing up results, Mr. Whittaker has not exaggerated the influence of Neo-Platonism on modern Western thought. Unquestionably, this influence was great—especially from the Renaissance downwards. But too much must not be made of the fact that a particular thinker (Bruno, for example, or Dante) read Plotinus. One thinker may read another and be influenced by him without the circumstance being responsible for every similar turn of thought or of expression. On the other hand, an ancient philosopher may appear much more modern in his thought than he really was or could have been. Hence, the attempt here made to bring Proclus into line with recent science, though ingenious, is hardly convincing.

These things apart, however, the book is a very able and a very welcome one. It goes far to remove the opprobrium that has so long rested on British scholars regarding the exposition of one of the most remarkable and most important of ancient philosophies.

WILLIAM L. DAVIDSON.

A Study of Social Morality.

By W. A. Watt, M.A., LL.B., D.Phil., Glasgow. Edinburgh: T. & T. Clark, 1901. Pp. xiii. + 293. Price 6s.

This book is divided into two parts—the first approaching the subject from the standpoint of Virtue, and dealing with Justice, Benevolence, and Truthfulness; and the second approaching it from the side of Social Organization and institutions, ending with consideration of the State.

In the opening chapter, there is an interesting handling of Justice, viewed in its relation to Social Order, where the conceptions of equality, equity, and freedom fall to be considered, and where also such a puzzling point as that of Expectations is treated, and where the theory of Punishment is expounded. Here too Justice is viewed on the side of private conduct; and, still again, as impartiality. On each aspect the author touches briefly, but luminously, although several of the points might with advantage have been elaborated. The question also might have been raised as to the relation between Justice and Generosity or Mercy; for this, in every-day practice, is one of the greatest difficulties that confront us.

Benevolence is treated of in Chapter II. Good as the handling in some respects is, it lacks depth, and is too much concerned with the various meanings of the term, cursorily surveyed. A real analysis of Love and Hate, for instance, such as we find in the *Ethics* of Spinoza, would have brought out the central conception far more effectively, in one aspect; and a consideration of Altruism would have completed it. On the other hand, the consideration of the application of the principle of benevolence to particular spheres, to the forms of modern philanthropy (helping the needy, etc.),

is done with insight; and one might specially refer to the analysis of Friendship.

The difficulties in connexion with Truthfulness are very well shown in Chapter III., and illustrated by interesting examples. Less satisfactory is the treatment of the self-regarding virtues (fortitude, temperance, etc.), included in this section. It is too summary and scarcely vital enough.

Chapter IV.—which opens Part II.—is concerned with illustrating the manner in which ethical principle may be seen working itself through the main social groups: e.g., international relations, the Church, the family. As a survey of views, it is interesting and suggestive, but would admit of considerable elaboration.

The Inner Life (comprising conscience, moral pathology, and asceticism), the World (desire of wealth, power, etc.), and Work and Recreation find their place in Chapter V., entitled "Some Aspects of Individual Life". In the handling of subjects so commonplace as these, it is perhaps unreasonable to expect much originality or freshness; but Mr. Watt's analysis is not always adequate. For example, he divides conscience into its antecedent, concomitant, and subsequent phrases, and regards this as a particularly felicitous division forgetful of the fact that conscience is a unity and that its functions involve each other. He naturally lays stress upon the legal aspect of Conscience, upon its authority or supremacy as a judge delivering sentence without the power of appeal; but he should have noted the fact that Conscience may revise its judgments-must, indeed, revise them, in the case when fresh light has been thrown upon an act. It is also important to observe that Conscience is itself a revealer. Being a species of reflection, it is an illuminative process, a source of light, bringing character into clear view and disclosing to us the true nature of our acts. The influence of habit, too, in sharpening the individual's power of moral perception should be noted; and particularly in place, in a treatise like this, would be reference to the essentially social nature of conscience—to the circumstance that its

very existence depends on the existence of persons holding distinct relation to each other.

Chapter VI. is one of the best in the book. It is concerned with moral rules and resolutions, and gives a spirited consideration of casuistry, with special reference, in the first instance, to the criticism of Pascal.

In Chapter VII. the author deals with the wider ethical unities—the general will, civilization, cosmopolitanism, duty to animals; and glances at the subject of moral progress and its various aspects. He is here much fuller in his treatment and more critical. Although he has no positively new light to throw upon the questions raised, he puts the matter in a clear and vigorous way, and urges very effectively the necessity of paying due regard to the social side of morality, if we would understand the true nature of ethical phenomena and of individuality, and yet the necessity of having the right conception of the social organism. "The question is not whether we are to abandon the idea of the individual: doubtless we cannot get rid of the individual in ethics: it is whether the relation between the one, as such, and the social whole, which is so continually put forward as if it were allimportant, is not qualified materially by the intrusion of other elements. Thus, if I fall back on the conception of a plurality of human beings of which I am one, the question arises whether what occupies the field of my imagination is not one particular aspect merely (and that an aspect the practical importance of which is prone to be exaggerated) of the ethical organism or order which our nature demands. And misdirection in the manner of asserting our apparently pointlike personality will assuredly defeat the very end—of really asserting it—which we have in view. So far as ethics is concerned, the social organism is a conception which presents serious difficulties unless we are prepared to be, not indeed, like nature, careless of the single corporeal life, but very determined to see it in its proper perspective."

The work concludes with a chapter, all too brief, on a supplementary view of the State.

This book, as will be gathered from what has already been said, has its defects as well as its merits.

Its leading defect is, that it is too much of a bird's-eye view, and lacks criticism, and even reasoned exposition, of the guiding principles of social morality. To state, for instance, the difficulties that attach to civilization in its dealings with uncivilized peoples is not enough; nor is it enough to mention how these difficulties are got over in practice. We need a critical handling of the ground of recognized practice in this respect, and some definite light to guide us apart from mere custom. Another defect is, that Mr. Watt deals too much in word-distinctions, and is not always felicitous in the discriminations that he makes. The result is that one cannot help having the impression that, at points, words take the place of thoughts—at any rate, it is not always obvious what precisely the bearing of the distinctions drawn has upon the subject under consideration.

On the other hand, the book has its merits. As a summary of views succinctly put, it will be found a convenient book to have beside one; and, as it is a treatise of the practical kind, written in a lucid style, and free from unnecessary technicality, it should be welcome to others than the professed student. It has, further, the virtue of keeping constantly in view the morality of our own time, and of not losing touch with the doctrines of common-sense.

WILLIAM L. DAVIDSON.

Studien zur Entstehungsgeschichte der jüdischen Gemeinde nach dem babylonischen Exil.

Von D. Ernst Sellin, o. Professor der evangelischen Theologie in Wien. I. Der Knecht Gottes bei Deuterojesaja. Pp. iv. +302. Price M.6.40. II. Die Restauration der jüdischen Gemeinde in den Jahren 538-516. Das Schicksal Serubbabels. Pp. iv. + 199. Price M.4.50; both volumes together M.10. Leipzig: A. Deichert'sche Verlagsbuchhandlung Nachf. (Georg Böhme), 1901.

THE first of these two volumes treats of the servant of the Lord in Deutero-Isaiah, more especially in Isa. xlii. 1-7; xlix. 1-9; 1. 4-10; 1ii. 13-liii. 12. In chap. i., Prof. Sellin argues that the servant in these passages is an individual. (1) In these passages the servant is anonymous (in xlix. 3) "Israel" is not genuine), whereas in other passages the author makes liberal use of the explanatory additions "Israel" or "Iacob"; (2) the description of the servant is so individual that several traits (e.g., xlii. 2, 3; xlix. 2; 1, 4 ff.) cannot possibly be explained in a natural manner of the people; (3) the servant is everywhere in these passages clearly distinguished both from the people as a whole, and from its Godpleasing kernel. In xlii. 3 the "bruised reed," and "smoking flax," from which he is distinguished, is Israel itself, or rather the oppressed good portion of it; in xlii. 6, he is represented not only as a bearer of light to the Gentiles, but also as the mediator of a covenant with Israel; in xlix, 1-9, he is described as the means whereby God brings the people back from the Babylonian captivity, and as one whom God makes to be a covenant with the people (vers. 5, 6, 8); in 1. 4-9 the weary one whom he is to sustain (ver. 4) is the people itself, and the enemies of vers. 6-9 are godless Israelites as well as Gentiles; in liii. 1-7 the speakers are not the Gentiles, but the people and the prophet, from whom consequently the servant is distinguished; he is also distinguished from the people in ver. 8. (4) The vocation of the servant in these passages is totally different from that of Israel in the other chapters of the book. He has an active vocation in behalf of Israel as well as of the Gentiles, whereas in the other chapters his active vocation is only for the Gentiles. It may be said that what is spoken of is the vocation of the good kernel of the people for the people as a whole; but not a single passage has been adduced in Deutero-Isaiah in which an action bearing upon the people as a whole is predicated of the people in the narrower sense: everywhere the latter is passive, suffering. Israel also has an active function to discharge as regards the Gentiles; but nowhere is anything said of Israel parallel to the function of the servant in xlii. 1-4; xli. 15 f., xlii. 13, xliii. 3 and xlv. 14 are totally different. According to Deutero-Isaiah's usual descriptions, Israel is incapable of such a function. true also of the passive side of the servant's vocation, more especially as described in liii. 2-10a, where the prophet speaks in his own name and in that of the people, and there is not the least trace of a distinction between Israel as a whole and ideal Israel. Prof. Sellin works out all these points with great care, and with constant reference to the most recent literature.

In Chapter II. he argues that this individual servant is neither a future nor an abstract ideal form, but a real contemporary of the author. He is certainly, in the author's estimation, the Messiah; but he is not to be sought for in the future; the prophet and his contemporaries are personally acquainted with him. Chapter III. is devoted to the proof that the servant is neither a prophet nor a teacher of the Torah, but a descendant of David, who is appointed to be the leader of the new Kingdom of God. Each of the servant-passages is examined with great minuteness; it is admitted that one of them (l. 4-10), if it stood alone, might equally well be explained of a prophet or teacher of the Torah as of a prince or leader of the people; but the explanation that

fits in best with all the facts, is that which makes the servant the mediator of the redemption of Israel, and of the setting up of the Kingdom of God in the whole earth, and consequently, on the assumption that he is a contemporary of the prophet, a descendant of David. This expectation of a personal Messiah is not in contradiction with Deutero-Isaiah's socalled theocentric conception of salvation; the servant is simply the instrument whereby God executes His saving This is true of his suffering in behalf of the people; God is the prima causa (liii. 6, 10). Nor does the activity of Cyrus as the instrument of Jehovah exclude a personal Messiah of the house of David. The activities of the two are supplementary; both serve the same saving purpose of God, and both are necessary. That the servant is nowhere called king, and that he lacks many of the traits necessary to the old Israelitish conception of a king, is no argument against his being a son of David. Taught by experience, the prophets had transformed the old Israelitish idea of kingship; in their picture of the true king, the secular side becomes secondary, and he becomes the personification of the fear of God and of righteousness. The characteristics of the coming king they transferred to David, the founder of the present dynasty. In this idealisation of David the Deuteronomists proceeded much further; in them David is the pattern of the fear of God and the servant of Jehovah par excellence (cf. Jer. xxxiii. 21, 26; Ezech. xxxiv. 23, f.). What was more natural than that a prophet, who saw in one of his contemporaries the son of David, that was to be the ideal king, should represent him as pre-eminently a ruler in righteousness, and should name him after his great ancestor, "Servant of Jehovah?" Sellin finds a confirmation of his argument in the fact that many of the expressions used of the servant are employed in the Babylonian texts of the Kings of Babylon. He concludes Chapter III. with a criticism of Bertholet's hypothesis.

In Chapters IV. and V. Dr. Sellin discusses at great length the date of Deutero-Isaiah, and the relation of the servantpassages to the rest of the book. In his former work, Serubbabel, he argued that the whole book was composed in Jerusalem between the years 515 and 500; but he has now reached a different conclusion. Chapters xl.-xlviii. were published in Babylon shortly before its fall; they presuppose an activity of the prophet for many years among the people, and contain a few quotations from his previous predictions. Chapters xlix.-lv. were also written in Babylon shortly after its capture, in all probability after the issue of the general edict of Cyrus permitting the captured peoples to return to their native lands, but before the edict referring specially to Judah. In his previous work Sellin maintained that the servant-passages were composed at an earlier period by Deutero-Isaiah, and afterwards worked up by him into his present book. He still holds with König, Budde and Marti, that they are the work of Deutero-Isaiah, but now admits that the previous publication of any of them is only a possibility.

In Chapter VI. he attempts to discover the person of the servant in history. For several reasons he abandons, as utterly untenable, his former hypothesis that Zerubbabel was the person in question. After considering and rejecting the claims of Shealtiel and Sheshbazzar, he decides upon Jeconiah. It is true that in the year 530 the latter would have been 75 years old, but that is by no means an abnormal age. 2 Kings xxv. 27-30 does not prove that he died before the end of the exile; for the books of Kings contain a few post-exilic additions, and this epitome is probably one of these. Nor does it prove that he was dead when this epitome was written; for the suffix in "all the days of his life" (ver. 29 f.) refers most naturally to Evil-merodach (so also "until the day of his death," Jer. lii. 34). But how could Isa. liii. 9 be said of Jeconiah? It hardly needs to be said that 2 Kings xxiv. o pronounces no ethical judgment regarding him; while 2 Kings xxiv. 12 reports of him a very noble deed, the voluntary surrender of himself and his whole court in order that Jerusalem might be spared. From Jer. xxii. 20-30, Ezech. xvii., xix., and Lam. iv. we gather a very favourable impression of him; many among the people had expected great things

of him, and deplored the calamity that had befallen him. And now that in the year 561 he was delivered out of prison and advanced to honour at the Babylonian court, who could tell to what higher honour he was destined of God? favour at the court lasted only two years; but he remained free: and a lofty spirit like Deutero-Isaiah, who discerned the signs of the times, saw in him, thus sorely afflicted and yet marvellously delivered, the one who should accomplish God's gracious designs in behalf of His people. How does this hypothesis fit in with the servant-passages? In answering this question, Sellin occupies himself mainly with lii. 13liii. 12, and finds that there is no evidence within the passage itself that the servant was put to death, and that much in it points to the conclusion that he was one who had gone voluntarily into exile, was maltreated and imprisoned, and afterwards set free. There are several objections to this hypothesis; but our author finds no great difficulty in demolishing them. He is not, however, under the illusion that his new solution of the problem will speedily meet with general acceptance. In the closing Chapter (VII.) of this volume he discusses the religious historical significance of the servantpassages, which, on his interpretation, is at least not less than if one makes them absolute pictures of the future, which the prophet's contemporaries could not possibly have understood. These passages find their true fulfilment in Jesus of Nazareth.

The second volume contains two studies, the first on the Restoration of the Jewish Community in the years 538-516, the second on the fate of Zerubbabel. In the first of these studies, Professor Sellin discusses in successive chapters Ezra iv. 7-vi. 15; Haggai; Zechariah; Neh. vii. and Ezra ii.; the memoirs of Nehemiah and Ezra; the book of the so-called Trito-Isaiah; and the Chronicler. Against Kosters and others he maintains the unity and trustworthiness of Ezra iv. 7-vi. 15 (iv. 24 and "Artaxerxes," vi. 14, are additions of the Chronicler). We have here an Aramaic document, a petition addressed to Artaxerxes by those named in iv. 7, its superscription. The first part of this document (iv. 8-23)

treats of the interruption of a building of the walls of Jerusalem before Darius I., at the instigation of Rehum and Shimshai (ver. 8). The second part (v. 1-vi. 15) treats of an unsuccessful attempt by Tattenai to prevent the building of the temple under Darius. The authors of this document were the "Samaritan" opponents of Nehemiah (Tabeel iv. 7 is the Aramaic form of Tobiah, probably the real author), who had access to the archives of Jerusalem as well as of Samaria, and who drew it up in the hope that by informing Artaxerxes of the steps taken by his predecessors in the matter of the rebuilding of the walls of Jerusalem, they would induce him to withdraw from Nehemiah his permission to do so, and suffer him only to rebuild the temple. The Chronicler misunderstood the superscription of the petition (iv. 7); he thought that it and ver. 8 ff. treated of two distinct acts of hostility against the Jews; and this misunderstanding ing led him to change the name Cyrus or Cambyses, which stood in the Aramaic document in vers. 8, 11, and 23, into Artaxerxes, assuming, in his ignorance of Persian history, that an Artaxerxes (different from the patron of Nehemiah) reigned before Darius I. From this anti-lewish document, the conclusion of which the Chronicler does not communicate, we gather the following facts: the decree of Cyrus regarding the building of the temple; the laying of the foundation stone by Sheshbazzar, who is certainly different from Zerubbabel; a gradual return of the majority of the exiles; a futile attempt to rebuild the walls of Jerusalem; an interruption of the building of the temple; the laying of a new foundation and the completion of the temple by Zerubbabel.

As regards the building of the temple, the Book of Haggai contains nothing contradictory of Ezra v., vi.; while it contains notices that make it probable that Zerubbabel's was not the first attempt after 586 to found a sanctuary on Mount Zion. It certainly says nothing of a return of the exiles in the second year of Cyrus; but in many ways it presupposes that between the fall of Babylon and September 520 the majority of the people had again settled in Palestine. The Book of Zechariah, as generally understood, favours Kosters'

hypothesis. Chapters VII. and VIII. certainly imply that in 518 the majority of the people had returned from Babylon, and that in 520 the foundation stone of the temple was laid; but they say nothing as to what happened in 538. It is in the visions of i. 7-vi. 15 that Kosters' hypothesis finds its main support (e.g., i. 12, ii. 5 [Heb. ver. 10], vi. 10 ff.). But these are not documents for the history of the year (519) in which they were published. In i. 8-ii. the prophet's standpoint is in the exile, shortly before the hour of redemption; in iii. it is in Jerusalem: Joshua and his companions have already returned, and Zerubbabel is expected; in iv. 1-5, 10b-14 Zerubbabel is already in the land; in v. the standpoint is pre-exilic; in vi. 1-8 exilic; in vi. 9-15 one or two companies have already returned, and another, under Zerubbabel, is expected. In these visio s the prophet, after the manner of the later Apocalytic, weaves together past, present and future, and presents them as a divinely willed future organism; that which has already actually taken place is the prelude and presage of what has still to happen. By thus presenting the history of the past years as an organism willed beforehand by God, he seeks to convince his contemporaries that their still unfulfilled hopes will also be realised. Thus understood, the visions bear witness not only to the fact that a return of the exiles had begun in 538, but also to the fact that the return was gradual. companies of returned settlers in Jerusalem are clearly distinguished, (a) Joshua and his companions (iii. 2, 8a), (b) Heldai and his companions (vi. 9), (c) Zerubbabel (iii. 8b, vi. 12 f., 15), the latter two probably several years after the first (against Ezra ii. 2, as commonly understood). In the other documents examined by him, Sellin finds at least a confirmation of this view of his. The Chronicler has on the whole faithfully reproduced his sources. But he erroneously took Sheshbazzar and Zerubbabel to be one and the same person; this mistake led him to regard the list of names, which he found in the memoirs of Nehemiah, not as the list of those who returned from 538-520, but of those who returned in 538 (Ezra ii., Neh. vii.); it also led him to infer, in contradiction

with Haggai and Zechariah, that it was Zerubbabel that laid the foundation of the temple in the second year of Cyrus (Ezra iii.). He is thus responsible for the mistaken view that the return took place, as a whole, in 538, instead of gradually, from 538-520.

As already stated, Dr. Sellin has abandoned the hypothesis that Zerubbabel was the servant of the Lord of Deutero-Isaiah; but he still holds that he attempted to make himself King of the Jews and perished in the attempt. Haggai and Zechariah manifestly proclaimed him a Messiah, i.e., one chosen of God to be king, and this thought must sooner or later have been translated into deeds. Many of the Messianic passages in the pre-exilic prophets belong to the years 540-518: was it not a sacred duty to make the dream of a Davidic Kingdom a reality? There are many indirect proofs that Zerubbabel yielded to the pressure of the prophets and a majority of the people, assumed the royal title and perished as a traitor. These are (1) the removal of the Davidic line from the governorship; (2) the wretched condition in which Nehemiah, Ezra and Malachi found Jerusalem, the temple and the people; (3) the introduction of the Priests' Code, which altogether ignores Messianic hope, and (4) the discredit into which the prophets fell after Zechariah. In the Psalter also there are many confirmations of the rebellion and overthrow of Zerubbabel, e.g., Ps. cxxxii., xx., xxi., lxi., lxiii. (probably also xlv., lxxii.) and lxxxix, which Duhm refers to Aristobulus and Alexander Jannæus.

Prof. Sellin is full of ideas and hypotheses. He writes in a pleasing manner; is very fair to opponents; but he is too apt to be satisfied with his own reasoning, and to confound possibilities, and even plausibilities, with certainties. Still, his two volumes are interesting throughout; they contain a great deal of valuable matter, and form a good introduction to the study of the important questions of which they treat.

The Church of Scotland: her Divisions and her Re-Unions.

By C. G. M'Crie, D.D. Edinburgh: Macniven & Wallace, 1901. Pp. xi + 382. Price 5s. net.

A Scotchman may be excused an interest in the story of his country's Church; and the interest is the more pardonable when the story is of such a unique character as that unfolded in these pages. A Scotchman has an excusable feeling that there is no Church in Christendom whose story of contendings is so absorbing as that of his own! And, indeed, it may fairly be asked if the student of Church History will find anywhere, at least in any Presbyterian Church of Christendom, so much that is of absorbing interest from every point of view: and if one wishes to study the currents of religious thought and the religious impulses which somehow ebb and flow like the tides, one will find few more instructive objectlessons than the post-Reformation story of the Scottish From this point of view, one would find Dr. M'Crie's book extremely helpful, and the student would find here a faithful record of the movements which time and again have stirred earnest Scottish people, movements very diverse in character, and reflecting in each generation a different spirit, sometimes expressing themselves in separation and at other times in re-union. If one could quite understand the subtle movements which, generation after generation, pressed on toward secession or re-union in Scotland, one would be in a fair position to understand much of the general history of the Church in Christendom. These movements stir in one the wonder whether in Scotland they are all tending toward one goal; whether the Church, by these strange steps and in these devious ways, is being led toward an end or stage in religious history more wonderful than we

have ever seen in Scotland. And if in Scotland, why not elsewhere?

The work under review is by one who inherits a historic name, and has a hereditary right to be a historian of movements in the story of his Church. Dr. M'Crie, in writing this work, claims "to have sought after accuracy and impartiality". Both are essential in a historian; but the latter, in one "with Secession lineage," is the more difficult to secure. One is bound, however, to admit that Dr. M'Crie has not sought after "accuracy and impartiality" in vain. We have read through this work with singular pleasure and find it a careful and accurate statement of the post-Reformation movements in Scottish Church history. One pardons the author his Secession bias: it is vain to expect "a colourless neutrality" in any historian who writes the annals of his own Church! Our chief regret about his valuable book is that the author has allowed himself to dispense so largely with footnotes and references to sources and authorities. No one knows better than Dr. M'Crie the literature of his subject, particularly of the eighteenth century, and we hope that the value of his work and its usefulness for students will soon be enhanced by the addition of the necessary references to sources and authorities.

As the title shows, this work deals with the divisions and re-unions that have taken place in the Scottish Presbyterian Church. In certain respects, the history is melancholy enough reading, and one sometimes wonders how our earnest forefathers were not inclined to insist as much on their agreements as on their differences! It must be admitted, also, that it is very difficult to think oneself into the mental situation of men who could in all earnestness, and in absolute loyalty to conviction, divide their Church over a burgher oath! Yet one has no sympathy with those who sneer at "the hair-splitting proclivities" of our Covenanting ancestors. They were most earnest men, who were true to their deepest convictions. They were men who had the light and did not hesitate to let it shine before men. They were men with a vision; and one can never forget, notwithstanding the

multitude of their Covenants and their Testimonies, that it was by these things that they held before their age the ideal which kept ever shining before themselves of "a consecrated land with a covenanted king". One is thankful to Dr. M'Crie for his splendid testimony to these men, and as they move across his pages the memory lingers over them—Richard Cameron, Renwick and Donald Cargill; Boston, James Hog, Fisher and the Erskines; Thomas Gillespie and stout Adam Gib,—men whom the Church in Scotland will not let die.

One has always found it a difficult task to follow the divisions and subdivisions, the windings and the intricacies of the religious bodies in Scotland after the Revolution Settlement. Dr. M'Crie has made the task a much easier one than it used to be. He has shown us the separations from the Presbyterian Church—first, the Society Men in the seventeenth century; then the Secession and the Relief in the eighteenth; finally, the vast secession of the Free Church in the nineteenth century. Then he has shown us the re-unions-first, the "Repairing of the Breach" in 1820; then-not to mention smaller union movements—the union of the Secession and Relief Churches in 1847; the union of the Reformed Presbyterian and Free Church in 1876; finally, the union of the United Presbyterian and Free Church in 1900. unions unfortunately were not accomplished without the loss of protesting fragments.

When we come to inquire into the cause or causes of these secessions from the Scottish Presbyterian Church, we are at once face to face with a great problem. According to Dr. M'Crie, the causes of separation lay partly in questions of doctrine and partly in questions of Church Government. One is left in no doubt of Dr. M'Crie's opinion that we owe separation in Scotland largely to the second of these causes. A candid reading of Scottish Church history makes it clear that the question of the Church and State connexion has always been in the background. That appears to Dr. M'Crie to be the stone of stumbling and the rock of offence in the Church of Scotland. The connexion of the Church with the State has directly or indirectly been the primal cause of

secession. After all, that connexion is but an accident in the Church's history; and it is not the principle—only an application of that principle—for which every Presbyterian has never ceased to contend, and will gladly make every sacrifice, that "an obligation rests on nations and their rulers to aim at the promotion of true religion and the prosperity of the Church of Christ".

Dr. M'Crie's work raises inevitably this living question, What of the future? There can be no doubt that the Union of 1000 has given an immense impulse to the question of the re-union of the State and Free Churches in Scotland. There are to-day many hopes and prayers in many hearts. Can that union be accomplished and the State connexion be retained? The history of the past gives very small hope of that; and if the history of the Church's Secessions means anything, then such a union, except in a Church and a State of saints, a pure theocracy, would ultimately prove the starting-point of another era of Disruption! One hopes and prays that the way to the greater union in Scotland may be opened up; but the end is not yet. Until it has been seen that the State connexion is not in itself a worthy battle-cry, or involves an intolerable strain on the Church's freedom, or is a rock of offence which a finer charity ought to clear away, not till then will the larger Union be accomplished. Such is the conviction of many in Scotland; vet God moves in a mysterious way: and meantime it is our duty to labour for and pray for-in the words of Dr. Wm. Cunningham—"such a unity of sentiment among the Scottish Churches, and such a cordiality of affection for each other, as to secure united and harmonious action in regard to all important matters that may bear upon the welfare of each and all of them".

W. BEVERIDGE.

Kurzer Hand-Commentar zum Alten Testament: Daniel,

Von D. Karl Marti, ord. Professor der Theologie an der Universität, Bern. Tübingen und Leipzig: J. B. C. Mohr, 1901. Pp. xxii. + 98. Price M.2.35.

Das Targum zum Buch der Richter in jemenischer Ueberlieferung.

Von Franz Praetorius. Berlin: Reuther und Reichard, 1900. Pp. 62. Price M.4.

Ueber die Herkunft der hebräischen Accente.

Von Franz Praetorius. Berlin: Reuther und Reichard, 1901. Pp. 54. Price M.4.

THE twelfth part of the Commentary on the Old Testament, edited by Professor Marti of Bern, deals with the book of Daniel, and is from the pen of the Editor himself. Marti is, it will be remembered, the author of a very useful Kurzgefasste Grammatik der biblisch-aramaeischen Sprache (Berlin, 1896); it is, therefore, quite appropriate that he should himself contribute the commentary on Daniel to his series. His treatment of the subject is necessarily brief, but very clear. (A good instance of this is seen in the few paragraphs in which he shows the author's slight acquaintance with exilic history as compared with his great familiarity with the events of the third century and the beginning of the second century B.C.) The notes are brief and pointed, and are strongest perhaps on the linguistic side; see, for example, those on (ii. 8) and הַלְתִּי (ii. 13). The word תַּלְתִּי (v. 7) is taken by Marti in the sense, "Dreierherr, Triumvir," with a reference to I Esd., iii. q. (οἱ τρεῖς μεγιστᾶνες). The book seems to be very valuable for its size.

Herr Franz Praetorius brought out in 1899 an edition of the Targum on Joshua printed from a Yemenite MS. preserved in Berlin (Orient. Quart., 578), with a preface giving an account of the MS. He now continues the work with an edition of Judges from the same MS. with Nachträge, giving (a) various readings from some leaves of the Targum preserved at Strassburg, (b) a discussion of some points raised by Dr. G. Diettrich (in the Z.A.T.W. for 1900, pages 148-159) in his paper of grammatical notes on three Yemenite MSS. of the Targum of Onkelos in the British Museum. Praetorius' Joshua-Judges ought certainly to be in the hands of every student of the Targums, for though his consonantal text differs but little from that of Lagarde, the fact that Praetorius gives us a punctation taken directly from MS. sources makes his text valuable beyond Lagarde's. The uncertainty which besets the pointing of the Targums is not unlikely to tempt younger students to content themselves with an unpointed text to be eyed rather than read. (There were persons some sixty or seventy years ago who learnt even Hebrew "without points"). The difference of pointing between the Yemenite text and the ordinary text (as printed in the Antwerp Polyglot of 1569-72 for instance) is considerable, as the following instances taken from Judges i. will show:-

Antwerp.	PRAETORIUS.		
misrēth (מסרית, ver. 2)	m'sărīth		
yăbhdēth (עבדית, ver. 7)	y'bhădīth		
l'ăggāhā (אובחא), ver. 1)	l'āgāḥā		
w'ăggīhū (ver. 5)	wă'gīḥū		
w'ēhākh (ואיהד, ver. 3, so Lagarde)	wă hākh (אהך)		
m'kŭççāçīn (ver. 7)	m'kāç'çin (so apparently Lagarde).		
găbhrā (ver. 4, so Lagarde)			

Of differences in the consonantal text the following may be noticed:—

viii.	24.	ANTWERP POLYGLOT. [ערבאי] קטילו (pointed as pĕīl)	Lagarde.	PRAETORIUS. (as Antwerp, but pointed as pael)
ix.		[אבוהא] דאמיה המיזל [אזלו]	omit (as Antwerp)	(as Antwerp)
xii.	2.	אנש דין אפרתי [את]	אינש מצו האפרתי	גבר אנש דין אפרתאי
				(read appirtai or eppirtai)

There is a misprint in xv. 4, דנבא (2do) is spelt with caph.

The third book mentioned in the heading is an attempt to trace the Hebrew accents to a Greek origin. It may be commended to the specialists to whom it appeals.

W EMERY BARNES.

Evangelical Doctrine Bible Truth.

By the Rev. C. Anderson Scott, M.A., Kensington Presbyterian Church. London: Hodder & Stoughton, 1901. Cr. 8vo, pp. 308. Price 6s.

This is an important contribution to the literature of the High Church movement. In a series of racily written chapters the author discusses the distinctive doctrines and principles of the High Church party, and criticises them in the light of the teaching of the Reformed Churches. Possessing a competent knowledge of the points at issue, he has at the same time a remarkable faculty of concise and convincing statement; and the result is a book of unusual interest and importance for those who are called to deal with the questions that are agitating the religious life of the England of to-day.

The book is an admirable specimen of controversial writing. Nothing could be better than the temper in which the subjects are debated, or more searching and conclusive than the criticism to which the views which he opposes are subjected; and a more helpful book there could not be to put into the hands of intelligent persons who have taken up with high views on the Church and Sacraments. If a book so sane and so sensible in its judgments fails to convince, or at least to open men's minds to the other side of the question, the task of doing so by books may be considered as hopeless.

The author has been happy in his adoption of the epistolary form of writing. The volume professes to be a series of letters addressed to an Anglo-Catholic; and this form gives a vivacity to the style and a directness to the argument that lighten the task of the reader and maintain the interest to the close. It adds to the usefulness of his book that, while not ignoring other writers of the school he opposes, the author deals specially with the arguments

contained in Mr. Sadler's well-known textbook of Anglo-Catholic teaching on the various matters that come under review.

The topics of the first three letters are "Catholic and Protestant," "The Affirmation of Protestantism," and "The Unity of Catholicism". He replies with effect to those who would discredit Protestantism on the ground that "being a negative term it does not express positive belief of any kind" (p. 29). "So far was the Reformation from being a merely negative movement, that its strength and success lay in the vigour and pertinence of its affirmations" (p. 32). "While a protest against the errors and corruptions of Rome, it is also a protest of the great truths of our religion, a re-enunciation of the Fatherhood of God, of the Mediatorial Power of Christ, of the universal operation of the Spirit, and of the validity of Christian experience, as these were apprehended by apostolic and primitive Church."

With admirable force he points out, in speaking of the external unity that is emphasised by the Anglican party, that in spite of the divisions of Protestants there is more real unity of spirit among them than is to be found in churches outwardly one, and that "there is no such cleavage between any two bodies of Evangelical Christians outside the Church of England as that which divides the Church of England itself" (p. 42).

In the fourth and fifth lectures the author deals with the foolish argument of Mr. Sadler that Protestants have not a gospel to preach because they do not use the Prayer Book which secures the presentation of the gospel facts in a particular form. In a very effective passage he points to the sadly disappointing results of the system as seen in the deplorable condition of religious life in England and "its failure to produce a religious nation".

The subject of the Sacraments follows in order and naturally occupies a considerable portion of the volume. In the letters on "Baptismal Regeneration," and "The Meaning of Baptism" there is much interesting matter. In accounting for the belief that came to be entertained in the magical

efficacy of the ordinance, he attributes a good deal to the practice of Infant Baptism and the necessity men felt of accounting for the blessing believed to accompany the administration of the ordinance in the case of infants. "There was much that disposed men towards a theory which cut the knot. It was easy. It was congenial to certain ideas which were widely current outside the Christian Church. It harmonised with the growing inclination to ascribe supernatural powers to a certain class of men and supernatural efficacy to certain rites and actions" (p. 103).

In his treatment of the Lord's Supper the author distinguishes between the Figurative view, the Anglo-Catholic view, the Roman Catholic view, and the Catholic Reformed view, each of which receives separate treatment. By the Figurative view the author means that which regards it as simply an ordinance of commemoration. This is commonly called the Zwinglian view. Mr. Scott, however, holds it to be a mistake to father this view upon the Swiss Reformer. and he betrays a quite unnecessary anxiety, as it seems to us, to dissociate the name of Zwingli from all responsibility for No doubt expressions occur in Zwingli's writings that indicate a fuller doctrine than that which is termed Zwinglian, but in spite of isolated passages, the whole drift of his teaching, which originated in a thorough-going reaction from the Catholic doctrine, was to deny to the ordinance a sacramental character in the proper sense of the word, or any virtue to it as a means of grace. This has been shown very conclusively by Schweizer, and no one had a better acquaintance with the writings of Zwingli than he. Mr. A. Scott's discussion of the Anglo-Catholic doctrine is instructive and discriminating, and its inconsistencies are clearly pointed His exposition of what he calls the Catholic Reformed view, that currently held in Protestant Churches, is tersely summed up in the following words: "The grace of the Sacrament is a special case of the universal grace of God accessible to us through prayer. The presence of Christ in the Lord's Supper is a special case of His continual presence with His people. The feeding on Christ in the Supper is a

special case of the general case that He is the Spiritual Food of men" (p. 175). If we ask wherein the specialty consists, the answer seems to be "the unusual fulness and special intensity" with which Christ's gifts, received in other exercises of faith, are received in the Lord's Supper; and if the further question is asked, Whence this more intense experience of Christ's gifts in the Lord's Supper?, the answer is, for one thing, because "they come by a channel specially appointed by Christ" (p. 176). And this leads me to remark that Mr. Scott has stopped at a point where, considering the present state of thought on the whole subject, he was bound, it seems to me, to have prosecuted his inquiry further. The results of New Testament criticism have brought about a change in the whole treatment of the Sacramentarian controversy. The question now is not what is the grace the Lord's Supper conveys, and what is the relation between that grace and the symbol; but, Is the Lord's Supper a Sacrament at all? Was it ever intended to be such? Did our Lord mean to make binding on His Church its observance as a rite? Was it in reality more than a simple and pathetic way of conveying to His disciples the fact and meaning of His approaching death?

These aspects of the subject have been in debate among the foremost New Testament scholars of Germany for the last ten years; and they are matters of really living interest in connexion with the Lord's Supper at the present moment. We could have wished that the author had turned his attention to those more fundamental considerations.

In the latter part of the volume the author gives us a series of admirable discussions on the Christian Ministry: Is it a Priesthood?, Church Government, Church and Ministry, Apostolical Succession, and The Church. In these good use is made of the results of the researches of modern scholars, English and Continental, to expose the baselessness of the claims of the High Church party.

The reading of this book has given us no ordinary pleasure. It exhibits a wealth of scholarship and learning as well as a capacity for dealing successfully with the questions of the day, on which the English Presbyterian Church, that numbers Mr. Scott among its ministers, is to be congratulated. The wonder is that Church theories that are so foreign to the genius of Christianity, should still in this twentieth century have such a hold on men's minds as to call for so patient and thorough an investigation of them as this book contains.

DAVID SOMERVILLE.

Communication on Some Aspects of the Edicts of the Persian Emperors in the Old Testament.

From Professor L. H. Mills, Oxford.

I WISH to say something of those edicts in the Old Testament which profess to derive their origin from Cyrus and his successors. Recorded in Chronicles, repeated in Ezra and corroborated by Isaiah, we have a statement of a nature so entirely out of line with pre-exilic Scripture that it may well awaken our surprise. It is this—

Now in the first year of Cyrus, King of Persia (in order) that the word of Yahveh by the mouth of Jeremiah might be fulfilled, the Lord (Yahveh) stirred up the spirit of Cyrus, King of Persia, that he made a proclamation throughout all his kingdom and put it also in writing, saying: Thus saith Cyrus King of Persia: All the kingdoms of the earth hath Yahveh, the Elohīm of Heaven, given me. And He hath charged me to build Him an house in Jerusalem which is in Judah. Whosoever there is among you of all His people, Yahveh His Elohīm be with him and let him go up.

At the first glance these words might seem to convey an incorrect representation. The "people" were certainly "restored," so it might be said, by consent of the Persian government, and in the hope of strengthening their own case against their rivals in the re-settlement they patched up this decree, putting it forward as an inspired utterance from the mouth of the great Gentile ruler, or from his pen. And where, it might be asked, did he get such a connexion of ideas? Here we might seem to have a case for the prayer of Saint Didymus.

But we have a corroboration, and one in a form so accessible and simple that we are almost ashamed to bring it once more into notice. It may be useful, nevertheless, to bring such things, well known as they may be, again to view.

We have wondered, perhaps, how the Hebrew annalist dould be so unguarded as to make Cyrus give orders for the building of the temple at Jerusalem, Artaxerxes supplementing the announcement and lavishing assistance in the form of treasure, if not of men. But we take up the pioneer renderings of Rawlinson and Pinches, emended by Winckler, Hagen, Schrader and Delitzsch, and from the Cyrus vase inscription in the British Museum; also the Backstein inscription and the annals of Nabuna'id, in the British Museum. We see, then, that the rebuilding of places of worship was precisely the first thing of which Cyrus thought. He states it and he returns to it; his son was with him and the two worked on together.

The first of the inscriptions deciphered by Sir Henry is a political decree, stamped doubtless upon hundreds of clay cylinders systematically distributed in different parts of the province or the empire. This "copy" has escaped destruction, and well did our great Bahnbrecher emphasise its interest. He thought, I believe, that it was deposited in the archives by Cyrus himself or by his personal direction. It was found by Hormuzd Rassam in a hill at Babylon. The transliterations of the transcribers differ very much, as our Pahlavi decipherments often do, and the renderings vary as they naturally must; but, as in the case of the Gathas, the terms which carry the most valuable meaning are generally quite plain.

"The great lord Marduk," so Kūrash dictated (was he not thinking of his own Ahura Mazda?), "regarded propitiously the protection, that is to say 'the protector,' of his people, his victorious work, and his righteous heart, going toward his city Babīl as a friend and a companion at his side." Compare Isaiah "whose right hand I have holden," "in righteousness have I raised him up, and all his ways will I direct. I will go before them and the crooked shall I make straight."

The inscription reads further: "His troops spreading out in numbers never known like the waters of a stream marched weapon-girded at his side". This is even more graphic than the prophet: "thus saith Yahveh to Koresh . . . to open before him the two-leaved gates and the gates shall not be shut," that is to say, they shall be forced with little effort. "Without battle," says the inscription, "made he (Marduk) him (Kūrash) enter Babīl; my widely thronging troops came in in peace." "Bars of iron shall I cut asunder," says Isaiah; and in an isolated spot of the worn inscription, according to Hagen at least, occur the words, "the door was destroyed".\(^1\) . . . "I will loose the loins of Kings," saith Isaiah, . . . and the inscription runs, "Nabuna'id the King who feared him (Marduk), not he Marduk, delivered into his (Kūrash's) hand." Recall Isaiah's words of Yahveh, "he, Koresh, shall do all my pleasure". But the inscription goes further, and makes him out a "pleasure" not only to the Deity, but to the captured population. In fact, he claims at once a plebiscite from the masses or from the gods who represented them: "whose, Kūrash's, (Cyrus's) sovereign authority they desired to the joy of their hearts".

The Hebrew records teem with terms describing the welcome; and on his side, in the inscriptions, Kūrash claims the fawning homage of the Babylonians and dubs it genuine. It had however the meanest motives. "They rejoiced," says the ardent politician, "over his assuming the kingdom, . . . their faces beamed, for the Lord who by force of his power wakes the dead (a touch of Persian sentiment this, if the translations have not hid the truth; he was used to speaking so of his Ahura), who with care and warding protection had done all well, him did they bless with joy, guarding and maintaining his name."

The inscription seems to surpass our Hebrew texts; and if the Babylonian words were not all so simple, we should hardly believe them rightly read. We were also uneasy, as we remember, that Koresh (Cyrus) seriously professed some real regard for the (foreign) Yahveh. But all the same on the inscriptions he never stops in his great machine-like movement: "Since I entered Babīl (so he ventures to assert) amidst exulting shouts and established the throne in the palace of the princes, Marduk the great Lord made the

¹ This need not have been a city gate; but that it was some portal of importance seems certain; that is to say, if it were indeed anything and it was cut asunder.

honourable hearts of the inhabitants of Babīl inclined toward me because I was daily mindful of his worship. My widely thronging troops (did something favourable we may be sure). . . .

"All Sumer and Akkad, the honourable race, I allowed no affliction to seize. I justly took over all the necessities of Babīl and all its cities; the inhabitants realised the satisfaction of their hearts' desires (so) and the dishonouring yoke (n.b.) was taken from them." The orator goes on, and laments the sad condition of Babīl under the man whom he had just "relieved" of his crown and of his capital; not that Nabuna'id was immaculate. "Their sighs I hushed," so he proceeds, "their anger, as against the deposed sinner I appeased (so). Marduk, the great Lord, rejoiced over my works so full of . . . beneficial results (?) . . . He blessed me, Kūrash, in grace who worship him, and also Kambuzi'a, my body's son; since we in righteousness praise before him his sublime divinity." This annihilates the cynical treatment of our Bible-texts. If Cyrus spoke thus of these false gods so inferior to his own Ahura, surely he must have said something like what Ezra records of Yahveh, so near his own great deity.

Isaiah had said "thus said Yahveh to Koresh his Messiah, to subdue nations before him"; and according to Ezra we have, "thus saith Koresh King of Persia: all the kingdoms of the earth hath Yahveh Elohim of heaven given me" (but see the inscriptions, which heighten the expressions). "I am Kūrash King of the all (the then known world), the great king, the mighty king, King of Babīl, King of Sumer and Akkad (which he had just conquered), King of the four quarters of the world (compare Isaiah's expression 'from the East to the West' not said of Koresh but in the immediate connexion and in consequence of his inspired action). I am the son of Kambuzi'a the great king, the king of Anshan, grandson of (a former) Kūrash the great king, King of Anshan of all royal blood." Notice how exactly this harmonises with Behistūn; it brings this inscription into line with that inscription and the others. Isaiah proceeds,

"thus saith Yahveh: the labour of Egypt and the merchandise of Ethiopia and of the Sabeans, men of stature shall be thine, they shall come after thee; in chains shall they come and they shall fall down unto thee saying, Surely God is in thee" (if said not of Koresh but of Israel, this was yet said in direct consequence of his deliverance). And according to the inscriptions not only did the dwellers in Babīl, all Sumer and all Akkad, princes and potentates, fall down before Kūrash (Cyrus) but "all the kings of the heavenly regions (the four quarters) as well enthroned as they were in palaces, altogether from the upper sea (the Persian Gulf?), to the lower sea (the Mediterranean) the kings of the west lands dwelling in tents (Arab-like), all brought their heavy tribute and kissed my feet in Babīl from . . . to Ashur . . . and Shusan . . . to the cities on the other side of the Tigris." Then as to the actual restoration of foreign deities and the reinstatement of temple services which once sounded to some of us so strange when said of Cyrus and the Jewish Yahveh, read the words, "I brought back to their place (the Gods . . .) and made them dwell in an abode for ever". And as to the rebuilding of the sacred city, see the Backstein inscription. Eshakkil was a temple city, as it seems, and the inscription reads "Kū-ra-ash ba-ni-i(m) Eshakkil u Ē-zī-da apil mKambū-zi-ia sharru dannu a-na-ku. Kūrash the builder of E. son of K. the great King I."

And as to the return of captive tribes, if any one still hesitates at that, see the line: "All the inhabitants I collected and then restored to their dwellings". I cannot help noticing here what we would once term the "romantic" item, where Artaxerxes after fulsome commands for that restoration makes an appeal for himself that they may "offer sacrifice of sweet savour unto Elohīm of Heaven and pray for the life of the King and of his sons," reminding us also of Ahasuerus and the rest. Who has not at times thought this an especially feeble adjunct to the tale? yet it was one of the most sober of sober statements, connected with all that went before. "May the Gods," wrote Kūrash (Cyrus) after having comfortably restored them to their shrines,

"may all the Gods whom I have brought into their cities, just as Yahveh was restored, pray daily before Bel and Nabu for long life for me . . . and speak to my Lord Marduk for Kūrash the King who fears thee, and Kambuzi'a his son".

This was of course political, nevertheless, as I take it, Cyrus was a man of faith; he really believed these gods could help him. Once again as to "building," see a last isolated sentence, if it be correctly given, "I sought to make their habitation strong" (so Winckler and Hagen). If this refers to the demolished houses of the inhabitants, it refers to those of their gods as well.

The language of Ezra is justified, as I maintain, if language ever was. It states what must almost of necessity have happened. And not only was it not one of Cyrus's sudden points of policy, but it was so to speak, a steady business continued by his great, though not immediate, successor; as we see from Behistun (Weissbach and Bang, bk. i. 14).

The empire was as complex in its religious types as it was vast in its extent, and the amount of business entailed in administering it must have been phenomenal. Beyond question there existed what was practically a ministry of "public worship," and a part of its constant duty was to restore the edifices and to see to similar needs of distant loyal subjects.

So far then from the records of the Hebrew chroniclers being what they might seem at our first glance to be, an effect of childlike vanity or a device of anxious policy mendaciously put forth, to build temples proves to have been one of the very first as well as one of the most necessary occupations of a Persian emperor after victories. He attended to the reconstruction of cities and temples as a first point of humanity, after the desolation of defeat. And the order for the work was regularly "personal" in form, issued in the king's individual name. Everything is even egotistically exuberant in the terms upon the records. In fact the Biblical edicts are restrained examples. Not only had the potentates no scruples in rebuilding temples, whether to Yahveh or to

¹ So both Hagen and Shrader as against the first personal.

Marduk, but such scruples as they had were doubtless in the reverse direction. Nothing like the spirit of a Christian martyr could have found a place within the ideas of the Achæmenians, nor could they have understood the thing. Conscience, instead of urging them not to build for gods whom they otherwise ignored, led them to such acts.

This would be my first point, The inscriptions prove amply that the Persian government rebuilt the places of worship, as one of their first dictates of policy and honour. And if there had been no such passages as we find in Chronicles, Ezra and Isaiah, we should know from the inscriptions alone that Persian gold, if not Persian workmen, had helped on the labour when the House of Yahveh was built again at

Ierusalem upon the Return.

My second point is not so simple. But it is of interest, at least to the moralist. I have said that some of the inscriptions are of a character which "isolates them completely". And what has impressed itself upon my mind occurred to me first on recognising that the inscriptions of successors must afford a basis from which to estimate the general tone of those of predecessors, and vice versa. The character of public documents would not be so likely to change quickly in those days and in those places. And when religious sentiment becomes so very distinctly marked as that in the Persian inscriptions of Darius, it plainly shows that it owes its origin to a similar state of things which preceded it. In fact, the inscriptions of Darius give, as I believe, a fuller idea of the lost ones of Cyrus than the few inscriptions of Cyrus which survive. But most of these inscriptions of Darius are of a character, as I have said, which separates them totally from other documents of their period and nature, save only the Avesta. I refer of course to the strong expression of religious fervour. That is very remarkable; it is even more than remarkable. For occurring as it does in a public document, I maintain that it should not be regarded as the expression of mere personal feeling or conviction. But if it be a witness to more than individual sentiment, in fact to widespread, if not universal sentiment, it becomes evidence of a state of things of which we have had hitherto but little idea. The point is

that the moral earnestness which makes them what they are was individual only in a secondary sense.

It was indeed individual in a certain sense, and to a certain degree. Darius was, as I believe, genuinely and honestly a religious man after his fashion; but what he wrote for his sculptors to engrave expressed something more than a mere individual piety. The remarkable expressions were cut upon the rocks chiefly because they expressed the religious sentiment which prevailed in the central portions of the empire; and judging from the terms used, it was of a very deep and pervading character, as much so as the religious conviction of any other people, Israel scarcely excepted. The proof for this is that the expressions were stereotyped and expected; that is to say, largely so. Two signal parts of them recur in inscriptions 200 odd years apart, and in the same identical syllables. I greatly doubt whether Darius, if he had been alone in his religious experience or one of a small minority, would have expressed himself in the manner in which he did. What king of any period would post up his heart's emotions for the gaze of a multitude, unless he felt that he was speaking under his own name for the masses of his realm, as represented by their "elect"?

If his passionately expressed devotion to Auramazda was also the prevailing sentiment, he was officially religious, for the most part, when he dictated what he did. And that this was the fact is proved, as I maintain, by the iterations. Have we ever noticed in this light that the feeble Xerxes as well as the great Darius heads every leading sentence with an appeal to the same great God: "a great God is Auramazda who made this earth and yon heaven, who made man, and civilisation for him; through the gracious will of Auramazda is this portal built, . . . etc.".

Note that the very title to universal rule is derived from the fact that it is bestowed by a universal Creator. "Auramazda as he saw this earth in turmoil gave it over unto me; a great God is Auramazda who made this earth and heaven, etc., who made Darius king."

¹ So I prefer; others "who made happiness" (or the like).

So of each one: Xerxes, Artaxerxes I., Darius II., Artaxerxes Mnemon, on to Artaxerxes Ochus.

Notice that few of these Persians were so inconsiderate as to omit a word of courtesy to lesser deities, and to this I may return¹.

My point is that the inscriptions express a widely prevailing state of opinion and of feeling, and one which was profound. It possessed at times elements capable of effecting the "evangelical compunction".

But if it may be the fact that it existed, it seems to me to reveal potentialities which are great indeed. Fancy a vast Empire like a half of Europe pervaded, say, to two-thirds of its extent with a religious faith such as is revealed in the inscription and expanded in the Avesta; and that for generation after generation during several hundred years. Think of the religious interests of the innumerable persons to whom this faith was more than a state appendage. Think of the many millions of lives saved from crime or sloth by its holy precepts.² Think of the many cheered by its hope of heaven or curbed by its terrors.

Such a state of things seems actually to have prevailed. Even where the religions are of a greatly inferior type, professed with cynical levity within the enlightened circles, or accredited as a mass of magic formulæ to be used for the safety of the person, the acquisition of property and the subjugation of opponents, even there with the young and the impressible even a false form of religion will appeal to the better instincts. How much more should we be pleased to be able to believe that such vast multitudes of our fellow creatures had not only some form of religion to point their holier aspirations, but one which was lofty both in its elements and in its tone. Yet this is what was the case, if Darius was not only personally devout, but a representative of the religious feeling which was widely current in his land.

¹ It has incisive force to prove that the religion which the King expressed was "universal religion," and not a mere fervour for a ritual.

² "O man, leave not the right path, sin not," and the like.

Rabani Mauri de Institutione Clericorum Libri Tres.

Textum Recensuit Adnotationibus Criticis et Exegeticis Illustravit Introductionem Atque Indicem Addidit Dr. Aloisius Knæpfler, ss. Theologiae in Universitate Monacensi Professor P. O. Monachii 1900. Sumptibus Librariae Lentnerianae (E. Stahl, Jun.). 8vo, pp. xxix. + 300. Price 5s.

Is Christ Infallible and the Bible True?

By the Rev. Hugh MacIntosh, M.A., Author of "The Philosophy of the Gospel," "The Two Banners," "The New Prophets," etc. Edinburgh: T. & T. Clark, 1901. Post 8vo, pp. xxviii. + 680. Price 9s.

A NEW critical edition of the Institutio Clericorum of Rabanus Maurus forms the fifth number of the "Publications of the Munich Seminary for Church History," of which series the editor of the present treatise is the general editor. Rabanus Maurus, born at Mainz in 776, educated at the monastery of Fulda, and afterwards for about a year under the celebrated Alcuin at Tours, having received in due course deacon's and priest's orders, became abbot of his monastery in 822. Before his elevation to the presidency of the institution, Raban occupied the position of teacher or professor. To him the monks of Fulda were wont to go with all manner of questions about ecclesiastical matters, and persons, and duties, which he answered from the best authorities he could find, sometimes orally, sometimes in writing. In order that they might have all this valuable information brought together in a handy book of reference, the monks besought Raban to gather these separate answers together and methodise them in one compact treatise. The result of his compliance with this request is the Institutio Clericorum which he presented to Vol. XI.—No. 4.

Archbishop Haistulf on his visit to Fulda on 1st November, 810. The work is divided into three books, but the contents of each are rather promiscuous. The first book treats of the one Church and the three orders, of ecclesiastical persons and ecclesiastical dress, of baptism, confirmation, the Eucharist and the Mass according to the Roman ritual. The second book treats of the canonical hours of prayer, of the different kinds of prayers, of confession and penance, of fasting (in great detail) and of the Church festivals, of the Church service of song, of the Church lections or readings from the Old Testament and the New, of the Catholic faith and its opposite, the various heresies. The third book gives directions to priests and celebrants about the reading and the exposition of Holy Scripture, about the profane sciences and the use that Church teachers should make of them, and about the different methods that preachers and pastors should employ in dealing with different classes of people. His canon of Scripture is that of the Vulgate as usually adopted in the Romish Church. "These seventy-two books," he says, "are canonical, and therefore Moses chose seventy elders who should prophesy, and therefore Jesus, Our Lord, commanded seventy-two (?) disciples to preach." He then quotes from Isidore: "Since seventy-two languages are spread over this world, this Holy Spirit fittingly provides that there should be as many books as there are nations, by which peoples and races should be edified in obtaining the grace of faith". The fifty-fourth chapter of the second book gives an interesting account of the authorship of the canonical books and the Greek translations of the Old Testament. Regarding the Epistle to the Hebrews, Raban says that many Latin authors are doubtful as to its having been written by Paul on account of its style, and that some ascribe it to Barnabas, and others to Clement. Some, he says, regard the second and third Epistles of John to have been written by John the Presbyter, whose tomb, according to Jerome, was shown at Ephesus.

As to the character and quality of the work, Dr. Knoepfler describes it as a mere compilation, so much so that it might be called a plagiarism. Raban mentions in his dedication of

the work several older writers used by him as authorities, but gives no hint that he had incorporated their contents bodily in the wholesale fashion in which he has done it. editor shows how our author proceeded in his use of his sources. He suddenly turns away from one writer whom he has been copying and in the middle of a sentence introduce the words of another writer; then he passes from a later part of the work before him to an earlier part, or from one work of an author to another work of the same writer, often one sentence, sometimes several sentences, occasionally even whole pages are omitted and then brought in again at a subsequent place; long paragraphs are paraphrased with only the alteration of words here and there. Such procedure was not uncommon in Raban's time, and especially in satisfying his students on the points about which they asked information, we can understand that he was more anxious to give them what the best authorities had said than any literary elaboration of his own. The treatise thus produced is useful and reliable, but it is not a scientific work of any independent value.

The editor has done his work in a very scholarly and thorough fashion. He has diligently collated all the extant manuscripts, and has corrected the common text which, as used in the Seminary, he had found to be most seriously and extensively corrupt. His introductory account of Raban's life is extremely interesting; he gives a good account of the contents and character of the work; and he tells all that is required about the extant manuscripts and the various printed editions of the treatise. Four full and accurate indices of Scripture passages, authors, names and subjects add greatly to the value of this edition, which must be recognised by all students as the one best suited to their needs.

The author of this large volume is master of a popular style and treats his subject in a most comprehensive and thorough fashion. The title may at first sight seem somewhat sensational and better suited to a controversial pamphlet

f

than to a serious and scientific treatise. The book, however, is by no means an ephemeral production, but a careful and laborious examination of one of the most important questions that a Christian apologist has in these days to face, and the title chosen quite adequately describes the whole of the theme which occupies that treatise of the writer. The position taken up is by no means an extreme one, but it is stated very definitely. It is one of the excellent features of the work that the author knows exactly what he is to insist upon, and does not encumber himself by advancing untenable claims or by surrendering essential positions. He speaks strongly of the inconsistency and folly of those who wish to be regarded as Christian critics and yet take common ground with unbelieving critics by admitting "indefinite erroneousness" on the part of the Biblical record. But he does this only after he has convincingly and in detail shown how unnecessary and therefore indefensible such surrender is. Recently an Edinburgh journalist described as a muddleheaded sort of person one who seemed to think that the socalled higher criticism which regards the Bible as indefinitely erroneous leaves any gospel to preach in the ordinary sense of the term. Mr. MacIntosh shows good cause for entertaining a similar opinion, and expresses it in different, but not less emphatic language. Our author, however, has no wild, indiscriminate aversion to criticism. It is not criticism, but criticism run mad that he objects to. He takes his place modestly, but without misgiving, among the critics. Robertson Smith and Dr. Westcott are the two great teachers in whose school Mr. MacIntosh has grown up. He had studied under Dr. Robertson Smith at Aberdeen, and throughout his whole book he shows how profound the impression was which that great scholar, critic and theologian made upon him, and what a lasting influence the teaching has had upon his life. Without pinning his faith to all the critical findings of that great Hebraist, Mr. MacIntosh fearlessly proclaims his acceptance of Dr. Robertson Smith's doctrine of Scripture as representing the standpoint from which his work is written. As indicating this position he quotes two passages from the writings of his Professor. "If I thought that anything in my views impugned the truth or authority of the teaching of our Lord, I should feel myself on dangerous and untenable ground." "People now say that Scripture contains God's Word, when they mean that part of the Bible is the Word of God, and another part is the word of man. This is not the doctrine of our Churches, which holds that the substance of all Scripture is the Word of God. What is not part of the record of God's Word is no part of Scripture."

The work is divided into seven books: on Christ's Place in Theology, His Infallibility as a Teacher, the Bible Claim (preliminary proof and general proof), Rationalism of the Thesis of Indefinite Erroneousness, and finally a review of objections and résumé, giving the cumulative argument. An arrangement of this material under two or three main sections would have prevented a good deal of repetition which under the distribution adopted has been unavoidable. In the Introduction the author gives a most useful summary of the contents of these seven books. He notes how the controversy has changed from discussions about despicable trivialities to a deliberate questioning of the infallibility and divine authority of Christ as a teacher, and the reliability of Scripture as a record of the divine revelation. It is not with trivialities but with this great question that he deals.

In discussing the question of Christ's Infallibility as a teacher, Mr. MacIntosh criticises in succession Dr. John Watson, Dr. A. M. Fairbairn, and the members of the Ritschlian School. Dr. Watson proposes to make the Sermon on the Mount the basis of a new ethical ground on the ground of its simplicity and in view of the readiness with which mankind would agree to accept it. But besides the fact that it is evidently preliminary and preparatory to the rest of Christ's recorded teaching, few passages in the New Testament present so many difficulties, so that agnostics have pronounced it an impracticable programme. A very full and fair statement of the position taken up by Dr. Watson is given in the Mind of the Master. But our author's estimate of this writer

is not high. He speaks of him as "a theological free lance"; and of his work as "the light but clever, audacious but unveracious religious fiction". The examination of Dr. Fairbairn's Place of Christ in Modern Theology is done with care and with much detail. The book is described as his magnum opus, and as a work which brings us to "serious thinking". It is criticised, however, as being "a philosophy of religion rather than a purely scientific statement of the doctrines of the Christian Revelation". Attention should also be called to the pointed and telling criticism of the kenotic theory (pp. 238-266). Here we have the gist of the whole argument.

As to his general treatment of the subject, our author is quite prepared to accept the results of a scientific believing criticism. Infallibility and absolute truth belong not to the original texts as we possess them but to the autograph manuscripts, so that all the minutest researches of textual criticism are welcomed. The use of isolated proof passages is condemned and the principle of the analogy of faith is to be honestly carried out. Although the claim to absolute inaccuracy has never been proved untenable, as the author thinks, he declines to take up this position because it insists on more than actually needs to be proved. What he does claim is the simple truthfulness, trustworthiness and divine authority of Scripture. His book is a thorough, competent, up-to-date vindication of the position which it affirms.

JOHN MACPHERSON.

Notices.

Professor Henry Churchill King, of Oberlin Theological Seminary, publishes a sensible and useful book on Reconstruction in Theology.1 The author's object is to show that the "changed conditions of the intellectual, moral and spiritual world in which we live," make it necessary to contemplate a new constructive period in theology as imminent. He sketches in a concise and judicious way what these new conditions are, and endeavours to estimate the influence they should have on the statement of Christian doctrine. He writes with the conviction that these results of modern thought are not "revolutionary of anything that is vital to the highest Christianity, but rather tend toward a deeper appreciation of Christ's own point of view," and that "it ought to be possible in America and Great Britain to avoid the great breach between the Church and its membership, such as confronts Germany to-day". There are some good remarks on the value of the great creeds, the relation of theology to natural science, the question of how miracle is to be regarded in the light of the science of the day, the evidence for a larger dominant spiritual order, and the special bearing of the theory of evolution. A chapter is given to the consideration of the influence of the historical and literary criticism of the Bible, in which the gains accruing from these studies are summed up and reasons given for confidence in the final outcome.

The argument turns finally on the deepening sense of the value and sacredness of the person, and the influence of the recognition of Christ as the Supreme Person of history. The volume closes with a discussion of the way in which theology is to be stated in personal terms, and with the

¹ New York: The Macmillan Company, 1901. Cr. 8vo, pp. xiii. + 257. Price 6s.

application of that principle to the conception of Christ. "To see that Christ is in His very being," says our author, "a personal revelation of God, is to put our whole thought of His significance and uniqueness in a somewhat different light." He points out that, while the considerations which weigh most with us to-day in the statement of Christ's uniqueness do not exclude metaphysical questions, they "are all in the realm of the personal rather than the metaphysical". When we ask who Christ is and what He means with regard to God and ourselves, "we find ourselves instinctively led to a series of propositions as a basis of our belief in His real divinity all of which concern His character and personal relations". In elaborating this, Professor King is in sympathy and general agreement with Herrmann and the better Ritschlians. His book contains much that is valuable and suggestive.

Among the numerous publications which have dealt with the Synoptic Gospels 1 during the last two or three years, President Cary's volume has a place and a value of its own. It belongs to the series of "International Hand-books to the New Testament," edited by Dr. Orello Cone. The book is written with ability, fairness and commendable modesty, although it is by no means free of mistakes. Its errors in statements of matters of fact are only occasional, but they are sometimes surprising and sometimes irritating. Who is meant by the "monk," Theodore Beza, who presented Codex D to the University of Cambridge? The absence of any index of subjects (there is a text index) is a very serious want. There is no display of erudition in the book, but it is scholarly throughout. Much space is given to a synoptical treatment of the contents of the three gospels with comments on the matter. This is done in a somewhat peculiar way, working up together the questions which are usually referred

¹ The Synoptic Gospels, together with a chapter on the Text-Criticism of the New Testament. By George Lovell Cary, A.M., L.H.D., President of Meadville Theological School. New York and London: Putnam's Sons, 1900. Cr. 8vo, pp. xxxiv. + 375. Price 7s. 6d.

to Introduction or Prolegomena with those which belong to commentary proper. The notes are often very informing, and the statement of the Synoptic Problem itself is an excellent bit of work. The series aims at "freedom from dogmatic prepossessions". This volume applies that freedom to all the incidents in the evangelical histories which touch or imply the miraculous. Much labour and ingenuity are spent in the attempt to find some other explanation of these things than what lies upon the surface of the narrative. It is in this direction that the standpoint of the writer is most pronounced and his efforts least successful. In other respects it contains much that will be helpful to the student, and makes a good repertory of information. In an appendix it deals briefly with a number of special topics: the Messianic Hope, quotations from the Old Testament, the Herod Family, Scribes, Pharisees, Sadducees, and Essenes, the Synagogue, Demoniacal Possession, the Son of Man, etc. With regard to the last, the writer concludes that our Lord did not adopt the term "the Son of Man" as a Messianic title, but intended to announce himself as a prophet, sent to warn the people of the danger which threatened them if they did not turn from their evil ways.

Professor Peabody's Jesus Christ and the Social Question is a book that should attract attention. It is one of the best contributions recently made to the study of the great social questions of the day and to their solution in the light of Christ's teaching. Professor Peabody has given much earnest thought to these problems, and he is anxious that the Church should not miss her opportunity or fail in her mission with regard to them. He is acutely alive to the danger of any aloofness on the part of the Christian ministry from such things, and says much that should at once enlighten the Christian teachers of the people on the situation, and save them from getting out of touch with the vast mass of common human

¹ An Examination of the Teaching of Jesus in its Relation to some of the Problems of Modern Social Life. By Francis Greenwood Peabody, Plummer Professor of Christian Morals in the Harvard University. New York: The Macmillan Company, 1900. Cr. 8vo, pp. 374. Price 6s.

feeling and aspiration which has its centre in questions of social order, right and well-being. He writes in a discreet and reverent spirit of the home, the distribution of wealth, the organisation of labour, and kindred topics. Beginning with the constitution of the family as the first relationship of human life, he proceeds to the idea of the community with its inequalities of social condition and to the industrial order. He investigates the problems connected with the various states of life in the light of Christ's words, expounding the social principles of His teaching in their application to the family, the rich, the care of the poor, the industrial order, etc. He points out with much force and clearness how far removed from actual fact is the view of Christ and His teaching on these subjects which is given by the ordinary socialist or labour leader. He shows how our Lord looks at all the sore problems of human life from above, acts on the individual with a view to their relief, works on humanity from within, and sets before men a social order or kingdom. There are some doubtful positions in the book, as when it finds, e.g., two different traditions in the gospels on the subject of Christ's teaching on riches. But it is a serious, intelligent, reverent, hopeful, and stimulating study of some of the most urgent questions of our time.

Professor Saintsbury is to be congratulated on the completion of the first part of what may be the chief effort of his active pen. He has peculiar qualifications for such a task as the writing of a History of Criticism and Literary Taste, and we are glad to possess the first of three volumes which he proposes to devote to a subject of such interest and importance. The production of this volume must have cost him immense labour. The amount of reading, in many cases far from entertaining in kind, which is represented

¹ A History of Criticism and Literary Taste in Europe from the Earliest Texts to the Present Day. By George Saintsbury, M.A. Oxon.; Hon. LL.D. Aberd.; Professor of Rhetoric and English Literature in the University of Edinburgh. In three volumes. Vol. I.: Classical and Mediæval Criticism. Edinburgh and London: Blackwood & Sons, 1900. 8vo, pp. xiv. + 499. Price 16s. net.

here is enormous. It must have cost Professor Saintsbury many a weary hour. But he has left nothing undone in this line of equipment to make his book worthy of its subject. On every page there is evidence of the extent and thoroughness of his acquaintance with his sources and his authorities. If he had accomplished nothing else than the digests which he has made for us of a multitude of books relating to his immense theme we should owe him much. But he has done much more than that. He has attempted to show us the lines of principle applicable to his subject, the reasons for the preference of one style rather than another, the kind of literature that deserves to hold the mind, and the secrets of the charm it carries with it. In so doing he brings much into view that should instruct appreciation, purify taste, and elevate and enlighten our enjoyment of literature.

In the present volume Professor Saintsbury traverses the wide field of classical and mediæval criticism. The second volume will carry on the story from the Renaissance to the death of eighteenth century Classicism, and the third will be occupied with modern criticism. The part now before us is arranged in three books of which the first deals with Greek Criticism, the second with Latin Criticism, and the third with Mediæval Criticism. Each of these books is broken up into a series of chapters of convenient size and full of detail, which carry the story forward in the natural succession of its Aristotle, Quintilian and Dante have each, as befits their importance, a chapter to himself. Dionysius of Halicarnassus, Plutarch, Lucian, Cicero, Horace, Seneca, Varro have also considerable space given them. But the minor figures and the least known writings relevant to the history also receive careful and sufficient notice. The mass of matter to which the reader is thus introduced is very large. Much of it is of general interest and permanent value. Not a little of it will be new or next to new to all but a very few experts, and it is well worth having.

The author defines the criticism which he has in view as "that function of the judgment which busies itself with the goodness or badness, the success or ill-success of literature

364

from the purely literary point of view". He takes little to do with philosophical ideas—with the more transcendental æsthetic, theories of beauty, of artistic pleasure, and the like. He excludes from his scope also the kind of criticism which, under the name of the higher criticism, has been applied to the classics and to the Scriptures. He limits himself to the criticism or modified rhetoric which is "pretty much the same thing as the reasoned exercise of literary taste—the attempt, by the examination of literature, to find out what it is that makes literature pleasant, and therefore good—the discovery, classification, and as far as possible tracing to their sources, of the qualities of poetry and prose, of style and metre, the classification of literary kinds, the examination and 'proving,' as arms are proved, of literary means and weapons, not neglecting the observation of literary fashions and the like." This is his explanation of what he proposes to do. He takes the pleasant and the good to be one and the same thing in literature. The pleasure which it yields is the great end of literary art. In writing the history of literary taste with this idea in view, he follows the a posteriori method. does not busy himself with what men ought to have admired, or written, or thought, but with what they have admired, and written, and thought. He seeks at the same time to understand the why of these preferences and admirations, and to instruct us thereby. We have, therefore, vastly more than a mere dictionary and chronology of literary effort and literary success. We get much to enlighten, and interest, and instruct, yet we do not seem to get more in the way of ultimate reason and explanation on the subject of literary taste than the aurem tuam interroga of Valerius Probus. Criticism is to judge literature from the "purely literary point of view". That point of view is declared to be one which looks to the form, not the content of literature. The "ultimate and real test of literary excellence" is taken to lie in the "expression, not in the meaning". But we do not learn how the pleasant and the good come to be one in literature, whether literature gives pleasure because it is good or how else, or where the standard of the pleasant and therefore of the good is to be

found—whether in my sense of the pleasant, or in my neighbour's, or in the corporate sense of the larger number.

There are faults in style which sometimes surprise us in this book, and there are literary judgments here and there which provoke challenge. But these are small matters. The volume is full of good things, and deserves our most cordial thanks. Among the best things in it are the estimates of Aristophanes, Aristotle's Rhetoric and Poetics, Longinus on the Sublime, Lucian's position, Quintilian's Institutes, Dante and the De vulgari eloquio. We shall look with eager expectation for the continuation of the work and above all for the author's treatment of the history of the criticism of the last century.

Professor George Lansing Raymond, L.H.D., of Princeton University, constructs a system of Comparative Æsthetics in seven volumes. We have before us the volume which reckons second in the series, though it has been published later than others. Its subject is The Representative Significance of Form.1 Other volumes discuss Art in Theory: Poetry as a Representative Art; Painting, Sculpture and Architecture as Representative Arts; the Genesis of Art-Form; Rhythm and Harmony in Poetry and Music; Proportion and Harmony of Line and Color in Painting, Sculpture and Architecture. Professor Raymond's system, therefore, embraces inquiries of wide scope and varied interest. The present volume, which completes the series, touches on many questions of great importance and no little difficultyquestions which carry us into the region of philosophy as well as into that of taste, regarding the nature of truth, the mental and material conditions preceding the recognition of truth, the respects in which religious, scientific and artistic conceptions differ, the sub-conscious and conscious influences found in all intellection, etc. On these, Professor Raymond has views of his own which he sets forth at length and under different points of view. It is not every reader that will be able to rise with him into these high regions. But the book

¹ New York and London: Putnam's Sons, 1900. 8vo, pp. xxv. + 514.

contains many interesting remarks on subjects in which it is easier to follow him—on wit and humour, sarcasm and burlesque, the various forms of the incongruous in the droll, the jocular, the ludicrous, etc. He has also some good criticisms of Emerson and the New England Transcendentalists, Wagner's tendency to emphasise unity by subordinating melody to harmony, the conception at the basis of Wordsworth's Excursion, etc. The discussions are relieved by numerous quotations and by apposite instances drawn from notable poets, artists and men of genius.

A volume on The Incarnation 1 is contributed by the Rev. H. V. S. Eck, M.A. St. Andrew's, Bethnal Green, to the "Oxford Library of Practical Theology" which is edited by Canon Newbolt and Principal Darwell Stone. The subject is treated in a way to meet the needs of devout, inquiring laymen. In a series of lucidly written chapters, in which the technicalities of theology and the display of learning are avoided, the teaching of the New Testament on our Lord's Godhead and Manhood is set forth, the place held by the doctrine of the Incarnation in the Ante-Nicene period is explained, and its gradual formulation in the great Councils is stated. The relations of the Incarnation to the Atonement, the Holy Eucharist, and common life, are expounded, and there are also some good notes on the genealogies, the heresies of the first three centuries, the communicatio idiomatum, and other topics. There are some considerable deficiencies in the book. The question of our Lord's Godhead is considered before that of His Manhood. It would have been much better to reverse the order and come to his Divine nature through the portal of His perfect humanity. No sufficient account is given of the kenotic doctrine, nor does Mr. Eck seem to appreciate the purpose that inspires the best forms of that doctrine. His position is strictly conservative. He follows implicitly Pearson and Hooker and keeps by Athanasius and Leo. His volume has the good qualities of caution and reverence, and while it is entirely orthodox it does not

¹London: Longmans, Green & Co., 1901. Cr. 8vo, pp. x. + 288. Price 5s.

assume a severely exclusive attitude to those who are unable in all points to keep within the old lines. It also recognises the fact that "we may be unable to draw up clearly cut and sharply defined statements about the relation between the Divine Person of our Lord and His human nature which may account in detail for all the conditions of His earthly life". It is in short a good book within its limits, and answers well the object which the series to which it belongs has in view.

Under the title of The Century Bible, Messrs. T. C. and E. C. Jack, of Edinburgh, have projected a new series of commentaries on the New Testament books. Three volumes are already to hand: St. Matthew,1 by Professor W. F. Slater, of Didsbury College; St. Luke, by Professor Walter F. Adeney, of New College, London; and St. John,3 by the Rev. J. A. M'Clymont, D.D., Aberdeen. The idea of the series is to give an edition of the books of the Bible upon the same plan as is adopted for standard editions of the great classics. Concise introductions are furnished for the several writings. Brief, pointed notes are provided, dealing with the real difficulties or obscurities of the text, but abstaining from all hortatory or homiletical application. The text of the Authorised version is given, arranged in paragraphs and with marginal headings. The Revised version, however, forms the real text of the edition, and it is to it that the annotations are attached. The volumes are of moderate size and handy form. In type and binding it would be difficult to desire anything better. The whole form is most tasteful and attractive—such work, indeed, as only the Oxford University Press can turn out. The contents also very fairly fulfil the reader's expectation and answer the idea of the series. The notes on St. Matthew are in some cases disappointing, and fail to satisfy the inquirer. But taking the three volumes as a whole we may safely say of them that they make a good beginning of

¹ Pp. 332. Price 2s. net, cloth; 3s. net, leather.

² Pp. 404. Price 2s. net, cloth; 3s. net, leather.

³ Pp. 352. Price 2s. net, cloth; 3s. net, leather.

the series. The Introductions are all well done, in giving a remarkably compact and clear statement of the present position of the questions dealt with. The account given by the editor of St. Luke of the characteristics of the third Gospel, its historical relations, its authorship, etc., is particularly interesting. The Introduction to St. John presents a well-balanced statement of the Johannine problem as it stands now. The whole series is under the competent editorship of Professor Adeney. It promises to be of much use.

The Methodist Review for May-June contains some good papers, among which we call attention to one by Bishop Hurst, of Washington, on "The Counter-Reformation," and one by H. G. Simpson, of Los Angeles, on "The Music of the Bible". Mr. C. C. Starbuck, of Andover, writes instructively and entertainingly on "Miscellaneous Protestant Blunders," dealing specially with misconceptions on the Romish ideas of the validity of orders, the operation of grace, Protestant marriages, etc.

In the Biblical World for May, President Harper continues his able series of "Constructive Studies in the Priestly Element in the Old Testament," dealing with the history of worship in the later period, i.e., in the period of Judaism, and concluding his sketch of the development of Israel's worship.

We have to notice also a strong Defence of the King's Protestant Declaration, by Walter Walsh, dedicated to Colonel Sandys, Chairman of the Imperial Protestant Federation, and already in its fifteenth thousand; The Spiritual Experience of St. Paul, a collection of brief devotional papers by Principal J. T. L. Maggs, of the Wesleyan Theological College, Montreal—careful and reverent studies of important passages in the great Apostle's life, of Christ and the speculative inquirer, the devotion of Thomas, etc.—making an admirable addition to the tasteful "Helps

¹ London: Swan Sonnenschein & Co. 8vo, pp. 58. Price 1s.

² London: C. H. Kelly, 1901. Demy 8vo, pp. 227. Price 1s.

Heavenward" Series; the fourth instalment of the new edition of Professor W. Windelband's important Geschichte der Philosophie, completing the second section—thoroughly revised, enlarged, and made even more serviceable than before: a tractate by Professor Johannes Kunze on Die Herrlichkeit Jesu Christi nach den drei ersten Evangelien 2-a small publication, but one that will repay careful study; a pamphlet by Professor W. Baldensperger, of Giessen, on a subject on which he has peculiar claims to be heard, Das spätere Judenthum als Vorstufe des Christenthums³—a clear, compact, and instructive sketch, specially informing on the Messianic and eschatological ideas; an elaborate study by Dr. Karl Holl, of Berlin, under the title of Enthusiasmus und Bussgewalt beim griechischen Mönchthum,4 into which he was led by his studies in connection with his Sacra parallela, and in which he deals in particular with the little known Symeon, "the new theologian," held to be author of the Epistola de Confessione ascribed to John of Damascus—a volume containing the results of extensive research and giving much interesting matter on the life and theology of its subject; a new edition of Professor Bernhard Weiss's valuable commentary on the Epistles of John, in Meyer's Kritisch-Exegetischer Kommentar-in which the exegetical matter has been carefully worked over anew; a pamphlet by Hans Weichelt, entitled Der Moderne Mensch und das Christentum 6-containing acute criticisms of Nietsche

¹ Zweite Abtheilung. Tübingen und Leipzig: J. C. B. Mohr; London: Williams & Norgate, 1900. 8vo, pp. viii. + 513-571. Price M.3.

² Leipzig: Dörffling & Franke; London: Williams & Norgate, 1901. Cr. 8vo, pp. 33. Price M.o.5o.

 ³ Giessen: Ricker; London: Williams & Norgate, 1900. 8vo, pp.
 30. Price 9d. net.

⁴ Leipzig: Hinrichs. 8vo, pp. vi. + 332. Price M.10.

⁵ Die drei Briefe des Apostel Johannes. Von der 5. Auflage an neu bearbeitet von Dr. Bernard Weiss. Göttingen: Vandenhoeck u. Ruprecht; London: Williams & Norgate, 1900. 8vo, pp. 195. Price 3s. 6d.

⁶ Tübingen und Leipzig: J. C. B. Mohr; London: Williams & Norgate, 1901. 8vo, pp. 34. Price 9d. net.

and his mission; a third and thoroughly revised edition of the first part of Holtzmann's Die Synoptiker 1-containing the introduction to the synoptical gospels and the exposition of Mark, one of the most valuable sections of the Hand-Commentar zum Neuen Testament; a careful catalogue of Theological and Semitic Literature for the Year 1900,2 prepared by W. Muss-Arnolt, and forming a supplement to the American Journal of Theology and the American Journal of Semitic Languages and Literature—a publication for which the compiler will have the gratitude of many scholars; the second part of "Cornell Studies in Philosophy," in which Mr. Hervey De Witt Griswold gives, under the title of Bráhman,3 a very good "Study in the History of Indian Philosophy," dealing chiefly with the history of the word Bráhman, the growth of the monastic conception, the doctrine of the Upanishads, and the theology of Cankarâcárya; a very good translation, by the Rev. G. H. Box, M.A., Hebrew master at Merchant Taylors' School, London, of Professor Gustav Dalman's interesting essay on Christianity and Judaism.4

We notice further a new and abridged edition of The Life of Edward White Benson, sometime Archbishop of Canterbury, 5 a worthy and well-written tribute by a son to an eminent father, a fitting memorial of one who had many graces of character and filled a high position in the English Church with distinction and with honour, a book which should have a circulation in this new form within circles into which it could not penetrate in the larger and more costly issue; a carefully constructed and very useful statement and criticism

¹ Erster Band. Dritte, gänzlich umgearbeitete Auflage. Erste Abtheilung. Die Synoptiker bearbeitet von H. J. Holtzmann. Erste Hälfte. Tübingen u. Leipzig: J. C. B. Mohr; London: Williams & Norgate, 1901. 8vo, pp. 184. Price of complete volume, M.6.

² Chicago: The University of Chicago Press, 1901. Pp. 108.

³ New York: The Macmillan Company, 1900. 8vo, pp. 89.

⁴ London: Williams & Norgate. Pp. 64. Price 1s.

⁵ By his son Arthur Christopher Benson of Eton College. London: Macmillan & Co., 1901. Cr. 8vo, pp. xvi. + 601. Price 8s. 6d. net.

of Rothe's Speculative System 1 by H. J. Holtzmann, giving a remarkably lucid and connected account of the Heidelberg theologian's principles and the main points in his view of the world, his doctrines of Sin, Redemption, Church, State, and the Last Things, and also of his Theory of Morals—a valuable book which will be of great use to those who wish to understand the system of one of the greatest theological geniuses of the nineteenth century; two small volumes on Palestine in Geography and in History,2 by Arthur William Cooke, M.A., which give in very good style, and on the basis of the best authorities, an account of Palestine as a whole, Western Palestine, and Eastern Palestine, illustrated by excellent maps, and presenting the most interesting points regarding the inhabitants, the principal divisions and historical sites, the lake, the plain of Esdraelon, the villages and towns with the historical events connected with them, altogether a readable, useful and attractive hand-book; The Crossbearer,3 a series of extracts from Matthew's Gospel, translated, analysed and explained in a brief commentary by Mr. J. N. Farguhar, M.A., of the London Missionary Society's College, Calcutta. admirably adapted to do what it is meant for, viz., to provide an "intelligible and trustworthy introduction to the study of the life and teaching of Jesus for educated Indians"; a new and cheaper edition of the Rev. C. Callow's A History of the Origin and Development of the Creeds 4—a good and useful book, written with a special view to the needs of students in theology preparing to enter the ministry of the Church of England; a revised edition of Professor Mitchell's Amos,⁵

¹R. Rothe's Speculatives System. Dargestellt und beurtherlt von H. J. Holtzmann. Freiburg i. B.: J. C. B. Mohr; London: Williams & Norgate. 8vo, pp. xii. + 269. Price M.5.6o.

² London: C. H. Kelly, 1901. Small cr. 8vo, pp. vii. + 196 and 254. Price 2s. 6d. each.

³ Calcutta: Methodist Publishing House, 1900. 8vo, pp. 140.

⁴ London: Elliot Stock, 1900. Cr. 8vo, pp. vi. + 236.

⁵ Amos, an Essay in Exegesis. By H. G. Mitchell, Professor in Boston University. Boston and New York: Houghton, Mifflin & Co. Cr. 8vo, pp. iv. + 211. Price \$1.50.

a scholarly and serviceable treatise which deserves the success it has had, and in this carefully revised issue (in which the author changes his view of the dates of Joel and Obadiah, placing them now not before Amos but much later), should secure still larger acceptance; a short treatise by W. Kelly on The Preaching to the Spirits in Prison, a vigorous and earnest argument, the point of which is that "there is no ground in the passage for any action of Christ in the intermediate state for saints or sinners, nothing to hold out a hope for those who die in unbelief and their sins"; a profitable and well written volume of sermons by H. A. D. on The Victory that Overcometh; 2 Old and New Certainty of the Gospel, a Sketch, as it is described, by the Rev. Alexander Robinson, formerly of Kilmun, re-affirming in a more conciliatory way the general position advocated in his former book-aimed against what the author calls "Liberalism," and making a fresh endeavour to set the Gospel in "the newer light"; The Assyrian Monuments illustrating the Sermons of Isaiah, by Max Kellner, D.D., Professor of the Old Testament Languages in the Episcopal School in Cambridge, Lecturer in Harvard University—a handsome publication, throwing light upon some important passages in Isaiah, carefully executed and embellished with numerous half-tone and line-cut reproductions; The Ancient Scriptures and the Modern Iew,5 by David Baron, a volume written with the most earnest conviction and with a strong faith in the Divine Promises, not particularly critical in its ideas of Old Testament exegesis, but giving much varied and curious information on the condition, divisions, sects and aspirations of modern Jews, on the Zionist Movement, Anglo-Israelism, the Iewish Colonies, etc., unfolding a peculiar theory also of the

¹ London: T. Weston. Cr. 8vo, pp. 139.

² London: Elliot Stock. Cr. 8vo, pp. 133. Price 3s. 6d.

³ London: Williams & Norgate, 1900. Cr. 8vo, pp. 165. Price 2s. 6d.

⁴ Boston: Damrell & Upham, 1900. Large 8vo, pp. 24. Price 50 cents.

⁵ London: Hodder & Stoughton. Cr. 8vo, pp. xi. + 342. Price 6s.

Urim and Thummim, and containing much else that is of interest; two additions to the attractive "Famous Scots" series, The Academic Gregories, by Agnes Grainger Stewart, and David Livingstone, by T. Banks Maclachlan—both worthy of a good place in the series, full of matter which (especially in the case of the volume on the Gregories) has cost some pains to collect and put together, and giving a vivid and thoroughly readable account of their several subjects.

¹ Edinburgh: Oliphant, Anderson & Ferrier. Pp. 160. Price 1s. 6d. net.

² Edinburgh: Oliphant, Anderson & Ferrier. Pp. 157. Price 1s. 6d. net.

Record of Select Literature.

I.—OLD TESTAMENT.

- DAY, E. Social Life of the Hebrews. New York: Scribner. 12mo, pp. viii. + 255. \$.1.25.
- HAGUE, G. Some Practical Studies in the History and Biography of the Old Testament. (Genesis to Deuteronomy.) New York: Revell. 8vo, pp. 546. \$.2.
- HERING, F. Die homiletische Behandlung des Alten Testaments. Grundsätze u. krit. Betrachtgn. Leipzig: Deichert. 8vo, pp. 168. M.2.50.
- POERTNER, B. Das biblische Paradies. Eine exeget. Studie. Mainz: F. Kirchheim. 8vo, pp. 36. M. 0.70.
- Schiefer, F. W. Die religiösen u. ethischen Anschauungen des iv. Ezrabuches im Zusammenhang dargestellt. Ein Beitrag zur jüd. Religionsgeschichte. Leipzig: Dörffling u. Franke. 8vo, pp. vii. + 76. M.1.20.
- BATTEN, L. W. The Old Testament from the modern point of view. New York: E. S. Gorham. 12mo, pp. 340. M.1.50.
- TRAMPE, E. Syrien vor dem Eindringen der Israeliten II. (Studien zu den Thontafeln v. Tell-el-Amarna.) Berlin: R. Gaertner. 4to, pp. 29. M.I.
- Deutsch, I. Die Regierungszeit der judäischen Königin Salome Alexandra u. die Wirksamkeit des Rabbi Simon ben Schetach. Eine geschichtl. Untersuchg. Frankfort on Maine: J. Kauffmann. 8vo, pp. 45. M.I.
- GREEN, Samuel G. A Handbook to Old Testament Hebrew. Containing an Elementary Grammar of the Language With Reading Lessons, Notes on many Scripture Passages, and Copious Exercises. London: Rel. Tract Society. 8vo, pp. 332. 10s. 6d.

- ABBOTT, Lyman. The Life and Literature of the Ancient Hebrews. London: J. Clarke. Cr. 8vo, pp. 422. 6s.
- HARTMANN, D. Das Buch Ruth in der Midrasch-Litteratur. Ein Beitrag zur Geschichte der Bibelexegese. Frankfort on Maine: J. Kauffmann. 8vo, pp. xiv. + 100. M.4.
- GUTHE, H. The Books of Ezra and Nehemiah. Critical edition of the Hebrew text printed in colours, exhibiting the composite structure of the books. With notes. English translation of the notes by B. W. Bacon and D. B. Macdonald. With additions by L. W. Batten. Part 19. Leipzig: Hinrichs. Lex. 8, pp. 70. M.6.
- Spurling, Rev. F. W. The Book of Joshua, with Introduction, Notes and Map. (Books of the Bible.) London: Rivingtons. 12mo, pp. 128. 1s. 6d.
- DE Moor, Abbé. Essai critique sur le Livre des Juges. Bruxelles: Schepens. 8vo, pp. 32. Fr.o.75.

OLD TESTAMENT ARTICLES.

- Loisy, Alfred. Les Mythes babyloniens et les premiers Chapitres de la Genèse: 3. L'Organisation du Monde; 4. La Creation de l'Homme et sa Destinée. Revue d'Histoire et de Littérature religieuses, iv., 3.
- MERCIER, C. Les Tablettes de Tell-el-Amarna. Lib. Chrét., 2, 1901.
- GUNKEL. Die beiden Hagargeschichten I Mose 16 u. 21, 8 ff. als Beispiel histor.-theol. Erklärung der Genesis. Christl. Welt., 7, 1901.
- BUDDE, K. Die ursprüngliche Bedeutung der Lade Jahwe's. Z. f. Alttest. Wiss., 1, 1901.
- PATON, L. B. Notes on Zechariah. Journ. of Bibl. Lit., vol. xviii., I u. 2.
- HAUPT, P. Babylonian Elements in the Levitic Ritual. Journ. of Bibl. Lit., vol. xix., 1.
- Guibert, M. Le Saint Esprit dans l'ancien Testament. Bulletin de Littérature ecclésiastique, March 1901.
- WALKER, D. A. The Levitical Priesthood, a study in social development. Journ, of Bibl. Lit., vol. xix., I,

LUTHER, B. Die Israelitischen Stämme. Z. f. Alttest. Wiss., 1, 1901.

PLATH, M. Zum Buche Tobit. Th. Stud. u. Krit., 3, 1901. HOONACKER, A. van. Notes sur l'Histoire de la Restauration juive après l'Exil de Babylone. Rev. Bibl. Int., 1, 1901.

LEVENE, Moses. Yehudah Hakodesh, Compiler of the Mishna. Babylonian and Oriental Record, ix., 2.

II.-NEW TESTAMENT.

HOLTZMANN, H. J. Die Synoptiker. 1. Hälfte. Die Einleitg. zu den Synopt. Evangelien u. d. Evangelium nach Marcus. Pp. 184. 1st part complete, M.6.

BELSER, J. Einleitung in das Neue Testament. Freib. i.

B.: Herder. 8vo, pp. viii. + 852. M.12.

MATHIOT, C. Études sur les Citations de l'ancien Testament dans l'épître de St. Paul aux Romains. Cahors: Coueslant. 8vo, pp. 84.

RÉVILLE, J. Le quatrième Évangile. Son Origine et sa Valeur historique. Suite. (v. T. L. Z., 1900, 10.)

Paris: Leroux. 8vo, pp. 117 à 344.

SLATER, Prof. W. F. St. Matthew: Introduction, Authorised Version, Revised Version, with Notes, Index and Maps. (Century Bible.) Edinburgh: T. C. & E. C. Jack. 18mo, pp. 332. 2s. net.

Hall, Thomas Cumming. The Messages of Jesus according to the Synoptists. The Discourses of Jesus in the Gospels of Matthew, Mark and Luke. Arranged as far as feasible in the order of time, and freely rendered in paraphrase. (Messages of the Bible.) London: J. Clarke. Roy. 16mo, pp. 262. 3s. 6d.

Abbott, Edwin A. The Corrections of Mark adopted by Matthew and Luke. Diatessarica. Part 2. London:

Black. Roy. 8vo, pp. 356. 15s. net.

MILLARD, F. L. H. Handbook to the Gospel according to St. Mark. For the use of Teachers and Students. London: Rivingtons. Cr. 8vo, pp. 284. 2s. 6d.

- Peloubert, F. N. The Teacher's Commentary on the Gospel according to St. Matthew. London: Clarendon Press. 8vo, pp. 414. 5s.
- ADENEY, Walter F. W. St. Luke: Introduction, Authorised Version, Revised Version, with Notes, Index, and Maps. (Century Bible.) Edinburgh: T. C. & E. C. Jack. Cr. 8vo, pp. 404. 2s. net.
- PREUSCHEN, E. Antilegomena. Die Reste der ausserkanon. Evangelien u. urchristl. Ueberliefrgn., hrsg. u. übers. Giessen: J. Ricker. 8vo, pp. viii. + 175 M.3.
- Sinowitz, M. W. Licht u. Wahrheit üb. Jesus Christus. Eine Offenbarg. üb. die Unterschiebg. des Neuen Testaments u. der Kirchengeschichten durch die Schriftgelehrten des Talmud. 1. Thl. Zürich: C. Schmidt in Komm. 8vo, pp. iii. + 167. M.2.40.
- ZAHN, Th. Athanasius u. der Bibelkanon. Leipzig: Deichert. 8vo, pp. 36. M.I.
- HARNACK, A. Probleme im Texte der Leidensgeschichte Jesu. (Aus Sitzungsber. d. preuss. Ak. d. Wiss.) Berlin: G. Reimer im Komm. 8vo, pp. 16. M.o.5o.
- HALL, T. C. The Messages of Jesus according to the Synoptists. New York: Scribner. Pp. xvii. + 244. \$1.25.
- FULFORD, H. W. General Epistle of St. James explained. London: Methuen. 8vo, pp. 144. 1s. 6d.
- Petit, J. A. L'Apocalypse expliquée. Paris: Chamuel et Co. 18mo, pp. 101.
- Desjardins, G. Authenticité et date des livres du Nouveau Testament. Paris: Lethielleux. 8vo, pp. 220. Fr.4.
- M'CLYMONT, Rev. J. A. St. John: Introduction, Authorised Version, Revised Version, with Notes, Index and Maps. (Century Bible.) Edinburgh: T. C. & E. C. Jack. Pp. 352. 2s. net.

NEW TESTAMENT ARTICLES.

PFLEIDERER, O. Neue Lösungsversuche zur Johanneischen Frage. Protest. Monatsh., 5, 1901.

Boscawen, W. St. C. The Egyptian Element in the Apocalypse. Babylonian and Oriental Record, ix., 3.

MOFFATT, Rev. James. The Autonomy of Jesus: A Study in the Fourth Gospel. Expositor, July 1901.

SELWYN, Rev. E. C. The Christian Prophets at Philippi. Expositor, July 1901.

FINDLAY, Prof. G. G. Christ's Name for the Holy Spirit. Expository Times, July 1901.

KENNEDY, Rev. H. A. A. Recent Research in the Language of the New Testament. Expository Times, July 1901.

HAWKINS, Rev. Sir John. The Arrangement of Materials in St. Matthew viii.-ix. Expository Times, July 1901.

III.—HISTORICAL.

Loofs, F. Grundlinien der Kirchengeschichte in der Form v. Dispositionen f. seine Vorlesungen. Halle: M. Niemeyer. 8vo, pp. 319. M.3.

Boyon, J. Jésus et l'Église des premiers jours. Lausanne:

Bridel & Co. 8vo, pp. 281.

Lisco, H. Roma peregrina. Ein Rückblick üb. die Entwickelg. des Christentums in den ersten Jahrhunderten. Berlin: F. Schneider & Co. 8vo, pp. vii. + 365. Mit 1 Karte. M.9.

HOLTZMANN, O. Leben Jesu. Tübingen u. Leipzig: J.

C. B. Mohr. 8vo, pp. xvi. + 428. M.7.60.

Hamilton, J. T. A History of the Church known as the Moravian Church; or, the Unitas Fratrum; or, the Unity of the Brethren, during the eighteenth and nineteenth century. Bethlehem, Pa.: Times Publish. Co. 8vo, pp. xi. + 631. \$.2.50.

Bourgain, L. L'Église de France et l'État au xixe Siècle.

2 vols. Paris: Téqui. 16mo, pp. 368, 368.

GROSSE, A. Der Romanus legatus nach der Auffassung Gregors vii. Diff.: Halle. 8vo, pp. 62.

HACKETT, J. History of the Orthodox Church of Cyprus from coming of Apostles Paul and Barnabas to commencement of British occupation (45-1878). London: Methuen. 8vo, pp. 378. 15s.

- Babington, Rev. J. A. The Reformation. A Religious and Historical Sketch. London: J. Murray. 8vo, pp. 372. 12s. net.
- Pennington, Arthur Robert. The Life and Character of Erasmus. With a Preface by the late Bishop Christopher Wordsworth. New ed. London: Thynne. Cr. 8vo, pp. 400. 2s. 6d. net.
- WYNNE, G. Robert. The Church in Greater Britain. The Donellian Lectures delivered before the University of Dublin, 1900-1901. London: Paul, Trübner & Co. Cr. 8vo, pp. 270. 5s. net.
- HORSBURGH, E. L. S. Girolamo Savonarola: With 16 Illustrations. (Little Biographies.) London: Methuen. Cr. 8vo, pp. 219. 3s. 6d.
- M'HARDY, George. Savonarola. (World's Epoch Makers.) Edinburgh: T. & T. Clark. Cr. 8vo, pp. 284. 3s.
- Morison, William. Johnston of Warriston. (Famous Scots Series.) London: Oliphant, Anderson & Ferrier. Cr. 8vo, pp. 159. 1s. 6d. net.

HISTORICAL ARTICLES.

- Holl, K. Die kirchliche Bedeutung Konstantinopels im Mittelalter. Z. f. Th. u. Kirche, 1, 1901.
- Dombrovski. La Doctrine de l'Église russe et le Canon de l'ancien Testament. Rev. Bibl. Int., 2, 1901.
- BRAMHALL, E. C. The Origin of the Temporal Privileges of Crusaders. Amer. Journ. of Th., 2, 1901.
- BANG, J. P. Studier over Barnabas brevet. Theologisk Tidsskrift, ii., 3.
- BERNARD, Rev. Prof. J. H. Bishops and Presbyters in the Epistle of St. Clement of Rome. Expositor, July 1901.
- BAUMGARTEN, P. M. Die Translationen der Kardinäle von Innocenz III. bis Martin V. Hist. Jahrb., 1, xxii.
- STRAGANZ, M. Zur Geschichte der Bamberger Synode von 1451. Hist. Jahrb., 1, xxii.
- PAULUS, N. Zu Luthers Reise. Hist. Jahrb., I, xxii.
- Mix, G. Luther und Melancthon in ihrer gegenseitigen Beurteilung. Th. Stud. u. Krit., 3, 1901.

- Dehl, Wilhelm. Zur Geschichte der Sokratik im Zeitalter der Aufklärung. Monatsschrift f. die kirchliche Praxis, iv., 1.
- GRÜTZMACHER, Prof. G. Ignatius Loyola. Expository Times, July 1901.
- McGowan, Rev. F. X. The last days of Christian Constantinople. Catholic World, May 1901.

IV.—DOCTRINAL.

REINHOLD, G. Das Wesen des Christentums. Eine Entgegng. auf Harnack's gleichnam. Buch. Stuttgart: J. Roth. 8vo, pp. iii. + 96. M.1.20.

KÖBERLE, J. Die Motive des Glaubens an die Gebetserhörung. Leipzig: Deichert. 8vo, pp. 30. M.1.

- Scheel, O. Die Anschauung Augustins über Christi Person u. Werk. Unter Berücksichtigung ihrer verschiedenen Entwicklungsstufen u. ihrer dogmengeschicht. Stellg. dargestellt und beurteilt. Tübingen: J. C. B. Mohr. 8vo, pp. xv. + 474. M.II.
- SÖDERBLOM, N. La Vie future d'après le Mazdéisme, a la lumière des croyances parallèles dans les autres religions. Étude d'eschatologie comparée. (Annales du Musée Guimet. Bibliothèque d'études, tom. ix.) Paris: Ernest Leroux. 8vo, pp. viii. + 448. Fr.7.50.
- Cohrs, F. Die evangelischen Katechismusversuche vor Luther's Enchiridion. Hrsg. eingeleitet u. zusammenfassend dargestellt. 3 Bd. Die evangel. Katechismusversuche aus den J. 1528-29. Monumenta Germaniae paedagogica. Hrsg. v. K. Kehrbach, xxii. Bd. Berlin: A. Hoffmann & Co. Lex. 8, pp. xxiv. + 480. M.15.

Wohlgemuth, J. Die Unsterblichkeitslehre in der Bibel Progr. Berlin: Poppelauer. 8vo, pp. iii. + 58. M.1.50.

GORDON, G. A. The New Epoch for Faith. Boston: Houghton, Mifflin & Co. 12mo, pp. xvii. + 12. \$1.50.

FOSTER, F. H. Christian Life and Theology. New York: Revell. 12mo, pp. + 286. \$1.50.

- MORTIMER, A. G. Eucharistic Sacrifice: historical and theological investigation of the sacrificial conception of the holy Eucharist in the Catholic Church. London: Longmans. 8vo, pp. 676. 10s. 6d.
- GROSS, G. Glaube, Theologie und Kirche. Bescheidene Beiträge e. Praktikers zur Verständg. üb. einige relig. Fragen. Tübingen: G. Schnürlen. 8vo, pp. vi. + 125. M.2.
- JÜNGST, J. Kultus- u. Geschichts-religion (Pelagianismus u. Augustinismus.) Ein Beitrag zur religiösen Psychologie u. Volkskunde. Giessen: J. Ricker. 8vo, pp. 79. M.1.60.
- STEINMEYER, F. L. Homiletik. Den Freunden desselben dargereicht v. M. Reyländer. Leipzig: A. Deichert. 8vo, pp. 329. M.5.25.
- TREDE, T. Wunderglaube im Heidentum u. in der Alten Kirche. Gotha: F. A. Perthes. 8vo, pp. vi. + 273. M.4.
- RUPPRECHT, E. Das Christentum v. D. Ad. Harnack nach dessen 16 Vorlesungen. Eine Untersuchg. u. e. Erfahrungzeugnis an die Kirche der Gegenwart aller Konfessionen. Gütersloh: Bertelsmann. 8vo, pp. xv. + 278. M.4.
- Walther, W. Ad. Harnack's Wesen des Christentums f. die Christliche Gemeinde geprüft. Leipzig: A. Deichert, 8vo, pp. iv. + 168. M.2.70.
- LIDGETT, John Scott. The Spiritual Principle of the Atonement as a Satisfaction made to God for the Sins of the World. Being the 27th Fernley Lecture, delivered in Leeds, July 1897. 3rd edition. London: C. H. Kelly. 8vo, pp. 532. 5s.
- King, H. C. Reconstruction in Theology. London: Macmillan. Cr. 8vo. 6s.
- DEVINE, Arthur. Les Sacraments expliqués d'après la doctrine et les enseignments de l'Église Catholique. Traduit de l'anglais par Abbé C. Maillet. Avignon: Aubanel. 12mo, pp. vii. + 658. F.6.

HEZARD, Ch. Histoire du Catechisme depuis la naissance de l'Église jusqu'à nos jours. Paris: Retaux. 8vo, pp. vi. + 512. Fr.6.

ALLAUX, J. Dieu et le Monde. Essai de Philosophie première. Paris: Alcan. 12mo, pp. 188. Fr.2.50.

DOCTRINAL ARTICLES.

THAYER, J. H. Recent Discussions respecting the Lord's Supper. Journ. of Bibl. Lit., vol. xviii., 1 u. 2.

BACON, B. W. The doctrine of faith in Hebrews, James and Clement of Rome. Journ. of Bibl. Lit., vol. xix. 1.

RABUS. Der Wesunsunterschied von Protestantismus und Katholizismus. N. Kirchl. Z., 3, 1901.

ECKLIN, F. Une Revision de la Doctrine de la Rédemption. Rev. d. Th. et d. Phil., 1, 1901.

PORTALIÉ, Eugène. A propos d'eschatologie. Bulletin de littérature ecclésiastique, 4, 1901.

PATTEN, Prof. A. W. The Archæology of Baptism. Methodist Review, May-June 1901.

TURMEL, J. Le dogme du péché originel; 3^e article: Exposé de la controverse pelagienne. Revue d'Histoire et de littérature religieuses, vi., 3.

FINDLAY, Prof. G. G. Sanctification according to Christ. Expositor, July 1901.

V.—PHILOSOPHICAL.

STERN, W. Die allgemeinen Principien der Ethik auf naturwissenschaftlicher Basis. Berlin: Dümmler's Verl. 8vo, pp. 22. M.I.

LÜERS, A. David Hume's religionsphilosophische Anschauungen. Berlin: R. Gaertner. 4to, pp. 20. M.I.

STILLE, W. A. Die ewigen Wahrheiten im Lichte der heutigen Wissenschaft. Eine erkenntnisstheoret. Studie in leichtverständl. Form. Berlin: R. Friedländer. 8vo, pp. vi. + 91. M.2.

- FRASER, Alexander Campbell. Berkeley. Cheap ed. (Philosophical Classics.) London: W. Blackwood. 12mo, pp. 236. 1s.
- KNIGHT, William. Varia: Studies on Problems of Philosophy and Ethics. London: J. Murray. 8vo. 7s. 6d. net.
- DE GREEF, G. Le Transformisme social. Paris: Alcan. 8vo, pp. xxxii. + 530. Fr.7.50.
- Horion, V. Mon Évolution spiritualiste. Liège: Pierre. 8vo, pp. 96. Fr.1.
- VAN BIERVLIET, T. Le Problème de la mémoire en Psychologie experimentale. Louvain: Poleunis et Ceuterick. 8vo, pp. 36. Fr.1.
- DUMONT, A. La morale basée sur la demographie. Paris: Schleicher. 12mo, pp. x. + 184. Fr. 3.50.
- FOURNIÈRE, E. Essai sur l'Individualisme. Paris: Alcan. 12mo, pp. 192. Fr.2.50.
- Joly, Henri. Malebranche. Paris: Alcan. 8vo, pp. xii. +296. Fr.5.
- Jouffroy, Th. Correspondance. Publiée avec une étude sur Jouffroy par Adolphe Lair. Paris: Perrin. 12mo, pp. 426. Fr.3.50.
- REYNAND, P. La Civilisation païenne et la morale chrétienne. Paris: Perrin. 12mo, pp. xvi. + 298. Fr.3.50.
- RONDELET, A. Philosophie des Sciences sociales. Paris: Rondelet et Cie. 12mo, pp. 324. Fr.3.50.

VI.—GENERAL.

- Moule, H. C. G. The Evangelical School in the Church of England: Its Men and its Work in the Nineteenth Century. London: Nisbet. Cr. 8vo, pp. 128. 2s.
- ALLBUTT, Thomas Clifford. Science and Mediæval Thought. The Harveian Oration delivered before the Royal College of Physicians, 18th October, 1900. Cambridge: University Press. Cr. 8vo, pp. 116. 2s. 6d. net.
- MACKINTOSH, William. Rabbi Jesus, Sage and Saviour. London: W. Blackwood. Cr. 8vo, pp. 286. 3s. 6d. net.

- RAUSCHEN, G. Das griechisch-römische Schulwesen zur Zeit des ausgehenden Heidentums. Bonn: F. Cohen. 8vo, pp. vi. + 86. Mit I Abbildg. M.I.6o.
- LEMME, L. Das Wesen des Christentums u. die Zukunftsreligion. 17 Reden üb. Christl. Religiösitat. Gross-Lichterfelde: E. Runge. 8vo, pp. vii. + 219. M.3.50.
- Gurney, Thomas A. The Living Lord and the opened Grave. With a Preface by the Rev. Handley C. G. Moule. London: Hodder & Stoughton. 8vo, pp. 328. 6s.

M

D

S

G

K

H

K

M

R

W

RUTHERFORD, William G. The Key of Knowledge. Sermons preached in the Abbey to Westminster Boys. London: Macmillan. Cr. 8vo, pp. 284. 6s.

GENERAL ARTICLES.

- GOLDZIHER, J. Islamisme et Parsisme. Rev. de l'Hist. d. Rel., 1, 1901.
- Henslow, Prof. G. Studies in Modern Religious Thought. Church of England Pulpit and Eccles. Review, May 1901.
- HENSLOW, Prof. G. Mind in Man and Animals. Church of England Pulpit and Eccles. Review, June 1901.
- HARDY, E. Zur Geschichte der vergleichenden Religionsforschung. Archiv für Religionswissenschaft, iv., 2.
- Bousset, W. Die Himmelsreise der Seele. Archiv für Religionswissenschaft, iv., 2.
- SMITH, Rev. David. The Evangelical Deposit and the Apostolic Witness. Expositor, July 1901.
- MATHESON, Rev. Dr. George. Christianity's Place in Moral Evolution. Expositor, July 1901.

CONTENTS.

		PAGE
McCurdy's History, Prophecy and }	By Professor A. B. Davidson, D.D., LL,D., Edinburgh	
Puller's The Primitive Saints of the See of Rome	By Professor W. T. DAVISON, D.D., Handsworth, Birmingham	394
Gore's The Body of Christ	By the Rev. H. R. Mackintosh, D.Phil., Aberdeen	400
Dionysius of Halicarnassus' Three Literary Letters	By the Rev. Henry Hayman, D.D., Ulverston	408
Toy's Critical and Exegetical Com- MENTARY ON THE BOOK OF PROVERBS	By Professor George Adam Smith, D.D., LL.D., Glasgow	413
Schwarzkopff's Beweis für das Dasein Gottes Gant's Modern Natural Theology	By the Rev. Professor R. Mackintosh, D.D., Manchester	
Kreyenbühl's Das Evangelium der Wahrheit	By the Rev. H. A. A. Kennedy, D.Sc., Callander	
Heinrici's Die Bergpredigt	By the Rev. L. A. Muirhead, B.D., Broughty-Ferry	426
KELLY'S A HISTORY OF THE CHURCH OF CHRIST MCHARDY'S SAVONAROLA HERKLESS'S FRANCIS AND DOMINIC	By the Rev. Joseph Traill, B.D., Wick.	432
ROCHOLL'S DER CHRISTLICHE GOTTES- BEGRIFF MAYER'S SYSTEM DER CHRISTLICHEN HOFFNUNG	By the Rev. John Macpherson, B.D., Portobello	437
Drescher's Das Leben Jesu bei Paulus Weinel's Die Bildersprache Jesu IN IHRER Bedeutung für Die Esforshung Seines inneren Lebens Vol. XI.—No. 5.	By the Rev. Professor Nicol., D.D., Aberdeen	443

Contents.

													PAGE
MOFFATT'S TAMENT (THE HIST	TORICAL NOTICE)	New T	ES-}	Ву	the Re D.D.,	v. Prir Aber	ncipal S deen	S. D.	F. SA	LMO!	ND,	446
Notices					Ву	the E	DITOR						458
TESTAM TIAN PI	STCOTT'S HENT; JÜ ROPHETS E OLD TOURNAL O	LICHER'S AND THE	S DIE E PRO	GLE: PHETI GRE	ichn ic A ek;	ISREDE POCALY WESL	N JES	u; Ca Sweti	RUS' E'S IN	THE	Сня	RIS-	
RECORD OF	SELECT	LITERAT	URE										468

History, Prophecy and the Monuments.

By J. F. McCurdy. Vol. III. London: Macmillans, 1901. 8vo, pp. xxi + 470. Price 14s. net.

68

PROFESSOR McCurdy is to be congratulated on having reached the end of a weighty undertaking. The present volume carries the investigation down to the close of the Exile, a point at which a work on History, Prophecy and the Monuments may not unnaturally close or at least pause and draw breath. Of course neither history nor prophecy ceased with the Restoration, but Israel being no more a nation, but a religious community or Church in the bosom of a heathen empire, its history is without the stirring vicissitudes which it experienced when an independent state, and consists more in the mere modifications of a fixed condition of subjection. Nevertheless the external relations of Israel after the Exile continued to exert as great an influence on its thought, and particularly on its tone of mind, and, therefore, on the colour of its literature, as they had done during the time of its national existence, though it was not the changes but the dreary monotonousness of its condition as ecclesia bressa that reacted on its mind. It would be a great error to imagine that all interest in Israel's history may cease with the fall of the state. To the Christian theologian the centuries succeeding the Restoration may almost be said to be of greater interest than even the ages preceding, for the New Testament does not attach itself to the prophetical principles as enunciated by the prophets themselves, but to these principles as generalised, assimilated into the individual life, and developed to their eschatological issues, by what is called There is, therefore, great room for a volume on this period, signalising the merits not the demerits of 388

"Judaism," and perhaps Dr. McCurdy may yet find leisure to furnish it. He remarks that the time subsequent to the Exile "must be treated from a different point of view". There may be a truth in this, but it is truer far that continuity not disruption was the characteristic even of the Restoration constitution. If there was a break with the past the break was with those elements in the Jehovah religion which, however they had entered into it or become connected with it, were alien to it. The aim of Israel's thought and its effort, and the expression of its aim and effort, whether in the Law, the Prophets or the Psalms, was monotheism and morality. The continuity lay in these, and if, on the one hand, they became more inwardly conceived, and, on the other, were universally extended over all mankind, this development resulted inevitably from the nature of the two things. The "different point of view" will, therefore, have respect mainly to the formal means, such as the Law, adopted to express and conserve these two great principles; but even this formal element hardly marked a break in the continuity, for it was itself but the deposit from the conflicting currents of religious opinion which had run all down the people's history.

The general characteristics of Dr. McCurdy's work have been referred to in previous notices. The present volume certainly does not fall below any of its predecessors; in some things it perhaps excels them, e.g., in the elevation of style which distinguishes such passages as those devoted to the prophets Habakkuk and Deutero-Isaiah and to the defence of Cyrus against such writers as Noeldeke. But, as before, the distinctive feature of the volume is seen in the vein of critical reflectiveness running all through it, signalising principles, noting the emergence of new truths and perspectives and the loftier moral positions taken by prophets and men generally in successive periods, and estimating the significance as a whole of the various epochs that come under survey. There is no doubt a temptation when one is writing a history of the progress of morality or religion to be over-systematic, to find landmarks and new starting-points where they hardly exist, to forget in short that religious progress is not a highway where distances are marked by milestones and tollbars, but a stream which, though it may change colour and increase in volume, flows on continuously, and in regard to which it is in general only the fact that it has changed or increased that can be noted, not the point at which the change or increase took place. One is tempted to find the germs of new evolutions in what may be mere incidents, such as Nathan's collision with David or Elijah's with Ahab, and to assume that the incident which gave occasion to the utterance of a truth was the first occasion when the truth rose to the consciousness of the prophets or people. But does anybody suppose that the judgments passed on David or Ahab by these prophets were in advance of the general mind of Israel or anything but the reflection of it? Dr. McCurdy seems to form too low an estimate of the moral condition of Israel. At any rate, readers of his chapters on morals, which are some of the most interesting in his book, would be wise not to accept without reflection the landmarks of progress which he sets up, nor the crucial moral significance which he assigns to a number of incidents in the history. Occasionally Dr. McCurdy leaves the darker region of the past and comes out into the open, illustrating his old saws with modern instances. Mr. Cecil Rhodes and the African war figure a good deal in his pages. Rhodes is the modern Jacob, cozening his brother out of his inheritance. Who the unhappy Esau in this allegory is is obscure; can the "profane person" be Mr. Kruger with his Scripture quotations? Probably the bulk of readers will agree that both the author and his work would have gained in dignity by the omission of these contemporary allusions. Dr. McCurdy is rather an unwieldy gladiator, and his rapier wants keenness.

The present volume, books ix. to xi. of the whole work, embraces the period from the accession of Josiah (639) to the close of the Exile (537), without, however, including the Restoration. In book ix. perhaps the most important chapters are chapter iii., "Deuteronomy and Hebrew Literature," and chapter iv., "Religion and Morals". In book x., chapter

v., "Habakkuk and the Chaldeans," is very suggestive, and chapters xiii. and xiv., "The Hebrew Settlement in Babylonia," and "Employments of the Exiles in Babylonia," are both instructive. Toward the close of the period the annals of Nabonidus and the inscriptions of Cyrus furnish some of the most interesting contributions of the Monuments. Perhaps the author goes rather far when he asserts that the language of Deutero-Isaiah was moulded on the Babylonian annals and proclamations with which the prophet was familiar (p. 425 n.). He adheres to the view that Cyrus was a serious Zoroastrian, and explains the King's patronage of other religions by supposing that "he saw sufficient good in all the greater religions to justify him in both tolerating and encouraging them". This makes Cyrus rather more of the modern man than he is likely to have been in his times. The statement that Deutero-Isaiah believed that "Cyrus was Jehovah's vicegerent or Messiah" is rather ambiguous; if the author means that the prophet identified Cyrus with the Ruler predicted by earlier prophets, and in later times called specifically the Messiah, few will agree with him; and equally few we should think will accept his statement that the thoughts and language of Deutero-Isaiah were "polished to perfection" (pp. 421, 422).

The history of the period reviewed in this volume is well known, and nothing very new was to be expected. There are incidents in it, however, of which different views are taken, and, to mention only one, it is gratifying to find that Dr. McCurdy repudiates the current notion that Jeremiah (Jer. xi.) undertook an itinerant mission throughout the cities of Judah to commend Deuteronomy (p. 160). Such a proceeding on the prophet's part is altogether improbable. It was not Deuteronomy but the "covenant" that Jeremiah commended, and probably he undertook no itinerant mission at all. Though of course to be reckoned among the Higher Critics and accepting the prevailing conclusions, Dr. McCurdy reveals a certain conservative bent, and he makes many reservations. One or two points may be referred to merely to indicate his tone of mind. He will not allow that Exod.

xxxiv. 17-26 (]) contains a more primary form of the Decalogue than Exod. xx. (inserted by E)—"it is impossible that the larger documents could have been expanded from this smaller one. The smaller (Exod. xxxiv. 17 ff.) is therefore an independent selection from the materials that lay at the basis of the larger" (Exod. xx.-xxiii.). This conclusion does not depend on the opinion of the author that E is an older document than J. The latter, he thinks, belongs to the end of the eighth century. Further, I and E are not individual writers but schools. It has always appeared to us strange that a "school" of writers should use Jehovah for God and another school Elohim. Of course the motives that induced one writer to use Jehovah might induce another. But when schools are spoken of we must fancy, no doubt, that the individual writers of the "school" wrote independently of each other, and that their separate labours were collected together into one document by some redactor or other. Still the theory, which would be plausible enough on the supposition of two individuals, I and E, does create hesitancy when two sets of such individuals have to be postulated. But to return. The author argues that the authenticity of Joshua's appeal to the sun and moon, at least in substance, is proved by the subsequent misunderstanding of it. He himself suggests a new interpretation of "stand still" (p. 44). Of the song of Deborah (Jud. v.) he says, "From the point of view of literary history it is clear that it obviously cannot be the first important production of its kind, much less the first considerable poem generally" (p. 44). Similarly David's elegy on Saul is "anything but singular of its kind. . . . The poem, with its symmetrical structure and fine sense of proportion, introduces us to an established poetical literature" (p. 45). The "Book of the Covenant" (Ex. xxi.-xxiii.) may possibly be of the time of the Judges (p. 50). Again, "Of one thing we may be certain. The Book of Amos was not the first written composition of its kind" (p. 75). Writings already "canonical" existed in the time of Jeremiah (p. 185 n.). Isa. xxxv. may well be of the end of the Exile; and even the hymn of Habakkuk (ch. iii.) may not improbably belong to the prophet

(p. 215). This will make the critics stare and gasp. To these literary judgments, which are but specimens, may be added another which touches religion. The author repeatedly calls attention to the fact that in spite of appearances no individual prophet stood alone, but was upheld by a band of souls likeminded with himself. "Let us learn once for all that the prophet never stood quite alone, and that he was, apart from his special commission, merely a foremost representative of a class or society or school" (p. 105). This idea is repeated in speaking of Hosea (p. 111). On any other supposition the existence of such men as Amos and Hosea becomes inexplicable, and the religion of Israel a phantasm which never had any place in the human mind. On the other hand some of the author's opinions may seem radical rather than conservative. He contends that the Law was in a good measure theoretical, and never meant to be put in practice—" in fact, as will appear in the more obvious case of Deuteronomy, the Old Testament legislation as published, never had statutory validity or a directly practical purpose" (p. 61). That there is truth in this opinion appears from the frequent threat that the soul that disobeyed some ritual prescription "shall be cut off" from his people. No machinery existed for effecting this cutting off. The transgressor is left to the visitation of God. This theoretical development of Law, irrespective of practical possibilities, is seen at its height in the Mishna. On the popular question of the existence of Davidic psalms Dr. McCurdy's opinions are decided. There are no such psalms in the Psalter. David in his religious and social atmosphere could no more have written the psalms we possess than the Homeric singers could have written the Prometheus Bound or the Antigone. He is far, however, from thinking that the psalms are all post-exilic. A stream of religious lyric poetry ran parallel to the stream of religious prophetic oratory. An example of a psalm of pre-exile date is Ps. xx., which the author thinks was probably composed for a sacrificial service held as a "send off" for Josiah on his unfortunate campaign against Necho.

The book is sent out in excellent style. A few errors, the

cause of which the author explains, have been corrected in a slip. Perhaps to the corrections should be added "objective" for subjective, p. 106, l. 25. Some curious uses of words occur, e.g. rendition in the sense of edition or recension (p. 41, middle); "mystical," applied to the letters used to designate documents, e.g. H. (Lev. xvii. ff.), p. 387 note, and elsewhere. Curious, too, is the phrase used of Habakkuk: "This is the problem on which the prophet wreaks his soul" (p. 218).

A. B. DAVIDSON.

The Primitive Saints of the See of Rome.

By F. W. Puller, of the Society of St. John the Evangelist, Cowley. Third Edition, Revised and Enlarged. London: Longmans, 1900. Pp. xxxv. + 568. Price 12s.

THE first edition of Father Puller's work on the Roman Catholic claims was published in 1893. It is a good sign that such a book has passed into a third edition and has proved itself susceptible of very considerable amplification and enrichment. The volume before us is not exactly a new work, but it is nearly three times the size of the original; some parts of it have been entirely re-written, a series of five new lectures on the relations between the Church of Rome and the East in the fourth century has been added, and important additional appendices and excursuses shed light on doubtful questions or help to justify the arguments made use of in the text. The book as it now stands forms an important and interesting study of the development of ecclesiastical monarchy in the Church of the West, and an effective exposure of the devices to which the Church of Rome has been obliged to resort in order to establish the "primitive" character of her claims to supremacy.

As the substance of the work is not new, we need say only as to its main purport that Father Puller's object was to make a selection from the writings of formally accounted "saints" in the primitive Church of the first five or six centuries, in refutation of the claim made by the Roman See to primacy of jurisdiction as of divine right and against the theory that communion with the See of Rome is a necessary condition of communion with the Catholic Church. The appeal is made to Christian antiquity—a court the validity of which

is acknowledged by both parties to the controversy. The relevancy and force of Father Puller's arguments was so far felt by English Roman Catholics, that the late Dr. Luke Rivington wrote an elaborate reply in a book entitled "The Primitive Church and the See of St. Peter". It is not too much to say that this reply—which we have re-read before writing this notice—was as halting and inconclusive in its arguments as it was confident in its tone, and the rejoinder made in this volume is so complete as to be crushing.

But the abiding importance of the subject here discussed is quite independent of any passing phases of controversy. Students of Church history will find Father Puller's learned volume a useful book of reference on more subjects than one. The author writes clearly and candidly, with hardly any of that anxiety to make out a case which is too characteristic of ecclesiastical controversialists; he maintains a uniformly courteous and moderate tone towards antagonists and his whole line of argument shows how thoroughly he possesses the historic sense and understands the historic method. Some of the chief topics discussed are—the Paschal controversy in the time of Victor, the well-known passage in Irenæus, iii., 3, the views and position of Cyprian in relation to the Roman See, the attitude of the Councils of Nicæa and Sardica, the "pontificate" of Damasus, the Meletian controversy and the quarrels with Acacius in the fifth century. But a mere enumeration of topics fails to give an idea of the minute care, learning and accuracy with which Father Puller has investigated the details of history which bear upon his immediate object.

The chief criticisms we should feel disposed to make concern the arrangement and what may be called the artistic proportions of the book. Surely in any discussion of this kind priority in every sense should be given to the evidence of the New Testament. Father Puller does not reach this subject till his third lecture, but his exegesis of the vexed passages Matt. xvi. 18 and John xxi. 15-17 is excellent. In an interesting note on p. 99 he draws attention to the fact that the liturgy of St. James contains a

prayer which speaks of the Church "which thou didst found on the rock of the faith" and a collect in the Roman Missal describes those "whom thou hast established on the rock of the apostolic confession"—two striking examples of the prevalence in the early Church of the non-personal interpretation of Christ's words to Peter. But Father Puller does not use texts as missiles, and his handling of Scripture is for the most part sound and free from dogmatic bias. He understands the "rock" as referring to Peter, but points out that it may be rightly said that the Church is built on Christ, or on Peter's evangelising labours long ago, or upon the true faith; but in these cases the expression "build upon" is used in different shades of meaning, which should be clearly distinguished in controversy.

To the famous words Pasce oves meas, Father Puller gives the only satisfactory interpretation that no fresh commission was given in them to Peter-to be primate with a rule over apostles—but a renewed commission to care for the sheep and lambs of the Church of God. With the author's view that James was "bishop" of the Church at Jerusalem, which he considers to be largely proved by the "authoritative" (?) word $\kappa \rho i \nu \omega$, we cannot agree. His attempt to prove that "Catholic principles of jurisdiction" are recognised in the phraseology of the Acts of the Apostles, together with the illustration taken from the analogy of "Archbishop Darboy, Pope Pius IX. and Archbishop Manning," implies in our view an anachronism similar to that which the special pleaders of Rome commit when they read later ecclesiastical distinctions and orders and conditions of precedence into the phrases of early writers to whom they were quite unknown.

It would have seemed natural, also, that the greatest stress should have been laid by the author upon the earliest evidence; e.g., the improbability that Peter was ever "bishop" of Rome, the confusion of names amongst those supposed to be his immediate successors, and the epistles of Clement and Ignatius. These points are touched upon but lightly, while disproportionate attention is paid to the very minutest details of the Meletian controversy. But it would not be

fair to press this criticism, and the author has evidently preferred to shed light upon the obscurities of later tangled controversies, rather than to press home well-worn arguments based upon often discussed passages.

We confess that our own interest centres much more in the general principles underlying Father Puller's arguments than in the discussion whether when the Church of Antioch was divided by a schism, this or that party was or was not "in schism," and whether the action of the Church of Rome was or was not ecclesiastically defensible throughout. Father Puller has proved again—one would say beyond the possibility of further controversy, if those possibilities were not well nigh infinite—that a Roman primacy of jurisdiction was quite foreign to the ideas of the Church of the first four centuries; that a primacy of honour and influence was accorded very early to the Roman See, but that unjustifiable claims to meddle authoritatively with Churches beyond its jurisdiction were, during the first three centuries, successfully resisted. He has also brought out more clearly than ever that in the time of Damasus a large measure of real jurisdiction over the whole Western Empire was given to the Bishop of Rome, and thereafter it was sought to justify the use of these later powers by reading into earlier incidents and documents a meaning they were never intended to bear. Similarly he has proved that the claim of Rome to make communion with her a necessary condition of membership in the Catholic Church is, according to the evidence of "primitive saints," quite unfounded.

Still, here is the Church of Rome, with its well-known history; how far may a principle of "development" in the Christian Church be justified, and on what principles? That there has been development in doctrine, in worship, in church government, is certain; when and how did it begin to diverge from a normal line? What is a "normal" line, and who is to decide its direction? The Anglo-Catholic cannot deny the existence of development, but he seeks to restrict its legitimate application within five or six centuries. The same historic arguments used against the claims of Rome may be

used, mutatis mutandis, against some of the claims of "the historic episcopate". Of course the development in this case was much earlier, much more rapid and universal, but no one who appeals to history studied apart from dogmatic bias can deny that elements entered into the Church tradition in the time of Cyprian which went far to transform the whole character of Christian doctrine and worship, just as elements entered in the time of Damasus which went far to transform its government from an oligarchy to a monarchy that has since become a despotism. Is the one development justifiable and the other not; if so, why? Dr. Bright in his excellent book on The Roman See in the Early Church says: "Unprimitive ideas came in and acted as a leaven, giving it a one-sided and unhealthy exuberance, producing a ferment which disturbed the proportion of the credenda. Was a papacy a part of the original Christianity?" One might substitute for the word "papacy" diocesan episcopacy, or sacerdotal power of absolution on the part of the ministry, or the doctrine of purgatory, or the "treasury of merits" in the Church; and the teachers of various communions would immediately differ as to the "unprimitive" character of the ideas or the "one-sidedness" or "unhealthiness" of the development. Who shall decide when such teachers disagree?

To raise these questions is probably beyond the proper scope of such a notice as the present. Father Puller, however, does more than suggest them. In an Appendix (pp. 424-433) which we have found all too short, he discusses development, whether it can be rightly applied to discipline, to theology or to obligatory dogma. Here lies the crux of the whole question. Its importance was shown half a century ago in the treatises on development of Cardinal Newman and his former disciple James Mozley. Canon Gore gives much attention to it in his short but admirable book on Roman Catholic Claims. To our thinking this is the question which deserves to be argued out at length with full discussion of principles and wealth of illustration, while the details of the Meletian schism might have been dispatched

in an appendix. Father Puller has reversed this mode of treatment, giving his strength to the ecclesiastical minutiæ, and touching lightly upon the vital principles—doubtless for good reasons.

He is more than within his rights. It is poor criticism to complain of a book excellent of its kind, because it is not something else and does not compass ends which the author did not contemplate. We are grateful for what is here given us—a learned, able and weighty discussion of important ecclesiastical questions and a complete vindication on the grounds of primitive ecclesiastical teaching and usage of all who resist the unjustifiable claims of the Roman pontiff, the Roman curia, the Roman Church and Roman ecclesiastical arrogance in all its forms.

W. T. DAVISON.

The Body of Christ: An Inquiry into the Institution and Doctrine of Holy Communion.

By Charles Gore, M.A., D.D., of the Community of the Resurrection, Canon of Westminster. London: John Murray, 1901. Pp. xv. + 330. Price 5s.

THIS remarkable book, the author tells us in his preface, is in part the result of an attempt to clear up his own thoughts on Eucharistic subjects in view of the "Round Table Conference" summoned by the late Bishop of London. Assuming such things as the belief in Christ expressed in the Nicene Creed, and the substantial accuracy of those passages in the New Testament which bear upon the institution of the Eucharist, he enters upon a thorough-going examination of the meaning and efficacy of the Holy Supper. In the present state of opinion on the subject, when so many Protestants of every type are feeling after a more adequate conception of the Sacraments, as also of the cognate ideas of priesthood and sacrifice, it is certain that Canon Gore's volume will be read with profound interest and expectancy far beyond the bounds of his own communion. Whatever comes from his pen is distinguished in a rare degree by the qualities of depth, candour, and devoutness, nor in these respects does this work fall behind its predecessors.

A preliminary chapter discusses the affinity of the Eucharist with other sacrifices, and elucidates the fundamental idea which is to be found in all sacrifice, but is expressed by the Eucharist most effectively. A good deal of emphasis is naturally laid upon Robertson Smith's conclusion that the basal idea of ancient sacrifice is that "of communion between the god and his worshippers by joint participation in

the living flesh and blood of a sacred victim". But sufficient attention is hardly paid to the fact that in the form which these Semitic ideas assume in the Old Testament the practice of a sacrificial meal cannot be proved to have been universal, and that in the Hebrew ritual the *Tsebach* is nowhere stated to have been accompanied by a meal implying communion with the Deity. Deductions from Smith's results which are so tempting to High Churchmen—to whom the very definition of the Eucharist is "a feast upon a sacrifice"—are too lightly assumed to be the simple outgrowth of Old Testament ideas.

The book's centre of gravity, however, lies in the second chapter, entitled "the Gift and Presence in Holy Communion". On the nature of the gift, which is the most important matter, there has been, as Canon Gore says, comparatively little controversy. Witnesses are called from every age and school to prove that this is the flesh or body and blood of Christ "according to a spiritual and heavenly manner". But no similar unanimity has prevailed regarding the relation of the spiritual gift to the bread and wine; and for many all hope of agreement with Canon Gore disappears when he proceeds to identify the doctrine of an objectively real presence in the Eucharist with the belief that Christ's body and blood is in some way attached to the sacramental elements "before they are eaten and drunken and independently of such eating and drinking "-from which there follows the singularly embarrassing inference that even a bad man who partakes of the consecrated bread and wine receives "a spiritual endowment of his nature". In support of this theory abundant citations may be drawn from the Fathers and the Liturgies; but it appears to us that Canon Gore as little as other writers of the same school deals adequately with the contention that, while the most ancient Fathers, when indulging in the rhetoric of passionate devotion, use language which seems to affirm the Anglican doctrine of a Real Presence, they yet in more restrained and sober passages deliberately express a very different view. Their case is really parallel to that of Scottish Presbyterians,

who, though they consciously repudiate both transubstantiation and consubstantiation, yet sing without scruple and with deep feeling Doddridge's hymn—

Hail, sacred feast, which Jesus makes, Rich banquet of His flesh and blood.

"Their true opinions," as it has been put, "are too well known for them to be misunderstood."

Canon Gore devotes only a few pages to a criticism of transubstantiation, the reader being referred to his Dissertations for a fuller statement of the case. He finds the germ of this heresy in the monophysite tendency operating in the East, which, though checked by Christian instinct with regard to the person of our Lord, was unhappily allowed to prevail "in the secondary region of the sacramental presence". He uses strong terms about the first form of the doctrine as violently imposed on Berengar, describing it as "gross and horrible," and exclaiming in tones of grave indignation against the unworthy materialism which has clung to this mediæval theory from the first, and has even been accentuated by the later developments of modern Romish theology. An outsider, however, will feel that Canon Gore's own teaching is separated from that of Rome only by the finest margin. In the one case the substance of each element is changed while its accidents remain; in the other case it becomes something else though it remains what it was before. And Canon Gore's explanations hardly give us the needed help in shading off his position from that which we ordinarily call Romish. He speaks of the "undefinable identification" wrought by consecration between the body and blood of Christ and the bread and wine; more explicitly he tells us that "the body and blood of Christ are made present 'under the forms of' bread and wine, or in some real though undefined way identified with them". But for this stupendous assertion some four pages concerned with Scripture proof are thought sufficient out of a fair-sized volume. Further, the gift bestowed in the Eucharist is affirmed to be the real flesh and blood of the glorified Saviour, the Son of Man Who has passed through death and is alive for evermore. How, then, can it be denied that the Body given to believers now is very different from that imparted to the Twelve on the night of institution? How escape the dilemma—either Christ was glorified before He suffered, or the rite celebrated in the upper room was not a true communion?

The gift and presence vouchsafed in the Eucharist Canon Gore maintains to be spiritual, by which is meant something more than "related to our spirits" (Jeremy Taylor). term spiritual in this connexion signifies rather that which is "the pure and transparent vehicle of spiritual purpose," and in this sense it is eminently applicable to the risen body of Christ. The dubious principle is affirmed that Christ's resurrection body was no longer subservient to the conditions of space, and can therefore be present in the Eucharist in a way which is absolutely subjugated to Christ's purpose. At this point Canon Gore offers an interesting contribution to what may be called the ontology of the subject. "It is of real importance," he says, "that we should recognise that faith probably plays the same part in actually constituting the spiritual reality of the sacrament as the reason of man does in constituting the objects of the natural world." The analogy is perhaps more ingenious than convincing. For the reality or activity of natural objects cannot be said to depend on my individual reason, while the virtus sacramenti (as distinct from the res sacramenti) is certainly dependent on my personal faith, and has always been held to be so. Besides, it is difficult to reconcile the statement made earlier in regard to the grace of baptism (but which a fortiori holds good of the Eucharist), that "it must be conceived as given irrespective of the state of mind or condition of faith of the receiver" (p. 74), with the later assertion that for one who is altogether outside the faith of the Church "the spiritual reality cannot be said to exist " (p. 150). Faith must be either an essential or a non-essential element in the case; under no circumstances can it be both.

t

-

n

·e

e

n

ed

ed

is

ır,

The third chapter, which treats of the Eucharist as a sacrifice,

raises questions of vast historical and dogmatic importance. How soon did the Church pass from the simpler conception of the Supper as a sacrifice—in the sense that it implies the offering of the gifts by the congregation and is analogous to the sacrifices of prayer, etc.—to the ominous notion that it is sacrificial in the sense of cleansing the conscience and atoning for sin? The mere fact that from the beginning the Eucharist was called a sacrifice proves nothing; the same term is applied, inside the New Testament, to the bodies, thanksgivings, and obedience of believers. Canon Gore, however, holds that the abolition in Christ of any further need for propitiation is not equivalent to the abolition of sacrifice, and that the New Testament is the guide of later opinion in regarding the Church as an essentially priestly body with her own oblations to offer. But his statement passes without a single discoverable mediating link of argument from an examination of the spiritual sacrifices of the New Testament believer to the assertion that the Eucharist is the corporate expression of the sacrificial life of the Church as an organised body (p. 171). It is true that Canon Gore holds that consecration does not effect any renewal of the sacrifice of the Cross, and is even inclined to restrict the application of the word propitiatory to the Eucharist as indiscreet. But when he goes on to discuss the connexion between the earthly and the heavenly offering, it is difficult to believe that his argument is always controlled by the reasonable interpretation of Scripture and experience. The Church's sacrifice, we are told, derives its value from its being offered on the heavenly altar of Christ's perpetual selfpresentation. And in this connexion Canon Gore, with other High Church theologians, builds what many will think an altogether extravagant superstructure on some expressions used in the Epistle to the Hebrews. That Epistle his party has always regarded as being of special importance for their doctrine of the Eucharist. It teaches, according to Canon Gore, that it is at His entrance into heaven and not upon the Cross that Christ accomplishes His atonement for us; while we Christians belong to, or rather constitute, the

temple or house of God in which Christ offers Himself (this last assertion, singularly enough, being based upon Heb. iii. 6). It is easy to see how from these positions the inference may be drawn that "the earthly Eucharists are to be viewed simply on the background of Christ's heavenly action," and that the oblation of the sacrifice of Christ is not limited to heaven, but is perpetuated on earth in the celebration of the Eucharist. In support of these conclusions appeal is frequently made to Prof. A. B. Davidson's Commentary on Hebrews, and it would be interesting to know that eminent scholar's opinion of certain of the inferences drawn from his words. A reference to the passages cited from that commentary in this volume shows that in at least one case Canon Gore makes Prof. Davidson's language bear far more than it was ever meant to carry.

At various points in the course of his argument Canon Gore is obviously desirous of dissociating himself from the sacramental doctrines of the extremer Anglicans. We have already seen how clearly, though not, perhaps, very consistently, he affirms the relativity to faith of the spiritual gift conferred in the Sacrament. While maintaining an objectively real presence, he refuses to conceive that presence as localised. He would grant, apparently, that Christ's discourse in John vi. does not refer directly to the Eucharist, while holding-what is indeed true-that our Lord there expounds the facts and laws, so far as they can be conveyed in human language, which the Eucharist expresses far more effectively. He repudiates the notion that the Church, or individuals in virtue of their belonging to the Church, can through the Eucharist exercise even in part the atoning power for sin which Christ exercised. He protests that it is only by descending to a view of sacrifice which is less than Christian, that we can believe that "non-communicating attendance" implies participation in Eucharistic benefits, and he condemns the worship of the consecrated elements apart from actual communion. He rejects unhesitatingly the theory held by some Anglicans that the Eucharist contains some real presence of the flesh and blood of Christ,

not as they are in His glorified humanity, but as they were when He was dead or dying upon the Cross. He denies the hypostatical union between the Saviour's manhood and the elements. He speaks some plain words about the defects of mediæval authority, and goes so far as to lay down the principle that ecclesiastical authority, as such, can never be regarded as absolute or final "except when it can justify its action or utterance by the appeal behind itself to the word of God". The precise range and import of these concessions to a less magical theory of the Sacrament it would be difficult to estimate. At times it is plain that the writer has doubts as to the effect of his words. Still, while putting forward his views in the most courteous and conciliatory style of controversial argument, at no point does he veil his meaning under the garb of ambiguity or reserve.

Much might be said of the more general considerations suggested by the perusal of this singularly impressive book, of which the limits of space have constrained us to give only a brief résumé. For one thing, in such works as this the appeal to Scripture is somehow felt to be secondary. Their arguments carry us into another world of feeling than that of the New Testament. We cannot but remain convinced that had our Lord's words of institution been interpreted by the Apostles as affirming the Real Presence of His body and blood in the Elements, they would have taught that doctrine with the same explicit directness as they use, for instance, in proclaiming the forgiveness of sins through Christ's death. After all, only one of the Apostles describes the Lord's Supper, and he in no more than one Epistle. Again, the appeal to the Fathers seems invariably to result in a great deal of ex parte quotation, together with the almost complete neglect of passages unfavourable to the appellant's theory. Despite Canon Gore's remarks on the subject, have Anglicans ever fairly faced the language used by the Fathers about the change produced by consecration in the water of baptism, and learned the caution which it suggests in our interpretation of similar patristic utterances regarding the bread and wine?

There are many, and their number is growing, who will sympathise with Canon Gore in protesting against a merely symbolic or didactic conception of the Eucharist. They believe that when Christ gives us the symbols of His flesh and blood He gives us Himself. They believe in the Real Presence of Christ in the sacrament—which is a transaction between persons—though not in the bread and wine. But they feel that such doctrines as are set forth in this volume —be the spirit of the writer never so devout and sincere and profound—are incongruous with the simplicity of the New Testament, and infected with elements which are less than That any theory can adequately represent the Christian. meaning of the Eucharist, or interpret completely all that it does for the faithful soul, is too much to believe. Its very existence proves that Christ meant by it far more than words could ever have expressed, or than can ever be stated in propositions. His design was "to give us in a symbolic act all that His death secures for us". And we cannot but think that on page 280 of the volume under review there stands a sentence which might with great justice be applied to Canon Gore and the party of which he is rightly regarded as a distinguished ornament—"it is indeed wonderful how Christians can prefer to trust a very fallible logic of sacramental presence rather than the manifested intention of our Lord ".

H. R. MACKINTOSH.

Dionysius of Halicarnassus' Three Literary Letters: Greek Text, edited with Translation, etc.,

By W. Rhys Roberts, Litt.D., Professor, etc. Cambridge: University Press, 1901. 8vo, pp. 230. Price 9s.

An excellent edition of The Three Literary Letters of Dionysius of Halicarnassus is the most recent work of a careful and elegant scholar, Dr. W. Rhys Roberts, who has previously edited Longinus on the Sublime. It contains the Greek text, revised by the aid of the important Paris MS., 1741; collated for this purpose by the editor himself; as also an English translation, a facsimile page of that MS., notes, glossary of rhetorical and grammatical terms, bibliography and introductory essay on Dionysius as a literary critic. That writer was also a historian; but posterity has refused to take him seriously in that character. His work on early Roman history was the main product of twenty-two years of his life, during which he was resident at Rome. These years end in B.C. 8.

Of the twenty books to which it extended only about half, and that the earlier half, survive. The converse of this would probably have been far more helpful to the historical student; since the *rationale* of the earlier portion depends upon that comparative method, which, although, as we see in *The Politics*, it fell within the wide range of Aristotle's mind, yet had died out in the Dionysian period. The whole history covered the period which Polybius skips or takes for granted.

The importance of Dionysius as a critic lies in the fact that he flourished at the time when Greek and Roman literature stood consciously face to face. His avowed profession in the capital of the world was that of a teacher of rhetoric. He educated, that is, young men as public speakers. But the fact that the greatest models of public oratory had now become national classics alike among the Greeks and Romans (Demosthenes, Lysias and Gaius Gracchus are familiar examples) tended to a close alliance of the *rhetor* with the grammaticus, or literary man proper. Dionysius crowned these joint pursuits with the ambition and the achievements (at any rate as regards the contemporary public) of a historian. Many rising Roman public men were among his pupils and professional clients; while his history addressed itself to the patriotic pride of the Latin race. He flattered that ascendency of Rome over the nations, by which he profited.

Our editor quotes a remarkable and characteristic passage from Dionysius, de Antiq. Orat., textually in the note, and translated in the English text. It seems to the present writer that our editor shows something less than his usual felicity in his version of it; and in the following quotation of it from pp. 34, 35, a few changes of phrase, indicated in italics, have accordingly been hazarded:—

"I believe" (says Dionysius) "that this great revolution (sc. the reversion to the Attic models) was caused and originated by Rome, the mistress of the world, who drew all eyes upon herself. The principal agents were members of the ruling classes of Rome, distinguished by their high character and by their seriously earnest conduct of public affairs, and highly cultivated men of genuine critical instincts. Under their administration the moral clements in the commonwealth have

¹ ἀπὸ τοῦ κρατίστου occurs several times in Polybius, where Liddell and Scott note it as bearing the above sense. The editor renders it somewhat vaguely "excellent". The random selfishness of party spirit or personal interest, which had marked the governments of the hundred years of civil war, is probably indirectly rebuked.

² γενναῖοι, "lofty" (ed.) seems rather too highly pitched for the studied, perhaps affected, moderation of Dionysius.

 3 τὸ φρόνιμον, Dionysius uses language of an intellectual cast in this, and in τὸ ἀνόητον and νοῦν ἔχειν, which follow. But there is little doubt that he meant to stigmatise thus leniently what were really moral delinquencies. The régime of Augustus was, by all his admirers, voted highly moral: cf. Hor., Ep., ii., 1, 2, "armis tuteris, moribus ornes," and earlier, among the Julian laws, were some intended to vindicate the ethical elements of society against their utter prostration in the previous struggles: see Mommsen, History of Rome, iv., pp. 545-558, English trans., ed. 1868.

grown still further in strength, and the unthinking have been constrained to be reflective. Accordingly many important historical works are written by men of our day, and many specimens of civil oratory of superior merit 1 are produced, together with philosophical treatises of no mean order. . . . And since so vast a revolution has been effected in so short a time, I should not be surprised if that recent party-spirit fertile in senseless speeches 2 failed to survive another generation."

The notes below seem to vindicate the above alterations. It remains to indicate, where possible, the facts to which the above language, mostly vague and general, seems to point. Probably Dionysius, if asked to whom or what he referred, would have put foremost the genius shown by Julius Cæsar in literature and science, as instanced in his work de Analogia and his reform of the calendar.

The "specimens of civil oratory" and "philosophical treatises" dwelt upon with encomium suggest Cicero and perhaps reach back to Varro. Nor need we exclude Lucretius, whose grave earnestness as a philosophic expositor is independent of the accident of his metrical medium. It is more difficult to justify the ascription of "many important historical works" to "men of our day" $(\tau o \hat{i} s \ v \hat{v} v)$; which, taken strictly, should mean men living when the words were written; and can hardly be understood so elastically as to include Julius Cæsar or even Sallust. There were men living who pursued research, and there were, if we may coin a word, historiasters. But the men who were given to research wrote no histories, reserving their lucubrations for a learned clique; while the men who affected history eschewed research. They wrote merely for the vulgar many—mere feuilletonists who

¹ χαρίεντες, "graceful" (ed.). The word is here doubtless intended in its literary sense, which inclines to the passive aspect, "regarded with χάρις, i.e., by critics or commentators, rather than "showing χάρις in themselves". So commonly with the Scholiasts, αὶ χαριέστεραι mean the copies or editions of superior merit.

 $^{^2\}delta \ \zeta \hat{\eta} \lambda os \ \epsilon \kappa \epsilon \hat{\iota} vos \ \tau \hat{\omega} \nu \ d\nu o \hat{\eta} \tau \hat{\omega} \nu \lambda \delta \gamma \omega \nu$, where cf. on the phrases noticed in n. 3 sup. Dionysius speaks here a little more definitely, and presumably refers to the publication of speeches as partisan pamphlets, which was a frequent literary feature of the period of Dionysius being at Rome. $\zeta \hat{\eta} \lambda os$ thus means not merely party-spirit in the abstract, but its practical ebullition in this form.

strung together current anecdotes of eminent persons and sugared them with romance. Possibly by being thus "to the virtues very kind," of Alexander Polyhistor, for example, Dionysius intended indirectly to magnify himself and his own opus magnum. Anyhow his eulogy of contemporary historians sounds like a tap on an empty barrel. The "great revolution" in taste, referred to in the first sentence of the above quotation, was a promising fact which remained barren of fulfilment. There was such a tendency in the last half century B.C. But the establishment of the empire disguised in republican forms under Augustus involved a revolution in politics which devoured most of its own children. Thus, of these earlier Atticists, Asinius Pollio appears to have been the only one actually left, unless we reckon Maecenas, as perhaps we may, as a sort of sleeping partner.

The absence of positive reference by Dionysius either to Cicero or any other Latin writer, is well discussed by the editor in his estimate of his author "as a literary critic". The editorial work is consummate throughout. Of course in so much translation one comes across a rendering over which one hesitates with poised pen and notes a query in the margin. Of some such, examples have already been given. A few others may be taken. In the "First Letter to Ammæus" the author is arguing against any indebtedness of Demosthenes to Aristotle, and says (pp. 74-5) that the date of a certain event έκ της κοινής γίγνεται φανερός ίστορίας. "is clear from known facts," being the editor's version. Is it not likely that some definite current historical authority is intended by the term κοινή ίστορία? On p. 112 the term αὐθέκαστος is rendered "severe," applied by Dionysius to Thucydides. Probably "downright" would more closely represent the epithet, a man who, in our homely phrase, "called a spade a spade". On p. 116 the contrast of beauty in Herodotus and Thucydides is given as το μεν 'Ηροδότου κάλλος ίλαρον έστι, φοβερον δὲ τὸ Θ., rendered "the beauty of Herodotus is radiant, that of Thucydides awe-inspiring". For the former one might prefer "genial" without offence. On p. 120 (criticism of Philistus) the phrase κατά την έρμηνείαν appears by some accident to have no English equivalent in the version on p. 121. But none of these can be reckoned a serious blemish. And wherever it seemed at first sight that a positive slip had been made, on turning to the "Notes" (which form a separate section, pp. 161 f.) we find a redeeming explanation, e.g., on $\tau \hat{\omega} \nu \delta \hat{\epsilon} \kappa a \phi \nu \gamma a \delta \iota \kappa \hat{\omega} \nu \tau \rho \iota \eta \rho \hat{\omega} \nu$ (p. 58) rendered "the flying squadron of ten galleys," the note remarks that "galleys manned by refugees" is what the Greek seems to suggest, adding a reference to Demosthenes (Philipp., i., 25), whom Dionysius purports to be quoting, and whose epithet is $\tau a \chi \epsilon \iota \hat{\omega} \nu$. The notes and glossary follow the "Three Letters" only, whereas the bibliography includes the whole of the known works of Dionysius.

A writer in the *Quarterly Review*, No. 384, pp. 407 f., in order to exalt the merits of Longinus, finds it necessary to depreciate Dionysius, whom he describes as "a pure critic and a critic of the secondary order, little better indeed than a grammarian. . . . A great history, a magnificent oration, . . . were to him mere exercises in rhetoric, the results of the mechanical application of mechanical rules. A critic was one who knew these rules, and who had to decide whether they had been followed." Dr. Rhys Roberts has put in the power of any classical reader to refute this unjust and depreciatory estimate.

HENRY HAYMAN, D.D.

The International Critical Commentary on Proverbs.

A Critical and Exegetical Commentary on the Book of Proverbs. By Crawford H. Toy, Professor of Hebrew in Harvard University. Edinburgh: T. & T. Clark, 1899. 8vo, pp. xxxvi. + 554. Price 12s.

THE long delay in the appearance of this review is entirely due to the reviewer. Delay, however, has enabled him by the use of Professor Toy's commentary, in teaching and private study, to appreciate the sound and thorough work on which the volume is sustained, and its high value alike to teachers and students of the Old Testament.

The qualities required in a commentator on Proverbs are many and various. First of all, the text of the book is frequently uncertain; it needs a thorough revision, and in places it urgently tempts to adventurous emendation. A large number of modern efforts in this department have to be estimated, not to say checked; and there is not much ancient evidence to go upon. Again, the historical problems are many, and there are no definite historical allusions—as in other books of the Old Testament-by which to solve The critic is thrown back upon his knowledge both of the history of the language, and of the history of the social life and thought of the people. It is a question of general expertness in the language and religion of Israel, and a commentator wanting in this would be speedily found out. Again, the popular and human spirit of the book, as well as the late date of the bulk of it, demand comparisons of its teaching with the common wisdom and philosophic tendencies of other nations. Justice must be done to the native possibilities of Israel's own wisdom; vigilance has to be exercised

for the appearance of symptoms of foreign influence. And besides, in the general appreciation of the spiritual attitude and force of the book, independence as well as courage of judgment are required, in order to avoid not merely that indiscriminating applause of its value which has characterised a school of exegetes at one extreme, but the infection of those prejudices against its ethical standpoint which have recently too much prevailed among us.

Of all these qualities the commentary bears ample proof. It is on the whole an original and sound work; and must form the basis of future teaching on the subject in our language. The questions discussed are such that difference of opinion on most of them will always be inevitable, but Professor Toy's statement of his conclusions is moderate enough, and his grounds for them are sufficiently strong to

compel respect and serious consideration.

In his criticism of the text Professor Toy shows an example -much needed in these days-of moderation. There are no wild conjectures, no exhibitions of critical athletics where the state of the text renders reasonable emendations impossible. Only sometimes Professor Toy seems to me to yield unduly to the fashion of making a regard for rhythmical symmetry the cardinal rule in reconstructions of the text. Most oriental art avoids absolute symmetry; upon so elastic an art as that of Hebrew rhythm—especially since we are so ignorant of the laws which governed it—it is hazardous to trust this rule at any time, but positively fatal to enforce it when it is opposed by the main ideas of the context. That Professor Toy appreciates the danger is shown not only in his general remarks on rhythm on page q, but in his rejection of Oort's emendation of Prov. ii. 8, by which a mechanical parallelism is secured at the expense of the general sense of the passage. Yet on iii. 12, he adopts a reading because it is "more appropriate" than that of the received text, although the latter has all the textual evidence in its favour. admits that Hebrew writers may have taken license in varying metres (pp. 117, 123, cf. 120), yet from xxvii. 14, he throws out the emphatic and picturesque early in the morning

on the ground that "it mars the rhythmical symmetry". For the same reason lines are omitted, it seems to me unduly, in i. 22, 23, 27. One thing is to be admired in Professor Toy's treatment of the rhythms; he has discarded the very misleading terms, "dimeter, trimeter, tetrameter," etc., and introduced "binary, ternary, and quaternary". In face of the easy zeal for investing the order of verses in the interests of a rigidly logical sequence, his re-arrangements are few, From the variety of arrangements proposed by different authorities for several passages, one sees that what they call a question of logic is for the most part a question of taste; and feels that the less logical order of the received text may, after all, really represent the taste of the writer to whom logic was never a primary consideration. Where modern authorities differ so much in their opinion of what logical sequence is. the received order may easily have been the original.

Professor Toy's translations are so careful and so generally good that I hesitate to call attention to a few which seem to me inadequate. On page 29, indifference is too weak a rendering of משובת: in the latter there is surely positive aversion: it can hardly be synonymous with ישלות in the parallel clause. Etymologically and by practical use by Hosea and Jeremiah the element of wilful apostasy seems clearly proved. Nor can kindness ever be an adequate rendering of 707, of which, in its religious applications, fidelity to an engagement, or at least a relation, appears always to be a content. Therefore, 707 is not, as Professor Toy says. complementary but parallel to אמת, with which it is so often used. On the keywords of the book in ch. i. 2 ff., דשבל is more than wise conduct: it is a pregnant term, containing the sense of conduct which profits or succeeds, and is better rendered by prudent. The is more than justice and is not properly "a forensic term"; the Revised Version righteousness is distinctly better. The title to xi. 29, stinginess, is far from covering Professor Toy's own exegesis of the verse.

Equally few, in my opinion, are the objections to Professor Toy's expositions. To that of the Old Testament use of the word fear, p. 10, might be added a reference to Psalm xix. 9, the fear of the Lord is clean: a consciousness of the distinction of Israel's reverence for God from the superstitions of the heathen under which so many unclean things found refuge. Ch. xiv. 4—

"Where there are no oxen the crib is clean:

But abundance of produce comes by the strength of the ox"is one of two or three proverbs, in which it seems to me Professor Toy has been turned from the natural sense by unjustifiable linguistic scruples. I do not think the sense physically clean is precluded by the evidence he quotes, and the general meaning thereby obtained for the passage is natural and worth emphasis; you may get a cleanness and absence of trouble by dispensing with certain things in life, vet progress and wealth are impossible without these and the worry they bring. Beside this, the meaning which Professor Toy derives from the Proverb-and only through an unsupported change in the text—is flat and unprofitable. In the exposition of v. 3-6 Professor Toy asserts that "in the Old Testament . . . it is only men that are had in mind, the moral independence of women not being distinctly recognised". That is surely far too strong a statement. It is supported by this other; that "the only addresses to women as such in Old Testament are Isa. iii. 16-iv. 1, and Amos iv. 1-3". But there are also Isa. xxxii. 9 ff., Prov. xi. 16 (where women's influence is not confined to the family) 22, xii. 4, xxi. 9, 19, xxvii. 15, and above all the picture of the virtuous 1 woman in xxxi. 10 ff., where, as Professor Toy himself admits "woman is regarded by the author as an independent individual, not merely as an appendage to her husband". One might also quote the treatment by historical writers in the Old Testament of individual women. And is not Wisdom itself pictured by the sages as a woman? In connection with this subject I may introduce an interesting analogy of what Dr. Toy has justly pointed out: viz., that the sinful woman depicted in the Proverbs is a wife, and that unmarried sinners of that kind are not mentioned by the writer. Among the

¹ In the braver and more general use of that term.

Christians of Lebanon it is to-day exactly the same form of immorality which prevails. There are no unmarried women unchaste: any immorality is that of wives, either widows or those whose husbands like the woman of Proverbs have left home for a time on business.

Professor Toy's depreciation of the Proverbs' regard for the moral independence of women is not the only depreciation of the teaching of the book which is found in one part of his commentary and qualified or corrected in another part. On p. 27 (on i. 24-31) he says that "the discordant note in the announcement of retribution is Wisdom's mockery of the wretched sufferer," and implies that the writer does not feel sympathy for the sinner, or adequately provide chances for him. But on p. 28 this latter statement is corrected: "If it be asked, What room is left here for repentance? the answer of the sage is that the offenders have had ample opportunity to amend their ways and have refused to change". But Dr. Toy might have added that throughout the whole of the Prologue Wisdom is represented as coming down to man's level to seek the sinner, and that the voice of her appeals is full of yearning and urgency. Wisdom is represented as not only nor mainly declaratory or judicial, but as full of anxiety to serve the simple and to turn the sinner from his ways, while her mockery of the impenitent which Professor Toy calls a discordant note is but that irony exhibited by life and providence towards the wilfully apostate, which every moralist with his eyes open has admitted and emphasised. To me these touches are proofs of the strength of the Book.

As for the whole temper and attitude of the teaching of Proverbs, I feel that hardly sufficient justice has been done by Professor Toy to its idealism and its passionate urgency. Dr. Toy has indeed admitted more than once the presence of ideal elements—that the morality enjoined is not (as a recent writer in the *Spectator* maintained) merely prudential, but that the "sages believed in the value of right in itself" and that "an ideal element is introduced by the identification of wisdom as the will of God, which is held to be the absolute right, and by the personification of wisdom as God's first

creation and intimate friend. In certain passages (as for example ii. 10) they appear to reach the ultimate moral conception, namely the ethical union of man with God conceived of as the moral ideal. These considerations must modify our judgment of what seems to be a baldly prudential scheme of ethical life" (pp. 54, 55). This is true, but it does not seem emphasised in due proportion. Instead of "modifying our judgment" of the prudential appearance of the teaching of Proverbs, the ideal elements in the book should reverse such a judgment, and the warm, sometimes passionate, presentation of them should stir our hearts to admiration of the idealism of the teaching of the sages as its most vital nerve and beautiful feature. I know that the question is partly one of taste and temperament: but for myself I feel, that the way in which Wisdom, the first born of God, is represented as descending to the level of men, seeking them and urgently pleading with them, the fashion in which she is pictured as a woman full of beauty and tenderness, and the call to affection and enthusiasm in the pursuit of virtue—say that wisdom is thy sister and gain the friendship of understanding—that these are the real essence and spirit of the book, and render its teaching much more evangelical than anywhere appears in this commentary. But as I have said, Dr. Toy does not overlook such elements, and the sole defect, if defect it be, in his treatment of them is one of proportion.

GEORGE ADAM SMITH.

Beweis für das Dasein Gottes.

Von Dr. Paul Schwarzkopff, Professor zu Wernigerode. Halle und Bremen: C. E. Müller; London: Williams & Norgate. 8vo, pp. vii. + 118. Price 2s.

Modern Natural Theology, with the Testimony of Christian Evidences.

By Frederick James Gant, F.R.C.S., etc. London: Elliot Stock. Crown 8vo, pp. xii. + 151. Price 2s. 6d.

DR. PAUL SCHWARZKOPFF, Professor of Theology at Göttingen, published a few years ago an interesting study of The Prophecies of Jesus Christ, and dated his preface from Wernigerode. The book was noticed in this Review (by Prof. Dods) in 1896, and again briefly in 1897 on the appearance of the English translation. A promised continuation or expansion of the study into a work four times as large, covering the whole subject of "the Revelation of God in Jesus Christ," has not yet, so far as we know, been published. Now the reader meets again the name of Dr. Paul Schwarzkopff, and again the name Wernigerode. But, judging from various indications, one conjectures—it is only a conjecture—that the author of the short book now before us may be the father of the Göttingen theologian.

The author confesses that he is defending what for the moment is an unpopular cause, when he calls attention to intellectual proofs for the being of God. Perhaps we may say that he is not very deeply committed to intellectual lines of argument. Of the four proofs he names, he finds the last—what he calls the "Christological Proof," i.e., the revelation of the love of God in Christ—much the most valuable, both

in its contents and in its evidential strength. Still, he thinks that he has found an antecedent argument, and that it may be serviceable in dispelling prejudices which hinder "cultivated doubters"—one recalls Schleiermacher—from attending to religion. He further refers in his preface to two other recent writers—Profs. Bollinger and Didio—who have been occupied with Theistic proofs. He claims them as comrades, and expresses a good deal of sympathy with their work.

The first and main part of Dr. Schwarzkopff's argument is a cosmological proof, more akin to Lotze's thought than to that of any other prominent writer. Dr. Schwarzkopff is anxious to legitimate himself in view of Kant's "irrefutable discovery, that we men can attain to knowledge only within the limits of our experience". He thinks he escapes from the full ban of Agnosticism—which Kant imposes at least on the speculative intellect—by arguing in the first place not for a transcendent but for an immanent God, as implied in the world of our experience, and then, secondly, urging that we have reason to regard this immanent Being as also transcendent, since every cause is transcendent to its effects. But Kant has to be met on another point. our argument any basis? Do we know reality ("things-inthemselves") at all? (Kant would certainly call this the same question over again.) It is argued (after Lotze?) that in the immediate consciousness of the Self which we possess in feeling we know reality, and know it as acting, and as acting in time, but also know it as subject to compulsion from without in the domain of knowledge. Therefore the soul, and causation, and time, and an external world, are all realities, given or involved in immediate experience. Kant, it is held, was wrong in denying what these statements assert, but he may have been right in regarding Space as subjective. Something must exist besides ourselves, but it need not exist beside us in space save for our own mode of perception. Apart from that, God is already proved as the Great First Cause, while He is also (Lotze) the principle of communication between the various real substances or monads which—on the analogy of human consciousnesscompose the real world, filled with an inner life of their own, if in most cases less intense than man's.

This is a purely empiricist Theism. It is untouched by idealism. The ontological argument is dismissed with scorn; God is not a "Gedankending". The author's Theism is untouched even by intuitionalism (unless in morals); and that is more unusual. Is it not an unsophisticated type of empiricism which finds necessary connexion as well as sequence in causality? And is the author not somewhat arbitrary in deciding what to accept from Kant and what to reject? Perhaps we might show him to be self-contradictory. Still it is probable that every thinker must accept much from Kant, but must also—in the interests of religion, and for other reasons—correct him in much. The line is hard to draw; and Dr. Schwarzkopff's drawing of it provokes thought if also dissent.

There follows a "teleological" proof in "confirmation" of results reached. This deals largely with the difficulties caused by pain and death, but does not touch the problem of moral evil. Next comes a "moral" proof, and lastly the "Christological". The author himself seems to feel that he has less that is novel to say on these latter points. He adds an appendix on Immortality, and one repelling the arguments for the "subjectivity" of time and causality stated by a recent Kantian, Deussen, who (apparently) is of those who take the categories to be "brain functions" (p. 118). We may be pardoned for adding that the somewhat ostentatiously elegant Roman type used is trying to the eyes.

Mr. Gant is a surgeon of distinction, who has published repeatedly both on professional topics and on questions of religion and theology, discussing these latter from the point of view of a Christian and High Churchman. His present tract, like Dr. Schwarzkopff's, includes, along with Theistic arguments, an appeal to Christian revelation. But, unlike the German writer, Mr. Gant firmly maintains the old doctrine, that these are two distinct subjects, and that

Natural Theology is conclusive in itself, apart from any confirmation in the person and teaching of Christ. most striking thing in the book is its teleological statement. The author's knowledge enables him to show by forcible instances how extraordinary are the adaptations found in every living organism. At the same time he thoroughly accepts Darwin's views, and occasionally quotes Spencer (on points of evolution). He therefore takes the position that evolutionary process does not subvert Theism, but even confirms the old form of the Design argument. It is also of interest to notice that this Christian and orthodox man of science frankly disclaims the attempt to show that Genesis i. is scientifically exact. Unfortunately, the discussion of the Christian evidences is too fragmentary to be of service. One feels this especially when objections are given in greater detail than the replies to them. The author's own delight in the doctrine of the God-Man robs historical difficulties of all weight for him. But others may not be able to set them aside so lightly. At any rate, if they are to get no direct answer, why state them?

ROBERT MACKINTOSH.

Das Evangelium der Wahrheit. Neue Lösung der Johanneischen Frage.

Von J. Kreyenbühl, Doctor d. Philosophie. Bd. I. Berlin: C. A. Schwetschke und Sohn; London: Williams & Norgate, 1900. 8vo. Pp. 752. Price M.20.

THIS ponderous volume is a remarkable instance of misdirected energy and ingenuity. The author, who is a specialist in philosophy, feels that he must make some apology to his brother philosophers for turning his attention to a question of theological criticism. It is evidently a condescension of no small degree on his part. But he can easily justify his procedure. The Fourth Gospel is, after all, "one of the most important documents of the true, philosophic spirit" (p. 31). Of course the philosophical world cannot be expected to trouble itself about questions of New Testament Introduction. Whether the Fourth Gospel was written by the Apostle John, whether it is historically a trustworthy writing —these are problems of little value for the philosopher (p. 21). His concern is to take part in "the liberation of Christianity from the historical forms of the Church, and the introduction of a Christianity thus purified and emancipated as the highest power for transforming the process of culture into a process of redemption" (p. 22).

Baur is singled out for special praise as having attempted to interpret the Fourth Gospel from the philosophical standpoint. He understood far more clearly than modern theologians (of whom Harnack is evidently regarded as a typical representative) the essential meaning of the Christian Gnosis or philosophy of religion. For this Christian Gnosis is the transformation of the world process into a process of re-

demption. Baur recognised and proved the unity and continuity of all the phenomena in this department from the Fourth Gospel down to Schleiermacher and Hegel. But Baur did not go far enough. He only reached the point of showing that the author of this Gospel had subordinated the history to his favourite conception of the Logos as the absolute principle of life which was unfolded in the life of Iesus and His Messianic activity. He left unsolved the problem as to the author, the relation of the Gospel to Gnosticism, and so its position in the history of the Christian Church (pp. 26, 28). Baur's successors in the critical school have not carried the question any nearer solution. remained for our author, as a philosopher by profession, to grapple with the problem, and he does so with the utmost self-confidence. He promises that his philosophical treatment will rend the veil which ecclesiastical manipulation has hung over the question. It will rescue this Gospel from the jurisdiction of the New Testament Canon and "give it its true place in that great development of Christian Gnosticism which has for its complete purpose the making of that absolute religion of redemption which has arisen in Christianity to be the principle and foundation of a new scientific view of the world and a new and scientific shaping of life" (p. 30). But the investigation is to be itself a contribution to the true philosophy. The author's sole interest is the promotion of what he calls "positive personalism" (Personalismus). One thing is needful for our distracted generation, "entrance into one's self, clearness regarding one's self and the dominion of a will which thrusts back into their menial position those slaves to the regulations (Ordnungen) of culture who have become masters" (p. 53).

Kreyenbühl comes to close quarters with the problem by examining the evidence of Irenæus, Papias and Polycarp for the Fourth Gospel. His treatment of Harnack's weighty arguments for the value of the testimony of Papias is thin and superficial. His method of estimating quotations from the Gospel betrays ignorance of the habits of thought which prevailed in the Early Church. A notable instance of this is

his discussion of the reference to John xiv. 2, found in Irenæus, v., 36, I (pp. 61-62). We have the first foreshadowing of his own theory in a sentence in which he charges Holtzmann with a complete misapprehension of the situation in placing Gnostic testimonies to the Fourth Gospel merely on the same level as those of the ecclesiastical tradition. This is "one of the most cardinal errors of which scientific investigation has been guilty in the Johannine question" (p. 83).

After this warning and a preliminary discussion of the assertion of Eusebius that Basilides had written twenty-four books είς το εὐαγγέλιον, books which our author believes to have been a commentary on "John," we are not so surprised to learn that this gospel "came to light for the first time in those heretical circles" to which Basilides belonged (p. 90). Nay, more. The reason why Irenæus takes such pains to claim apostolic authorship for this writing is that he may rob the Gnostics of a very formidable weapon which they had the right to call their own. It is none other than that $\epsilon \dot{v}$ αγγέλιον της \dot{a} ληθείας which, as Irenæus informs us, the Valentinians had composed (p. 120). But here a difficulty confronts the theory, What is to be made of the Johannine Epistles? It would certainly require some audacity to assert that they are Gnostic in their fundamental character. Therefore the conclusion drawn by Krevenbühl from some very far-fetched reasoning is that the Gospel and First Epistle take wholly opposite standpoints, that in fact the writer of the Epistle controverts the evangelist in the most direct fashion. A criticism which reaches this particular result is capable of anything. Hence we are not surprised to find that Kreyenbühl, after a lengthy discussion of Christian Gnosticism in immediate connexion with Simon of Gitta whom he regards as its founder, discovers in one Menander of Antioch, a disciple of Simon's, the long-lost author of the Fourth Gospel (p. 368). Ex uno disce omnia.

H. A. A. KENNEDY.

Die Bergpredigt (Matt. v.-vii., Luke vi. 20-49), quellen kritisch und begriffsgeschichtlich untersucht.

Von D. C. F. Georg Heinrici. Leipzig: Verlag der Dürr'schen Buchhandlung, 1900; London: Williams & Norgate. Price 1s. 9d. net.

THIS is No. II. of the author's Beiträge zur Geschichte und Erklärung des Neuen Testamentes. It is a book of eighty-one broad pages and makes stiff reading, but it amply illustrates the qualities of the author as a careful and constructive student of the New Testament, in particular of the Synoptic Gospels. His main purpose in the Bergpredigt is to test what may, for brevity, be called the *literary* (as distinguished from the *oral*) theory of the origin of the Gospels. The test is applied within the limited, yet, for the purpose, sufficient area of the Sermon Logia (Matt. chaps. v.-vii., and Luke vi. 20-49) and the result is that the theory of literary dependence, whether of the one evangelist upon the other or of both upon a common source, falls short of the facts. The general negative moral is: Ex uno disce omnes. Mark comes into the discussion only incidentally in so far as he offers parallels of the Sermon Logia, and those who are impressed with the author's argument as regards the relations of Matthew and Luke will probably suspend their judgment on the total bearing of his criticism until they see it applied with equal exhaustiveness to the relations of Matthew and Mark. range the Bergpredigt is a sequel to the author's Entstehung des Neuen Testamentes (1899) and starts from the general position that a consideration of propagandism of the Primitive Church throws a direct light upon the origin and characteristics of our Gospels. There is of course nothing new in saying

that the Gospels both grew out of and in turn supplied the material for the early preaching. They were not primarily a means of information but a means of faith (Rom. x. 17). But the great difficulty of the literary problem and the dissonance of the "results" make it perhaps specially desirable just at present to make a clean sweep of the literary theories and lay again the foundation of the essential and religious unity of all the Gospels (John included). elements of this foundation may be stated as follows: (1) The need of the world to know what Jesus did and said. (2) The preaching of those who were evewitnesses and servants of the Word: (3) The memorable style of speech used by Jesus-Gnomes, Apophthegms, Parables, Parallelisms, Antitheses. (4) The desire of the preachers to be accurate and at the same time meet the needs of the hearers. (5) The freedom in the treatment of the material due both to the latter desire and to the individuality of the preacher or his reporter. (6) The confirmation of 4 and 5 in the wellknown testimony of Papias in Eusebius (H. E., iii. 39, 15) regarding Peter and Mark, and Matthew's collection of Logia. Heinrici would maintain that, even apart from the testimony of Papias we have in Luke i. 1-4, an accurate description of the soil in which alone products like our Gospels (especially the Synoptics) could grow. Each evangelist is a collector of the deeds and sayings of Jesus. He may know and use documents (Luke), but the particular theory of literary dependence that seems illustrated by one paragraph in a Gospel is confuted by the next. Substantially identical sayings or groups of sayings, found in different connections in two Gospels, would naturally be accounted for by the theory of a common literary source plus the individuality and separate purpose of each evangelist. But the theory of the common document, however natural, is not necessary and it does not cover the facts. Take any practically identical group in the Sermon, as in Matthew and Luke, notice in both reports the characteristic compactness and rhythm of the sentences. You could not say from the passages themselves which is nearer the original.

suppose it is Matthew. Luke then had Matthew or Matthew's source before him. But if so whence the wholly unnecessary alterations of mere words, why a jolt in the rhythm through some interpolated sentence, where no jolt was in the original, or why, to give but one instance, if Luke knew Matt. v. 32, does he take this Logion out of its good connection in Matthew and give it a place (Luke xvi. 18) where it seems irrelevant, and why does he omit the saving clause παρεκτὸς λόγου πορυείας. Or, reverse the supposition and you find that precisely the same kind of question meets you with reference to Matthew's procedure in relation to his original in Luke.

Heinrici has no a priori objection to theories of literary dependence but he says justly that, if such theories are inductive, the induction must be exhaustive of the facts. The general result of the Bergpredigt is to show that while there are some parts which might be explained by a theory of literary dependence, there are none that must and many that cannot. In saying so much we do not of course deny the likelihood that the authors of all our extant Gospels had access to documents, and made use of them. All Heinrici maintains is that no theory of mere transcription or judicious compilation does justice either to the individual stamp of each Gospel, or even to those facts of similarity between the Gospels to which such theories are supposed to be peculiarly relevant.

It is on the point relating to the similarities that Heinrici lays the main stress, and in connection with it he develops what may perhaps be considered the principal contribution of the Bergpredigt to a solution of the Synoptic problem. This contribution may be said to lie in the care with which, acting on the hints contained in the sayings of Papias, he traces in the evangelic records the signs of a desire to show the applicability of the Logia of Jesus to the needs of the Primitive Churches—in particular of the primitive missionaries and teachers.¹ In place of the theory of the dependence

¹ Note in both versions of the "Sermon" the frequent and obvious references to missionary experiences (Matt. v. 11 ff., vi. 9 ff., vii. 6, 15 ff,

of one evangelist upon another or of both (re Matthew and Luke) upon a common literary source Heinrici is disposed to put the theory of a separate growth and setting of wellremembered sayings and groups of sayings in separate communities. Thus it lies near our hand to suppose that the Gentile Luke has a special eye to the circumstances of the Hellenistic Churches, while Matthew is at home among the Hebrew Christians of Palestine. Not that this theory There is the author's desire to be meets all the facts. accurate and full once he is launched on the enterprise of a record, there is his access to still living eye and ear witnesses to whom he may at any moment put the question: Do you remember any saying or "command" of the Lord upon this point? (1 Cor. vii. 6, ix, 14, cf. Luke x. 7, Matt. x. 10), and there is his own individuality. All that is claimed for the theory is that it accounts for the facts, to which the theory of literary dependence has been supposed (Heinrici thinks erroneously) to be peculiarly relevant, and for others which that theory leaves unexplained. Literary dependence might explain the close correspondence of the Synoptists per se. It might on this point be a rival on equal terms with the theory of a fluid tradition, in many streams, of many easily remembered

Luke vi .27 ff., 39 ff.). Consider also in both versions the uncertain historical framework. Was the "sermon" addressed to the multitude or to the disciples only or mainly? According to the Evv. it was addressed to both. Matt. v. 1 b, vii. 28, Luke vi. 19, cf. ver. 20. This indefiniteness tallies well with the supposition of a two-fold desire on the part of the Evv., on the one hand to give a specimen of the teaching of the Master revealing the notes of vividness and authoritativeness that impressed even the multitude, and on the other to show the hints it contained for missionaries and teachers. But if in our desire to conceive a definite historical situation (the delivery of an actual sermon consisting of the Logia gathered in Matt. v. to vii.), we insist on rubbing in the vague outlining of the Evv. it must be owned that the picture of Jesus at one moment speaking loudly to the multitude and the next lowering His voice so as to address an inner circle of "disciples" lacks naturalness and probability. The truth surely is that the Evv. have no interest in depicting a historic situation. What they mean to exhibit is what Jesus had to say to intending disciples and to teachers.

sayings and groups. But then the close correspondences go along with trivial often meaningless and merely verbal differences, and it is just this fact of trivial divergence on which more than on anything else the theory of literary dependence stumbles and falls to pieces. Suppose, e.g., Luke read in his source: "Be ye perfect, as your Father which is in heaven is perfect" (Matt. v. 48), why should he alter this to "Be ye merciful" (Luke vi. 36), surely he could have no objection to the Pauline word $\tau \acute{e}\lambda \epsilon \iota o \varsigma$. Or, contrariwise, suppose the Hebrew Matthew read in his source: "Be ye merciful," why, with Psalm xxxvii. 26 (cf. Matt. v. 42) in mind should he alter this to the vaguer "Be ye perfect?"

Or, take an example from a group of sayings. What could be more perfect in literary form or more characteristic of Jesus than the eight Beatitudes in Matthew v. 3-10. If Luke read them in his source, what *literary* motive could he have had for changing them into four blessings and four woes? Would even this evangelist's undoubted emphasis of the teaching on the dangers of wealth (chaps. xii., xvi.), induce him to take such a liberty with his original? Or could a Pauline evangelist who gives us the phrase "rich toward God," have any dogmatic objection to its counterpart "poor in SPIRIT?"

On the other hand, nothing could be more characteristic of Jesus than the four blessings and four woes of Luke vi. 20-22, 24-26. If Matthew read them in his source, is it for a moment conceivable that he would alter them into eight blessings? It is hardly possible to do justice to the Bergbredigt in a review. In the abstract the theory of a fluid tradition in different streams or tributaries is no more new than the theory of a primitive document. The merit of Heinrici's work is its grasp of detail, and the confinement of the discussion within the Logia of the Sermon makes it easier than it would have been in a work of wider range to focus the main points. This latter circumstance is likely to make the book peculiarly useful to students. They may be sure that the characteristics which Heinrici's exhaustive analysis reveals within the area of the Sermon Logia will be discoverable elsewhere in the Synoptic Gospels.

It will be in the first instance, for masters like Professors H. J. Holtzmann and P. W. Schmiedel, to say whether or not the arguments of Heinrici have undermined or unsettled the "literary" theories. With a complete written analysis before me of the arguments and examples of the Bergbredigtwhich it would be unfair to reproduce here even were there space for it-I may be allowed to say that Heinrici has made primâ facie a very strong case for supposing that the authors of our Gospels had to do primarily and, throughout, mainly with oral tradition verified and supplemented by still living eye and ear witnesses, and that their indebtedness to documents may be, on the whole, very slight and indirect. Even the temporary prevalence of such a view might have a useful effect in relieving students of the Gospels from the absorbing irksomeness of the task of sifting literary details and allowing them to lift their faces to the blue of heaven. and the breath unbroken of the divine word and spirit. Modern "ministers of the Word" know more probably of the value of documents in the service of their calling than the first evangelists could have done, yet times come when the manuscript of his sermon seems to the preacher something between him and the face alike of God and man, and, in a venture of faith, he throws it aside for a season. season let critics also be prophets. Let them leave documents. Let them see Jesus. Let them hear and preach the living Word of the living God.

LEWIS A. MUIRHEAD.

I. A History of the Church of Christ.

- By Herbert Kelly, Director of the Society of the Sacred Mission. London: Longmans, Green & Co., 1901. 8vo, pp. xi. + 329. Price 3s. 6d. net.
 - 2. Savonarola. (The World's Epoch-Makers' Series.)
- By Rev. George M'Hardy, D.D. Edinburgh: T. & T. Clark, 1901. 8vo, pp. x. + 273. Price 3s.
- 3. Francis and Dominic. (The World's Epoch-Makers' Series.)
- By Prof. J. Herkless, D.D., St. Andrews University. Edinburgh: T. & T. Clark. 8vo, pp. 237. Price 3s.
- 1. This is professedly a history of the Church of Christ: in reality it is an apology for the theory of Apostolic Succession. The facts are seemingly selected to buttress this theory; loose statements of the Fathers are strained; while many important facts, which one naturally looks for in a history of this kind, are either omitted altogether, or passed by with a bare mention. Mr. Kelly is severe even on the late Bishop Lightfoot. His Essay on the Christian Ministry cannot it seems have "the apparently justifiable deductions which Presbyterian and critical writers have placed upon it". In short, "at the meaning of the essay it is, in fact, impossible to guess". Such bold statements are calculated to whet the curiosity of the late Bishop's readers as to what Mr. Kelly's own theories are.

Mr. Kelly holds that presbyter and bishop in the New Testament are terms applied to the same persons. "Presbyter was the Judaic or Palestinian word; and bishop the Gentile: and that St. Luke followed the former use; St. Paul mainly the latter". But why Luke, who was probably

a Macedonian, should be so fond of Judaic terms is not made clear. At any rate Mr. Kelly holds that the New Testament bishop, the equivalent of the presbyter, soon became extinct. Clement of Rome (circa 96) was the last to use the words in this old sense. Then the monarchical bishop comes on the scene, and the origin and fount of his authority, it is vaguely said, must be sought "rather in ecclesiastical than scriptural history". Great stress is laid on the Ignatian letters; but not a hint is given that scholars like Ramsay, Sanday, and Allen hold that Ignatius was endeavouring to force a distinct innovation upon the Church. Ignatius never professes to give scriptural authority for his view. On the contrary he vehemently asserts it is a special revelation of the Spirit to himself; and his impassioned reiteration suggests that he was aware that his new idea would be reluctantly accepted. No proof whatever can be produced that bishops were ex officio supreme in his day. Though Mr. Kelly says "it seems hardly worth while to discuss seriously St. Jerome's remark (Ep. 146) that a bishop was originally the same as a presbyter except for the power of ordaining," he will find few modern scholars agree with his cavalier statement.

The author's views on the New Testament "prophet" also differ from most. He is inclined to hold that the prophet "had a ruling power over local Churches". Authorities like Professor Gwatkin and McGiffert deny this. The former says that the prophet's office "was purely spiritual, and there is nowhere any hint that he took a share in the administration of the Churches" (Hasting's, Bible Dictionary, i., 440).

Other points deserve notice. If some consider it antiquated now to say that Saul adopted his name Paul from Sergius Paulus the proconsul (p. 40), they will be bound to confess as original the notion that since the Ethiopian eunuch omitted to be confirmed, he would doubtless take an opportunity of doing so at his next annual visit (p. 34). It was not among the Gentiles (p. 48), but among the Jews that Paul had grown up (Acts xxvi. 4). It was Caligula, Domitian and Diocletian who loved to be called *Dominus* Vol. XI.—No. 5.

et Deus, and not Aurelian, Diocletian and Constantine. The date of Praxeas' visit to Rome was nearer A.D. 100. than A.D. 180. That Irenæus' journey to Rome was for episcopal consecration (p. 173) is said by Lipsius to be "an unproved assertion of some Roman Catholic authors" (D. C. B., iii., 255). Nothing in the passage of Eusebius quoted says so. On p. 174 we read, "Irenæus' great work, the Contra Hæreses, published between 182 and 185, of the greater part of which only Rufinus' Latin translation survives," etc. There are two serious blunders here. Contra Hareses in Latin has come down to us entire. and not merely "the greater part of it". This version, full of barbarisms, was evidently the work of some of the Celtic Clergy of Lyons, and was quoted by Tertullian against the Valentinians before 207 A.D. Rufinus was born about 150 years after that, and wrote, as everybody knows, in a clear and vigorous style; and though Jerome says he used to drive away with the threat of a cudgelling "those who barked against him" it is not fair to lay the barbarous Latin of the Contra Hareses to his charge.

The following words are wrongly spelled: p. 46, Licaonia for Lycaonia; p. 62, Cananœans for Cananæans; p. 63, blocade for blockade; p. 84, Colossæ is wrong for Colossæ; p. 175, devisible should be divisible. On page 41 there is a misprint. The Jewish lesson for that date is not Isaiah i. 27, but Isaiah i. 1-22.

^{2.} Dr. M'Hardy's Savonarola relates most interestingly the story of the wonderful life and the tragic death of the notable Florentine preacher. The writer follows, but not slavishly, the lines of Villari, and where he has diverged from these, we are not sure it is always to advantage. For instance, no reasonable ground exists for accepting Poliziano's coloured account of what happened at Lorenzo's deathbed. Poliziano, who was Lorenzo's favourite friend, would naturally modify or be silent on what told against the Magnificent. But Cinozzi, and Pico, and the Biografia Latina agree in relating that Savonarola said, that before

confessing Lorenzo he had three things to say to him. These were: (I) that he should have a living faith in God's mercy; (2) that he should restore the money he had embezzled from the Monte della Fancuille; (3) that he should give Florence back her liberties. When Lorenzo turned his face to the wall, Savonarola left without either confessing or absolving him. There is no reason for rejecting this, and it is significant that admirers of the Medici, like Ranke and Von Reumont modified their opinions about not crediting this account. Apart from this matter of opinion, the volume deserves a cordial welcome.

3. Dr. Herkless has given us a very valuable contribution in his Francis and Dominic. After Sabatier's charming volume little remains to be said about Francis: and the materials for Dominic's life are somewhat scanty. Accordingly we have here a summary of the Lives of Francis and Dominic; and then follows a succinct account of the Mendicant Orders founded by these two extraordinary men. Contrary to the usual idea, Dominic was a humane and humble man, with none of Francis' daring originality. His mind perceived the needs of the time; he readily adopted the ideas of other men; and he had a genius for organisation. Dominic had nothing to do with the Inquisition. That was not set afoot till after his death. But when his Order became the chief agents of the Inquisition, their fanatical chroniclers were anxious to invoke their Founder also as an Inquisitor. So far as we know, however, neither Dominic nor Francis relied on anything save reasoning and persuasion, and would have been certain to condemn the cruel practices of their followers.

Dr. Herkless shows vividly how the Franciscans and Dominicans came to the front by their enthusiasm and zeal; how rapid was their spread, and how great their early popularity; and how they corrupted themselves and finally fell through worldliness and departure from their founders' ideals. The volume is enriched by valuable sketches of

leading members of the two Orders. Alexander of Hales, Bonaventura, Raymond Lully, and Duns Scotus represent the Franciscans; while Albertus Magnus and Thomas Aquinas represent the Dominicans. Perhaps the most interesting of all is Roger Bacon, the enthusiastic student of science at a time when superstition was too rampant even to tolerate experiments or discoveries. Bacon, in a letter to the Pope, pathetically relates his difficulties: "How often I was looked upon as a dishonest beggar, how often repulsed, how often put off with empty hopes, and what confusion I suffered within myself, I cannot express to you. Even my friends did not believe me, as I could not explain the matter to them. Reduced to the last extremities, I compelled my poor friends to contribute all they had, and to sell many things, and to pawn the rest, and I promised them I would send to you all the details of the expenses, and would faithfully procure full payment at your hands. And yet, owing to their poverty, I frequently abandoned the work, frequently I gave it up in despair and forbore to proceed." One wonders if these burning words gave Balzac the seed idea for his renowned La Recherche de l'Absolu. Both these volumes of "The World's Epoch-Makers" reach a high standard of excellence in matter and method alike.

JOSEPH TRAILL.

Der Christliche Gottesbegriff.

Beitrag zur speculativen Theologie von R. Rocholl D. Göttingen: Vandenhoeck & Ruprecht; London: Williams & Norgate, 1900. Cr. 8vo, pp. xvi. + 371. Price 10s.

System der Christlichen Hoffnung.

Von Lic. Dr. Gottlieb Mayer, Pfarrer in Jüterbog. Leipzig: A. Deichert'sche Verlagebuchhandlung Nachf. (Georg Böhme), 1900. 8vo, pp. vi. + 230. Price 3s.

THE treatise Der Christliche Gottesbegriff, as the writer explains in a most interesting and instructive preface, is not a Dogmatic, nor is it an attempt to evolve a theology out of the believing consciousness. The author limits himself strictly to the investigation of the idea of God. He wishes to show what wrong methods have been used to reach this idea, and what wrong notions have been formed as to the task that is here presented. The great hindrances in the way of attaining to a true conception of God have been Thomism and Pantheism. He blames severely the recent Thomist revival in the Roman Church for retarding the progress of theological science in this department. The most approved Romish theological text-books of the day attribute the errors of Lammenais, Bautain, Gioberti, Hermes and Günther to their depreciating of scholasticism, especially that of Thomas. So Gutberlet, for example, represents God as physically as well as metaphysically simple, absolutely unchangeable, actus purissimus. But even within that Church voices are making themselves heard in condemnation of the scholasticism which has received the Papal imprimatur. Quite recently Schanz has shown how Kuhn demanded in the interest of science that the domination of Aristotle must be overthrown. Rocholl also holds with von Hartmann that during the nineteenth century Pantheism has been the secret religion of Germany. It was introduced into dogmatic by Schleiermacher, and with it a false spiritualism before which everything concrete as reality disappeared.

In the Introduction (pp. 1-47) Rocholl takes a look round to see how the land lies. He divides it into three sections. (1) A historical survey under which he reviews philosophical errors in Heraclitus, the Platonists, Aristotle, Descartes, and from Spinoza to Schelling, von Hartmann and Pantheism; and theological aberrations in Origen, the Alexandrine Gnostics, the Areopagite, Thomas, and from Schleiermacher to Pflei-(2) A logical investigation in which he treats of representation and idea, discussing immediate knowledge and feeling, Kant and Wundt, and the cognitive organ and category, dealing with double consciousness, etc., Fortlage, Fichte, Paulsen. (3) The laying of the theoretical foundation, life as mystery, as movement, as formation. Here we have some curious and rather theosophical speculations about numbers. In the mystery of life the number three plays an important part. In the general development we have thesis, antithesis, synthesis; and in the personal life the three moments, physical, intellectual, ethical.

The body of the work is divided into two parts: (1) The Immanent Relations (pp. 51-189), and (2) The Transient Relations (pp. 190-323). The first section of the first part (pp. 54-108) deals with the divine life and development. After an extremely interesting and informing sketch and criticism of the scholastic treatment of the doctrine of the divine nature and attributes, in which he passes in review Anselm, Abelard, Thomas, Quenstedt, Martensen, Dorner, Philippi, Frank, Rocholl proceeds to discuss the natural and the personal life, laying great stress upon the fact that man's body is an integral part of his being and that his body as well as his spirit bears the divine image. In this chapter and in the one following on consciousness and personality there are many suggestive discussions of the most vital questions in anthropology and psychology, and much admirable and incisive criticisms of current theories. The chapter on the divine life as unity is particularly rich in its examination of the personality and attributes of God. The doctrine of the trinity is treated in two chapters, historically and critically.

We must pass over the second section which treats of the divine life and its manifestation, discussing the inner and outer glory of God, of the seven forms of the Doxa, in the style of Delitzsch, and of the land of glory. In this there is much which seems rather mystical and indefinite.

The third section deals with the divine life and revelation. We have here a fruitful examination of the true idea of the life of the creature and the real meaning of creatureship. Rocholl criticises and rejects the monistic theory on the ground that it involves a confusion between a formal unity and a real one. The unity postulated is unreal, a mere abstraction of thought. It is empty, so that out of it nothing Our author traces the history of pantheistic can come. encroachment into the domain of theology from Neo-Platonism through Spinozism down to Schelling and Hegel. Even such well-meaning and evangelical theologians as Liebner, Dorner and Güder have failed to rise above pantheistic presuppositions, and so they mix up God with His creation. As for Biedermann and Lipsius, they make creation so essentially a self-unfolding process of the absolute substance that the world is but the externalisation of God, and is therefore eternal as He is. In opposition to this Rocholl affirms the inner fulness of God, and maintains that only as we hold by the idea of the divine self-sufficiency shall we escape from the notion that God must of necessity create a world. That there is a need in God craving for that satisfaction which He gets only when He has called a world into being, is the root error of pantheism. As the writer says in a later part of his work: "We understand the world only if we recognise it as the result of God's absolute need of nothing, without which God could not be really free simple love". It is very often said that God as love must create a world of intelligent beings. If this were necessary in order to satisfy a want in His own nature, would He be God and would His nature be love?

To most readers undoubtedly the most interesting part of

the whole book will be the second main division, which treats of God's transient relations. We have here really the Christian doctrine of God and the world—a Weltanschauung of a comprehensive character in a thoroughly compact and systematic form. Our author makes a threefold distribution. As Origen looked upon the universe of created things as forming three concentric circles, the circle of the Father, the natural creation, the circle of the Son, the rational creation, the circle of the Spirit, the ethical new creation, the domain of the Church, and as Thomas sees in the Father pre-eminently Might, in the Son Intelligence, in the Spirit Goodness; so also Rocholl falls back on a trinitarian distribution connecting it with the moments in life, the physical, intellectual and ethical.

The very chapter headings of the section on the work of the Father—The Idea of the World, the World of Darkness, the World of Chaos, the Material World, the Creation of Man, the Meaning and Destiny of Man, the Fall of Man—show how comprehensive the treatment of the subject is. And though all these subjects are dealt with in less than fifty pages no essential point is overlooked and many exceedingly interesting and fruitful questions are raised.

In the second section on the work of the Son, the author restricts himself to his proper subject, the Gottesbegriff, so that he does not give an account of redemption as he would have done had he been writing a Dogmatic. He speaks of educative influences in national life, of the Gentiles, of the Jews, of the Logos, of the Greek mysteries and heathen sacrifices, of the Wisdom doctrine and the Jewish worship, of the Son of man, incarnation, kenosis. The pages in which Rocholl sets forth his ideas about the union of the two natures in Christ's person are specially deserving of study. At one place he speaks as if the Son incarnate concealed the fulness of divine life and power which he possessed. In the end, however, though repudiating decidedly the kenosis theory, as a retrograde movement, he refuses to theorise, and much prefers to stand still in reverent silence before the mystery.

There is much in the third section on the work of the

Spirit about the origin, development, sifting and consummation of the Church, over which we might be inclined to linger. But we can only in passing call attention to its importance.

In a historical appendix (pp. 326-362) we have an outline of the *Gottesbegriff* of the doctors of antiquity, of the Middle Ages, and of modern times, a well-conceived and well-proportioned sketch, beginning with Gregory Nazianzen and ending with the younger Fichte and his school.

The standpoint of the writer is that of an orthodox Lutheran who holds by the Formula of Concord. He is thoroughly familiar with the theological and philosophical systems of earlier and later times, and quotes from books and articles which appeared as late as 1900. It is a book that will well reward careful study. The style, too, it may be added is unusually bright and attractive.

In his System of Christian Hope, Dr. Gottlieb Mayer has given us a very readable and interesting treatise. He starts his prolegomena with the proposition that the subject deserves separate and special treatment because Christianity is the religion of hope, seeing that no other religion has any well-defined hope of the future, while this is an essential characteristic of the Christian religion. best non-Christian religions may afford ground for occasional vague surmises as to a possible hereafter of bliss, but it is certainly true that in none is there present what can strictly be styled a hope, in the sense of a confident expectation that admits of no doubt. Particular periods in the history of the Church stand out prominently as periods in which the Christian hope was realised in a specially vivid The Apostolic and Post-Apostolic manner and degree. Ages and the times of persecution down to Constantine, and again the Reformation Age, stand out as periods of that sort; whereas the long thousand years of the Middle Ages and the Post-Reformation centuries have been characterised by spiritual coldness and corresponding feebleness in the expression given to the Christian hope. Not in Pietism nor

among the sects, but in the hymns and sacred songs of the Church did this hope maintain a lively and life-inspiring form. A thoroughly exhaustive review of the treatment given to the doctrine of hope in exegetical literature, New Testament theology, dogmatics, ethics and monographical writings, shows that there is room and call for a systematic treatise on this subject. After showing its relation to the other theological disciplines, Mayer proceeds to the distribution of his theme. The system of the Christian hope is treated under seven divisions: (1) Its Nature; (2) Its Origin and Development in the Subject; (3) Its Contents; (4) Its Foundations; (5) Its Practical Significance; (6) The Christian Hope as Virtue; (7) The Fulfilment of the Christian Hope. This distribution seems a very natural and suitable one, and brings out conveniently and in good proportion all the points which, it would seem, should be included. The third, fourth and fifth chapters are particularly good, and contain much that is at once instructive and edifying. Under the third chapter we have, the future of the individual personality, of the natural world, of the kingdom of God. Under the fourth, the objective, subjective, and objective-subjective foundations. And under the fifth, we have the hope's significance as evidence of faith, as motive and power unto holiness, as motive and power to the fulfilling of our calling, as motive of the Christian view of nature and the world, as motive and power for working together for the kingdom of God, and as comfort in suffering and death.

The book is a thoroughly sound and useful piece of work, showing, on the part of the author, capable scholarship and a genuinely religious spirit.

JOHN MACPHERSON.

Das Leben Jesu bei Paulus.

Von Richard Drescher. Giessen; J. Ricker. Pp. 65. Price 2s. net.

Die Bildersprache Jesu in ihrer Bedeutung für die Esforshung Seines inneren Lebens.

Von Heinrich Weinel, Privatdozenten der Theologie in Bonn. Giessen: J. Ricker. Pp. 49. Price Is. 6d. net.

THE task which the author sets before him is one in which he has had many predecessors. It has been discharged for English readers by Dr. Knowling in a manner that leaves nothing to be desired in his Witness of the Epistles, and with a thoroughness to which the present brochure lays no claim. Still, within brief compass, Drescher sets before us the copious materials bearing upon the life of Jesus contained in the Pauline Epistles, limiting himself, however, to Galatians, I and 2 Corinthians, Romans, and Philippians, the authenticity of which he considers to be incontestable. Without passing a decisive verdict on the inquiry whether Paul actually saw Christ in the flesh, he takes him for a contemporary, and therefore regards him as a standard witness for the life of Jesus. He treats Galatians, 1 and 2 Corinthians and Romans-Baur's four undisputed Epistles-together, and Philippians by itself.

He groups his materials under these heads: (1) The Beginnings of the Life of Jesus; (2) The Life of Jesus in the Narrower Sense; (3) The Sufferings and Death of Christ; (4) The Resurrection, Exaltation and Second Coming of Christ. He discusses the subject with great fairness and candour, and when he admits so much as regards Paul's testimony to Christ's pre-existence, supernatural character, resurrection and exaltation, and presence with His Church,

one wonders that he does not ascribe to him a belief in His supernatural birth and His essential divinity.

Weinel's brochure is an interesting and suggestive study of the figurative language of Jesus as disclosing to us His mental growth during the unrecorded years of His earthly life, and giving us glimpses into the spiritual development of the Son of Man during His public ministry. "The deposit of those years," he says of the years passed over in the Gospel narrative, "reveals itself in the figures He employs." From these figures he shows how we can build up the external world with which Jesus was familiar—the homely life of the quiet country village, the house with the single chamber where the family gather in the evening by the light of the lamp which lights up the whole house, the mansion of the rich farmer who has many servants and hires labourers for the day besides, at whose gate the poor beggar lies while within there is gladness and revelry. The imagery so natural to Jesus is altogether different from that reflected in the teachings of St. Paul—the town-bred Rabbi, the artizan whose life has been lived in Greek and Grecian cities. From this point of view the figurative language of Jesus is a powerful evidence for the genuineness of the Gospel records as a whole. As the invention of a later time when Christianity had become the religion of the lower orders in the cities of Greece, and when Palestinian Christianity had approximated closely to the Pharisaic type of Judaism, the imagery and the parables employed by Jesus would be simply an impossibility. So, too, the inner and spiritual world of Jesus disclosed by these figures is set forth by our author. Jesus has no break with the past to look back upon as had St. Paul. There is no doubt a tone of severity to be heard at times in His teaching, but more often the flowers have told Him of the Father who clothes them, and the mustard seed and the birds of the air of the Kingdom of God. There is nothing of the ascetic or the recluse about Jesus. The imagery and the parables employed by Jesus in His teaching, however,

help to mark the stages of His spiritual development, and bring home to Himself the great realities of His mission. The field on which the sower goes forth to sow with its various kinds of soil is a revelation of God to Jesus of the fortunes of His Gospel in the world. The parable of the seed growing of itself is calculated to comfort a teacher like Jesus who cannot Himself tarry to tend the seed but must leave it to the fructifying influences upon which it is cast. In this little treatise there are acute criticisms of Jülicher and his work on the parables, and in the notes valuable suggestions and criticisms of current literature on the same subject.

THOMAS NICOL.

The Historical New Testament.

Being the Literature of the New Testament arranged in the order of its literary growth and according to the date of the documents. A new Translation. Edited with Prolegomena, Historical Tables, Critical Notes, and an Appendix. By James Moffatt, B.D. Edinburgh: T. & T. Clark, 1901. 8vo, pp. xxvii. + 726. Price 16s.

SECOND NOTICE.

Mr. Moffatt's object, as he expresses it briefly in his Preface, is to "arrange that selection of early Christian literature which is known as the 'New Testament' in the order of its literary growth, and at the same time to indicate the chief grounds upon which such an order may be determined or disputed". This he describes as "apart from the translation, the main feature of originality" in his pages. He attaches importance, indeed, to the translation, and speaks of it also as in some sense distinctive of his volume. But it is the constructive idea that he throws into the foreground, and with justice. It is not necessary, therefore, to say much about the translation. It occupies a large part of the book, however, and it would be wrong to leave it unnoticed.

It must be confessed that this part of his work is done with much care. Mr. Moffatt has taken great pains with it. He has given all due attention to the question of text. He has studied to be true to grammar, idiom, context, and all that goes to faithfulness of interpretation and sufficiency of phrase. He has achieved some successes in his renderings. He has also done something to familiarise the English reader with the "rhythmical and rhetorical features" which he recognises in the style of the New Testament writings. But on the whole the outcome does not appear to be great enough

to compensate for the labour expended and the amount of space occupied. There are indeed felicities in translation here and there. We might refer specially to such chapters as I Cor. xv. in illustration of this. There are some things which are made plainer by Mr. Moffatt's rendering than they are either in the Authorised Version or the Revised, and passages might be cited in which certain faults of the latter Version are avoided. But renderings of such quality are more than counter-balanced by others of a different kind. There are not a few which suffer from another form of literalism or overdone preciseness. Mr. Moffatt, indeed, has no wish to stand for purism, or to run into the mistakes of a pedantic reproduction of terms. "An absolutely literal version," he tells us, "is often the most inaccurate." But his efforts in striving after independence in his renderings lead him astray at times, and there are occasions on which he offends somewhat in taste.

Few, we should think, would be prepared to defend "good gracious, Lord!" as a representation of Peter's protest in Matthew xvi. 22, that could claim to be superior in propriety or even in fidelity to that of the Authorised Version and the Revised. Nor can we say that the same Apostle's words at the Transfiguration (Matt. xvii. 4) are better reproduced in Mr. Moffatt's "It is fine for us to be here" than in the "It is good for us to be here" of the Authorised Version and the Revised Version. Nor again does one readily adjust himself to having the term "Church" displaced by "Community," "on this rock I will build my Community" (Matt. xvi. 18); "imitators of the Communities of God" (I Thess. ii. 14), etc. And what profit is there in substituting "all who are Christ's at His arrival" for "they that are Christ's at His coming" (I Cor. xv. 23)? Or in changing the familiar "blessed" in the Beatitudes into "happy"—"Happy the poor in spirit;" "Happy the mourners;" "Happy the gentle?" etc. Or in asking us to read in the solemn declaration in Matt. xi. 27, "No one understands the Son . . . nor does any one understand the Father" for "no one knoweth the Son?" etc. Or even in giving us "Reign" for "Kingdom"

in the great terms "Kingdom of heaven," "Kingdom of God?" etc. That is awkward in such sentences as "You shall not enter the reign of heaven" (Matt. xviii. 3), and at best it cannot be uniformly carried out. In Matt. viii. 11. 12, e.g., we get "the heavenly realm," "the sons of the realm". Or again, is there much need for substituting "not an iota, not one upstroke of a letter" for the familiar "one jot or one tittle?" (Matt. v. 18), or for favouring us with such transliterations as Kapharnahum for Capernaum? No doubt it may be claimed for these and other renderings that they reproduce the original with a stricter fidelity. But is anything gained by such literalisms? On the whole it is to be regretted that an arrangement could not be made for the use of the Revised Version, or that some other plan was not adopted. The preparation of this translation has no doubt been a profitable though a laborious task to the author himself. It has given him a new insight into many passages. But it brings no great gain to the reader.

But it brings no great gain to the reader.

But we may pass from this to other asp

But we may pass from this to other aspects of this learned book. Of these, as we are given to understand, the most distinctive in the author's own view is that which is expressed in the title "The Historical New Testament". In a previous number of this review we said something in abatement of the claim to any extraordinary originality or anything very much out of the way in this. The idea in which Mr. Moffatt himself sees the "main feature of originality" in his work, is itself, however, a very sensible one. It may be said of it, indeed, that it is probably the best to apply to such studies, although, as we have said, Mr. Moffatt exaggerates its claim to superior value, and imagines it to yield greater results than it does. He has laid us under obligation, however, by the exposition which he gives of his leading idea or principle—that of giving the New Testament literature in the chronological order of its parts, in its historical setting, and in the order of its growth. does this at length and with much ability in the valuable Prolegomena, extending over some seventy-five pages. This part of his work is sometimes rather difficult to follow.

it repays close consideration. It contains much suggestive matter. It opens up lines of inquiry which bring us to the heart of things. It directs attention to questions of great importance which cannot be ignored. It gives a very good statement of the reasons for seeking to arrange the New Testament writings in their historical order and to give a genetic account of them. These reasons Mr. Moffatt finds partly in "the general nature and requirements of historical study," partly in the "special nature of the New Testament Canon"; and on these he makes some fruitful remarks. The thing of chief interest, however, in the Prolegomena is the discussion of the relations in which the narrative of an event stands to the event itself, the personality, environment and mental standpoint of the narrator to the things he reports. There is an "interval" between the date of the subject of which any historical narrative treats, and the date of the composition of that narrative. There is also a difference in view point between the person or persons whose careers are related, and that of the person or persons who construct the relation. Mr. Moffatt goes carefully and courageously into the difficult questions suggested by these things—how they affect our knowledge of the subject of a narrative; to what extent allowance has to be made for the "personal equation" or the "contemporary equation"; what is really meant by a "contemporary" document; in what measure the actualities of the things reported are modified by the colouring which they necessarily take from the reporter's mind and pen; whether it is possible for those of a later time, however short the interval, to get through any historical narrative direct to the persons and the events dealt with, and see them as they really were.

Mr. Moffatt says much on these questions which has to be kept in view. His tendency is to exaggerate their bearing on the New Testament books. He recognises that the case of the Epistles is in some important respects different from that of the Gospels. He sees very clearly that the credibility of the Gospels and the possibility of getting by their means a true and reliable view, a really objective view, of

the Christ of history, are the great matters at issue. He speaks well of what the Gospels are, of their origin and their function; of the difference between them and pure chronicles; of the selective and interpretative elements that appear in them; of their didactic aim and the personal vein that is in them; of the religious interest which expresses itself frankly in them; of the practical motive which their authors set before them; and of the witness which they bear to the present as well as the past. He describes very well what they are—not "relentless automatic photographs," but "pictures" or rather "portraits". And he admits that they are "objective records which represent with substantial accuracy the life and teaching of Jesus". He holds that they are that "first and especially," although they are also "something more".

Further he contends that if the "inevitable interval" that separates record from event has certain drawbacks, it has also certain compensating advantages. There is a sense in which it is a profit, not a defect. Lapse of time gives things in proper perspective. It is only "after such an interval of experience and reflection that the meaning and bearings of the life in question come out in their true and sure significance". He goes so far, indeed, as to claim that the Gospels in reality "do more for us, written between 65 and 105, than they would have done if composed before 35". On the other hand he thinks that the distance at which the narrative stands from the events makes the development of "less desirable qualities" possible. The didactic aim of the Gospels, while not necessarily involving "any deliberate looseness in reporting facts of history," seems to have fostered methods of adapting or creating narrative. Metaphor may be turned into fact; parable into "the clothing of external reality". Mr. Moffatt, indeed, refers to the cursing of the fig-tree as "a good example" of the way in which "misunderstandings of language are the origin of certain narratives". He speaks, too, of the "exaggeration of what were originally quite natural occurrences;" of the possible "creation of certain scenes" by the action of "the factor of contemporary and personal reference;" of "the naïve and actual attribution" to our Lord Himself "of ideas which were ultimately due to His spirit". Even the Synoptic Gospels are not simple records of fact but the products of "processes of reflection," representing "the dominant interests and activities of faith ".

The disadvantages, therefore, which are inevitably involved in the fact that there is a certain distance between narrative and event, as they are interpreted by Mr. Moffatt, are serious. We are left in considerable uncertainty as to how much of the evangelical narrative can be trusted as simple, objective fact; as to whether the Jesus even of the Synoptists is in deed and reality the Jesus of history, the Jesus who was seen and known in Galilee and Judea before the Gospels were written, or only the Jesus of the reflections of the men who made the primitive Church. We are left equally in doubt whether the words of life and hope which are enshrined in these records are indeed the words spoken by Him, or only words "naïvely attributed" to Him by those who understood His spirit. This we say is serious indeed. The situation thus created is full of doubt. What we want is not a Christ created by the Church, but the Christ who created the Church. And we feel that Mr. Moffatt leaves much out of account, and does not sufficiently distinguish where distinction is vital. It is not one picture that we have of Christ, and that a comparatively late one. We have four "portraits," as Mr. Moffatt calls them, which check, and confirm, and complement each other, and in three of these Gospels we have a common stream of narrative which brings us far closer to the original life with all its works and words than Mr. Moffatt appears to recognise. And neither in the three Synoptical Gospels themselves, nor in this common narrative which is in them and points behind them, do we discover the highwrought, complex, reflective, laboured compositions which they seem to be in Mr. Moffatt's pages. When we come down from these heights, and divest ourselves for the time of these fine notions of what the construction of the Gospels is, and read them through simply as we have them, most of these

uncertainties fall away from us. We see how simply and sincerely they tell their story. We feel that they are first and foremost unstudied, objective narratives, that they bring us face to face with the Person as He was, with the works which He did, with the words which He spake, "Paul understood the secret of Jesus," says Mr. Moffatt, "more thoroughly than many who had trodden the roads of Galilee in His company, and listened to His arguments and teaching in the synagogues; and the writers of the Christian biography were not necessarily placed at any serious disadvantage for their task and mission by the fact that their vision was one not of sight but of insight, not of memory but of sympathy." One does not need to be told that "Paul knew the secret of Jesus" better than many who saw more of Him in the flesh than Paul did. But that is beside the question. What we want first and foremost is solid fact. In matters of historical narrative there must be "sight" before there can be "insight," there must be credible fact before there can be interpretation, and before there can be the discovery of the "secret" of a life. "Sympathy" is good. It is an important element in the equipment of the historian. But the first question is not whether he has composed an appreciative and sympathetic history, but whether he has made sure of his facts and put us in a position to see them as they actually were.

Mr. Moffat makes too much of this "interval" between the composition of the Gospels and the occurrence of the events which they report. He makes too much, also, of the subjective element in them, the personal colouring, the contemporary equation. Subjective considerations weigh heavily with him in his own opinions. They are the determining things in many of the conclusions to which he comes. This is seen in his judgments on some of the books. It is seen most clearly of all in his judgments on particular passages. We shall give instances of this immediately. But the present point is that Mr. Moffatt greatly exaggerates the "interval" in question. If the work of our best and trustiest English scholars in recent times has been of any value, it is in show-

453

ing that in the Gospels we are not so remote from the events as Mr. Moffat supposes, and that the distance between the two does not carry with it the consequences which he attaches to it. This may be said also of much of the recent German work. The results of textual criticism have to be taken into account here as well as those of sober historical inquiry. In the state of the text we have a witness that speaks along with others to the very early date and the generally consentient character of the narratives. But Mr. Moffatt's method of viewing and using this idea of "interval," if applied to other literatures, would land us in invincible uncertainty. It would make it impossible for us to trust Wellington's Despatches, or to have any assurance that we know that great soldier himself and the events of his career as he and they really were.

We have said that Mr. Moffatt's criticism is to a large extent subjective. His bias is in the direction of placing the books as late as possible. He dates the genuine Epistles of Paul about 50 to 62; Mark before 75; Matthew, and Luke, and Hebrews from 75 to 90; I Peter somewhere in the seventh decade; Acts and the Apocalypse as we now have it from 90 to 105. In the Pastoral Epistles he recognises certain notes to Timothy and Titus, which belong to the period of the Apostle's genuine letters. But the Pastorals as a whole he refers to the first quarter of the second century, John's Gospel to between 95 and 115, the Johannine Epistles to much the same period as the Pastorals. He puts James and Jude a little later still, and 2 Peter after A.D. 150. And the way in which he puts his case indicates not unfrequently how his judgments are influenced by ideas of what is probable or improbable, of what is congruous or incongruous in supposed circumstances. But it is in the Appendix that this comes out most unmistakably. The Appendix "on the hypothesis of interpolation, compilation, and pseudonymity, in relation to the New Testament literature" is an able and interesting performance. In it, as in the Critical Notes, there is much valuable matter, an immense wealth of carefully digested reading, much searching criticism. There is a great deal in

it that is profitable and well-founded. But withal it abounds in statements and conclusions which have little or nothing behind them but subjective considerations, reasons drawn from the supposed fitness or unfitness of things. Mr. Moffatt starts in many cases with the idea that this or that could not have happened, this word and that could not have been spoken, at the time or in the circumstances in question. He concludes accordingly that the things were not done, that the words were not spoken at the time and in the form reported, but were "attributed" to the early period by the reflection and experience of a later stage. Instances of this meet us somewhat frequently.

It is true that Mr. Moffatt regards the "criticism of language, ideas and style," however important, to be but subordinate. There are occasions on which he works out a very strong case against the more theorising order of critics. And we see him at his best in this line of things when he has to deal with passages involving textual difficulties, or furnishing ground in the condition of the text for raising questions of place or originality. We may refer to the careful treatment of such sections as the close of the Epistle to the Romans, Luke xx. 15-20, xxii. 43, 44, John v. 3, 4, and certain passages in the Apocalypse, etc. But on the other hand, there are not a few cases in which, with little or no basis, either in the state of the text or in historical testimony, judgment is given against passages for reasons that are mainly or wholly subjective. I Thess. ii. 16b, e.g., is taken on the whole to be "an interpolation or editorial comment, like Ro. xi. 9, 10, written after A.D. 70". I Cor. xv. 56 "may easily be a marginal gloss". Mr. Moffatt seems to agree with Schmiedel, Drummond and others who set aside these well-known words about the "sting of death" and the "strength of the law". On what ground? Because they are "inappropriate here," sin and law having no special bearing on the mental situation of the Corinthians, and this particular view of the function of the law being supposed to be not earlier than 2 Corinthians and Romans.

So with regard to 2 Cor. vi. 14-vii. 1, Mr. Moffatt admits that

there is no MS, evidence for regarding the paragraph as of late date, and he might have added that there is no historical testimony to that effect. He seems to agree, nevertheless, with those who think it an "interjected piece which reads awkwardly"; and he says that "apart from a timid desire to adhere to the textual status quo, there is no reason for disputing so obvious an instance of interpolation". In Mark ix. 38, 40, we have "perhaps one of the few interpolations inserted (from Luke ix. 49-50?) by another hand," or possibly a "misplacement," as Keim thinks, by the writer of the Gospel. In the Sermon on the Mount, too, there is reason for suspecting at least Matt. v. 18, 19 as an interpolation. "The saying," remarks Mr. Moffatt, "seems to have belonged to the Logia, but in its present form represents a Jewish-Christian current of tradition in the early church. Jesus is correctly represented as repudiating iconoclasm. But would he have extended the ægis of his authority to the ceremonial details of the law without qualification?"

The great declaration on the ἐκκλησία in Matt. xvi. 18 is similarly dealt with. The question here is, as Mr. Moffatt puts it, "whether, with His belief in His own speedy return and the evident limits by which His outlook was beset, Jesus could have laid down the details of an ecclesiastical structure (Matt. xvi. 18, xviii. 15 f.) which presupposed a settled and expanding future; in a word, whether Jesus the religious idealist, the prophet, the martyr, was also the religious organiser". So also with the close of Matthew's Gospel. Mr. Moffatt speaks of it as "very tempting to regard, not merely 18b, but the whole commission, verses 18-20, or even 16-20, as a later addition . . . composed out of the developing 'catholicism' and christology of the church, and inserted as a conclusion to the Gospel". He confesses that there are drawbacks to this. He mentions "the absence of a textual basis and the abrupt state of what would be the original Matthew". He summarises, however, the arguments that are supposed to tell against the originality of the passage the "conception of Jesus as the source of authoritative rules and regulations," the idea of His spiritual presence (which, it

is thought, can scarcely be primitive), and more particularly the note of the universal mission, the incipient Trinitarianism, and the use of the baptismal formula. He seems to be in sympathy with these arguments, and speaks of the passage as forming in a certain event "a secondary tradition, due not to Jesus but to the later spirit of the Church". In numerous passages in Acts, the theory of glosses or interpolations is favoured (e.g., iv. 4, xvi. 5, xxi. 9, 30, xxii. 30-xxiii. 10, xxv. 3b), for reasons that are chiefly or entirely subjective. And the same style of criticism appears on a larger scale in other sections of the book. In the statement on the Pastoral Epistles, e.g., which is a very able one, and by no means neglects or subordinates evidence of a more objective kind, we have something of this. The position is taken, with regard to passages like I Tim. vi. 10, 20, 21, that to assign them to Paul before A.D. 67 would be "not merely to violently contradict the apostle's self-revelation in the other epistles, but to throw the whole development of early Christian ideas and institutions into gratuitous and inextricable confusion".

We have said enough, however, on this side of the book. Men's notions of the order in which "early Christian ideas and institutions" ought to have developed, of the thoughts that a man like Paul may have had or may not have had at one time or another, of the way in which his conceptions of Christian doctrine should have formed and grown, are very uncertain guides to conclusions as to the claims and dates of books or parts of books. It is little less than absurd to limit Paul and the other New Testament writers as some schools of critics are so fond of doing, and to assert that the great Apostle might have certain views of "law" and of "sin" at the date of 2 Cor. or Romans, but could not have had them so early as I Thess. How small is the interval after all that separates the latter writing from the former!

But the fundamental mistake is in thinking of schemes of thought in Paul's mind and making these the test of this and that in his letters, instead of looking at the occasion which called forth the letters and the particular things that Paul was called to take in hand and express himself upon when

457

he wrote to his Churches or his friends. Mr. Moffatt, who is capable of doing excellent service, will do it better if he makes less of these subjective methods and more of the objective evidence, textual and historical. What one misses in his able book is the evidence of any sufficient study of the historical testimonies. A patient, critical examination, carried out in Lightfoot's way, of all that early literature, Christian and non-Christian, orthodox and heretical, has to say about the books, is the foundation of all. These other considerations, which vary so much with critic and critic, have their place. The temptation is to give them more than their place and allow them to rule.

A word should be said of the Historical Tables with which the book is enriched. They are meant to show how the New Testament writings are connected both with one another and with the "main currents of contemporary thought and history". The first brings into our view the events in Roman and Judean history, and in Jewish, Greek and Latin literature, during the period B.C. 180-A.D. 30. The second carries this on to A.D. 100, and the third to A.D. 100. Then we get an outline of the Asmonean and Herodian dynasties, a historian's map of early Christian literature, a genealogy of the New Testament literature, a diagram of early Christian literature, a table exhibiting the sources of the New Testament literature, the most important ancient catalogues of the books, tables of Versions, MSS., Councils, etc. These have been prepared with great care, and place before us a vast mass of useful matter in bird's eye view. The most interesting, probably, is the one which deals with the sources of the New Testament. But there is much that is of value in them all. Mr. Moffatt deserves our cordial thanks for providing these adjuncts. They have cost him much labour.

S. D. F. SALMOND.

Notices.

To the Bishop of Durham we are indebted for a new volume with the title of Lessons from Work. It has the pathetic interest of a book which was meant to be dedicated to the writer's wife, and is dedicated to her memory. has now the still more pathetic interest of a work that has been followed, alas! too soon, by the death of the venerable and honoured writer himself. It consists of a series of twentyone papers, with some appendices. These papers give the opinions which Dr. Westcott had formed on questions which came under his consideration in the fulfilment of his work. They are of very different kinds, some dealing with questions specially concerning the Bishop's own Church, others with questions of interest to the Church generally; some with matters of Christian doctrine, Biblical Criticism and the like, others with questions of Temperance, Organisation of Industry, International Concord, Expenditure, and the like. All alike are considered in the light of the truth of the Incarnation, which always had the first place in Dr. Westcott's teaching, and was held by him to be the central fact of all history, "illuminating the problems which meet us alike in our daily work and in our boldest speculations". We are glad to hear him again on Biblical Revision, and to have his most mature judgments on the Revised Version of the New Testament. He says much that carries weight with it on the intellectual preparation of candidates for the ministry, on the study of the Bible, on the Christian attitude to war, etc. The paper on this last subject is particularly just and well considered. While admitting that war is inconsistent with the ideal of Christianity, he refuses to join with those who pronounce it

¹ By Brooke Foss Westcott, D.D., D.C.L. London: Macmillan & Co., 1901. Cr. 8vo, pp. x. + 451. Price 6s.

absolutely unjustifiable. He holds that "if we once recognise the universal conditions of life—personal, social, national, as they are—the conclusion appears to be inevitable that we must face the possibility of a just war". Further, he says that we have to remember that each nation "has some ministry committed to it: that its end is not aggrandisement, but service; that war is immeasurably better than the betrayal of its trust, the neglect of its duty towards those who rightly look to it for help and protection; that the use which is made of the opportunities brought by the close of a successful war is in some sense a measure of its righteousness". These papers, short as they are, give much food for thought. We are glad that they have been collected and published in their present form.

The Rev. Leighton Pullan, Lecturer on Theology, Oxford, adds to his previous publications a volume on The Books of the New Testament.1 It is "intended to meet the widely prevalent need of an introduction to the New Testament which is neither a mere handbook nor an elaborate treatise for specialists". It stands by the old positions, but it shows considerable acquaintance with recent critical studies, and strives to put the arguments proper to such a textbook in a popular and easily intelligible form. It is not a better book than some others with a somewhat similar object in view that might be named, e.g., Professor Adeney's. But it is done with care and good sense, and puts things in a clear and compact form. It presents a good general view of New Testament Introduction. It will have a special value for those belonging to the Anglican Church. It gives first a short statement of the way in which the New Testament, as we have it, came to be formed. This is followed by a chapter on the Gospels generally, in which the reader will get a concise and useful summary of the main points in discussion. Then each writing is treated separately in turn. In a series of appendices we have a table of the points of agreement arrived at by the more prominent Rationalist

¹London: Rivingtons, 1901. Cr. 8vo, pp. viii. + 295. Price 4s. 6d. net.

critics of the writings of St. John during the last sixty years. a brief account of Papias and John the Presbyter, the Muratorian Fragment, a list of early witnesses to the New Testament writings, and a table of books recommended. This last table is by no means complete. It gives only books by English scholars and English translations of foreign works. Even so limited it has considerable deficiencies. On Textual Criticism, e.g., the only books named are Lake's small shilling textbook and Nestle's volume; under Introduction, only Godet and Salmon are mentioned. In Mark. Luke and John the only commentaries noticed are those by Swete, Plummer, Godet and Westcott, Under Hebrews we miss Professor A. B. Davidson's volume and others. On most questions Mr. Pullan's position is strictly conservative. Mark's Gospel is referred to A.D. 62, Matthew's to 60. Luke's to 70-75, John's to 80-90. The Pastoral Epistles are placed at A.D. 63-64; Hebrews at 66; I Peter at 64, and 2 Peter at 65. The earliest book is held to be the Epistle of James, dated at A.D. 50; the latest is the Book of Revelation, put at 96. That the "Galatians" are the people of South Galatia is held to be "almost certainly correct". The leading arguments for and against the particular conclusions adopted in the book are usually stated with commendable fairness, so that the reader can judge for himself.

The second edition of Jülicher's important contribution to the interpretation of the Parables of our Lord 1 brings the work thoroughly up to date. The book is one of great value and the author is to be congratulated on the success which it has already won. The first part had the honour of passing into a second edition in three years. We hope to see a new edition of the second part called for speedily. Professor Jülicher's discussions of the historical credibility of the parables, their nature, purpose and value, are of marked ability, thorough, cautious and informing. One misses some

¹ Die Gleichnisreden Jesu. Von. D. Adolf Jülicher, Professor der Theologie in Marburg. Erster Teil. Die Gleichnisreden Jesu im Allgemeinen. Zweite neu bearbeitete Auflage. Freiburg i. B.: J. C. B. Mohr; London: Williams & Norgate. 8vo, pp. 328. Price M.7.20.

things, e.g., an adequate consideration of the questions raised regarding their classification. But there is an excellent sketch of the history of their interpretation. This is done at length and is of great interest. The list of English writers who are passed in review, though by no means complete, is considerable, and shows real insight into their several qualities and points of view. The advance made by Dr. A. B. Bruce's work on Trench's is fully recognised. Among the best things in the historical section of the volume are the estimates of the services of Bucer, Calvin, Maldonatus, Van Koetsveld and B. Weiss to the proper understanding of the parables. Professor Jülicher's book is one for the student to have always at hand. The detailed exegesis of several parables with which the second part 1 is occupied, leaves little unnoticed that is of any value. It is the most important addition that has been made to this branch of Biblical study for many years. It follows in the track of the best interpreters, and aims at giving a strictly scientific exposition of all the parabolic sections of the Synoptical Gospels. Professor Jülicher's exegetical method and principles have not been received, it is true, with universal approbation. They have been assailed by Professor W. Bousset and others, but they seem in the main sensible and just. His interpretations are not such as we can accept in every case at once. They are at times sufficiently open to question. But they have always much to teach us. They break away from the fanciful, though often pleasing and edifying, style of interpretation which was long in the ascendant, especially in England. They burst the bonds of Patristic authority and do much to bring us back to a view of the parables which is content to look at them in the light of their historical circumstances, their immediate occasion, and their application to the position of those to whom they were originally addressed.

A subject to which too little attention has been given has been dealt with in a suggestive way by Dr. Edward Carus

¹Zweiter Teil. Auslegung der Gleichnisreden der drei ersten Evangelien. Freiburg i. B.: J. C. B. Mohr; London: Williams & Norgate, 1899. 8vo, pp. viii. + 643. Price M.12.8o.

Selwyn, Headmaster of Uppingham School, in his volume on The Christian Prophets and the Prophetic Apocalypse. With some reason the author speaks of his subject as one that relates to "the most important body of teachers and the most characteristic kind of teaching which have ever escaped notice, in their specific features, by theologians ancient and modern". It is strange that this important field of study should have been so persistently overlooked, and that the prophets of the New Testament should have been so little regarded when so much has been done for our appreciation of their predecessors of the Old Testament. Dr. Selwyn does something to remove the reproach. He sets these prophets forth as an order (I Cor. xii. 31, xiv. 40), as men possessed of one of "the greater gifts," as more than preachers only on the one hand and more than chiliasts on the other, as the "nucleus round which gathered the first elements of Christianity". In working out his argument he gives first a short historical outline of Christian prophecy from the forms in which it appears in the New Testament writings on to its decay in Tertullian's time. He devotes next a special chapter to the chronology and circumstances of Montanism, and then proceeds to deal at considerable length with the Apocalypse of John—its nature, its relations to other Jewish writings and to the Fourth Gospel, its leading ideas, the seer-elder, etc. His object is to show the continuity of the prophetic gift from Jewish to Christian times, how wide was the difference between the evangelist and the prophet, elder or seer, how the prophetic order became enfeebled in the first half of the second century, and how in the Churches a conflict naturally arose between Prophecy and Order, which had its chief theatre in Asia Minor. There is a great deal in this book that is fresh and interesting and provocative of thought. are at the same time a good many doubtful positions, particularly as regards the Johannine writings. The book fails in not distinguishing adequately between Prophecy and Apocalyptic. It describes the Fourth Gospel as "designed

¹ London: Macmillan & Co., 1900. Cr. 8vo, pp. xv. + 277. Price 6s. net.

to represent a non-prophetic aspect of the original facts of the Saviour's life," and as a book which "quietly fulfils and corrects" both the Apocalypse and the Synoptic Gospels. In its interpretation of the obscurities of the Book of Revelation, also, it comes now and again to conclusions which are somewhat rapidly reached and far from convincing. It has the great merit, however, of being interesting and suggestive all through.

Dr. Swete has made all students of Holy Scripture his debtors by the preparation of his Introduction to the Old Testament in Greek.¹ It is in the best sense a Student's book, full of matter admirably arranged, giving succinct, reliable, scholarly statements on the essential questions, and furnishing at the same time all necessary references to literature and authorities. The book is got up also in excellent style and is printed with remarkable accuracy. It is not indeed wholly free from misprints, but it is nearly so. It is appropriately dedicated to Dr. Eberhard Nestle, to whom Professor Swete gratefully acknowledges himself to be indebted for much generous and valuable help. It represents a vast amount of patient toil. The field over which it takes us is enormous. We owe the learned author our best thanks for the way in which he has met a want long felt by students.

The book is divided into three parts. The first deals with the history of the Greek Old Testament and its transmission. This occupies 194 pages and gives the main points in the story of the Alexandrian version itself, the later Greek versions, the Hexapla, the Hexaplar and other recensions of the Septuagint, the ancient versions based on the Septuagint, the manuscripts and the printed text of the Septuagint. At each point the more fundamental authorities are given in their terms. In concise form the evidence is presented which points to the conclusion that the version arose out of the

¹ By Henry Barclay Swete, D.D., Hon. Litt.D., Dublin, Fellow of Gonville and Caius College, Regius Professor of Divinity. With Appendix containing the Letter of Aristeas. Edited by H. St. John Thackeray, M.A. Cambridge: University Press, 1900. Cr. 8vo, pp. xi. + 592. Price 7s. 6d. net.

needs of the Alexandrian Jews. It is held to be "not improbable that the king encouraged the work of translation with the view of promoting the use of the Greek language by the settlers as well as for the purpose of gratifying his own curiosity". As one should expect, the subject of the language of the LXX is carefully and judiciously treated. "The Greek of the Alexandrian Pentateuch," says Professor Swete, "is Egyptian, and, as far as we can judge, not such as Palestinian translators would have written." The vocabulary and style of the LXX are "such as to discredit the attribution of the Greek Pentateuch to a company consisting exclusively or chiefly of Palestinian Jews. The LXX as a whole, or at any rate the earlier part of the collection, is a monument of Alexandrian Greek as it was spoken by the Jewish colony in the Delta under the rule of the Ptolemies." Due account is taken of the "new criterion" of Egyptian Greek offered by recent discoveries in Egypt; of the results yielded by the papyri and their application to the Septuagint; of the contributions made to this part of the subject by Professor Mahaffy, Dr. Deissmann, etc. On the question of the date or dates of the work of translation, Professor Swete expresses himself with his usual caution and moderation. He is of opinion that the testimony of the first century A.D. "does not absolutely require us to believe that all the books of the Hebrew Canon had been translated and were circulated in a Greek version during the Apostolic Age". He thinks at the same time that it is not improbable that such was the case. His final conclusion is that "as a whole the work of translation was doubtless carried out at Alexandria, where it was begun; and the Greek Bible of the Hellenistic Iews and the Catholic Church may rightly be styled the Alexandrian Greek version of the Old Testament". The later Greek versions, the Hexaplar and other recensions of the Septuagint, and the ancient versions based on it are all handled with like care. There is a most useful history, descriptive and critical, of the various manuscripts. A great amount of information is packed into this section of the book. The same is the case with the scholarly chapter devoted to the history of the printed texts.

The second part deals with the titles, grouping, number, and order of the books, the text-divisions, the books of the Hebrew Canon, the books not included in it, etc. third part discusses questions of more general interestthe literary use of the LXX by non-Christian Hellenists, the quotations from the LXX in the New Testament and in early Christian literature, the Greek versions as aids to Bible Study, the influence of the LXX on Christian literature, the textual condition of the LXX and the problems arising out of it. There are many points of interest in the chapters which deal with these matters. Attention is very properly directed to the importance of the "innumerable references of a less formal character than direct quotations" in any estimate which is attempted of the influence of the LXX upon the New Testament. That influence is judged to be so profound and pervading that it is not too much to say that "in its literary form and expression the New Testament would have been a widely different book had it been written by authors who knew the Old Testament only in the original, or who knew it in a Greek version other than that of the LXX". Professor Swete gives a very fair statement on the subject of the Letter of Aristeas. This is supplemented by a special Appendix, which gives the Greek text of the document, the variant readings, and a pretty full account of the manuscripts, the various steps in the preparation for a critical edition, etc. This is by the hand of Mr. St. John Thackeray. We owe to him in this way a very good edition of the Letter, which is in some respects less elaborate than Wendland's, but has the advantage of being based on collations made by himself.

There is much work to be done yet in relation to the Septuagint. We require a better estimate of the extent to which, in quoting and in translating, men allowed themselves liberty in adapting the books they had in hand to their own circumstances and objects. There is a vast deal to be done still in the matter of the text, and we look forward, therefore, with expectation to obtaining by and by the larger edition of the Cambridge Septuagint. And with

Vol. XI.-No. 5.

a view to this and other needs there is the pressing want of better editions of the Patristic writings. Meantime we owe much to Dr. Swete. His book will be a help and an encouragement to students. We express anew our sense of the trustworthy character of this Introduction, and the sound judgment distinguishing the opinions expressed in it.

In the August issue of the Wesleyan Methodist Magazine the Rev. Herbert B. Workman continues his interesting series of "Studies in the English Reformation," his subject being the later Lollards, Oldcastle, Tailor, Wyche and others. We get also the fourth instalment of the Rev. James S. H. Royce's graphic sketch of "Australasian Methodism".

The July issue of The Journal of Theological Studies opens with a paper of considerable historical interest on "The English Coronation Orders," which appears very opportunely at present. The second paper is by the Rev. Herbert Kelly. It deals with the relation of miracles to Christian doctrine. It attempts a restatement of the question. Its argument proceeds on the basis of the primary distinction between two great types of religions—that which rests on "inference from material or human nature, and consists of a statement or arrangement of such ideals as may be abstracted therefrom for purposes of nature," and that "which seriously claims to be a revelation of that which transcends nature, and could not be inferred or derived from it". It holds that the Bible presents a "continuous history of revelation having four marked stages". These it describes as revelations respectively of reality, transcendency of being, knowable nature, and God Himself. Each of these, it is held, is necessarily miraculous, as it transcends natural human inference. Christian miracles stand, therefore, in contrast with "magical" miracles. Professor Massie gives an acute criticism of Professor Ramsay's contention that in I Cor. vii. St. Paul is pleading the rights of celibacy in view of a proposal by the Corinthian officials of a Church rule urging marriage. Dr. Iames Drummond continues his able inquiry into the use and meaning of the title "Son of Man" in the Synoptic Gospels. He criticises the opinions of Neander, Dalman,

Baur, Holsten, Bartlet, Carpenter and others. He finds none of them quite satisfactory, and proposes a tentative solution of his own. He calls special attention to Christ's repeated references to Himself in the third person-a fact to which he thinks sufficient attention has not been given. He regards the phrase as having all the appearance of being used "in two distinct senses which are nowhere reconciled". He concludes that our Lord did not use the title "Son of Man" in a very strict or limited sense, but may have given it a "somewhat varying application according to circumstances". In short, his view is that, starting with Daniel, our Lord may have taken the phrase as a typical expression, standing for the true representatives of Israel, the ideal people of God: that this view could readily connect itself with the servant of God in Isaiah liii.; that He may have regarded Himself then as the head of this ideal class; and, further, that He may not have "identified Himself as Messiah with him who was to come as the conquering Son of Man, but may have understood the prophet's vision as a poetical description of the spiritual conquest of the world's brute forces by a divinely commissioned humanity, personified as the Son of Man". This is interesting, but not very probable, least of all in this last supposition. It cannot be said of this article, able as it is, that it gives us a better or more reasonable explanation than some of those that have been longer before us. There are smaller contributions and articles which add to the value of this number of the Journal, such as Mr. Frere's account of the newly found York Gradual, which fills a gap in our knowledge of the mediæval servicebooks of the English Church.

Record of Select Literature.

I.—OLD TESTAMENT.

Galliner, J. Abraham Ibn Esra's Hiobkommentar auf seine Quellen untersucht. Berlin: M. Poppelhauer. 8vo, pp. 59. M.2.50.

DIETTRICH, G. Eine Jakobitische Einleitung in den Psalter, in Verbindg. mit zwei Homilien aus dem grossen Psalmenkommentar des Daniel v. Salah zum ersten Male hrsg. übers. u. bearb. Giessen: J. Ricker. 8vo, pp. xlvii. + 167. M.6.50.

Scheftelowitz, L. Arisches im Alten Testament. I. Eine sprachwissenschaftl. u. kulturhistor. Untersuchg. Berlin: S. Calvary & Co. 8vo, pp. vi. + 97. M.3.

VIGOUROUX, F. La Sainte Bible Polyglotte. Contenant le Texte hébreu original, le Texte grec des Septante, le Texte latin de la Vulgate et la Traduction française de M. l'Abbé Glaire, avec les différences de l'hébreu, des Septante et de la Vulgate; des introductions, des notes, des cartes et des illustrations. Tome II.: Josué, Les Juges, Ruth, Les Rois. Précédé d'une lettre du R. P. Lepidi. Bruxelles: Oscar Schepens et Cie. 1 vol. 8vo, pp. xiii. + 912. Frs.5.

CORNILL, C. H. Die metrischen Stücke des Buches Jeremia, reconstruiert. Leipzig: Hinrichs. 8vo, pp. xiv. + 40. M.1.50.

MARGEL, M. Der Segen Jakobs, Midrasch Bereschith rabba. Par. 98, 1-20, 99-14, übers. u. kritisch behandelt. Frankfort-on-Maine: J. Kauffman. 8vo, pp. 82. M.1.50.

Weinstein, N. J. Zur Genesis der Agada. Beitrag zur Entstehungs- u. Entwickelungsgeschichte des talmud. Schriftthums. II. Thl. Die alexandrin. Agada. Göttingen: Vandenhoeck & Ruprecht, in Komm. 8vo, pp. iii. + 275. M.7.

- Montefiore, G. C. The Book of Psalms. With Comments. London: Macmillan. Cr. 8vo. 1s. net.
- Exell, Rev. Joseph S. The Biblical Illustrator. Proverbs. London: J. Nisbet. 8vo, pp. viii. + 696. 7s. 6d.
- M'SWINEY, James. Translation of the Psalms and Canticles. With Commentary. London: Sands. 8vo. 10s. 6d. net.
- Steuernagel, C. Die Einwanderung der israelitischen Stämme in Kanaan. Historisch-krit. Untersuchgn. Berlin: C. A. Schwetschke & Sohn. 8vo, pp. viii. + 131. M.3.60.

uf

r.

r,

n

Э,

[.

e

e

e

S

s,

S

.

1.

a,

t.

).

r

1.

- GRASHOFF, W. Alttestamentliche Bibelstunden zur Einführung der Gemeinde in das Verständnis der Heilsgeschichte. 8 Bd. Die Propheten Haggai, Sacharja u. Maleachi. Halle: C. E. Müller. 8vo, pp. viii. + 207. M.2.40.
- COHERN, C. M. Commentary on the Old Testament, v. 8. Ezekiel and Daniel. New York: Eaton & Mains. 12mo, pp. 415. \$2.
- Julius, Dr. Caspar. Die griechischen Danielzusätze und ihre kanonische Geltung. Leipzig: O. R. Reisland. 8vo, pp. xii. + 184. M.4.
- Duhm, B. Das Buch Jeremia, erklärt. Tübingen: J. C. B. Mohr. Subskr. Pr. M.4.8o. M.7.8o.
- Worcester, E. The Book of Genesis in the light of Modern Knowledge. New York: McClure, Philips & Co. 12mo, pp. xx. + 572. \$3.

OLD TESTAMENT ARTICLES.

- WILDEBOER, G. Formation du Canon de l'Ancien Testament. Étude historico-critique. Rev. de Th. et de Philos., 2, 1901.
- KÖNIG, E. Zur Erklärung und Geschichte des Dekalogs. N. kirchl. Z., 5, 1901.
- Bruston, C. Le Cantique de Debora. Rev. de Th. et de Philos., 2, 1901.

BRIGGS, C. A. The use of הוח in the Old Testament. Journ. of Bibl. Lit., vol. xix., 2.

BARTON, G. A. West Semitic Deities with compound names. Journ. of Bibl. Lit., xx., 1, 1901.

KELSO, J. A. The Antiquity of the Divine title, אֵל עֶלְיוֹן in Gen. xiv. Journ. Bibl. Lit., xx., 1, 1901.

Schiefer, F. W. Das Problem der Sünde im 4 Ezrabuch. Ztschr. f. wiss. Theologie, xxiv., 3.

LEY, Prof. Julius. Zur Erklärung der Bedeutung des Knechtes Jahwe, in den sogenannten Ebed-Jahwe-Liedern. Stud. u. Kritiken, 4, 1901.

JORDAN, Prof. W. G. The Outlook for Old Testament Interpretation at the beginning of the Twentieth Century.

Biblical World, June 1901.

HARPER, Principal W. R. Constructive studies in the Priestly Element in the Old Testament. V. The Laws and Usages concerning the Priest considered comparatively. *Biblical World*, *June* 1901.

HOONACKER, Prof. A. Ezekiel's Priests and Levites. Expository Times, Aug. 1901.

TYLER, Thomas. Origin of the Tetragrammaton. Jewish Quarterly Review, July 1901.

JASTROW, Prof. Morris, junr. The Hebrew and Babylonian Accounts of Creation. Jewish Quarterly Review, July 1901.

BERLIN, Rev. Dr. M. Psalms ix. and x., and Nahum i. Jewish Quarterly Review, July 1901.

SOLOMON, Solomon J. Art and Judaism. Jewish Quarterly Review, July 1901.

Ryssel, Prof. V. Die neuen hebräischen Fragmente des Buches Jesus Sirach und ihre Herkunft. Stud. u. Kritiken, 4, 1901.

MEINHOLD, Prof. J. Die Lade Jahves. Stud. u. Kritiken, 4, 1901.

PATON, Prof. Lewis Bayles. Recent Investigations in Ancient Oriental Chronology. Biblical World, July 1901.

- HARPER, Dr. W. R. Constructive Studies in the Priestly Element in the Old Testament, VI. The Laws and Usages concerning the Place of Worship. Biblical World, July 1901.
- COBERN, Rev. Dr. Camden. Early Intercourse between the Hebrews and other Ancient Peoples. Homiletic Review, July 1901.
- PINCHES, T. Observations sur la religion des Babyloniens deux mille ans avant Jésus-Christ. Revue de l'Histoire des Religions, xliii., 3.
- CHEYNE, Prof. T. K. From Isaiah to Ezra. A Study of Ethanites and Jerahmeelites. American Journal of Theology, July 1901.
- SMITH, Dr. J. P. The Day of Jahweh. American Journal of Theology, July 1901.

II.—NEW TESTAMENT.

- FIEBIG, P. Der Menschensohn. Jesu Selbstbezeichnung, m. besond. Berücksicht. des Aramäischen Sprachgebrauches f. "Mensch" untersucht. Tübingen: J. C. B. Mohr. 8vo, pp. vii. + 127. M.3.
- OMONT, H. (v. T. L. Z., 1900, 19). Notice sur un très ancien manuscrit grec de l'évangile de Saint Matthieu en onciales d'or sur parchemin pourpre et orné de miniatures, conservé à la Bibliothèque Nationale (No. 1286 du Supplément grec). Paris : Klincksieck. 8vo, pp. 81 et 2 planches.
- GILBERT, G. H. The First Interpreters of Jesus. New York: Macmillan. 12mo, pp. xiii. + 429. \$1.25.

NEW TESTAMENT ARTICLES.

- HARRIS, J. R. Some Notes on the Verse-division of the New Testament. Journ. of Bibl. Lit., vol. xix., 2.
- Mommsen, Th. Die Rechtsverhältnisse des Apostels Paulus. Z. f. Neutest. Wiss., 2, 1901.
- HOLTZMANN, H. Zum zweiten Thessalonicherbrief. Z. f. Neutest. Wiss., 2, 1901.

THAYER, J. H. The ethical Method of Jesus. Journ. of Bibl. Lit., vol. xix., 2.

HILGENFELD, A. Die Geburts- u. Kindheitsgeschichte Jesus. Lucas i. 5-11, 52. Z. f. wiss. Theologie, 2, 1901.

SOLTAU, W. Zum Problem des Johannesevangeliums. Z. f. Neutest. Wiss., 2, 1901.

WABNITZ, A. Observations Sur Luc. Rev. de Th. et de Quest. Rel., 3, 1901.

RISHELL, C. W. Baldensperger's theory of the origin of the Fourth Gospel. *Journ. of Bibl. Lit.*, xx., 1, 1901.

Holsten, C. Einleitung in die Korinthierbriefe. Ztschr. f. wiss. Theologie, xxiv., 3.

HILGENFELD, A. Zu Lucas iii. 2. Ztschr. f. wiss. Theologie, xxiv., 3.

Denney, Prof. James. Faith and the Righteousness of God. Expositor, Aug. 1901.

MASSIE, Prof. John. Did the Corinthian Church advocate Universal Marriage? Journal of Theological Studies, July 1901.

DRUMMOND, Dr. James. The Use and Meaning of the Phrase "The Son of Man" in the Synoptical Gospels. Part ii. Journal of Theological Studies, July 1901.

SIMCOX, G. A. A point in Pauline Chronology (Acts xii. 25). Journal of Theological Studies, July 1901.

KELMAN, Rev. John. St. Paul the Greek. Expository Times, Aug. 1901.

LEWIS, Dr. Agnes Smith. What have we gained in the Sinaitic Palimpsest? Expository Times, Aug. 1901.

Lewis, F. G. A Study of the Apocalypse. Biblical World, July 1901.

Pick, Rev. Dr. Bernhard. The Originality of Christ's Teaching. Homiletic Review, Aug. 1901.

CORWIN, Rev. C. E. Matthew v. 1-16. An Exposition. Homiletic Review, Aug. 1901.

FAIRFIELD, Rev. Dr. Edmund B. The true meaning of I Cor. xv. 51. Homiletic Review, Aug. 1901.

- SMITH, Rev. J. Ritchie. Jesus' Witness to Himself in the Fourth Gospel. Presbyterian and Reformed Review, July 1901.
- MACPHERSON, Rev. John. The Gospels as a Source for the Life of Christ. American Journal of Theology, July 1901.
- MOFFATT, Rev. James. The Autonomy of Jesus: a Study in the Fourth Gospel. Expositor, Aug. 1901.

III.—HISTORICAL.

- Hansen, J. Quellen u. Untersuchungen zur Geschichte des Hexenwahns u. der Hexenverfolgung im Mittelalter. Mit e. Untersuchg. der Geschichte des Wortes Hexe v. J. Franck. Leipzig: E. Diederichs. 8vo, pp. xi. + 703. M.12.
- Brown, H. W. Latin America, the Pagans, the Papists, the Patriots, the Protestants and the Present Problem. New York: Revell. 12mo, pp. 308. \$1.20.
- STEPHENS, W. R. W. The English Church. From the Norman Conquest to the Accession of Edward I., 1066-1272. London: Macmillan. Cr. 8vo, pp. 366. 7s. 6d.
- HARNACK, A. Diodor v. Tarsus. Vier pseudo-justinische Schriften als Eigentum Diodors nachgewiesen. Leipzig: J. C. Hinrichs. 8vo, pp. iii. + 251. M.8.
- URBAIN, A. Ein Martyrologium der christlichen Gemeinde zu Rom am Anfang des v. Jahrh. Quellenstudien zur Geschichte der röm. Märtyrer. Leipzig: J. C. Hinrichs. 8vo. pp. vi. + 266. M.8.50.
- Wolfart, K. Die Ausburger Reformation in den J. 1533-1534. (Studien zur Geschichte der Theologie u. der Kirche, hrsg. v. N. Bonwetsch u. R. Seeberg. VII. Bd. 2. Hft.) Leipzig: Dieterich. 8vo, pp. viii. + 159. M.3.50.
- ZWINGLI, H. Selected Works. Translated for the first time from the originals. Edited by S. M. Jackson. New York: Longmans, Green & Co. 8vo, pp. 258. With Portrait.

PRA, J. Les Jésuites à Grenoble, 1587-1763. Paris: Amat.

Perrin & Co. 8vo, pp. 514. Fr.7.50.

RAMBAUD, Alfred. Histoire de la Civilisation contemporaine en France. Sixième édition entièrement refondue et mise à jour jusqu'en 1900. Paris: A. Colin. 1 vol. 12mo, pp. xii. + 836. Fr.5.

Waitz, H. Das pseudo-tertullianische Gedicht Adversus Marcionem. Ein Beitrag zur Geschichte der altchrist. Litteratur sowie zur Quellenkritik des Marcionitismus. Darmstadt: H. Waitz. Pp. viii. + 158. M.5.40.

ABELLY, L. St. Vincent de Paul. Paris: Desclée, de Brouwer & Co. 8vo, pp. 124 avec 12 grav.

HISTORICAL ARTICLES.

KAUFMANN, D. Die Verbrennung der Talmudischen Literatur in der Republik Venedig. Jew. Quart. Rev., Apr. 1901.

Hoensbroech, P. v. Alphonse Maria v. Liguori. Preuss. Jahrb., III., 1901.

HILGENFELD, A. Die vornicänische Litteratur des Christentums und ihre Bearbeitung zu Ende des 19 Jahrhunderts. Ztschr. f. wiss. Theologie, xxix., 3.

LIETZMANN, H. Chronologie der ersten und zweiten Verbannung des Athanasius. Ztschr. f. wiss. Theologie,

xxiv., 3.

HALLER, J. Beiträge zur Geschichte des Konzils von Basel. Z. f. Gesch. a. Oberrheins, xvi., 1.

Erbes, C. Petrus nicht in Rom. sondern in Jerusalem gestorben. Z. f. Kgsch., xxii., 1.

LIECHTENHAN, R. Untersuchungen zur Koptisch-gnostischen Litteratur. Z. f. wiss. Th., 2, 1901.

FLADE. Deutsches Inquisitionsverfahren um, 1400. Z. f. Kgsch., xxii., 2.

Wilson, Rev. H. A. The English Coronation Orders. Journal of Theological Studies, July 1901.

James, Dr. M. R. A Fragment of the Penitence of Jannes and Jambres. Journal of Theological Studies, July 1901.

- BROOKS, E. W. The Ordination of the Early Bishops of Alexandria. Journal of Theological Studies, July 1901.
- The Holy Eucharist; an historical study. Church Quarterly Review, July 1901,
- The Commonwealth, Cromwell, and the Church. Church Quarterly Review, July 1901.
- DRÄSEKE, J. Demetrios Kydones oder Nemesios. Ztschr. f. wiss. Theologie, xxiv., 3.
- Schmitt, A. Zwei noch unbenutzte Handschriften des J. Scotus Erigena, 1900. J. Dräseke. Ztschr. f. wiss. Theologie, xxiv., 3.
- BRUNETIÈRE, M. Ferdinand. Calvin's Literary Work. Presbyterian and Reformed Review, July 1901.
- SMITH, Rev. David. Second Century Rivals of the Evangelic Jesus. Expositor, Aug. 1901.

IV.—DOCTRINAL.

- PRUNER, J. E. Lehrbuch der Pastoraltheologie. 2. Bd. Das Lehramt u. das Hirtenamt des Kathol. Priestertums. Paderborn: F. Schöningh. 8vo, pp. xiii. + 319. M.3.60.
- Morgan, J. V. Theology at the Dawn of the Twentieth Century. Essays on the Present Status of Christianity and its Doctrines. Boston: Small, Maynard & Co. 8vo, pp. xliv.+544. \$2.50.
- Czobel, St. v. Die Entwicklung der Religionsbegriffe als Grundlage e. progressiven Religion. 2. Halbbd. Leipzig: Lotus-Verlag. 8vo, pp. iii. + 283-578. M.5.
- Eucken, R. Der Wahrheitsgehalt der Religion. Leipzig: Veit & Co. 8vo, pp. viii. + 448. M.g.
- DIECKMANN, A. Die christliche Lehre v. der Gnade. Apologie des bibl. Christentums, insbesondere gegenüber der Ritschlschen Rechtfertigungslehre. Berlin: C. A. Schwetschke & Sohn. 8vo, pp. xvi. + 421. M.8.
- Bourgeois, Th. L'Ordre surnaturel et Le Devoir Chrétien. Paris: P. Lethielleux. 1 vol., pp. 380. Fr.3.50.

- LIECHTENHAN, R. Die Offenbarung im Gnosticismus. Göttingen: Vandenhoeck und Ruprecht. 8vo, pp. viii. + 168.

 M.4.80.
- McConnell, S. D. Evolution of Immortality. New York: Macmillan. 12mo, pp. 204. \$1.25.

Lacy, T. A. The Elements of Christian Doctrine. London: Rivingtons. Cr. 8vo, pp. 334. 5s. net.

Newbolt, W. C. E. Apostles of the Lord. Being six Lectures on Pastoral Theology, delivered in the Divinity School, Cambridge, Lent Term, 1901. London: Longmans. Cr. 8vo, pp. 232. 3s. 6d. net.

DOCTRINAL ARTICLES.

CHAPUS, P. Religion, Christianisme, Theologie. I. Rev. de Th. et de Philos., 2, 1901.

ARNAUD, E. Examen Critique des divers Systèmes de Morale non chrétiens, Anciens et Modernes. Rev. d. Th. et d. Quest. Rel., 3, 1901.

BRUSTON, C. La Doctrine Chrétienne de l'Immortalité. III. L'enseignement de Jésus-Christ sur les choses finales. Rev. d. Th. et d. Quest. Rel., 3, 1901.

WILSON, Rev. H. A. The Relation of Miracles to Christian Doctrine. Journal of Theological Studies, July 1901.

McOuat, Rev. J. E. Divine Revelation in the light of Old Testament Criticism. Expository Times, Aug. 1901.

Laidlaw, Rev. A. S. The Grounding of Sin. Expository Times, Aug. 1901.

LOCKE, Rev. Dr. C. E. Is Man Immortal? The Answer of Science. Methodist Review, July-Aug. 1901.

Greaves, Rev. Edwin. The Doctrine of the Trinity and its Presentation to Hindus and Mahommedans. *Indian Evangelical Review*, July 1901.

NORTHRUP, Prof. G. W. The Fatherhood of God. American Journal of Theology, July 1901.

V.—PHILOSOPHICAL.

- FIERENS-GEVAERT, H. Psychologie d'une Ville. Paris: F. Alcan. 1 vol. 12mo, pp. 192. Fr.2.50.
- La Jeunesse de Bentham. La Formation du Radicalisme philosophique, I. Paris: F. Alcan. 1 vol. 8vo, pp. xvi. + 448. M.7.50.
- HALÉVY, Elie. L'Évolution de la Doctrine Utilitaire de 1789 à 1815. La Formation du Radicalisme Philosophique, II. Paris: F. Alcan. 1 vol. 8vo, pp. iv. + 386. Fr.7.50.
- Boulay, N. Principes d'Anthropologie Générale. Paris: P. Lethielleux. I vol. 12mo, pp. xvi. + 334. Fr.3.50.
- FOURNIÈRE, Eugène. Essai sur l'Individualisme. Paris: F. Alcan. 1 vol. 12mo, pp. 192. Fr.2.50.
- Sollier, Dr. Paul. Psychologie de l'Idiot et de l'Imbécile. 2^e edition, revue, avec planches hors texte. Paris: F. Alcan. 1 vol. 8vo, pp. iv. + 236. Fr.5.
- Souben, Jules. Les Manifestations du beau dans la Nature. Paris: P. Lethielleux. 1 vol. 12mo, pp. 328. Fr.3.50.
- Demontin, Hubert. Epiménide de Crète. Bruxelles: Oscar Schepens et Cie. 1 vol. 8vo, pp. 140. Fr.4.
- Laminne, Jacques. Le Traité Peri Hermeneias d'Aristote. Traduction et Commentaire. Bruxelles: Imp. Hayez. 8vo, pp. 62. Fr.1.
- L'Année Philosophique publiée sous la direction de F. Pillon, II. Année 1900. Paris: F. Alcan. 1 vol. 12mo, pp. 316. Fr.5.
- Saunders, Thomas Bailey. Schopenhauer. A Lecture. London: Black. Cr. 8vo, pp. 96. 1s. 6d. net.
- Hodder, Alfred. The Adversaries of the Sceptic; or, The Specious Present. A New Inquiry into Human Knowledge. London: Sonnenschein. Cr. 8vo, pp. 340. 6s.
- Howison, G. H. The Limits of Evolution, and other Essays. London: Macmillan. Cr. 8vo. 7s. 6d. net.
- PISCETTA, L. Theologiae Moralis Elementa. Vol. 2. Torino: Libr. Salesiana. 16mo, pp. 356. L.2.50.

BARTH, Dr. Paul. Die Philosophie der Geschichte der Sociologie. Leipzig: O. R. Reisland. 8vo. M.8.

PHILOSOPHICAL ARTICLES.

The Early Greek Philosophers. Church Quarterly Review, July 1901.

Schwartzkopff, Prof. P. Kant, Schopenhauer, Deussen, und der christliche Theismus. Stud. u. Kritiken, 4, 1901.

HENRY, V. Bouddisme et Positivisme. Revue de l'Histoire des Religions, xliii., 3.

Wenley, Prof. R. M. Some Lights on the British Idealistic Movement in the Nineteenth Century. American Journal of Theology, July 1901.

VI.—GENERAL.

JACKSON, Percival. The Prayer Book Explained. Part I. The Daily Offices and the Litany. Cambridge: University Press. 12mo, pp. 200. 2s. 6d.

Pember, G. H. The Church, the Churches and the Mysteries; or Revelation and Corruption. London:

Hodder & Stoughton. 8vo, pp. 570. 7s. 6d.

ROWNTREE, John S. The Society of Friends: Its Faith and Practices. 2nd ed. London: Headley Bros. Cr. 8vo, pp. 96. 6d. net.

SALMON, George. Cathedral and University Sermons. 2nd ed. London: J. Murray. Cr. 8vo, pp. 286. 3s. 6d.

SOAMES, W. H. K. Eating the Bread of Life: a Study of John vi. and its relation to the Lord's Supper. London: E. Stock. Cr. 8vo. 2s. 6d. net.

RAIT, Robert S. An Outline of the Relations between England and Scotland, 500-1707. London: Blackie.

Cr. 8vo. 7s. 6d. net.

TERRY, Charles Sanford. The Chevalier de St. George and the Jacobite Movements in his favour, 1701-1720. (Scottish History from Contemporary Writers.) No. 4. With Maps, Plans, Portraits and Facsimile. London: D. Nutt. 16mo, pp. xxii. + 510. 6s.

- VEDIE, E. L'Église et les Œuvres Sociales en 1900. Paris: Poussielgue. 8vo, pp. 212.
- PIOLET, J. B. Les Missions Catholiques françaises au XIX. Siècle. T. II: Abyssinie, Inde, Indo-Chine. Paris: Lahure. 8vo, pp. 515.
- HOCHSTETTER, J. Monita Secreta. Die geheimen Instruktionen der Jesuiten. Aus dem latein. Urtext ins deutsche übers. v. J. Hochstetter. Wohlf. Ausg. Stuttg.: Verlag Heimdall. 8vo, pp. 32. M.o.6o.

GENERAL ARTICLES.

- REINHARDT, E. Das gegenwärtige Verfassung der Griechisch-Orthodoxen Kirche in der Turkei. Ztschr. f. wiss. Theologie, xxiv., 3.
- WALKER, Dean A. Idealism and Opportunism in Jesus' Teaching: a Study of Matt. v. 17-20. Biblical World, June 1901.
- ABBOTT, Rev. Lyman. Christ's Attitude toward Malicious Accusations. Biblical World, June 1901.
- TURNER, C. H. Notes on the Old Latin Versions of the Bible. Journal of Theological Studies, July 1901.
- Biblical Criticism. Church Quarterly Review, July 1901.
- The Church, Democracy, and Socialism. Church Quarterly Review, July 1901.
- GERARD, Rev. Father. A Jesuit Plea for Jesuits. Monthly Review, August 1901.
- GALTON, Rev. Arthur. Lord Halifax and Catholicism. The Ladies' League Gazette, July 1901.
- STEPHENSON, Dr. T. B. Methodism and the new Century, VI. Wesleyan Methodist Magazine, July 1901.
- ROYCE, James S. H. Australasian Methodism, IV. The Wesleyan Methodist Magazine, Aug. 1901.
- WORKMAN, Herbert B. Studies in the English Reformation, III. Wesleyan Methodist Magazine, Aug. 1901.
- MASTERMAN, Dr. E. W. G. Food and its Preparation in Modern Palestine. Biblical World, June 1901.

FERRIES, Rev. Dr. G. Science and Faith, II. Difficulties occasioned to religious faith by science. Expository Times, Aug. 1901.

COE, Prof. G. A. Methods of Studying Religion. Methodist Review, July-Aug. 1901.

Innis, Prof. G. S. The Intellect, its Function in Religion.

Methodist Review, July-Aug. 1901.

GEIKIE, Rev. Dr. Cunningham. Lessons from the Past for the Pulpit of the Present Day: Chrysostom. *Homiletic* Review, July 1901.

MINTON, Rev. Dr. H. C. The Holy Spirit in Christian Experience. Homiletic Review, July 1901.

GRIFFIN, Rev. Dr. Edward H. Facts, Doctrines and Ideals. Presbyterian and Reformed Review, July 1901.

Hunt, Matilda. Nature Worship. Indian Review, July 1901.

LACEY, Rev. R. L. The Holy Land of the Hindus. Indian Evangelical Review, July 1901.

Stewart, Rev. R. Hinduism historically considered. Indian Evangelical Review, July 1901.

ERRATUM.

In July number, page 372, line 17, for "Liberalism" read "Literalism".

CONTENTS.

		PAGE
ROGERS'S A HISTORY OF BABYLONIA AND ASSYRIA HOMMEL'S AUFSÄTZE UND ABHAND- LUNGEN SCHEIL'S DÉLÉGATION EN PERSE	By Professor A. H. SAYCE, D.D., LL.D., Oxford	483
Pennington's The Counter-Refor- MATION IN EUROPE	By Rev. Professor Williston Walker, D.D., Yale University	493
Komensky's The Labyrinth of the World and the Paradise of the Heart Hyndman's The Time of Transition, or the Hope of Humanity	By Rev. Charles F. Fleming, B.D., Middlesborough	496
Hodder's The Adversaries of the Sceptic; or, The Specious Present: A New Inquiry into Human Know-Ledge Hall's The Evolution of Consciousness	By Henry Sturt, M.A., Oxford	499
Wernle's Die Anfänge unserer Religion	By Rev. David Somerville, D.D., Edinburgh	504
Sell's Essays on Islam	By Professor Marcus Dods, D.D., Edinburgh	513
POTT'S DER ABENDLÄNDISCHE TEXT DER APOSTELGESCHICHTE UND DIE WIR-QUELLE WEBER'S DER HEILIGE PAULUS VON APOSTELÜBEREINKOMMEN (Gal. ii. 1-10) BIS ZUM APOSTELKONZIL (Apg. xv.)	By Rev. H. A. A. KENNEDY, D.Sc., Callander	515
HOLLMANN'S DIE BEDEUTUNG DES TODES JESU NACH SEINEN EIGENEN AUSSAGEN AUF GRUND DER SYNOP- TISCHEN EVANGELIEN	By Rev. G. MILLIGAN, B.D., Caputh .	521
Moberly's Atonement and Persona- LITY Vol. XI.—No. 6.	By Rev. Hugh R. Mackintosh, D.Phil., Aberdeen	530

Contents.

KAUTZSCH'S DAS SOGENANNTE VOLKS-		FAGI
BUCH VON HIOB LÖHR'S UNTERSUCHUNGEN ZUM BUCH AMOS	By Rev. James Strachan, M.A., St. Fergus	540
GALL'S ZUSAMMENSETZUNG UND HER- KUNFT DER BILEAM-PERIKOPE		240
FRERE'S PROCTER'S A NEW HISTORY	By Robert S. Rait, M.A., New College, Oxford	EAS
or the boar or common running y		343
Notices	By the Editor	54

EGLI'S ANALECTA REFORMATORIA; OMONT'S NOTICE SUR UN TRÈS ANCIEN MANUSCRIT GREC DE L'ÉVANGILE DE SAINT MATTHIEU; MOULTON'S A SHORT Introduction to the Literature of the Bible; Peloubet's The Teachers' COMMENTARY ON THE GOSPEL ACCORDING TO ST. MATTHEW; ANALECTA BOLLANDIANA; LENNOX'S HENRY DRUMMOND; RUTHERFORD'S THE KEY OF KNOWLEDGE; CLEMEN'S "NIEDERGEFAHREN ZU DEN TOTEN"; MARGARET DUNLOP GIBSON'S APOCRYPHA ARABICA; PREUSCHEN'S ZEITSCHRIFT; JACK-SON'S HULDREICH ZWINGLI; HEINRICI'S MEYER'S DER ZWEITE BRIEF AN DIE KORINTHER; WEISS'S MEYER'S DIE EVANGELIEN DES MARKUS UND LUKAS; ROBERTS'S TREATISE ON THE HISTORY OF CONFESSION; PRESBYTERIAN AND REFORMED REVIEW; HOMILETIC REVIEW; BIBLICAL WORLD; METHODIST REVIEW; BIBLE STUDENT; JOURNAL OF BIBLICAL LITERATURE; AMERICAN JOURNAL OF THEOLOGY; SCHULHOF'S THE LAW OF FORGIVENESS AS PRESENTED IN THE NEW TESTAMENT; CLARK'S WITNESSES TO CHRIST; ACHELIS'S HOMILETIK UND KATECHETIK DES ANDREAS HYPERIUS; VOGEL'S GOETHE'S SELBSTZEUGNISSE; SCHLATTER'S JOHANAN BEN ZAKKAI; LÜTGERT'S GESCHICHTLICHER SINN UND KIRCHLICHKEIT; CREMER'S UEBER DIE CHRIST-LICHE VOLKOMMENHEIT; COOPER'S THE STORY OF THE TURKISH VERSION; LÜTGERT'S DIE JOHANNEISCHE CHRISTOLOGIE; STEUDE'S DER BEWEIS FÜR DIE WAHRHEIT DES CHRISTENTUMS; LAMERS' ZEDEKUNDE; BARTH'S DIE HAUPT-PROBLEME DES LEBENS JESUS; WAHL'S DIE NOTABELNVERSAMMLUNG VON 1787; DEISSMANN'S THEOLOGIE UND KIRCHE; SULZE'S WIE IST DER KAMPF UM DIE BEDEUTUNG DER PERSON UND DES WIRKEN'S JESU ZU BEENDIGEN?; VÖLTER'S DIE VISIONEN DES HERMAS; STEINDORF'S DIE APOKALYPSE DES ELIAS; VICKERS' THE HISTORY OF HEROD; STIER'S DIE GOTTES- UND LOGOS-LEHRE TERTULLIANS; RIBOT'S LES MALADIES DU SENTIMENT RELIGIEUX.

A History of Babylonia and Assyria.

AGE

540

545

547

570

By R. W. Rogers. 2 vols. 2nd edition. New York: Jennings & Pye, 1900. 8vo, pp. xx. + 429 and xv. + 418. Price 20s. net.

Aufsätze und Abhandlungen.

By Fritz Hommel. Vols. II. and III. Munich: Franz, 1900-1901. 8vo. Price 25s.

Délégation en Perse. Mémoires publiés sous la Direction de M. J. de Morgan. Tome II. Textes élamites-sémitiques.

By V. Scheil. Paris: Leroux, 1900. Fol. Price Fr. 50.

Professor Rogers's handsome volumes meet a want that has been often expressed. Hitherto there has been no systematic and detailed account in English of the way in which the cuneiform inscriptions came to be deciphered. What are the foundations upon which the vast superstructure of cuneiform decipherment has been built? and what certainty have we that the foundations are secure? are two questions that are often asked. But there was no English work in which they were satisfactorily answered. No writer had undertaken the task of examining the whole mass of literature in which the history of the decipherment is contained, of throwing the results into orderly shape, and so tracing the successive steps in the process of discovery.

The work has now been accomplished by Professor Rogers once for all. And a most interesting story it is. From first to last the history of the interpretation of the cuneiform inscriptions is one of the romances of archæology. From the days when the Italian traveller Pietro della Valle first published some of the strange characters found among the ruins

of Persepolis and pointed out that they must be read from left to right, down to the time when system after system of cuneiform writing and language after language embodied in it have been deciphered and read, there has been a steady, if slow, progress, clue leading on to clue, and one discovery opening the way to another. No link in the chain of evidence has been wanting, and each link has been well tested before it has been accepted for use.

And yet the whole system of decipherment began with a fortunate guess of Grotefend, who was a classical and not an oriental scholar. Certain groups of characters in what was believed to be the Persian transcript of the cuneiform inscriptions of Persepolis were identified by him with the names of Darius and Xerxes, and the guess, which was really based on a combination of historical knowledge and common-sense, turned out to be right. But many years passed before the labours of various scholars in Germany, France, England and elsewhere, succeeded in determining the whole of the Persian cuneiform alphabet as well as the grammar and vocabulary of the texts written in it. this was at last done, the transcripts of the same texts in what proved to be the languages of Elam and Babylonia were attacked, and with the help of the monuments that had just been unearthed at Nineveh the inscriptions of Assyria and Babylonia were patiently deciphered. How this has further led to the discovery and decipherment of the extinct languages of primitive Babylonia and Armenia-the socalled Sumerian and Vannic-the very existence of which was previously unknown, must be read in the pages of Professor Rogers's volumes.

Professor Rogers, however, has not confined himself to the history of cuneiform decipherment. It is doubtless this part of his work which will have the most abiding interest and value, as it has been done so thoroughly as to leave no room for its being done over again. But it merely forms an introduction to an account of what monumental research has told us up to the present of the ancient history of Babylonia and Assyria. Stock is taken, as it were, of our existing

knowledge on the subject, and, after a brief description of recent explorations, the chronology and history of the old kingdoms of the Tigris and Euphrates are discussed in detail. Professor Rogers possesses a first-hand acquaintance with the inscriptions; he has also read and weighed carefully all that has been written about them by Assyriologists, so far as it bears upon history, and he has spent several years in examining and comparing the theories of the latter. Above all, he is cautious in accepting evidence, and is blessed with a large share of common-sense which is as necessary in science as in the affairs of daily life.

It is, of course, inevitable that in questions of history, where the evidence is often scanty and our conclusions depend on a more or less amount of probability, different scholars will not always adopt the same views. Here and there I am unable to agree with Professor Rogers's results; more frequently I find myself in agreement with him in opposition to the theories of the younger Assyriologists of Germany. Thus he is certainly right in holding that the Azariah of the cuneiform inscriptions is the Uzziah of the Old Testament, and not the king of some unknown tribe in northern Syria, and I fully assent to his verdict that though "Winckler's suggestions concerning Musri are exceedingly fruitful," the German scholar has ridden his hobby of an Arabian Musri to death. On the other hand he accepts Hilprecht's derivation of the name of Shinar from that of the town of Nin-Girśu or Lagas, which is impossible, if for no other reason than that the Assyrian form of the word, Inguriśa, shows that it was pronounced In-Girśu in Sumerian and not In-Sungir, as Hilprecht's theory would demand.

Professor Rogers's book is printed on good paper in good clear type. I have noticed very few misprints in it. "Kudur-Marbuk," however (i., p. 381) should be Kudur-Mabuk, and in the second volume the words "of Gog" have dropped out before "with Gyges" in the footnote on page 258, while the latter part of the second footnote on page 283 has been misplaced; it ought to come at the foot of page 285.

It is nine years since the first volume of Professor Hommel's Aufsätze appeared, and the two which have now been issued will be welcomed by Semitic scholars. They exhibit that combination of learning and brilliant suggestion which we are accustomed to expect from the author, and are full of new facts and daring hypotheses. He is one of the few German workers in the Semitic field who are stimulating, even where we most differ from his conclusions, and his profound knowledge of the Assyrian and South Arabian inscriptions gives him an advantage over the majority of his fellow students in the same department of research.

A considerable part of the two volumes Professor Hommel has just published is occupied with the astronomy of ancient Chaldæa. He has done wisely in reprinting his valuable papers on the subject which have been so long buried in the pages of Ausland, where they were inaccessible to most readers. They contain, after all, the best account of Babylonian astronomy that has hitherto been written, and they have been brought up to date by the addition of notes and appendices. The greater number of the fixed stars mentioned in the cuneiform tablets may now be considered as identified, and the question of the origin of the zodiac to be settled once for all. Its Babylonian origin cannot again be seriously called in doubt.

A short article on the Babylonian conception of the universe will serve to correct certain false impressions for which Jensen's Kosmologie is responsible. Professor Hommel has done well in adding a map to his text, for the Babylonian map of the world was by no means simple, in spite of its primitive character. It was, in fact, an attempt to combine in what we should call a scientific form two mythological conceptions which were really incompatible with each other, in one of which the earth was represented as a mountain, on the summit of which the heavenly vault rested, while the other made it an island floating on the surface of an encircling sea.

The second volume is mainly devoted to the Minæan inscriptions of Southern Arabia, and is full of information

which will be to most readers at once new and interesting. As Professor Hommel points out, they show that the early religion of the Semites, instead of being a worship of stocks and stones, as Wellhausen and Robertson Smith have contended, was really what the writers of a century ago called Sabaism, in which the sun and moon and "host of heaven" held the foremost place. So far, indeed, from being introduced into Canaan in the age of the later Jewish Kings, "Sabaism" was the oldest cult of the civilised Semite whether he belonged to the Babylonian or to the West Semitic and Arabian branch of the family. But whereas in Babylonia, where Sumerian influence remained powerful to the last, the Sun-god was the supreme Baal, among the Western Semites it was the Moon-god who stood at the head of the Pantheon, the Sungod becoming a goddess who was the mere shadow of a male god. In Hadhramaut the Moon-god retained his Babylonian name of Sin, which was carried westward as far as Mount Sinai; elsewhere the name was replaced by a title like 'Ammi or Wadd or Haubas. By the side of the Moon-god stood another god, the transformed Babylonian goddess Istar, the evening star, as well as a messenger or "angel" interpreter, who was entitled Anbây, the Babylonian Nabium or Nebo "the prophet," in Qataban, and Haul, "the Phænix," in Hadhramaut. Like Istar, the Angel-god, who mediated between the supreme deity and his worshippers, was derived from Babylonia, along with the doctrine of the Triad. Shams, the Sun-goddess, was the wife or daughter of Sin.

The fact that in Canaan the supreme Baal was the Sun, and not the Moon, was due to the deep and long-continued influence of Babylonian culture in those early days when Syria was a Babylonian province and the language, law, and literature of Babylonia were carried to the West. The cult of the Moon-god, on the other hand, was essentially West Semitic. "Ur of the Chaldees," on the Arabian side of the Euphrates, was dedicated to him, as well as Harran in Mesopotamia, and Professor Hommel throws out the suggestion that Yahveh of Israel might once have been synonymous with the Baal of Ur. At all events, some

years ago I pointed out the name of Yahum-il, the Joel of the Old Testament, in a cuneiform document of the Abrahamic age, along with such other specifically Hebrew names as Jacob-el (Ya'qub-ilu) and Joseph-el (Yasup-ilu), and since then Professor Hommel has discovered several more similarly compounded with the name of Yahu and of the same early date. Perhaps he is right in thinking that the monotheistic tone of a hymn to the Moon-god, which was once included in the ritual of the temple of Ur, points to a monotheistic tendency on the part of the worshippers of the god.

In Arabia the Babylonian goddess of the evening star became a god Atthar. It was the same also in Moab. Canaan, however, Babylonian influences were too powerful, and Istar accordingly was provided with the Semitic feminine suffix and became the Ashtoreth of Scripture. One of the results of this was that the old Canaanitish goddess Ashêrah fell into the background, her attributes being usurped by the newcomer. Ashêrah appears as Asratum and Asirtum in the cuneiform texts which make her a goddess of the "Amorites" of the West. An interesting inscription from Sippara, in which Khammurabi or Ammu-rapi, the Amraphel of Genesis, is entitled "King of the land of the Amorites," i.e., Syria and Palestine, is dedicated by a Canaanite to the goddess Ashêrah, and a bilingual hymn makes her the wife of Amurrû "the Amorite god". Amurrû was identified with the Babylonian Hadad, and is called Ramanu or Rimmon on a seal.

Professor Hommel has now succeeded in detecting the name of Ashêrah in the Minæan inscriptions of southern Arabia where it appears under the form of Athirat. Athirat is the wife of the Moon-god Wadd, in whom Hommel sees the Hadad of Assyria. He further suggests that the name is originally nothing more than the feminine of Assur, which is written Asir in the cuneiform texts of Cappadocia. He even finds traces of Asir or Asher in the Old Testament, as for example in Deut. xxxiii. 29, when he would translate: "[Yahveh] is the shield of thy help, and Asher the sword of thy glory". There are, however, difficulties in the way of this

ingenious combination. In Assyria itself asirtu "sanctuary" is always kept distinct from Assur or Asur with the vowel u; indeed the character of the vowel in the second syllable of asirtu was so strongly marked as to influence that in the first, so that not unfrequently we find esrêti from esirtu in The Sumerian and ideographic equivalents of the texts. asirtu, moreover, are never interchanged with those of Assur, who, I believe, derived his name from that of the old Assyrian capital. That the Assyrian asirtu, however, which is sometimes spelt asratu, is the Ashérah of the West Semites admits of no question, and explains how it is that the latter is at once the name of a goddess and a sacred place. The ideographs by which asirtu or esrêtu was represented and which had the pronunciation of usug in Sumerian, are stated to denote on the one hand the god Bel and on the other the Beth-els or "houses of the gods". Perhaps, therefore, the primitive Asirtu was a consecrated stone in which the goddess of the plain was believed to reside.

I have left myself but little space in which to discuss the first article in the third volume of the Aufsätze. It is concerned with the four rivers of Paradise which Professor Hommel finds in Arabia, along with the Mizraim and Asshur of a good many passages of Scripture. He has, in fact, adopted Winckler's resuscitation of the old theory of Dr. Beke which identified Mizraim with Midian instead of Egypt, while Asshur becomes the Asshurim of Gen. xxv. 3, and is made synonymous with Edom, and the Jareb of Hosea is transformed into the Arabs. That Professor Hommel's arguments are ingenious and full of learning goes without saying, but I doubt whether he will gain many converts to his views or indeed whether, after mature consideration, he will himself continue to hold them. It is possible that Muzur "the border-land" signifies northern Arabia in some of the passages in the Assyrian texts in which it occurs, and that Mizraim may have been corrupted from Mazor in a few verses of the Old Testament, but the wholesale bouleversement of ancient geography which the new theories necessitate is not likely to win acceptance. It is riding a hobby a little

490

too hard to transfer the Mizraim against which Isaiah prophesies (chapter xix.) from one side of the Red Sea to the other, and to alter the readings of the Hebrew text where they do not suit the new hypothesis. I am quite as willing as Professor Hommel to believe that the prophecies of Balaam belong to the Mosaic age, but I do not see that this obliges us to accept the geographical novelties he proposes in support of his views. After all, the last words of the prophecy-"And ships (shall come) from the coast of Chittim, and shall afflict Asshur and shall afflict Eber, and he also shall perish for ever"—admit of a historical interpretation even if we retain the Masoretic reading and the translation of the Authorised Version. In the Tel el-Amarna correspondence, the King of Jerusalem tells his suzerain the Pharaoh that "when there was a ship upon the sea, the arm of the Mighty King conquered Naharaim and the Babylonians," and those who will may see in the Khabiri of the same letters the Eber of Balaam. As for the ships of Chittim, they are no longer difficult to find. Dr. A. J. Evans's discoveries in Crete have shown that the maritime empire of Minos was a reality, and that in the Mosaic age the Philistines of the Greek seas harried the shores of Palestine and occupied the cities of the coast.

Dr. Scheil's work is the first-fruits of M. de Morgan's recent excavations at Susa. The first volume, describing the excavations themselves and the topography of the site, has not yet appeared, but M. de Morgan has conferred a boon on scholars by allowing the second volume to be published as soon as it was ready for the press. From some points of view it is the most important of all the volumes which it is intended to issue. It contains the inscriptions in Semitic Babylonian which have been discovered among the ruins of the old capital of Elam, and comes upon us as a surprise. Hitherto it had been believed that Susa was from the outset what it was from the Abrahamic age onward—the seat of a non-Semitic power, ruled by kings who spoke an agglutinative

language, the last stage of which is found in the third transcripts of the Achæmenian inscriptions. Now it turns out that this was far from being the case. In the remote days of Sargon of Akkad (B.C. 3800) and for many centuries afterwards, Elam was a Babylonian province, and Susa or Shushan was governed by viceroys under the reigning Semitic dynasty of Babylonia like any other Babylonian town. The real capital of the non-Semitic population was Anzan to the north-west, and it was not until after the time of Khammurabi, or Amraphel, the contemporary of Abraham, that the kings of Anzan obtained permanent possession of Susa and established a non-Semitic kingdom in Elam. Before that date Elam was what it is described as being in the tenth chapter of Genesis, a son of Shem and a brother of Asshur and Aram. The new discoveries are a most unexpected verification of the accuracy of the Biblical statement.

The first excavators at Susa were two Englishmen, Colonel Williams and Mr. Loftus, exactly half a century ago. But want of funds prevented them from doing much more than showing what archæological treasures lay buried under its triple mound. Then came M. Dieulafoy, who devoted himself to a thorough exploration of the sumptuous palace of Darius and Artaxerxes Mnemon, the enamelled tiles of which, with their friezes of lions and archers, now form one of the chief ornaments of the Museum of the Louvre. It was left for M. de Morgan systematically to excavate the ancient city and lay bare the walls and monuments that had been destroyed by Assur-bani-pal when the old capital of Elam finally fell before the Assyrian arms.

The earliest and one of the most interesting of these is the obelisk of an early Babylonian monarch, a predecessor probably of Sargon of Akkad, of whom we previously knew no more than the name. The obelisk is covered with many hundred lines of writing and records the purchase by the king of various estates in Northern Babylonia. It throws a good deal of light on the economical history of Babylonia in the remote period to which it belongs. The prices in silver shekels are given of various objects, including copper knives,

donkeys and slaves, and different trades and professions are named, such as those of the carpenter, blacksmith, merchant and sailor. We hear of serfs attached to the estates bought by the king, but it is carefully stipulated that wages should be paid to them for their labour. The numerous names too deserve study; among them is that of Ishmael which indicates that Western Semites were already settled in the land.

Another equally interesting monument is a stela of Naram-Sin, the son and successor of Sargon of Akkad. It celebrates his overthrow of a league formed against him by the Kurdish princes, and represents the conqueror climbing the rugged mountains of the north-east and trampling his foes under his feet.

The other monuments consist for the most part of bricks and boundary-stones the latter of which contain the titledeeds of the landed properties on which they were originally set up as well as a description of their boundaries. bricks come from the temples which were built or restored by the viceroys and governors whose names they bear. Some of the boundary-stones are as late as the age of the Kassite dynasty of Babylonia, and prove that the Kassite invasion engulfed Elam as well as Babylonia. we forget a block of black granite with an inscription of Khammurabi, or a curious tablet which states how King Bitilyas (B.C. 1300) had given some corn-land to a political "refugee" from Eastern Cappadocia, a currier by trade, in return for a leather cuirass that he had made. Assyria was in alliance at the time with Khali-rabbat or Cappadocia, and Agab-takha the refugee, accordingly, did not feel himself safe until he had reached the easternmost extremity of Babylonia.

The volume has been brought out with all the sumptuousness of type and paper that distinguishes such publications in France, and the photographs of the original texts are clear and excellent. The name of Dr. Scheil is a sufficient guarantee of the care and scholarship with which they have been edited.

The Counter-Reformation in Europe.

By Rev. Arthur Robert Pennington, M.A., Canon Non-Residentiary of Lincoln; and Rector of Utterby, Lincolnshire. London: Elliot Stock. Pp. xx. + 280.

Though not to be compared in mastery of material or breadth of view with Gothein's Ignatius von Loyola und die Gegenreformation or even with the late Professor Maurenbrecher's unfinished Geschichte der Katholischen Reformation, Canon Pennington has prepared a useful handbook on a field which has been too much neglected by Anglo-Saxon writers. Protestant Reformation has had abundant exposition in English; but its Roman counterpart has never received the attention that it deserves from English-speaking historians. Besides a general sense of the importance of this relatively undeveloped theme, two motives appear to have led Canon Pennington to undertake his task. One of these is a desire to correct the errors of Lord Macaulay's essay on Ranke's History of the Popes, and the other a wish to supplement the narrative of the lamented Bishop Creighton by telling the story of the revival of Romanism till 1648. It is no injustice to Canon Pennington's work to say that he has not given such a treatment of the theme as would have come from the pen of the late Bishop of London; but so far, certainly, as the other object held in view is concerned, its end is fully Canon Pennington gives good reasons for his contention that, great as were the services of the Society of Jesus to the Counter-Reformation, their importance was exaggerated by Macaulay, while that brilliant writer failed to give due weight to the patient work of Philip II., and neglected the potent influence of the Council of Trent in restoring its lost strength to the Roman communion. his emphasis on other influences than those of the Jesuits in

furthering the Counter-Reformation, at least during its earlier stages, and in his insistence on the prime importance of the failure of the Spanish Armada not merely in determining the religious story of England but that of continental Europe, Canon Pennington has done well.

The author's clear appreciation of the significance to the Roman cause of the Council of Trent gives special value to the chapter in which he describes its work; but he is at his best in sketching the Counter-Reformation in England and in exhibiting the varied activities of that champion of Rome and enemy of Elizabeth, Cardinal William Allen, and of the priests and Jesuits who aided in the effort to win England to the Roman obedience. Allen's endeavours to overthrow English Protestantism through the agency of his "seminary priests," his adoption of political intrigue in addition to spiritual weapons, and his zeal in stimulating the purpose of Philip II. to conquer England by the Armada are interestingly pictured.

Canon Pennington's sympathies are evidently strongly Protestant. Though he is not insensible to the courage and zeal of the leaders of the Counter-Reformation and especially of its English martyrs, he evidently finds it hard to view them with impartial eyes. He has not a little of Protestant denunciation of Rome and her works. Such an attitude of mind is readily understood. The contests of the Reformation age are not yet so fought out that it is possible to look back upon their course without strong preference for one party or the other in the struggle. But, from the point of view of a historian, this intense Protestant note is the cause of the chief defect of the book under review. That defect is a lack of sympathetic appreciation of the spiritual force and significance of the Roman revival. Its evils are evident, and Canon Pennington has pointed out many of them. appreciates the courage and self-denial of its leaders. its zeal and confidence and persistence, however obnoxious in their manifestations to us of Protestant faith, had their springs deep down in a real revival of piety. That the Counter-Reformation was at bottom.

It is to be regretted that the proof-reading of the volume has not been closer. Several instances occur where the hasty reader is liable to be misled because differing forms of the same name is given. For example the same person is spoken of as "John Peter Caraffa" on p. 43, and as "Jean Pierre" simply on p. 45. "Princess Jean" of p. 91, is "Donna Juana" on p. 92. The battle of Jarnac is misprinted "Sarnac" (p. 158). The author differs from the prevailing opinion in ascribing the Beneficio di Gesu Cristo crocifisso to Paleario; and the fourth general of the Jesuits, Mercurian, was a Belgian, not an Italian. But these are minor matters, and the volume is one to be welcomed as a popular introduction which may stimulate further study of the important movement of which it treats.

WILLISTON WALKER.

The Labyrinth of the World and the Paradise of the Heart.

By John Amos Komensky (Comenius). Edited and translated by Count Lützow. London: Swan Sonnenschein & Co., 1901. Cr. 8vo, pp. 347. Price 6s.

The Time of Transition, or the Hope of Humanity.

By Frederick Arthur Hyndman, B.A. (Oxon.). London: Swan Sonnenschein & Co., 1901. Cr. 8vo, pp. xxiv. + 422. Price 6s.

The Labyrinth of the World is a decidedly interesting book. It is an allegory of social, political and religious life, written by Comenius, a bishop of the persecuted Moravian Church, and published in 1631. It became a favourite book with the Bohemians, feeding both their piety and their political discontent. At the beginning of last century, in 1820, it was placed on the list of prohibited books, as politically dangerous, but now it is freely printed and widely read. Comenius was a wise and kindly satirist. We are asked to call him a Pessimist, because he saw the common ills of life so plainly. He was not a Pessimist but he was a Calvinist, with a perception of the true dignity and high possibilities of man's nature. He saw how many were the tribulations through which men pass, and that from most of them they could escape by the exercise of virtue and of common-sense. His Pilgrim was a "caviller," but only against things that we all lament. Who is there that has not felt that a selfish worldly life is vanitas vanitatum?

His Labyrinth of the World is a round city with walls. The southern half has three main streets from east to west, and the northern half has three similar streets. In each there is found a separate group of men: married men,

tradesmen and scholars in the southern half; clergymen, magistrates and knights in the northern. The Pilgrim is guided through the city by Impudence and Falsehood. Each class of the population he describes, with many subdivisions, showing the hardships, the sins and the follies of each. There is no plot in the book, but the short sketches are so well arranged that they can be found without an Index. book was added to in each new edition; he might say, like Bunyan, "For having now my method by the end, Still as I pulled, it came". We cannot date the various sections. except at times by their reference to events in his life. One can understand why a timid censor put it down as a dangerous book. Comenius does not spare any class of men. shows the injustice of nobles and rulers, the pretensions of lawyers and doctors, the indolence of clergymen, the defects of the Roman Church and the mistakes of the Protestant, the degradation of the lower classes and the vices of the rich. It might well seem an evil book to men who consider any attack upon the status quo to be a personal menace. Some of the descriptions are specially good, e.g., the storm at sea, the mock trial of Simplicity in which are quoted words of Luther that stir the heart like the sound of a trumpet, the terrible picture of a battle, the powerful but restrained account of the end of the wicked when the spectacles are torn off and we see the truth. The last chapters, entitled "the Paradise of the Heart," show the charm of the Christian life, whatever our circumstances may be. The picture of a true minister of the Gospel is especially good. The vigour and interest of the book are maintained throughout.

The translation by Count Lützow is in vigorous and faultless English. The introduction contains a life of Comenius and gives all that is necessary for an understanding of the allegory.

The Time of Transition is a curious book, crude, discursive, not uninteresting, but very few will be able to read it with patience. The title gives no idea of the contents. It deals

with the Christian doctrine of the Trinity. The Holy Family consists of God the Almighty Father, the Holy Ghost the Almighty Mother, and Jesus Christ their only Son. There is no glaring irreverence, but one is forever reminded of the triads of the Indian Pantheon. Roman adoration of the Virgin came in because the character of God was misunderstood. Mr. Hyndman thinks it still necessary to find the attributes of patience, tenderness and nurture in the Holy Ghost, the divine Mother. Robertson of Brighton in his famous sermons showed that we have all that devotion and Christian life require in the gracious attributes of God as revealed in the gospel. The author refers to many problems in a discursive way that is at times entertaining, at times annoying, e.g., the creation and the fall of man, the tempter not a serpent but a monkey, the lost Ten Tribes, women's rights, prison reform, England's destiny, the fate of the Jews, the mission problem at home and abroad. He writes as a devoted member of the Church of England, and tells us how her prayers and formularies must be modified to fit in with his theories! His doctrine of the Holy Ghost is defended by page after page of quotation from Old and New Testament, and from the Apocrypha. The two books are published by Messrs. Swan Sonnenschein & Co., they are well printed on good paper and well bound.

CHARLES F. FLEMING.

The Adversaries of the Sceptic; or, the Specious Present: a new inquiry into human knowledge.

By Alfred Hodder, Ph.D. London: Sonnenschein, 1901. 8vo, pp. 339. Price 6s.

The Evolution of Consciousness.

By Leonard Hall, M.A. London: Williams & Norgate, 1901. 8vo, pp. 152. Price 3s. net.

MR. HODDER'S book is a brilliant one in many respects, and much of its doctrine is timely and wholesome; but the author will never make himself properly understood or gain the influence his gifts deserve till he improves his methods of exposition. Imagine Mr. George Meredith in one of his most tortuously sarcastic and elliptical moods recording a very abstract metaphysical dialogue in the third person with but faint indications where assertion ends and reply begins, leaving us to guess his sympathies in the dialogue by covert hints, and you get some idea of the difficulty of tracking Mr. Hodder (who is the "Sceptic") in his mazy dialectical wrestlings with "Adversaries" who make too much of the "Specious Present," or too little. Compared with the exasperating, and, one must add, gratuitous difficulty of the style in the metaphysical sections, it hardly seems worth while complaining of other impediments, such as the absence of a table of contents and of division of the argument into paragraphs; not to mention the swarm of queer misprints, which in one passage at least leave the author's meaning quite conjectural. However, we must cease to grumble at Mr. Hodder for the way he has flung his book into the world, and try to estimate the value of the philosophical view which he represents.

"Specious Present" is a term which was put into general currency by Professor William James, who borrowed it from

Mr. E. R. Clay. It implies that the present time in which we live is not an instantaneous moment but possesses a certain duration. In Professor James's words, "The practically cognized present is no knife-edge, but a saddle-back, with a certain breadth of its own on which we sit perched, and from which we look in two directions into time". The Specious Present is to be opposed to the Atomic Present which is mainly a fiction of the mathematicians. A Philosophy of the Specious Present is one which insists that on the Specious Present our whole world-construction is based, and that intellectual truth and moral value have their source and criterion here.

It is very certain that such an interpretation of experience, even if it does not carry us very far along the road of truth, is capable of good service at the present time. It may be used effectively against the advocates of the à priori who still talk, though in tones of diminished authority, of "conditions of knowledge antecedent to all experience" or of "principles to which experience as such is bound to conform". The answer to such high dictatorial claims is that apart from experience we know nothing; that experience comes to us primarily in the here and now; and that such as it is we must accept it. There are, no doubt, fundamental elements in experience which we may by analysis discover therein, and these elements may be due to the experiencing subject as much as to the experienced object. But to reach this result by a modest analysis of experience is very different from dictating to experience conditions to which it must conform.

Another direction in which the judicious sceptic may do good work is in pruning some of the luxuriance of "faith-philosophy". Of old it was a reproach to philosophers that they believed nothing. Now, armed with the latest dialectical appliances they are prepared to conquer worlds of belief with a celerity which fairly takes away one's breath. An eminent thinker, whose name occurs freely in Mr. Hodder's pages, would seem to assure us that from the most trivial truth, such as "There goes Jones," he can deduce the existence of a deity and most of his essential attributes; while if there

happens to be no truth on hand the absurdest falsity will do equally well. "The cow jumped over the moon" is, apparently, enough to prove the reality of a Universal Consciousness which includes both subject and object in an all-inclusive synthesis, and is the source and repository of eternal truth. Surely this hyper-constructive spirit needs a good douse of cold water; not at all to quench its zeal, but to bring it back to a sense of reality.

But the best work of the Sceptic of the Specious Present is to be done not in multiplying scepticism but in reducing it. His destructive efforts are merely preliminary. He clears away the mass of à priori dogmatism and scholastic cobwebs in order that something substantial may be built upon the But he has also to contend with those truly destructive sceptics who want to prove that no site exists at all. Mr. F. H. Bradley in the interests of an Absolute, which is to be infinitely more real and perfect than any experience we possess, would have us think that there is no such thing as a Specious Present; or, if there is, that we could never know it. Everyone is familiar with the kind of arguments which are used in this connection; such as, that the present can only be known by contrast with the past and the future; that the present is so overlaid with relational elements belonging to what is not present that the present element is indistinguishable from the rest; that by the time we know the present, the present is already past, so that as present it can never be known at The answer to these arguments is to show that they presuppose the existence of that very present which they profess to be reasoning away. For every one must admit that we have no direct contact with the non-present. We only know the past because we (or men we know of) were once in contact with it as present and we now remember or are told about it: and we only know the future in so far as we now imaginatively construct it to ourselves as going to be present. Without the present as our standing-ground the whole series disappears. Mr. Bradley's statement is like saying that a man only knows his own house by contrast with those of other men's. We cannot know the present fully except in contrast with past

and future. But between "only" and "fully" there is the widest difference.

All this sound doctrine and much more (mixed with not a little that is unsound) will be found by those few students who have the patience to make an analysis in Mr. Hodder's first part, entitled "Metaphysics of the Specious Present". The second part, the "Ethics of the Specious Present" is much easier to understand, but is, unfortunately, of much less value. It is not logically connected with the first part, nor are its divisions logically connected with each other. Mr. Hodder first attacks the question of the Moral Criterion, and by an examination of the social moral standard and of the personal moral ideal, easily proves that they, neither of them, furnish an absolute criterion by which the individual may guide his wavering steps in the paths of conduct. This is all very true, as everybody knows who has studied ethnology and the moral practice of his friends. But it does not follow, as Mr. Hodder seems to think it does, that the true moral theory is a kind of hedonism, and that "the unit of ethics is, within the limits of a single moment, the least appreciable welcomeness," a return to the μονόχρονος ήδονή of the Cyrenaics. Mr. Hodder, in his zeal for the pre-eminence of the Specious Present, forgets that the self is based on it but by no means contained in it. A man's life is a big system of which the Specious Present is an infinitesimal part. A man's moral welfare lies, not in the felt perfection of each successive moment, but in the general conformity of the scheme of his life to his moral ideal. This part of Mr. Hodder's book is only saved from insignificance by the fact that, though it is neither new nor true, it has evidently been thought out in an independent spirit by its author. It is in this part too that he most definitely parts company from the convictions of the plain man. The impression given by the first part is that Mr. Hodder is at bottom a common-sense philosopher with a weakness for mystification and intricate dialectical display. His second part convinces us that there is a deep vein of paradox in him after all.

We cannot say that Mr. Leonard Hall's little work on the Evolution of Consciousness makes much advance in the investigation of that most interesting and difficult subject. How difficult it is Mr. Hall seems hardly to realise; at least he has certainly not availed himself of recent work which would have helped him considerably. In his introductory chapter he states a theory which he has elaborated in another book entitled Man the Microcosm, to the effect that the human body is built up of simple unicellular organisms called monads, and that "the phenomena of the human mind as well as those of the body, result from the social evolution of the community of monads, whereby the consciousness of the monads of the nervous system is combined and coordinated (integrated) into the complex consciousness of man". Mr. Hall announces that he will prove this theory. He does not do so, however; he merely states it. But this is less important because the succeeding chapters seem to bear but little relation to the theory. In the body of the work the argument proceeds upon the lines of the crudest materialism. Though Mr. Hall does not mention Mr. Herbert Spencer's Principles of Psychology, it is evident that for him that great work forms the alpha and omega of mental science.

HENRY STURT.

Die Anfänge unserer Religion.

Von Lic. Paul Wernle, a. o. Professor an der Universität Basel. Tübingen und Leipzig: J. C. B. Mohr, 1901. Pp. xii. + 410. Price 7s.

THE object of the author in this book, as the Preface explains, is "to present to his readers a clear conception of the nature of the Gospel and the great changes it underwent till the time of Catholicism". He contends that from the very beginning Christianity has suffered grievous things at the hands of theologians. And he regards it as the duty of every one who would serve Christ as a theologian to renew His endeavour to free religion from the misconceptions of theology (p. vi.).

The reform which Wernle advocates is radical enough, and brings us back to the great religious ideas of Jesus' teaching. By these all subsequent developments are tested. And his conclusion is that while the additional elements that come from Paul and John and other writers of the New Testament were helpful towards the preservation and propagation of Christ's Gospel, they all bear the stamp of the age and of the spirit of the age when the writers lived, and are to be viewed as the temporary and provisional clothing of the original faith.

The book, like everything else that has come from the pen of the accomplished author, is brightly written and sparkles with gems of thought. It is the result of independent investigation and of a wide acquaintance with the subject. There are no notes or references to authorities, and the unwary reader, carried on by the fascinating style and impressed by the air of certainty, is tempted to imagine that he is treading throughout on ground that is firm and undisputed. This however is not the case. What we have here

is a theory of religious development, plausible and attractive, but demanding further proof than is furnished before it can be accepted as true. The interest lies in the fact that it is a view of the subject that is much in favour at the present moment with a large section of the advanced scholarship of the New Testament. This circumstance, as well as the remarkable ability of the book, warrants our giving a brief account of the contents, a task by no means easy in the view of the amount of matter which is packed into it.

After an introductory chapter on "The Presuppositions," in which the author treats of the modes of religious thought, both in Judaism and in contemporary heathen life, that were influential in determining the form of the Christian faith, we come to the discussion of the subject proper, which consists of two main divisions, "The Origin of the Christian Religion," and the "Formation of the Church" embracing its constitution and theology, and the piety of the sub-apostolic period.

In the former of the two divisions we have these topics discussed—Jesus, the Primitive Church, Paul, the Apocalypse. The chapters on Jesus and Paul are of great interest, and are most stimulating reading. He commences in this pungent style, "Christianity owes its origin to the appearance of a layman, Jesus of Nazareth, with a self-consciousness greater than that of a prophet, who so attached men to Himself that, undeterred by His shameful death, they were able to live for Him and to die. Iesus impressed on the world new values and cast into it new thoughts. But His Person alone gave to these values and thoughts the victorious power by which they transformed the world. Men make history and imprint their personal character on great spiritual movements. If our century were thorough enough to learn, people would cease the senseless talk about the religion of Jesus which every Christian should acquire for himself. As if His redeeming power, His self-consciousness, His kingly humility could dwell in our little souls; apart from the fact that nobody takes His manner of life as an example. There never can come a time for Christianity when a Christian can have for Christians the significance Jesus has" (p. 23).

In a series of chapters entitled the Calling, the Promise. the Requirement, Jesus the Redeemer, the author deals in a very striking way with the significance of Jesus. The secret of the origin of Christianity lies, he says, in the superhuman consciousness of Jesus with which His manner of life so wonderfully agrees. He applied to Himself the Messianic idea because it was the form for that which was best and finest in the religious thought of His people. But He stripped it of its Jewish content, and even then it was inadequate to express the full meaning of His personality. One element of error indeed which the Jewish idea contained, the promise of the Second Coming, clung to His self-consciousness. This was the solitary instance in which He paid toll to the beliefs. of His age. The author explains the titles given to Jesus, the Messiah, the Son of God, the Son of Man. But what He was among men, he says, what His calling of God for all time was, are not in the least set forth in such titles. "It belongs to true reverence to stand still before Jesus, not before His titles, but before Himself" (p. 34). His religion, the author maintains, has suffered greatly from the weight attached to these titles which are, after all, only the forms of His self-consciousness and represent it very imperfectly. They became the basis of a Christology which concealed rather than expressed the real superiority of the new religion over the old. It was a misfortune that Jesus came to be apprehended in the Jewish Church "under Jewish categories of thought—a poor substitute for what was to be learnt from the deeds of Jesus Himself, and the fresh inner life of His Person" (p. 89).

Wernle holds with recent writers that Christ's preaching of the Kingdom is eschatological. It is the preaching of the End, of the near judgment of the world, and the setting up of the Kingdom of God. But it was the merit of Jesus that He eliminated the Jewish element and prepared the way for the transformation of the eschatological conception into the religious hope of the future.

In the chapter on the "Requirement" we have a fine sketch of the moral teaching of Jesus: a sentence or two on one point: "Never in His demands does He leave the circle of active life as it lies spread before us in the light of Eternity. He does not demand a life lived with God alone besides the life of labour at one's calling and intercourse with our neighbour. Everything with God and in obedience to God, nothing with God alone. The proof of this is that even the Kingdom of God to which the soul is to raise itself in longing is no mystical Heaven, but something concrete and social. The watchword, God and the Soul, the Soul and its God, may suit Augustine, but it does not suit Jesus" (pp. 50-1).

In his chapter on "Jesus the Redeemer" the author remarks that while in the Gospels we hear nothing of the highsounding words which theology employs in describing Jesus' redemptive work on the soul, those who were with Jesus are seen, as the result of His influence upon them, to be raised to a wonderfully happy life. They experienced His redemption. It was a deliverance from sin, from the Church and the theological ideas of the time, from the legal piety of the current religion, all effected by the power of Jesus to bring God near to men and to implant in them His own feelings. about God, and His child-like piety. The new life in Him was transferred to them, and through them it was communicated to receptive souls. "It was the calling of His whole existence to bring God near to His contemporaries and not to them alone, -so to rivet them in the presence of Eternityto God that they could not again lose Him. So well did He succeed in this that the thought never occurred to His first disciples that He placed Himself alongside of God or displaced God from the centre. They worshipped God alone, and handed down Jesus' own saying that even He was not to be called good. And that was the final evidence of their redemption. Jesus, however, by His humility and sincerity, His entire subjection to God, has, more than by all else, shown that He deserves the name of Redeemer in the fullest sense" (p. 69).

The chapters on Paul are amongst the most instructive in the book and abound in suggestion. Wernle has made a most careful study of the great apostle and is most appreciative in his estimate of the essential features of his work. According to him, the novelty of Paul's view of Christianity consists in the emphasis he laid on the death and Resurrection of Christ and in his idea of the Son of God from heaven, the latter being the mythical element in the Pauline Christology. In presenting Christianity he employed a different method from his Master: but it was essentially the same Gospel that he preached. He was true to the significance of it as redemption. "In his loyalty to the ideas of sonship and the freedom of the spirit, Paul was simply the disciple of Jesus, and indeed the deepest and most powerful of all His disciples" (p. 134). "His greatest innovation was his presentation of Jesus to the Greeks in the form of a dramatic myth. They had once more a history of the gods, and that of the most recent date. This charmed the world. The simple word of Jesus of Nazareth could never have done as much, for the plain reason that the world then was not ripe for the impression of the purely personal. What was great in Jesus, His redemptive power, must be clothed in a dogmatic dress: it lives and works effectually in that, even with Paul. In spite of all, it was a piece of good fortune that Jesus was preached to the world by Paul. Along with the thought about Him He Himself came" (p. 154). Wernle finds in Paul's writings seed-thoughts which exhibit his limitation and which afterwards blossomed forth in doctrines inconsistent with the essential principles of Christianity. Among these are the importance the apostle attached to the Church as an institution and to its sacramental rites, his magnifying of dogma and the intellectual side of truth, and the apologetic use he made of the Old Testament. Nevertheless he was faithful to the "Christian ideal of religion, including the two great ideas of sonship to God and the freedom of the Spirit. He also placed love, the practical fruits of religion, above enthusiasm and above theology, and thereby he found the Eternal in the temporal. Looking at His work as a whole, one stands still in amazement at the greatness of this thinker" (p. 208).

The author brings his review of Paul to a close with a fine

discriminating analysis and estimate of the type of piety the apostle represented, contrasted with that of Jesus. A brief quotation will bring out his point of view. "The significance of Paul for the history of religion was that he placed the proper life of religion in the region of feeling. . . . The two sets of contrasted feelings, sin and grace, strength and weakness, have been once for all and for all time experienced and expressed by him. Religion has thereby undergone an infinite deepening and inwardness. Not in outward consequences and effects does it consist, but in the soul's intercourse with God. . . . It is different with Jesus. His inner life seldom comes to expression, no special value is attached by Him to the life of feeling. It is all practical piety. The entire action of Jesus indeed is inspired by feeling, by His childlike assurance of the love of God and by His lofty earnestness in the view of the great Future. But these feelings are not a province by themselves out of which the way to action is then to be sought: they are always, consciously or unconsciously, the present accompaniment of every action. . . . Both forms of piety have their place if they are genuinely experienced. In consequence of the dominancy of theology, Paul's has come to be regarded as the normal one. But as a rule the moral life is rather at a discount when the life of feeling is made so prominent as it is by him. It is our task to-day to place in the foreground Jesus' type of piety as the message to our age" (pp. 217-19).

The entire future history of the Gospel has been determined by the form Paul gave to it. After him there was no original theology in the Church (p. 369). The author of the fourth Gospel only worked on Paul's ideas, developed them further and applied them to the reconstruction of the evangelical narrative. Wernle has a poor opinion of John. He is the "narrowest and most fanatical theologian of the New Testament" (p. 281). Nevertheless, he admits the extraordinary significance of the fourth Gospel and its value for the understanding of the formation of the theology of the Church that

followed the period of Paul.

In accounting for that theology and the change upon the

original Gospel it effected, Wernle discusses at length three leading sources of influence. (1) The influence of Judaism. The controversy between Judaism and Christianity turned mainly on the Messiahship of Jesus. The Gospels-especially Matthew and Luke, and fourth Gospel and Book of Acts inform us as to the questions that were debated and to the kind of arguments employed by Christians. evangelical narrative was to a certain extent modified and shaped in the interest of Christology. The Johannine Gospel was a notable endeavour to exhibit the presence of the Messianic idea all through the earthly life of Jesus. In the conflict with Judaism the Church conquered but at a cost. In the process Christianity absorbed many Jewish elements to its own hurt. The Jewish idea of the Church was taken over with the old exclusiveness and narrowness and trust in dogma. Christianity came to be treated as a new law. Catholicism is, in one aspect, the Judaising of Christianity.

A second factor was Hellenism. From the influence of the heathen religions came the deification of Jesus. philosophy furnished the speculative basis for the idea that readily commended itself to the heathen mind that Jesus was a God, of superior might to the gods of their old worship. John's Gospel and the Epistle to the Hebrews bear witness to the influence of Greek thought on the Christian ideas, the former furnished a picture of Christ in keeping with the higher Christology that prevailed, which was really a transformation of the original. But the change that took place affected the whole range of Christian ideas as well as Christology, issuing, on the one hand, in the sacramental and ritualistic apprehension of religion and, on the other, in the treatment of Christianity as a philosophy. "Both agree in the complete indifference to the moral and personal character of the Gospel of Jesus" (p. 236). By the year 100, along with the old piety that existed still in full force, there had been formed the full germ of that Hellenising of Christianity which ultimately led to its complete transformation.

The third factor was Gnosticism. This movement, which possessed points of contact with Christianity, especially as

d

d

1

taught by Paul, really came from outside sources. It was a real danger inasmuch as it opposed to the faith a chaos of opinions derived from the heathen religion and that threatened, in the freedom of teaching that prevailed, to gain a footing within the Churches, and to destroy the faith. The danger was averted by the leaders of the Church, but, as we see from the pastoral epistles which are directed against this movement, the weapons used were tradition and Church authority. And, as the result of the conflict, an importance was attached to pure doctrine that led to the identification of Christianity and orthodoxy. The religion of Christ underwent the greatest change of all. Originally the true marks of Christianity were the ardour of its hope, the strictness of the new life, inspiration for Jesus. Now, dogma took the place of the practical and the personal. The old view of Christianity was supplanted by the scholastic dogmatic view. Catholic Christianity arose as the reaction of the Church against the inroad of the chaos of heathen religions. It rallied all that was sound in the old Christianity to the conflict. It succeeded, and deserved to succeed, and was the direct continuation of primitive Christianity. But it introduced one bad innovation, the exaltation of orthodoxy and ecclesiasticism, into leading marks of Christianity in contrast to the freedom of teaching from Church authority that characterised the Gnostics.

How it fared with the piety of the Church while Christianity, as a scheme of thought, was undergoing these modifications, and how far the real power of the Gospel on the lives of men was affected by them, is set forth in the most instructive chapter with which the book concludes.

It need scarcely be added, that throughout Wernle's work the truth is assumed of the conclusions of the higher criticism regarding the origin of the books of the New Testament, especially of the Gospels. The latter are appealed to in this construction of sub-apostolic history, not only for evidence of what Jesus said and did, but also for evidence of what men, in the course of the controversies that followed, believed about Him and represented Him as saying and

doing. The importance of the book is due to the circumstance that it makes quite plain the revolution that must take place in our view of the Christian faith, if we are justified in making this use of the Gospels. It is a brilliant piece of work, and is bound to make a deep impression; and it will do good service to the interests of truth if it lead to fresh investigation of the history and significance of these records of evangelical fact.

Other issues are raised by this book, above all, this serious one, how far what has been regarded as distinctively Pauline doctrine is an interpretation of Christianity by ideas that are purely Jewish in their origin, and which consequently may be discarded without injury to the essence of revelation. This is too large a question to be entered upon. But on the answer given to it must depend our ultimate judgment of the value of this book as a contribution to Christian thought.

DAVID SOMERVILLE.

Essays on Islam.

By the Rev. E. Sell, B.D., M.R.A.S. London: Simpkin, Marshall & Co. 8vo, pp. 267.

By his previous publications on The Faith of Islam, and The Historical Development of the Quran, Mr. Sell has shown that he has something more than a superficial knowledge of Muhammadanism. The papers now collected from the Madras Christian College Magazine and published in a revised form will be appreciated by those who seek to understand that great religion. With little literary grace these essays put us in possession of information which is not otherwise easily accessible. Eight in number, they give some account of the Mystics and religious orders of Islam; the Recensions of the Ouran, Islam in China, the Kalif Hakim and the Druses. But most readers will be especially attracted by the paper on the Bab and his followers. The great religious revolution inaugurated by Mirza Ali Muhammad, commonly called the Bab, had its roots far back in the Shiah doctrine. The chief article of the Shiah creed is the belief in the Imamate—a succession of Divine manifestations. The Imam is not always himself present on earth, but is represented by some intermediary or holy man who acts as the channel of grace between the Imam and his people. In 1844 Mirza Ali Muhammad, being then about twenty-four years of age, assumed the title of the Bab and proclaimed to the inhabitants of Kerbela that he was the Gate through which men might attain to the knowledge of the twelfth Imam. appeal found response not only in those who were attracted by his personality, but in those who desired reform in Persia and in those who were mystics or believed in the Imamate. The frightful persecution in which the Bab himself and hundreds of his followers suffered death, seemed only to lend Vol. XI.—No. 6.

33

fresh vitality to the cause; and in Beha, his successor, the young sect found a strong and sincere leader. Under his guidance the Babis were directed to a much higher morality than was practised by the average Muhammadan. Christ was acknowledged as a true prophet, or even as the Incarnate Son, and with many Beha was considered to be a re-incarnation of Christ. Accordingly they show great friendliness to the Christian missionaries, some of the Babis saying "We are Christians," others saying "We are almost Christians". It is obvious that such a religious development presents points of great interest to the student of religion, and to those who wish to pursue the inquiry Mr. Sell's book will be eminently helpful.

MARCUS DODS.

Der abendländische Text der Apostelgeschichte und die Wir-Quelle.

Von A. Pott. Leipzig: Hinrichs, 1900. 8vo, pp. iv. + 88.

Price M.3.

Der Heilige Paulus von Apostelübereinkommen (Gal. ii. 1-10) bis zum Apostelkonzil (Apg. xv.).

Von Professor Dr. V. Weber, Würzburg. Freiburg: Herder, 1901. Pp. 46.

This is an interesting and ingenious contribution to the textual criticism of the New Testament. Fresh light upon the "Western" text is always welcome. If it also illuminates, as this study professes to do, the question of the hypothetical sources of Acts, it will be doubly acceptable.

The author, who was entrusted by Professor Von Soden with the collation of New Testament MSS, in English libraries, was impressed by the value of the minuscule in the Bodleian containing Acts and Epistles which Gregory designates 58 for Acts, but which Pott denotes by O. The text of the first twelve and the last six chapters belongs to the ordinary type. Chapters xiii.-xxii. are predominantly "Western" in the character of their readings. In collating the authorities used by Blass in the construction of his so-called β -text of Acts, Pott discovered that O belonged to the same general group as D, the Milan minuscule 137 (which he calls M), and (as he supposed) the revision of the Philoxenian Syriac version of the New Testament, by Thomas of Heraclea (Ph.). But in this group, O showed a much closer affinity with Ph M than with D. Accordingly he sets himself to trace, if possible, the history of the type of text represented in this smaller group. But Ph reveals an intimate relationship with D in a set of readings which O M do not share. Now

Thomas definitely states in the subscription to the Gospels that he made his revision with the help of two Greek MSS. Pott believes that these two MSS. contained divergent types of text, one of which is represented in Acts by O M Ph (= I). the other by D Ph (= II). This twofold revision he traces laboriously through the relevant chapters and comes to the conclusion that the peculiar readings of I are of high value, while those of II are only a corruption of I. The readings of I agree most frequently with those marked by an asterisk in the revision of Thomas. Those of II have the closest resemblance to his marginal variants. At this point he invokes the aid of literary criticism. He finds that most recent critics assume for Acts a Pauline source (= A): the remaining source (or sources) he designates B. Then he attempts to prove that the readings of I belong to the source A which he identifies with the We-source of Luke. hypothesis is, to put it briefly, the following: Luke wrote, on the basis of his intimate acquaintance with the facts, his Acta Pauli. This is identical with the We-sections of our Acts. A redactor combined this document with other sources. and so there arose the book as we have it. But the Acta Pauli existed for some time separate from the larger work. Some copies of the latter were corrected from the former, probably on the margin. These corrected copies are the sources of the representatives of the β -text. The purest among them was the one MS. of Ph, the ancestor of O M. D and its followers show merely an inferior recension of those corrected copies.

These results are reached after a most elaborate examination of variants. And at first sight Pott's hypothesis seems very plausible. But the genealogies of types of text and groups of readings are, as a rule, so extraordinarily complicated and so difficult to explain by one or two simple processes of argument that the very completeness of the theory and the ease with which its positions are reached at once raise doubts as to its validity. The "Western" text is a notoriously thorny subject. There are probably no short cuts to the solutions of its problems. Now, to lay stress only on one

or two salient points, the author in attempting to discover those readings which Thomas is supposed to have introduced into the Philoxenian Syriac from his two Greek MSS., takes for granted with most earlier scholars that Thomas either inserted in the text with an asterisk (*) or wrote on the margin all those readings of his Greek MSS. which did not exist in Ph, which he marked with an obelus (-) those found in Ph but not in his Greek texts. This assumption necessarily forms the basis of the larger part of the investigation. But its correctness has been finally disproved since Pott's study appeared by Dr. P. Corssen in his masterly article, "Die Recension der Philoxeniana" (Zeitschr. f. N. T. Wissensch., ii. I, pp. I-12).

There he shows convincingly that the asterisk like the obelus, marks those readings which did not occur in the Greek MSS., and were consequently part of the Syriac text. This also holds good for the marginal readings in Acts. These "Western" readings of the Philoxenian are therefore Syrian, but Corssen shows that they did not originally belong to the text but intruded at a later time. The fact that the asterisk-readings and those of the margin belong to the same class suggests that the former also stood at one time on the margin and gradually crept into the body of the text. The purpose of Thomas was to restore the original text of Philoxenus by the aid of his Greek MSS. His process was utterly different from that on which Pott builds up his hypothesis. But although the main investigation has proved fallacious, the author deserves the thanks of all students of the "Western" text for his careful collation of O and the evidence he has presented of its intimate relationship with M and Ph.

This interesting essay, which forms one of the series of Biblische Studien, edited by the Roman Catholic scholar, Dr. Bardenhewer, attempts to prove that the events of Gal. ii. I-IO occurred during Paul's second visit to Jerusalem, of which we have an account in Acts xi. 25, xii. 25. Thereafter follows his missionary journey to South Galatia (Acts

xiii. f.). Peter's visit to Antioch and Paul's censure of his action in withdrawing from fellowship with the heathen-Christians convince the Judaistic party of the antagonism between Paul's fundamental principles and their own. arouses an unscrupulous agitation on the part of the Judaizers in those Churches which owed their origin to Paul, and notably in Galatia (South). To meet this attack upon his Gospel of liberty, the Apostle of the Gentiles writes his Epistle to the Galatians. The epistle had the desired effect. but the agitation spread far and wide. To have the whole question discussed and decided, Paul goes up to Jerusalem, and at the Apostolic Council has the satisfaction of finding his work approved, and the main difficulty removed for the present by means of the decree which the Council promulgated. "Thus there was irrevocably won for the heathen-Christians their Magna Charta libertatis" (p. 46).

The discussion is carried on with real ability and fairness. The only trace of ecclesiastical prejudice is the statement (p. 33, note 2) that Gal, ii. 14 is a powerful testimony on St. Paul's part to the primacy of St. Peter. We should suppose that this incident must rather rank among the arguments most fatal to such claims. Obviously much of the cogency of Weber's general argument stands or falls with the South-Galatian theory. If the epistle was written to the North-Galatian Churches, then it was written after the Apostolic Council had been held, and we are bound to conclude that the events narrated in Gal. ii. 1-10 are identical with those of Acts xv. although viewed from a different standpoint, as it is quite inconceivable that in a letter written to vindicate Paul's apostleship and the religious liberty of his heathen-Christian converts, an occurrence of such vital importance for the question at issue should be passed over in silence. Accordingly, to those who, like the reviewer, find it impossible (so e.g., such authorities as Mommsen and Schürer) to believe that Paul could have addressed the Lycaonians or Pisidians as ἀνόητοι Γαλάται, the reasoning is vitiated from the very outset. Indeed, the discussion suffers throughout from the constant references made by the author to two earlier publications of his own, one maintaining the composition of "Galatians" before the Apostolic Council, the other "a proof of the pure South-Galatian theory". It is all very well to hear of "two proofs, each of which by itself is of decisive force," of "twelve arguments which yield high probability," of "six further items of demonstration" (p. 27), and the like, but it is irritating to be left in total ignorance of this important material.

Apart, however, from the validity of the South-Galatian theory, the argument, while often ingenious and suggestive, reveals various flaws and inconsistencies. One or two of these may be noted. It is almost superfluous to point out that $\pi \dot{a} \lambda \iota \nu$ (Gal. ii. I) need not imply that this was literally Paul's second visit to Jerusalem (p. 5). The Apostle would naturally confine himself to those visits which had an important bearing on the subject of his letter. On p. q we are told that for a number of years Paul's missionary labours were never disturbed by Jewish-Christians. Where is the evidence for so bold a statement? The "revelation" which prompted Paul to go up to Jerusalem is identified, most precariously, with the experience described in 2 Cor. xii. 2-4 (p. 16). On his second visit to Jerusalem (Acts xi. 25) the Apostle is represented as recounting his missionary labours before a Church assemblage (p. 17). This is pure hypothesis. On p. 19 Weber insists that there was no discussion of the difficulties which Paul laid before the Pillar-Apostles (Gal. ii. 1-10). Surely any sensitive reader can feel the throb of exciting memories in the language of these verses. To assert that the Judaizing Christians considered this occasion inappropriate for an attack on the missionaries of the Gentile Church (p. 20) is strangely to misconceive their habits and points of view. To note one point more, Weber holds that in Gal. ii. we have the beginnings of the strife about circumcision and a provisional settlement of the difficulty, in Acts xv. the closing stage of the conflict and a definite regulation of the controversy (p. 23). But the author himself admits that even the decree of Acts xv. was only provisional, inasmuch as it did not hold for all heathenChristians, and Paul never referred to it in writing to the Corinthians on some of the questions with which it dealt (p. 45).

Criticism bulks largely in this notice, but the discussion is the work of an acute and accomplished scholar.

H. A. A. KENNEDY.

Die Bedeutung des Todes Jesu nach seinen eigenen Aussagen auf Grund der synoptischen Evangelien.

Von Lic. Dr. Georg Hollmann, Privatdozent der Theologie an der Universität Halle. Tübingen und Leipzig: J. C. B. Mohr, 1901. Pp. vii. + 160. Price 3s. 6d.

AMONGST the many important monographs which have appeared within recent years dealing with special aspects of Christ's teaching, it is remarkable how few have dealt with what, judged by its results, must be regarded as that teaching's central point, namely, the teaching of Jesus regarding His death. Dogmatic treatises there have been in abundance. in which Christ's words are treated in connexion with the whole doctrine of atonement, as subsequently developed by the Apostles and the Church: but, apart from the relative sections in the Biblical Theologies, there have been few attempts to trace from a strictly biblico-historical point of view what was the precise significance which Jesus Himself attached to His death. The recently published treatise of Dr. Georg Hollmann is therefore specially welcome. And whatever may be the view held of some of the conclusions at which he arrives, there can be no doubt as to the thoroughness of his investigations, or the abundance of material which he provides for future workers in the same field. It may not be without interest then to pass in brief review his main positions, if only for the purpose of showing the nature of the questions that arise. Anything like a detailed criticism is within our limits impossible.

Before passing to his main inquiry, Hollmann discusses

¹ Mention may be made of two studies by French theologians, La Pensée de Jésus sur sa Mort d'après les Évangiles Synoptiques, par H. Babut (Alençon, 1897), and Ce que Jésus a pensé de sa Mort, par J. de Visme in his Quelques Traits du Jésus de l'Histoire (Montauban, 1899).

at considerable length three preliminary points. The first is, Is it possible to reconstruct scientifically the meaning Jesus attached to His death? or, more generally, Are we still in a position to establish historically the words and deeds of Jesus? It is sometimes argued that because Jesus Himself wrote nothing, and because for all we know regarding Him we are dependent on the evidence of others, that therefore we have no security that we can ever hope to reach His true meaning. But this, as Hollmann well points out, is a scepticism which would destroy almost all historical evidence. And if, in recalling the teaching of their Master, the evangelists have sometimes been in danger of interpreting, rather than of simply reporting, His words in the light of their own later experience, this only shows the need of care in investigating their records, and not of denying altogether the possibility of arriving at historical truth (p. 10).

The second preliminary inquiry is, Did Jesus recognise His sufferings and death beforehand as necessary? And to this question, if only in view of the great declaration of Cæsarea-Philippi (Mark viii, 27-33), it is impossible to give other than an affirmative answer. But the question still remains, How far the thought of His sufferings and death had been present to Jesus' own mind previous to this, even though He had made no direct mention of them to His disciples. And this leads Hollmann to the investigation of one or two of the most interesting and difficult of the synoptic words. (The Fourth Gospel, whose evidence is here peculiarly valuable, is, it will be observed, excluded from the whole discussion.) Thus, to confine ourselves to a single example, there is the well-known verse, "But the days will come, when the bridegroom shall be taken away from them, and then will they fast in that day" (Mark ii. 20), a verse which, according to its present position, occurring early in the Galilean ministry, is usually confidently appealed to by those who think that "the shadow of the cross" lay upon Jesus' mind from the first. And so far at least Hollmann is with them in admitting that "taken away" $(a\pi a\rho\theta\hat{\eta})$ in its present context can only refer to the sudden death of the bridegroom ("allein

man darf nicht verkennen, dass sicher ein plötzlicher Tod des νυμφιος gemeint ist," p. 17). But no sooner has he done so than he takes away the value of the concession by maintaining that in that case, if the word is a genuine word of Jesus, it must have been spoken at a much later period, as it is quite out of keeping with the generally bright and hopeful character of the early period of Jesus' ministry (p. 19 f.). But is there any justification for the stress laid by Hollmann and others on this last point? That the opening of the Galilean ministry was bright and encouraging as compared with the misunderstanding and opposition that marked its close may be freely granted. But there are abundant hints in our narratives that Jesus was aware from the first how little either the people or their rulers understood the real object of His mission, and it is only natural therefore that He should be led through the actual experience of life to realise very early how that life was to end. But on this we cannot dwell at present. It is a subject which can only be properly understood in connection with the whole Messianic consciousness of Jesus. And so far at least as the point before us is concerned, it is enough to notice that, whatever may have been the character of the presages previously present to Jesus' mind, from the time of Cæsarea-Philippi at any rate the

triumphant Messiah (p. 33).

But, and this is our third question, while Jesus had thus come to regard His death as unavoidable, have we any evidence that He attached a special significance to it? Here again there can hardly be any doubt as to the answer. The very fact that His death involved a complete reversal of the whole idea of the Jewish Messiah must in itself have led Jesus to see in it an all-important factor in His own special mission. So clear indeed is this conclusion that, according to Hollmann, it can only be avoided by attacking the premises on which it rests. And in this case two possibilities suggest

thought of His death was constantly before Him. Henceforward He looked upon that death as "unavoidable" ("unvermeidlich," p. 31), a fact the more wonderful and significant in view of the prevailing Jewish conception of a glorious and themselves—either the idea of a suffering Messiah was not wholly new; or, Jesus did not recognise Himself as Messiah (p. 36).

Regarding the first of these possibilities it may not be possible to accept literally Hollmann's somewhat sweeping statement that "in the time of Jesus the suffering Messiah was a wholly unknown and even incomprehensible figure ("eine total unbekannte, ja unfassbare Figur"). Such words as Simeon's (Luke ii. 34 f.) and John the Baptist's (John i. 29), though Hollmann does his best to deprive them of any real force (pp. 41-3), may still be cited as proof to the contrary. At the same time it must be allowed that these after all are but exceptional instances, and that the attitude alike of the disciples and the multitude towards Jesus' own predictions of His sufferings forms a decisive proof how strange the thought of such sufferings was to the ordinary expectations of the time.²

The second point, that Jesus did not recognise Himself to be the Messiah, though it has commended itself to a few advanced critics on the continent, and to Dr. Martineau in this country,³ is rightly treated as too paradoxical to require detailed refutation.⁴

We are shut up then to the conclusion that Jesus, in recognising suffering and death as His lot, cannot have failed to ascribe a special meaning to them. And we are prepared

¹ P. 41; Hollmann quotes also (p. 48) with approval Dalman's more guarded statement: "Dass die Tradition vom Messiasleiden ins ganze genommen nur einem dünnen Faden gleicht, der durch das Gewebe der jüdischen Messianologie sich hindurchzieht, dass von einer offiziellen Anerkennung dieser Lehre zu keiner Zeit irgend welche Spur nachzuweisen ist" (Dissertation, p. 61).

² For the disciples, see Mark viii. 32, Matt. xvi. 22, Mark ix. 32, Luke ix. 45, xviii. 34, xxiv. 21: for the multitude, Mark xv. 32, John xii. 34. ³ Seat of Authority in Religion, p. 331 f.

⁴ Harnack's verdict may be compared: "Dass Jesus sich selbst als den Messias bezeichnet hat, ist von einigen Kritikern... in Abrede gestellt worden. Allein dieses Stück der evangelischen Ueberlieferung scheint mir auch die schärfste Prüfung auszuhalten" (Lehrb. der Dogmengeschichte, i., 57, 58, note).

therefore for the main point in the present inquiry, What was that meaning?

In proceeding to answer this question, Hollmann begins by trying to ascertain what influence, if any, the Old Testament writings, and especially Isaiah liii., had in determining Jesus' view of His death. The value of such an inquiry is at once apparent, for it is becoming increasingly realised how completely Jesus' whole mind was steeped in the Old Testament.1 And it is therefore the more to be regretted that in no part of his work has Hollmann yielded so freely to the method at present so largely in vogue, of getting rid of all inconvenient words and passages on the simple plea of unauthentic. In this way the whole of the six words (Mark xiv. 21, 49, ix. 12, Luke xviii. 31, xxiv. 27, xxiv. 44-46), in which Jesus is represented as speaking generally of the fulfilment of the Scriptures in His sufferings and death are . with varying degrees of certainty disposed of, and their origin ascribed to the consciousness of the Christian community (pp. 52-61). And though Hollmann does not deny the authenticity of the three words, in which Jesus brings a definite passage of the Old Testament into relation with His death (Mark xiv. 27, xv. 34, Luke xxii. 37), he fails to find in any of them any real light on the reason of Jesus' death. They simply emphasise the fact itself, and some of its more outward results.

There remains still the relation of Jesus to Isaiah liii., a relation which Hollmann again does his best to reduce to the barest possible limits. In view of Luke xxii. 37, a word which he has already admitted as authentic, he cannot deny that Jesus was acquainted with this chapter. But the very fact that only once is He represented as quoting from it is for Hollmann sufficient evidence that certainly not from this chapter can Jesus have learned to attach any atoning significance to His death, or He could not have failed to make more use of it. And to strengthen this conclusion he proceeds to criticise the various arguments which have been brought

¹ Compare Hühn, "Kurz, er lebt und webt im A. T." (Die Messianischen Weissagungen, Th. ii., p. 281).

forward to establish a large place for this chapter in Jesus' thoughts. That some of these arguments are strained and fanciful may be conceded: but, even apart from such explicit references as we shall have afterwards to refer to, it seems to us undeniable that the whole idea of the Servant of Jehovah bulked far more largely in Jesus' thoughts than Hollmann is disposed to allow. And, even if this were not the case, the argumentum e silentio is always a dangerous one. and there is certainly nothing in Jesus' attitude towards this prophecy that tells against the idea of an atoning efficacy in His death, if we can find such an efficacy explicitly taught elsewhere in His own words. Is this the case? In conformity with his previous positions, Hollmann thinks that it is not. And though we cannot agree with him, his discussion of the two critical passages—the λύτρον passage in Mark x. 45, Matt. xx. 28, and the section relating to the Last Supperdeserves careful attention.

With regard to the first of these, whose authenticity notwithstanding Baur's doubts (N. T. Theologie, p. 100) is wisely left unchallenged, Hollmann points out that the crucial point is the meaning to be attached to the word λύτρον. And here his discussion is specially fresh and interesting, for instead of seeking the meaning of the word, as so many since Ritschl have done, in the LXX, he proceeds rather to ask what must have been the original Aramaic word for which it stands. And this, with the aid of the Syriac translations, he is able to conclude with tolerable certainty must have been פורקנא a word closely related to the Hebrew stem פרק, and which may therefore have the meaning either of "redemption," "ransom," or more generally of "loosing," "freeing," "releasing" (p. 109). The juxtaposition of ἀντὶ πολλῶν, which Hollmann admits must be taken in the strict sense of "instead of many" (" $\dot{a}\nu\tau\dot{\iota}$ sensu stricto zu fassen = anstatt," p. 110) may seem to point clearly in the direction of the former But this conclusion Hollmann avoids by interpretation. connecting ἀντὶ πολλῶν not with λύτρον, or even with the whole clause, but with δοῦναι, and thus arrives at the meaning, "The Son of man came not to be ministered unto, but to minister, and to give up His life for a freeing, instead of many being obliged to give up their lives" ("sein Leben hinzugeben zur Befreiung, anstatt dass viele ihr Leben hingeben müssten" p. 111), where by the "many" he understands not the members of the new covenant generally, but those whom Jesus by His death hoped to help into the kingdom. "Nur für bisher Ungläubige hat eine Befreiung Zweck" (p. 113). As to what this freeing is from, Jesus says nothing, and we are left therefore to the field of pure conjecture. But in keeping with the view he has taken of πολλοί, Hollmann thinks that this freeing may be looked on as the freeing of unbelieving men from their former pride, or, in other words, that Jesus saw in His death the means of working in men the repentance which other means had failed to secure. ("Im Tode vollende ich den Dienst meines Lebens, befreie viele aus den Banden, aus denen sie bisher nicht loskommen konnten und ermögliche so den Eintritt in das Gottesreich. in ewiges Leben," p. 119.) As to how Jesus' death worked this repentance, nothing is said (p. 123). All that we can legitimately gather is that Jesus, by giving up His bodily life to death, brought it about that many were freed from giving up their souls to destruction (p. 124).

It will at once be seen how much here turns round the meaning that Hollmann gives to $\pi o \lambda \lambda o i$, and for it we can find no warrant. Surely, in accordance with the sense of the whole passage, it is not the many hitherto unrepentant that are here placed in contrast with the few already influenced through Jesus' words, but rather "the many," unable to save themselves, as distinguished from "the one," by whom that salvation is secured. And if so, is it possible to avoid an undoubted reference to the "many" of Isaiah liii. II, 12? Nor in these circumstances is there any reason why $\lambda \dot{\nu} \tau \rho o \nu$ should not receive its more definite meaning, a meaning which we have seen Hollmann himself admits that it is capable of, of "ransom" rather than the vague "freeing," so

¹ For illustrations from the pagan ritual of $\lambda \acute{\nu}\tau\rho\sigma\nu$ in the sense of an offering of expiation, see Prof. W. M. Ramsay in *The Expository Times*, x., pp. 109, 158.

long as the figure is not pressed too far, or any attempt made to discover the exact amount of the ransom, or to whom it is paid. It is enough that Christ declares Himself as doing for sinful men what they were unable to do for themselves, and so securing for them by His death certain privileges which they would not otherwise be able to enjoy.

Nor is it possible, so it seems to us, to exclude this general "transactional" element in His death from our Lord's own teaching at the Last Supper, though Hollmann again does his best to bring the words into line with his previous interpretation of Mark x. 45. But how is this result secured? First of all by confining himself to Mark's account which he regards as the most original, and then by applying a somewhat drastic process of elimination even to it. Thus $\lambda \acute{a}\beta \epsilon \tau \epsilon$ has to go, as no part of the original text, but a later liturgical addition: and $\tau \hat{\eta}_{S} \delta \iota a \theta \hat{\eta}_{K} \eta_{S}$ is equally unauthentic, and is to be traced to Pauline influences, for the thought of the covenant was wholly foreign to Jesus' teaching ("der Genetiv της διαθήκης stammt aus dem paulinischen Vorstellungskreise. . . . Bei Jesus findet sich der Bundesgedanke überhaupt nicht," p. 147). Hollmann spares us however the striking clause in Mark xiv. 24, τὸ ἐκχυννόμενον ὑπὲρ πολλῶν, with the significant admission that "if it is granted that Jesus' thoughts at this time were full of His death, and further that in the words $\sigma \hat{\omega} \mu a$ and $a \hat{\iota} \mu a$ in relation to the acts of breaking the bread and pouring out the wine He was referring to His death, it would be altogether unintelligible that, at this last meal in the trusted circle of His disciples, He should have referred only to the bare fact, and not also to its meaning" (pp. 149, 150). So say we all. And our complaint again against Dr. Hollmann is that by such violent critical methods, as we have just noticed -by excluding the light which the whole transaction receives, and which to those present it cannot fail to have had from the Jewish sacrificial system, and especially from the Passover feast and the covenant at Sinai-and by again limiting the reference of $\dot{\nu}\pi\dot{\epsilon}\rho$ πολλών in an arbitrary way, instead of referring it back to its natural source in Isaiah liii. 11, 12—he fails to give to the death of Jesus that full meaning which the passage as a whole naturally suggests. For if the death of Jesus is a conditio sine quâ non of salvation, it is so, according to our writer, not because Jesus saw in it any atoning or vicarious merit, but only because it was a means of bringing men into that state of repentance to which Jesus Himself had previously pointed as the door of entrance into His Kingdom.

It is in this apparent bringing into line of the earlier and later teaching, which on this point seem to many irreconcilable, that the main attraction of Hollmann's exposition will probably be found. But there are harmonies which can be purchased too dearly. And whether the true reconciliation is to be sought in a gradual progress or development in Jesus' own consciousness, and therefore in His teaching, regarding the means of salvation, or whether He simply announced these means as He found men able to bear them, we cannot but think that at least the elements of a sacrificial view of His death are to be found in Jesus' own words, and that His apostles were therefore only carrying out the hints which they had derived from their Master Himself when they gave that view a leading place in their writings.

G. MILLIGAN.

¹ "Es sind hier auf zwei Zeilen zwei Anschauungen zusammengerückt, die in Wirklichkeit sich fliehen wie Wasser und Feuer." Schmiedel, Die neuesten Ansichten, p. 138.

Atonement and Personality.

By R. C. Moberly, D.D., Regius Professor of Pastoral Theology in the University of Oxford, Canon of Christ Church. London: John Murray, 1901. Pp. xv. + 418. Price 14s.

CANON MOBERLY has given to the world a book which is far above the level even of the best theology. It can only be described as the most impressive English contribution to strictly dogmatic literature made during the last ten or twenty years. Its sweep and penetration are of the greater order. One eminent writer, indeed, has gone so far as to compare it, for comprehensiveness and unity of plan, to Butler's Analogy; and though, upon the whole, one cannot help believing that a few years hence the real difference between the two works will have been more than demonstrated by their relative influence upon human thought, yet the comparison is not out of place. The book is practically a system of theology, and its author writes in a style commensurate with the greatness of his task. Throughout his language is marked by a singular dignity and elevation of tone. It might be difficult, perhaps, to select any passages or pages which rise conspicuously above the general level in beauty or intensity, but neither are there pages unworthy of the context. There is no obtrusion of eloquent writing, yet the impression left by the whole is one of eloquence, high and massive and pure. No book upon theology has ever been in our hands which touched the note of adoration so habitually and with such natural truth. And yet let it be said that the style has its own disadvantages. Dr. Moberly does not write simply, and his expositions are sometimes less lucid than the subject admits of. His sentences are cumbered now and then with qualifications which the reader might safely have been trusted to make for himself. The question, indeed, is apt to suggest itself whether the book would not have served its purpose better had it been shorter by about a third, and benefit been taken of the maxim that the half is sometimes more than the whole. Nevertheless, the very complexity and stringency of the writer's language is in its own way another evidence of his supreme carefulness, and his scrupulous anxiety that no relevant aspect of truth should be forgotten.

The volume, let it be said, is a handsome one, and the print well-nigh faultless. It is provided with a Table of Contents and an Index of unusual excellence. Nothing has been left undone that might be done to guide the reader of the author's meaning.

Let us first have before us an outline of the main argument. It begins, as is fit and right, with an examination of certain fundamental ideas. Three chapters are devoted to a successive analysis of punishment, penitence, and forgiveness. view punishment as primarily retributive is a mistake; all punishment really begins as moral discipline, and only becomes vengeance in the last resort, in proportion as it has failed to moralise. The mere endurance of retribution has no atoning efficacy, but punishment proper, taken up into the soul of the sufferer as penitence, tends to diminish guilt. Penitence, again, is a real change of the self, not imposed from without, but the fruit of righteousness triumphing Perfect penitence would be the complete reidentification of the self with righteousness, and this is for us impossible; had it been possible, it would have made our sinful past dead, and bestowed on us a new present and future. But though denied to us, it may be found, in all its ideal entirety, in one who is personally and really sinless. Nay, though sin would seem to have rendered penitence as such impossible to us, yet Christian experience is full of it, and when we seek for its cause or source, this can only be the indwelling Spirit of Christ, in Whom penitential holiness was perfected. Finally, forgiveness is much more than remission of penalty, though the incipient consciousness of redemption

may start there. Forgiveness is more than not punishing; when we strip away the optional or arbitrary features too often ascribed to it, it turns out to be precisely correlative to "forgiveableness". It is anticipatory, and only consummated finally with the consummation of holiness. Special emphasis is laid on the fact that these three ideas, familiar to us in our own experience only in an inchoate and defective form, point away beyond themselves to a realisation which would manifest their ultimate meaning in the fullest sense. As we know them, they are mere adumbrations of their own ideal.

Now the climax and consummation of what in us proves so imperfect is to be found in Christ. He is uniquely identified with God, and so one with the holiness which condemns sin; He is uniquely identified with man, and therefore capable of a penitence which, as perfect, is also perfectly atoning. But is this in any real sense relevant to us? Yes, for Christ's qualifications for Mediatorship—He being specifically God and inclusively man-fulfil all the conditions necessary for making one the Holy God and sinful man. In Him there is revealed utter dependence upon God, and therefore man's perfect life; in Him we see the consummation of the sinner's contrition in penal death. So far, however, we have dealt with objective and historical facts. How are the things which were wrought without to be realised within, how is the objective to be translated into the subjective? Mere contemplation of the work of Christ on Calvary, and even love to Him for its sake, are symptoms of something deeper than themselves. And this is the presence of the Holy Spirit in the Christian heart, identifying us, not figuratively, but really, with Christ, and so bringing our personality to its consummation. The presence and fact of the Spirit is the perpetual extension of the Incarnation; it is the all-comprehensive force which the Incarnation has set in motion. Pentecost is essential to make Calvary real in the heart of man.

Such, in brief and inadequate outline, is the book's principal line of argument, though it ought to be said emphatically that no synopsis can convey a worthy impression of the wealth and suggestiveness of the thought which gathers round it. To appreciate these we must make ourselves familiar with Dr. Moberly's own pages.

Perhaps we shall do well to comment first of all upon those parts of the general discussion which are not only pre-eminently convincing and illuminative in themselves, but may be detached from Canon Moberly's specific theory of the atonement, and judged apart from their relation to it. Under this head would come his treatment of Trinitarian and Christological questions. It may safely be said that no one will be justified in writing upon these high themes hereafter without weighing carefully the contribution which the Canon has made to their elucidation. Take, for example, his wise and reasoned insistence upon the unity of God as a presupposition of all Trinitarian doctrine. God is not a generic term, but singular and absolute. "If the Father is God, and the Son God, they are both the same God wholly, unreservedly. . . . They are not both generically God, as though God could be an attribute or a predicate, but both identically God." Much popular thinking on these subjects has in it a Tritheistic strain, against which Unitarianism raises a justifiable protest. Or again take the discussion of Sabellianism, of which Dr. Moberly sums up a sufficient criticism in the sentence that "what is revealed within Divine Unity is not only a distinction of aspects or of names, but a real reciprocity of mutual relation. One 'aspect' cannot contemplate or be loved by another." Or best of all, take his wonderfully attractive and suggestive plea that the terms Father, Son, and Spirit primarily draw their meaning from, and denote, the manifestation of God in the Incarnation and all its results, rather than the Eternal The New Testament is absolutely relations themselves. dominated by the idea of the Incarnation, and when its writers think of God, it is with that great fact and disclosure that their minds are mainly occupied. When they speak of "God our Father, and the Lord Jesus Christ," the phrase is not a maimed Trinitarian formula. What is in their minds is not the eternal distinctions within the Godhead; they are

thinking of God as Eternal in Himself and God as Incarnate in the flesh. The Spirit is really implied though not named. for the grace and peace that came in the Person of the Incarnate God are ours through the Spirit. The pages (181-105) which deal with this subject are marked by singular insight and power, and will bear reading and reading again. The thought they expound conserves all the truth there is in the economical theory of the Trinity, and renders possible a far more natural reading of the New Testament. More familiar, but still forcible and timely, is Dr. Moberly's insistence throughout on the truth that the Spirit is essentially the Spirit of the Incarnate, and therefore only revealed as the power by which is perpetuated the Incarnate Lord's presence and work in the soul of man. If there is anything in this part of the work to which we demur, it is to the analogy which Canon Moberly tentatively brings forward as helping to illustrate for us the inner meaning of the Trinity, and especially the Divine reality of the Holy Spirit. He employs the thought of a man (1) in himself (2) in his bodily expression (3) in his effective operation. We cannot delay to say more than that this illustration seems to possess but few of the advantages claimed for it in contrast to older and more familiar images, and, in particular, that it lacks precisely that mutuality of relationship which Canon Moberly justly desiderates in Sabellianism.

The main track of the argument, however, is sufficiently indicated by the two words, Atonement and Personality. Their essential connection is the very nerve of Canon Moberly's theology, and it is significant that he takes them in the reverse order of that which philosophy might suggest. For him it is only through atonement that personality is revealed to us, and realised by us, in its truest and most ideal sense.

Canon Moberly's criticism of what is ordinarily and roughly known as the orthodox theory of atonement is in general scrupulously fair. He lays down the broad principle that "current expositions of the atonement have been, in their own setting, and for their own purpose, true, not false". But he strikes hard at all "transactional theories," even though

on p. 335 he has to concede that in the New Testament there are many descriptions of Christ's atoning act which regard it "as a transaction about us, but external to ourselves". There is no feature of his own view to which objection might more justly be taken in the purely religious interest than his contention that forgiveness is strictly correlative to forgiveableness, so that in the limiting case pardon would be automatic. This is either tautological or manifestly unscriptural. To say, as Dr. Moberly does, that God cannot pronounce those righteous who are not really righteous in themselves, is fatal to every conception of forgiveness as a present reality: we never can be right with God on such terms as these. Again, his notion of punishment and its moral efficacy seems defective not so much in what it affirms as in what it denies. Reflection and inquiry unite to assure us that the moral discipline in which he finds the very essence of punishment, ensues as a matter of fact only when the person punished realises and confesses its retributive justice. Let him come to suspect the objective righteousness of the penalty he has to bear as the inevitable reaction of holiness against sin, and the root of all subjective moralisation is cut. Without regarding Hegel as in any sense the supreme court of appeal, we may at least say that his conception of punishment as the restoration of right quâ the negation of its negation does more justice to the truth of the case. Perhaps it is one consequence of Dr. Moberly's conclusions on this point that he has so little to say about two correlative series of ideas of which scripture makes much -on the one hand, "the wrath of God," "condemnation," etc., and on the other, such terms as "sacrifice" and "propitiation". These ideas are not entirely absent from his pages, but they exercise no appreciable influence on his argument. And yet these are elements in the religion of the Old Testament without which Christianity would have been but a ghost of itself; it was not for nothing, either, that St. Paul told the Romans that God had set forth Christ Jesus to be a propitiation through faith in His blood to shew His righteousness.

The theory before us may be said, broadly and briefly, to assert that the penitence consummated by Christ upon the Cross, at the cost of a gradual and voluntary dissolution of Himself in death, was the absolute destruction of sin. must connect this with the conclusion previously arrived at that penitence has per se an atoning quality, and the paradoxical statement that only the perfectly sinless can be perfectly penitent. One is immediately reminded of McLeod Campbell and the discussions of sixty years ago. idea of Christ's vicarious penitence is doubtless suggestive of a great truth, but it may be questioned whether the precise words have yet been found to give that truth expression. Can penitence be separated out in this abstract way from what we know as consciousness of sin, and predicated of Christ thus unreservedly? Penitence always involves experimentally a personal sense of sin's degrading taint, the conviction that I have been stained and weakened by transgression, and unless we mean to pay ourselves with words we can hardly set down this latter element to the imperfect character of our penitence; it lies too near its heart for that. The divine sorrow for sin that was in Christ's soul, the shame and pain with which He took upon Him our iniquities by no external declaration of a Hebrew priest but by a realising and inclusive sympathy which was the subjective side of a real substitution—penitence is hardly the word for this. It is equally too much and too little. We cannot forget, either, that the scripture proof for such an application of the idea to Christ is ominously meagre. In some sentences quoted on p. 398, the truth at which Dr. Moberly is aiming has been put by McLeod Campbell with what seems a wiser caution and precision—the question always being reserved whether the meaning of the atonement is thereby exhausted.

But how is this supreme and perfectly atoning penitence to be made in its saving efficacy a vital possession of the human soul? By the Holy Spirit realising Christ within us and transforming our nature into Christ's, so that ultimately our atonement might be said to be Christ in us, or ourselves realised in Christ. The great truth is here set in relief, that whatever the objective atonement consisted in, it must be brought home to us, we must be made partakers in it, by the Holy Spirit. Perhaps Canon Moberly overdrives the idea that the indwelling Spirit ultimately constitutes our true personality. It is characteristic of his mind to use the word "identification" in its strict sense when he might more advisedly employ the terms "union" and "incorporation". What his words affirm, indeed, regarding Christ's relation to the believer is not so much identity as absorption, and we are tempted to turn against himself his own criticism of the Sabellian theory, and plead that he destroys the necessary mutuality. (There is likewise a tendency to argue as if personality belonged to none but Christians, though any such view if pursued to its logical issues would make short work of moral responsibility in the natural man.) It is one thing to say that elements of personality, such as freedom, reason, and love, reach their climax and perfect fruition only in the Spirit, and another to say that they absolutely merge in His life; and though Canon Moberly is not justly to be charged with Pantheism, at least we may urge that he is singularly defenceless against pantheistic attack. If we are forbidden to distinguish the soul from the indwelling Spirit, may we distinguish the Spirit from the soul? And if not, does not the Spirit come perilously near being viewed as but a name for the general Christian consciousness? Unity is always the unity of a living and interdependent multiplicity, but Dr. Moberly's language, perhaps more than his thought, has the appearance of wiping out the difference without which the oneness would be a blank and barren identity.

But after all the grand truth to which this book furnishes new support and illustration of the most thankworthy kind is that union to Christ is the fact in the light of which alone the atonement can be understood. This comes out most strikingly and most succinctly in the chapter entitled "Recapitulation," especially pp. 282-286. "For the reality of our own relation to the atonement, which is its consummation in respect to each one of us, everything unreservedly turns

upon the reality of our identification, in spirit, with the Spirit of Jesus Christ." Here we come upon the core of the whole matter, for the hope and joy of the Gospel call derives from the fact that it is a call to men to become one, in mind and heart and will, with the Person who has through death dealt with God on behalf of our sins, and now lives to realise all righteousness within us by His Spirit. All the emphasis which Dr. Moberly brings to bear upon this point, therefore, is sincerely welcome. And yet, might he not have gone further, led by the inherent tendencies of his own thought to a view of justification which should truly reproduce the elation and assurance which ring through the words of Rom. viii. 1? It is difficult to get over the fact that if we take our author's words anywise strictly, even forgiveness is never perfectly bestowed in this life. It is gradual, provisional, anticipative, asymptotic. "The old 'I,' brought at first by Divine grace within the region of forgiveness, am therein more and more progressively changed, till my forgiveness is consummated in infinite love." There are heights and depths, surely, in the Bible conception of full pardon and free grace which this line is too short to fathom. Anxiety about considerations of morality and character at this point is really misplaced and self-defeating. Only when lifted up to the status of justification in the richest sense of that word, does the sinner become possessed of the prospects, the motives, and the actualising energy which lead to the acquirement of real goodness. And though it sounds like sheer presumption to say it, might not a more thoroughgoing application of the idea of union with Christ lead Canon Moberly to think more kindly and more justly even of a "transactional" theory of the atonement? If Christ were simply alongside of us as one more man, then merely to point out the injustice of the case would be a short and easy refutation of the view that the doom of our sin was by Him encountered, gathered in upon Himself, and there exhausted. But Christ is not other than His people in this sense, He is one with them; and if the union can sustain the weight of vicarious penitence—which is, on the whole, a modern idea—much more can it bear the strain of vicarious suffering, the thought of which runs like a crimson thread through all religion and life.

There is a final chapter, more practical in tone, which contains much noble and fearless Christian writing. It deals with some of those features of our present imperfection which seem to give the lie to a theory of atonement so ideal and exalted as that which has been set forth. We have a description of conventional Christianity as incisive as it is true; and we have some wise paragraphs upon Mysticism which satisfy the mind as nearly as anything on this nebulous subject is ever likely to do. There are a few closing pages, upon the great subject of the book, charged with a passion and well-nigh a sublimity in which Canon Moberly seems to be carried beyond the limits of his specific theory. An appendix contains a long supplementary chapter headed "the Atonement in History". It extends to eighty closely printed pages and discusses the doctrine of Scripture, of some eminent Fathers, and of certain modern writers such as Dale, Jowett, and McLeod Campbell. The omission of the Reformers is a serious one. We should be inclined to estimate Dr. Moberly's debt to McLeod Campbell as somewhat larger than he himself appears to think it. The two stand in one line of succession, and though we find in the earlier writer a deeper simplicity, no one has caught his spirit so truly as the author of this book. Like his predecessor, he possesses a singular power of working in a specifically Christian atmosphere, and of holding the mind unflinchingly to the believing and spiritual attitude. And if at times we are conscious that some of his leading conclusions are too modern to be possessed of the truth that belongs to every age, the stimulating virtue of his writing will be felt all the more keenly by those who hold "that difficulties which are themselves the creation of intellect must be intellectually disposed of". "Atonement and Personality" is the work of a great thinker and a profoundly spiritual mind, in whom the Church of England possesses one not unworthy of her noblest theological traditions.

Das sogenannte Volksbuch von Hiob,

und der Ursprung von Hiob. Cap. I. II. XLII. 7-17. Ein Beitrag zur Frage nach der Integrität des Buches Hiob.

Von Dr. Karl Kautzsch. Tübingen, Freiburg i. B. und Leipzig: J. C. B. Mohr (Paul Siebeck); London: Williams & Norgate, 1900. Pp. 88. Price 2s. 6d. net.

Untersuchungen zum Buch Amos.

Von Dr. theol. et phil. Max Löhr, a. o. Professor der Theologie in Breslau. Giessen: J. Ricker'sche Verlagsbuchhandlung (Alfred Töpelmann). London: Williams & Norgate, 1901. Pp. 67. Price 2s. 6d.

Zusammensetzung und Herkunft der Bileam-Perikope,

in Numb. xxii.-xxiv. Von Lic. Theol. A. Freiherr v. Gall, Dr. Phil. London: Williams & Norgate, 1900. Pp. 47. Price 1s. 6d.

DR. KARL KAUTZSCH'S "So-called Folktale of Job," dedicated to his "father and teacher," is mainly an examination of the theory which Professor Budde put forth in his volume on Job in the Handkommentar (1896). Budde supposes that the Prologue and Epilogue of Job are the beginning and end of an ancient, pre-exilic Volksbuch, which contained a solution of the problem of evil and suffering distasteful to a post-exilic thinker, who therefore discarded the central portion of the tale, substituting a series of dialogues to controvert the old and outworn doctrine, and the speeches of Elihu to present the new and true solution of the problem. Professor Duhm in his volume of the Kurzer Handkommentar (1897) accepted Budde's theory of the folktale as almost self-evident, though he disliked the attempt to rehabilitate the speeches of Elihu. Professor Davidson subjected Budde's hypothesis to a very searching criticism in the CRITICAL REVIEW (Oct. 1897); and Dr. Kautzsch writes this book for the same purpose. He

believes that the Prologue and Epilogue are integral parts of the Book. He shows that the vocabulary of both points to a post-exilic date, such words as תבל , תפה having no parallels in pre-exilic writings; that there are no linguistic reasons for supposing that the prose and poetic portions of Job are by different hands; that the so-called Volksbuch has none of the qualities of a genuine folktale; that its doctrine of heaven, Satan, and the sons of God cannot be so ancient as Budde and Duhm assume; and that there are no real discrepancies between the ideas of the Prologue and Epilogue and those of the poem.

In reference to the purpose of the author of Job Kautzsch opposes Budde at every point. He contends that the standpoint of the poem is not anthropocentric but theocentric; that the problem is the righteousness of God, not the purification of Job from latent or "slumbering" sin; that the author had in view a theodicy, not a doctrine of purgation through suffering. "Has the reader," he asks, "a right to forge a weapon against the sufferer out of his own speeches? On the contrary, does not the unprejudiced reader always unconsciously take his part?" Not the speeches of Elihu, but those of Jahve form the climax of the Book. The purpose of the latter is "to withdraw Job's interest, which had been exclusively fixed upon God's attitude to himself, from his own weal and woe, and to direct it to the infinitely greater thoughts and interests of God, and His rule of the whole of boundless nature. Man's individualism is to rise to the contemplation of the universe, the riddle of which he can scarcely conceive, much less solve, and before which he must simply stand in silent wonder." Of course this is strictly speaking a non liquet. The problem, "Is God righteous?" is unsolved. But Kautzsch contends that it is much better to leave the sufferer in silent resignation before God than to offer him Elihu's sugar-bread. As a whole this able criticism confirms our idea that Budde's very original exposition of the Book of Job is a brilliant tour de force. It will be seen that Kautzsch himself questions the authenticity of the Elihu speeches. "Elihu was the first of many readers of Job who

either missed the author's real meaning, or else were offended at it, and therefore dragged in their own ideas." Dr. Kautzsch speaks of Elihu's "horrid pedantry," and has not a good word for him. But suppose that the great dramatist wished to introduce among old heads a fresh thinker, rude and crude and young, yet withal a wonderful creature of God, has he not succeeded to admiration? No one who has ever seen Blake's picture of Elihu holding his auditors spellbound as he points to the stars and talks of God, his Maker, who giveth songs in the night, will be willing to regard the son of Barachel as a mere intruder.

Professor Löhr of Breslau thinks that "attention to strophics may be called a burning question for Old Testament criticism, especially for the Book of the Twelve Prophets". For the task of interpretation he believes that "the strophic arrangement and rhythm afford valuable aids which exegetes have hitherto unfortunately despised". Experimenting upon Amos, he will "attempt to reconstruct the various parts of the Book in their original form". This book has already met with drastic treatment on account of the diversity of its contents. In the Encyclopædia Biblica Canon Chevne marks about twenty passages, some of considerable length, as Professor Löhr's method of strophic analysis, insertions. however, enables him to get far ahead of Dr. Cheyne. The strophic movement of Amos i. 3-ii. 16 has always been apparent. After the prophet's literary beginning, Cheyne says that "he forgets his art in his grief at the manifold offences of Israel". Löhr, on the other hand, believes that the Book, as it came from the prophet's own hands, must have been strophical from beginning to end. It was not the prophet's art, but the tinkering of redactors, or the carelessness of scribes, that was at fault; and Löhr's task is to restore the Book to its "correct" strophical form. His methods are three in number. He deletes a great many passages as inserted by later hands; he transposes a considerable number of verses which have somehow got out of order; and he inserts asterisks where words or lines have gone amissing.

We must not judge an original attempt of this kind too severely, but it must be confessed that the procedure seems in many instances arbitrary. When Professor Löhr remarks that one verse after another, otherwise unimpeachable, is to be deleted because it happens to be "strophically superfluous," he is begging the question at issue; and his trick of transposing verses will hardly commend itself to commonsense. It is probable that the prophet thought rhythm a less important matter than reason. As an instance of the kind of results attained we may take the treatment of the divine name "the God of Hosts," which occurs nine times in our present Amos. In the original Amos it is said to have been otherwise. Canon Cheyne admits that "once, at any rate, the prophet uses the striking title," v. 27 being "admittedly a genuine passage". Professor Löhr, however, deletes "the God of Hosts" in every instance. For why, it does not fit into his strophics. Moreover, it is not found in Hosea (xii. 5 being, for some reason, called an insertion). Thus it is conclusively proved to be of post-exilic coinage. Dr. Chevne must look to his laurels.

More satisfactory than this strophical hypothesis is Professor Löhr's chapter on "the theological contents of the Book of Amos". Here he is glad to find himself agreeing with Giesebrecht's Historicity of the Sinai-covenant. He believes that "the relation of Israel to Jahve, even in the popular mind, was no natural relation, but one based on history. The people's faith in God had its roots, not in the cultus, but in the consciousness of election. This was the ground for the prophet's inference that Jahve might dissolve the covenant and destroy the people." Here, too, Professor Löhr differs from Canon Cheyne, who believes that "the connection between Jahve and Israel had a non-moral natural basis". It remains possible that Löhr may be right in his theology and wrong in his strophics, and Cheyne vice versâ.

While the broad lines of Hexateuch criticism are now clear enough, a good deal of detail-work has still to be done here and there. Dr. Freiherr v. Gall of Mainz, in a monograph on the story of Balaam (Num. xxii.-xxiv.) carries the

analysis of sources much further than any previous scholar. The ordinary view has been that this whole section, with the exception of the last five verses, is ancient, J and E being skilfully combined into one narrative. But it has long been a disputed point whether xxiii.-xxiv. belongs to J or to E. Wellhausen confesses his doubts and difficulties, and Driver thinks it is "wisest to leave the question undetermined". Freiherr v. Gall now says that "the old dispute can be settled". It turns out, however, that he does not loose the knot; he cuts it. Divide the Balaam story into two parts, say that the one part is pre-exilic and the other post-exilic, and the dispute is at an end. In the original story Balaam blessed Israel only once. "To this story other hands added two further blessings. And to these three blessings of Israel still later authors appended prophecies regarding other nations."

This leads to quite a new reading of the prophet's words. The hatred of Moab (xxiv. 18) is referred to the dark days of the exile; Ashur (xxiv. 22) is not Assyria but Syria, the reference being to the wars of the Seleucidæ at the close of the third century; and the ships which come from the coasts of Cyprus to afflict Syria (xxiv. 24) are a Roman fleet. This brings us down to the year B.C. 64, when Pompey made Syria a Roman province. Balaam's last words, "And he also shall come to destruction," are mysterious. Who is "he"? Is it Assyria, Syria, or Rome that is to be destroyed? Freiherr v. Gall says Rome. The prophecies thus "partly come down to the days of Jesus"; which means, of course, in Freiherr v. Gall's view, that the latest addition to them was not made till close upon the Christian Era.

These conclusions, critical and exegetical, will not be accepted without good cause shown. Mr. Addis, who expresses the latest English view of the matter, believes that "the kernel of the poem may go back to the early days of the kingdom, even, it may be, to those of Solomon," and he adduces some good reasons for holding that the very last lines of the prophecy "are not to be regarded as post-exilic".

A New History of the Book of Common Prayer, on the Basis of the Former Work.

By Francis Procter, M.A., revised and rewritten by Walter Howard Frere, M.A., Priest of the Community of the Resurrection. London: Macmillan & Co., 1901. Crown 8vo, pp. xviii. + 699. Price 12s. 6d.

MR. FRERE is both learned and accurate: he knows the sources from which the English Prayer-book was derived, and he has studied the various phases of its evolution. There is not much that is new in his book, and a more complete account of the ceremonial implied in or allowed by the rubrics of the Prayer-book would have made his work more useful than it is. But he has availed himself of the liturgical research of the last half century, and has produced an account of the Book of Common Prayer which will remain a standard authority on the subject. Unfortunately, however, his ecclesiastical sympathies have led him into various excursions from his proper field, and have betrayed him into the use of expressions quite unworthy of the dignity of the professed historian. "The profane recklessness of John Knox" (p. 83) is the kind of language that Andrew Marvell characterised as "vulgar spite". And again "this history does not require any account of those years of hypocrisy and violence, during which the voice of the Church of England was silenced, and Presbyterianism, after trying to bring a spiritual despotism into every parish and household, was in its turn obliged to yield to Independency" (p. 156). We venture to suggest that "this history" did not require any such offensive description of systems opposed to Mr. Frere's own views, or an equally offensive quotation which follows. If Mr. Frere knows any history (and it is quite clear that he does) he knows that "hypocrisy" and "impiety" were not convertible terms with "Presbytery". Mr. Frere also knows quite well

35

VOL. XI.—No. 6.

that it is a one-sided statement to represent the Presbyterians, after the Restoration, as men whom the Anglican clergy tried in vain to reconcile (p. 165), for he admits, on page 189, that "the Bishops, conscious of their own power and of the captiousness of the opposition, felt that they were not called upon by any plea of tender consciences to adopt alterations of which they did not recognise the clear necessity".

We have more sympathy with Mr. Frere in his closing philippic, the temptation to which is much more evident. He belongs to a party in the Church of England the name of which has become a byword for lawlessness and disregard of authority. While we are far from believing the ritualistic party entirely free from this reproach, it is also quite clear that ignorance of history among their opponents has led to a reckless multiplication of charges of this kind. Mr. Frere is right in insisting on "the comprehensiveness of the Prayerbook," and he is right in hoping that "a generous temper such as this will not be abused". His own party has, we think, consistently abused it for many years; but his opponents have frequently been unable to distinguish between using and abusing. Nor has the fault been entirely on one side, and Mr. Frere is justified in pointing out that, those who have shouted most loudly for "nothing but the Prayerbook" have said little or nothing about "the whole Prayerbook". He puts his case far too strongly when he says: "The Puritan party, from the days of Elizabeth to the present time, has never honestly accepted the Prayer-book; its members have been too much of Churchmen to leave the Church, but too little of Churchmen to value its principles; they have thus remained in a false position, attempting to subvert the system to which they nominally conformed". The other side would, of course, retort something about a beam and a mote. We have nothing to say about the controversy beyond this—that both sides will find in Mr. Frere's scholarly book many considerations that should help to clear up a great deal of misapprehension. Mr. Frere's facts are often better than his inferences.

ROBERT S. RAIT.

Notices.

We receive from Prof. Emil Egli, of Zurich, a further instalment of the Analecta Reformatoria. It is one of great interest, dealing with the biographies of Bibliander, Ceporin and Johannes Bullinger. The first issue of this important undertaking was devoted to documents bearing on Zwingli and his time. This one is concerned with less commanding figures, but with men who occupy nevertheless a considerable place in the story of a great epoch, and who are worth knowing as fully and distinctly as possible. By much the largest part of the volume is occupied with Bibliander. His antecedents, his active life in its two great divisions, and his later years, are brought before our view with an admirable wealth and patience of research. Perhaps the most interesting sections are those that explain his attitude to Luther, his position on the doctrine of election, his ideas on the subject of missions, and his connexions with England. Some fifteen pages are given to Ceporin's life and writings, and a smaller number to Bullinger and his Bible. The volume altogether is one of great value. All interested in the story of the Reformation will be sincerely grateful to Professor Egli for this scholarly contribution. It is a real addition to the historian's material. We owe much to Professor Egli for what he has done here for Theodor Buchmann, Jakob Wiesendanger, and this elder brother of the more famous Heinrich Bullinger. Nor is it only for the mass of carefully sifted particulars which he has gathered together in this book that we owe him cordial thanks. The estimates which he gives us of the men, especially in the case of Bibliander, are also excellent

¹ Analecta Reformatoria, II. Biographien: Bibliander, Ceporin, Johannes Bullinger. Mit drei Tafeln. Zurich: Zürcher und Furrer, 1901. 8vo, pp. iv. + 172. Price M.5.60.

specimens of the biographer's or historian's work. We wish large success to this important enterprise.

M. H. Omont publishes an account of an ancient Greek manuscript of Matthew's gospel recently added to the Bibliothèque Nationale, Paris.1 It belongs to the rare order of purple parchment uncials. So far, therefore, it is akin to Codex Purpureus, Codex Rossanensis, Codex Beratinus, Codex purpureus Petropolitanus. Like Codex Rossanensis also it is ornamented with pictures of gospel scenes. There are five such miniatures, representing Herodias and the beheading of the Baptist, the two miracles of the feeding of the multitudes, the miracle of the blind men of Jericho, and that of the withering of the fig-tree. It was acquired, apparently in a somewhat accidental fashion, by a certain Jean de la Taille, Captain of Artillery, in December, 1899, on his way back from a journey in the Caucasus. It belonged to an old woman connected with the Greek community at Sinope. When the captain got to his own land he sold the Codex to a bookseller in Orleans, and from him it passed to the Bibliothèque Nationale. Some forty-three leaves have been recovered; containing the text of chapters vii., xi., xiii.-xxiv. of Matthew, but with some blanks. .The portion regained amounts, therefore, to nearly one-third of the whole. The first gospel appears to have covered 144 folios, and if the MS. contained originally all the four gospels, as is probable, it must have consisted of 490 or 500 folios. It has certain diacritic marks, but neither accents nor breathings. It has but one column on the page. The style of writing is like that of N. Among uncials it is singular in being written in gold letters all through. The only approach to this among uncial MSS. is in the case of Na, which is only a fragment,

¹ Notice sur un très ancien manuscrit Grec de L'Évangile de Saint Matthieu, en onciales d'or sur parchemin pourpre et orné de miniatures, conservé à la Bibliothèque Nationale (No. 1286 du Supplément Grec). Par M. H. Omont. Tiré des Notices et extraits des manuscrits de la Bibliothèque Nationale et autres Bibliothèques, Tome xxxvi. Paris: Imprimerie Nationale, Librairie C. Klincksieck; London: Williams & Norgate, 1900. 4to, pp. 81. Price Fr.4.

a couple of leaves. It does not appear, however, that Na is a portion of this new Codex. The text is like that of Codex Rossanensis, and it may perhaps be a recension of it. Its general features and its affinities point to the sixth century as its probable date, perhaps to the last years of Justinian.

M. Omont's edition gives not only a transcription of the text with reproductions of the miniatures, but a representation also in ordinary type. It indicates further at the foot of the pages the variants of Σ and N. The differences between the new manuscript and Σ , and again between it and N, are neither very numerous nor very striking. It has unique readings, though these are not of very serious moment, in a few passages, including xiii. 35, xv. 30, xviii. 5, xxii. 4. It is designated Codex Chrysopurpureus Sinopensis.

Professor Moulton, of Chicago, publishes A Short Introduction to the Literature of the Bible. It is a useful and welcome successor to his previous book on The Literary Study of the Bible. That work has been very well received, as it deserved to be. This one should have a still larger circle of readers. It differs from the former in being addressed to the general reader rather than to the professional student, avoiding all technicalities, and looking at the Scriptures from the literary side. After some good observations on the literary study of the Bible as distinct from theology and criticism, Professor Moulton deals with his subject in two parts, viz., "Biblical History and Story "and "Biblical Poetry and Prose". Under these heads he gives lucid and attractive expositions of the history of the people of Israel as presented by themselves in the sacred writings, of the history of the New Testament Church as presented by itself, of the genesis and contents of Old Testament and New Testament Wisdom, of the lyric poetry of the Bible, and of prophecy as seen in the Old Testament and in the New respectively. The object of the book is to give an impetus to the reading of the Scriptures. It is well fitted to do this. It takes advantage of all that

¹ By Richard G. Moulton, M.A., Professor of Literature in English in the University of Chicago, etc. London: Isbister & Co., 1901. Small cr. 8vo, pp. vi. + 374. Price 3s. 6d.

has been accomplished by recent criticism and interpretation, and, dispensing with all that might be either unintelligible or of remote interest to the unlearned reader, it lays itself out to make the Bible tell its own story in a way at once to attract and to enlighten. It is admirably written and carries out a very happy idea with much success.

An addition is made to our numerous commentaries on the First Gospel by Dr. F. N. Peloubet, of Auburndale, Massachusetts. It is described as The Teachers' Commentary on the Gospel according to St. Matthew. 1 Dr. Peloubet, the author of Select Notes on the International Lessons, has had large experience in the preparation of literature for the Sunday School, and his object in this volume is to exhibit the life and ministry of our Lord in the light of the best scholarship, but in terms so clear, simple and suggestive as to be adapted to the needs of "teachers, leaders of prayer meetings, pastors, heads of families, and Christian workers of all denominations". With this in view he makes judicious use of literature, history, geography and scientific exegesis so far as they throw light upon the narrative for the general reader, while he introduces also practical applications, suggestive hints, etc. The text followed is the combined Authorised and Revised, with the references prepared for the latter version. Maps, illustrations, chronological tables, and similar helps are liberally provided. The questions of authorship, characteristics, etc., are dealt with briefly—much too scrappily indeed. An analysis of the gospel is given which is of real use. The notes are tolerably full, and, generally speaking, they are informing and to the point. The style is clear and businesslike—often, indeed, it is refreshingly forcible—and of a worka-day quality. The book on the whole answers its purpose well. It is not a slovenly or hasty compilation. Teachers of Sunday Schools and Bible Classes will get help from it and will be able to turn it to a good use.

We have received the nineteenth volume of the Analecta

¹ New York: Oxford University Press, American Branch; London: Henry Frowde. 8vo, pp. xvi. + 38o.

Bollandiana, and the first two parts of the twentieth volume. They are edited by members of the Society of Jesus, C. de Smedt, J. de Backer, F. van Orthroy, J. van den Gheyn, H. Delehaye, and A. Poncelet. The student of Hagiography will find what will interest him in the carefully prepared quarterly issues in which the Analecta appear. These parts deal among other things with the legend of Saint Alexis, the Acta Graeca of Dometius the Martyr, the legend of St. Francis of Assisi known as the Legenda trium Sociorum, the miracles of S. Saturnin, bishop of Toulouse, the Carmina de Quintino, the Acts of S. Thomas the Apostle, etc. They also give ample lists of recent additions to hagiographical literature.

Mr. Cuthbert Lennox's Henry Drummond 2 is described as a "biographical sketch". It is by the hand of one who was brought into connexion with Henry Drummond through the students' movement in the University of Edinburgh. Its aim is to give the outstanding facts of his life and more particularly to record the story of his work for and with University students. It is a matter of course that it should go over again much of the ground traversed in Prof. George Adam Smith's book. But it claims to be in a large measure independent, and to give much original matter obtained from "recollections, letters, and other biographical matter, kindly put at the writer's disposal by a number of private individuals who had the privilege of intimate friendship with Professor Drummond". It is the work of one who found Drummond's friendship an inspiration, and who can write appreciatively and well. The volume will have a place of its own, and will be welcome to many readers.

The Headmaster of Westminster publishes a series of sermons "preached in the Abbey to Westminster boys". The volume takes its title from the first of these discourses, *The Key of Knowledge*.³ They are sermons of moderate length,

¹Tomus xix., Bruxelles, 1900, 8vo, pp. 479 and Supplement + 160. Tomus xx., fasc. 1 and 2, 1901, pp. 240 and 256.

² London: Andrew Melrose. Cr. 8vo, pp. xvi. + 244. Price 2s. 6d. net.

³ By William G. Rutherford. London: Macmillan & Co., 1901. Cr. 8vo, pp. xii. + 272. Price 6s.

admirable in style, and profitable to read. They contain much useful matter vigorously expressed. They are fertile in ideas, generally helpful and good, though occasionally rather strained or doubtful. At times the thought seems beyond the average audience. It is a compliment to the Westminster boys that matter of this high order is offered them. Among the most striking sermons are those on The Inscrutability of Character, The Schooling of the Will, The Edifying of Life, Ideals, Enthusiasm. But wherever one opens the volume he finds strong and reverent thinking, practical sense, telling and sometimes pungent statements.

Professor Carl Clemen, of the University of Halle-Wittenberg, publishes a considerable treatise on the dogma of the Descent to Hades. He has a busy pen. This volume shows a wide extent of reading, and speaks to the vast industry of the author. His object is to reassert the importance of the Article in the Creeds on Christ's Descent, and to set forth anew its doctrinal meaning and significance. He expects great things of it and would have a larger place assigned to it in preaching than has of late been allowed it. He finds in it indeed the solution of some serious theological problems, especially eschatological problems. He deals at some length with the history of the question—the place given to the dogma in the symbols of Spain, Gaul and Germany, the symbol of Rufinus, the formularies of Sirmium, Nicæa and Constantinople; its origin, whether in the East or in the West; and the large and intricate question regarding the Apostles' Creed and the introduction of this article into it. The second Chapter is devoted to an inquiry into the meaning of the Article. In this inquiry Professor Clemen starts with I Peter iii. 19 ff., iv. 6, and reviews the multitudinous interpretations which have been given of those dark paragraphs. He takes up also the other passages which have been thought to be relevant to the question -- Matt. xii. 40; Luke xxiii. 43; Acts ii. 24, 27, 31; Rom. x. 6 ff.; Eph. iv. 9 ff. For the Petrine

^{1&}quot; Niedergefahren zu den Toten." Ein Beitrag zur Würdigung des Apostolikums. Giessen: Ricker; London: Williams & Norgate, 1900. 8vo, pp. viii. + 240. Price 5s. net.

passages he asserts what he calls the "historical sense"—a designation of doubtful legitimacy if given to the interpretation that finds the Descent in the passage in I Peter iii., and one indeed which begs the question at issue. For the question is—Which is the historical interpretation: that which starts with the unambiguous historical reference to Noah and his generation, or the other? That the interpretation of that passage and the other Petrine paragraph, however, has difficulties not yet explained is admitted, and Professor Clemen must be allowed to present the case which he advocates in a very favourable light. We cannot say the same of his handling of the other passages referred to. In none of them indeed does it seem to us to be possible, without a tour de force, to find anything bearing on Christ's Descent to Hades that can be of the least value for the dogmatic use which Professor Clemen has in view. None of these passages, if they bear at all upon the question, take us beyond the simple statement that Christ died and passed like other men for a time into the world of the departed.

In the third chapter the doctrinal value which is claimed for the Article is explained and vindicated. It is taken to declare an active ministry, a real preaching of Christ in Hades, and reason is shown why, if such were not taught in Scripture, the theologian should have to presuppose it. We cannot agree with Professor Clemen's exegesis in some important parts, nor can we recognise the vast importance which he affirms for the dogma of the Descent. We do not see that, even if taken to mean a ministry of the departed Christ to the departed in Hades, it will solve the grave eschatological questions which he thinks it solves. The real difficulties of these will remain very much what they were. But we have read the book with interest and profit. Its learning is unmistakable. Its spirit is admirable. Its reviews of opinion are generally reliable and useful. The sketch which is given of the history of the Article is perhaps the best part of the volume.

The eighth number of Studia Sinaitica is to hand. Under

the title of Apocrypha Arabica, it gives four pieces, the "Book of Rolls," the "Story of Aphikia," Cyprian and Justa" in Arabic, and "Cyprian and Justa" in Greek. These writings are edited and translated by Dr. Margaret Dunlop Gibson, of Cambridge, and it needs scarce be said that the editorial work is done with the utmost care. The story that gets the name of the "Book of the Rolls," is given from an Arabic MS. in the Convent of Saint Catherine on Mount Sinai, first photographed by Mrs. Gibson in 1893. It is the same subject as was published in 1888 both in Syriac and in Arabic by Professor Bezold of Munich. The second work is an apocryphal tale, which is pronounced to be "an anachronism" in its very plan. The story of Cyprian and Justa is taken in its Arabic form from a paper Codex of the twelfth century, and in its Greek form from one of Gardthausen's MSS. belonging to the tenth or eleventh century. These writings are all very late and very strange. Their only value lies in their curious interest, and, to some extent, in the influence which they appear to have exercised.

The second part of Preuschen's Zeitschrift für die Neutestamentliche Wissenschaft und die Kunde des Urchristentums for the year is particularly rich in matter. It opens with an important paper by Theodor Mommsen on "Die Rechtsverhältnisse des Apostels Paulus". It affirms the existence in the earlier times of the Empire of two forms of final Kaisergericht, one probably known as Provocatio and another as Appellatio, and it recognises the former in the account which the book of Acts gives of Paul's appearance before Porcius Festus. H. Holtzmann writes on 2 Thessalonians, criticising the recent views of Bornemann, Zahn, Jülicher and others. Professor C. Clemen deals with the number of the beast in Apoc. xiii. 18; M. Steffen with the relation of Spirit and Faith in Paul's writings; W. Soltau with the problem of the Fourth Gospel; and S. A. Fries with what Paul means by Arabia in Gal. i. 17.

¹ London: C. J. Clay & Sons, 1901. 4to, pp. xxxi. + 156. Price 10s. net.

The editor of the valuable set of historical monographs known as the Heroes of the Reformation series, Professor Samuel Macauley Jackson, of the New York University, is himself the writer of the volume on Zwingli. He gets some assistance from Professor Martin Vincent, of Johns Hopkins University, and Professor Frank Foster, of the University of California. The former contributes a historical survey of Switzerland before the Reformation; the latter gives a chapter on Zwingli's theology. The book as a whole is an able, scholarly and attractive performance. It will take a high place in the useful and admirable series to which it belongs. Professor Jackson's mind was directed to Zwingli when he was a student in Princeton. He has devoted years of reading and reflection to his subject, and has gone to the sources. He has made judicious use of the Reformer's letters, Bullinger's History, the Acts of the Councils of various cities, especially those of Zurich, and other documents. In this respect the work has been brought so well up to date as to make use of the first part of Professor Egli's Analecta Reformatoria, and the important series of Zwingliana which we owe to the industry and research of the same enthusiastic student. The best biographies have also been carefully studied—those by Christoffel, Moerikofer, and Staehelin, as well as the original Life by Myconius. Zwingli's own writings of course are the basis of all. Contributory publications, such as August Baur's treatise on the Reformer's theology, have been likewise diligently consulted. The result is a book that secures our confidence and carries us with it. We are taken pleasantly and persuasively through the story of Zwingli's childhood and youth, his life at Glarus and Einsiedeln, his work in Zurich in its various stages, the first Cappel war and the Marburg Colloquy, the strenuousness of the later years, and the tragic close. The inner course of the Reformation movement is ably dealt with as well as its external incidents. The narration is made brighter and better by the numerous illustrations.

¹Huldreich Zwingli, the Reformer of German Switzerland, 1484-1531. New York: Putnam's Sons, 1901. Cr. 8vo, pp. xv. + 519. Price 6s.

As to the estimate formed of Zwingli and his career, Professor Jackson takes more modest ground than some others. He has, perhaps, even too keen an eye to the Reformer's defects. He thinks of him as more of a politician than he should have been in the latter part of his life. He is hard, perhaps unduly hard, on his treatment of the Baptists. On the other hand, he recognises his generous nature, his lovable character, his passionate patriotism, his liberality of mind, and the modern element in his theology. He speaks of him as the one of the four great Reformers-Luther, Melanchthon, Calvin, and himself-who would have to do least to adapt himself to our modern ways of thought, if they were to reappear to-day. And while not claiming for him a place in the front rank of the very greatest men or affirming him to be the equal of Luther and Calvin, he does justice to his importance and to the memorable work he did in leading the movement for Reform in German Switzerland.

We regret that the author has not himself dealt with the interesting subject of Zwingli's theology. The defect is so far supplied by the special chapter contributed by Professor Foster. In it the broad lines of Zwingli's doctrines of God and of man are given, together with their underlying philosophical ideas. The least satisfactory portion of this chapter is that on the Sacraments, the question of the real purport of Zwingli's view of the Lord's Supper in particular being too slightly handled. Much remains to be done yet as regards both the theology and the career of the Reformer. Professor Jackson's book does something to remove the reproach under which theological literature has long lain of neglecting Zwingli in favour of the other leading Reformers.

We take this opportunity of calling the attention of our readers to the projected edition of Zwingli's works, intended to form part of the Corpus Reformatorum series, and to be published by Messrs. Schwetschke und Sohn of Berlin. It is to give the works complete, and to be furnished with critical notes. We regret to hear that the necessary number of subscribers has not been secured, and that, unless at least eighty names are added to the list, it may be found

impossible to proceed with the enterprise. The number of English subscribers is miserably small. It is to be hoped that others may see their way to support so important an undertaking.

Meyer's commentary on Second Corinthians 1 appears in its eighth edition. The sixth and seventh editions, which were published in 1883 and 1890 respectively, were issued under the editorial care of Professor Heinrici of Leipzig. edition is by the same hand, and is a careful revision of former issues. Professor Heinrici keeps by the standpoint from which he worked over the eighth edition of the Commentary on First Corinthians. He retains his old ideas of the historical circumstances. He differs from many in his views of the sources, the events intervening between the first Epistle and the second, and other points. He gives much attention to the theology of St. Paul and the relation in which this letter stands to it. He delivers some telling criticisms of the attempts made to explain the Apostle's spiritual history by the analogies of ancient enthusiasm. He has also much to the purpose to say of the objections urged against the genuineness of the Epistle. Questions of textual criticism are more worthily handled in this commentary than in some others of the series. In these matters Professor Heinrici follows in most points Tischendorf's eighth edition. He is also in general agreement with Nestle, to whom he expresses his obligations. There is an important Appendix of some twenty-two pages, dealing with the question of the Hellenism of St. Paul. To a large extent it is an answer to statements and criticisms made with considerable self-confidence by E. Norden in his Antike Kunstprosa. In opposition to these Professor Heinrici reaffirms his conclusions that, if Paul is looked at in the

¹ Kritisch-exegetischer Kommentar über das Neue Testament, begründet von Heinr. Aug. Wilh. Meyer. VI. Abtheilung. 8. Auflage. Der Zweite Brief an die Korinther, neu bearbeitet von Dr. C. F. Georg Heinrici, K. Pr. Consistorialrath, o. Prof. d. Theol. an d. Univ. Leipzig. Göttingen: Vandenhoeck und Ruprecht; London: Williams & Norgate, 1900. 8vo, pp. viii. + 463. Price 6s. 3d.

historical connexion in which he stands, he is seen to be a Jewish Hellenist, whose inward life bears the clear and certain stamp of the Old Testament and Christian experience. Professor Heinrici's work is of a high order.

In the same series we have also a new issue of the Commentary on Mark and Luke 1 as edited by Professor Bernhard There is little change in the exposition of Mark. Reference is made, however, to new commentaries and new editions of old ones which have appeared of late. The readings of Codex D and its allies are also carefully noted and discussed. The case is quite different with the commentary on Luke's gospel. It is worked up afresh, and is, in the judgment of Professor Weiss, an entirely new book. The previous edition departed widely from the original Meyer. Here the critical side has a larger place assigned it than was the case with the original work of Meyer, or with any previous edition of that work. Much attention is given to the relations of the Synoptical Gospels, the agreements of Mark with Matthew and Luke, the points in which the second Gospel differs from the first and third, the question whether what is distinctive in Mark is due in any degree to the operation of literary motives or to special sources, etc. These things are discussed in detail in this edition. Professor Weiss claims indeed that they receive a more exhaustive treatment in this volume than in any other commentary. They are certainly handled with great ability and thoroughness. There are indications, too, that further study has led Professor Weiss to revise some of his own views. This edition puts us in possession, in a very serviceable form, not only of the writer's own maturest opinions but of the best that has been written on these Gospels. The New Testament scholar will consult

¹ Kritisch-exegetischer Kommentar über das Neue Testament, begründet von Heinr. Aug. Wilh. Meyer. 1. Abtheilung. 2. Hälfte. 9. Auflage. Die Evangelien des Markus und Lukas. Von der 6. Auflage an neu bearbeitet von Dr. Bernhard Weiss, Wirkl. Oberconsistorialrath u. 0. Professor an d. Universität Berlin. Göttingen: Vandenhoeck und Ruprecht; London: Williams & Norgate, 1901. 8vo, pp. iv.+694. Price M.8.

it constantly, and will find it a great help to the scientific study of these writings.

The Rev. C. M. Roberts, B.D., Rector of Aldridge, Staffordshire, publishes a Treatise on the History of Confession until it developed into Auricular Confession, A.D. 1215.1 It is a seasonable work, and is written with careful regard to accuracy of statement. The author's hope is that his brethren in the English Church, clerical and lay, may by it "gain a more sure and correct knowledge of the doctrine of confession, as to the occasion of its practice during the first twelve centuries and to the lack of any necessity during that period of revealing to a priest all the evil thoughts and lesser sins of which a member of the society of Christians may have been guilty". Mr. Roberts' style is not particularly good at times, but he gives a plain story which limits itself mostly to the statement of facts and tells sufficiently its own tale. The management of penitents, he shows, was in the hands of the bishop for the first 250 years, and, after a period during which it was committed to penitentiary priests, it reverted By the beginning of the seventh century to the bishops. both public confession and public penance seem, as he puts the case, to have almost died out, while "the general Form of Confession was established as the rule". By the ninth century "private confession and its natural accompaniment private penance became somewhat common". At the end of the 1000th year Ælfric declared confession to man to be necessary in order to the remission of sins. Even towards the middle of the twelfth century, however, it appears that learned men disputed over the question whether it was sufficient to confess to God only or was necessary to confess to a priest also. In the fourth Lateran Council, convened by Innocent III. in 1215, auricular confession was established as an absolute Rule of the Church.

The third issue of the *Presbyterian and Reformed Review* for the year contains, as usual, excellent summaries and reviews of recent additions made to apologetical, exegetical,

¹ London: C. J. Clay. 8vo, pp. viii. + 124. Price 3s. 6d.

560

historical and systematic theology. Among other books the Encyclopædia Biblica is ably dealt with by Professor B. B. Warfield, and its critical principles are subjected to incisive examination. The Rev. Louis Burton Crane furnishes careful notices of Hawkins's Horae Synopticae and Veit's Die Synoptischen Parallelen. Dr. Edward T. Bromfield contributes. an informing paper on "The Growth of Presbyterian Sabbath-School Policy". The Rev. J. Ritchie Smith writes well on "Iesus' Witness to Himself in the Fourth Gospel". And not to mention others, there is an article of an unusual kind on "Calvin's Literary Work," by M. Ferdinand Brunetière. It is a translation of a paper which appeared in the Revue des deux Mondes of date 15th Oct., 1900, and it gives an estimate of the great Reformer which differs much from that with which the Presbyterian and Reformed Review is in sympathy. M. Brunetière says much that is just with regard to Calvin's literary qualities, the decision, and the lucidity of the thought, his perfect mastery of his style, a style which is that of a man of action, who "knows always what he wants to say, and always says it". speaks of the Institutes as "in all its qualities one of the great books of French prose, and the first, in point of time, of which we can say that the proportions, the arrangement and the construction are monumental". He praises the language of the Institutes, unadorned and even severe, yet not bald, rather noble in its severity, majestic in its tension, possessed, also, of an oratorical rhythm and brightened by the gift of "familiar and picturesque comparison". His verdict is that in the French language we have "no better models of that vivacity in reasoning, or rather in argumentation, or of that precision and of that propriety in the use of terms, or of that succinct and telling brevity; we have no more that art of following the thought, and explaining all or paraphrasing it, without losing the point of view. Calvin's paraphrase of the decalogue is one of the finest things in the French language." On the other hand M. Brunetière is of opinion that the morality of Calvin, while "not more severe than any other" was "arbitrary, inquisitional, and tyrannical in its applica-

tion as in its spirit". France, he says, did not want to be curbed. "Her facile genius, the genius of Clement Marot, could not accommodate itself to that discipline; her social genius, that of Marguerite of Valois, could not resign itself to that insupportable tyranny of manners and of consciences; her literary genius, finally, that which was incarnate in Rabelais, could not take part in the anathema hurled by the author of the Excuse to the Nicodemites at letters and art". So M. Brunetière is for the old Humanism and indulgent Romanism rather than for the Reformation, so far as France is concerned. He classes himself with those who "do not see clearly what France would have gained" by her conversion to Protestantism, and who do not regret that she did not submit to the spirit of Geneva. He does not believe it "necessary, in order to do justice to Calvin, to sacrifice to him three hundred and fifty years of history." The fourth issue for 1901 contains a good paper on "English Theistic Thought at the Close of the Century" by Professor Benjamin L. Hobson; an elaborate and informing article by Professor Warfield on "The Printing of the Westminster Confession"; an estimate of "James Martineau," by Professor Frank Foster, etc.

S

1

The Homiletic Review for August contains much good matter, both in its larger articles and in the useful summaries and series of notes which it gives on social, pastoral and exegetical topics. The Hon. John Eaton writes on "The Mormon Menace," and Dr. C. M. Cobern, of Denver, on "Early Intercourse between the Hebrews and other Ancient Peoples". Dr. Cobern refers to the statement made by Duhm in 1896 that, as it is one thing to show that writing was practised in the time of Moses and another thing to prove that there was a reading public, it is not probable that Moses committed any of his laws to writing. The force is taken from this statement, Dr. Cobern holds, by what we know now of the extent to which writing prevailed among the Egyptians and the Babylonians, the peoples with whom Israel had the most intimate connexion. Dr. Bernhard Pick, of Denver, takes up the subject of "The Originality of Vol. XI.—No. 6.

Christ's Teaching," and deals in this issue specially with the "Alleged Teachers of Jesus"—the Essenes, Hillel, etc. In the September issue he writes on "The Claims of the Alleged Teachers of Jesus Tested".

In the Biblical World for July, Dr. W. R. Harper, in his series of "Constructive Studies in the Priestly Element in the Old Testament," deals with the "Laws and Usages concerning the place of worship," collecting the various passages which bear on the subject in the different periods of Israel's history, giving some valuable suggestions, and adding a full statement of literature to be consulted. In the September issue he takes up the question of "The Laws and Usages concerning Feasts". Professor Lucien Gautier, of Geneva, contributes to the former issue an interesting note on "The Wells of Beersheba," explaining how the case stood when he visited the place in 1899, and commenting on the later reports by Madame Sargenton-Galichon and Professor Robinson, to the effect that there are "at least" seven wells there now—with the possibility that others may yet be found. He confesses that, if it were made out that there were seven, and only seven wells, he should "not be able to share Professor Robinson's conviction that the name of the place has its origin in the presence of seven wells". "Well of seven," he points out, is not equal to "seven wells".

Among the papers which appear in the issue of the Methodist Review for July-August, we may refer to one on the question "Is Man Immortal? The Answer of Science," in which Dr. C. E. Locke, of Buffalo, briefly reviews the position as it is put by men like Le Conte, Fiske, Romanes and others. There are good papers also by Professor G. A. Coe on "Methods of Studying Religion," Professor G. S. Innis on "The Intellect—its function in religion," and others.

In the June issue of *The Bible Student* Professor Jacobus, of Hartford, Conn., writes on "The Relation of the Book of Acts to the Third Gospel". This he takes to be simply "the relation of supplementation by which the troublesome queries raised by the first book were fully satisfied by the story of the second". That is to say, in view of the fact that

the third Gospel set forth Jesus as of the Jews in historical origin and environment, Theophilus had to be assured that "the Gentile expansion of Christianity was but the natural development of the religion which Jesus had established in Palestine". Dr. G. T. Purves gives a brief study of the Revelation of St. John, and the Rev. S. T. Lowrie, of Philadelphia, discusses the "Place of Hebrews in the Complex of Revelation". Its function, he thinks, is to remove a great obstacle to the glory of the Son and the saving of souls (which are "the ulterior and higher end") by manifesting the truth concerning the "former revelation of the word spoken by angels".

The first part of the Twentieth volume of the Journal of Biblical Literature opens with a paper by William Benjamin Smith, of the Tulane University, on the "Address and Destination of St. Paul's Epistle to the Romans". The writer does not complete his inquiry in the present number, but holds that so far at least the testimony "lies heavily against the Roman address;" that such an address indeed is seen under the circumstances, supposed to be a "sheer impossibility;" but that, on the other hand, it is "entirely natural, entirely self-explaining as a gradual deposit of the collective Christian consciousness, compacting itself generation after generation in watchwords and slogans attrite from the friction of centuries". Here indeed is a conclusion as wonderful in the terms in which it is expressed as it is in itself. Baldensperger's theory of the Fourth Gospel is examined by Professor Rishell, of Boston, who finds much that is fanciful in Baldensperger's exegesis. He thinks that with the critical apparatus employed by Baldensperger in order to prove that the Fourth Gospel was written to combat the party of John the Baptizer, the Synoptical Gospels, the Epistle to the Romans, the Epistle to the Hebrews and perhaps others of the New Testament books could be equally well shown to have been composed with the same object in view. Professor Kelso, of Allegheny, Pa., writes in defence of the antiquity and specifically Israelitish character of the Divine title אליון. There are also other papers of interest, e.g.,

one by Professor Mitchell, of Boston, on "The Theology of Jeremiah," and one by W. H. Cobb with the awkward title "On integrating the Book of Isaiah," in which there are some good things on the critical tradition, the place of Isaiah in the world of thought, etc.

The American Journal of Theology for July opens with a paper by Professor T. K. Cheyne, which has for its subject the "Ethanites and Jerahmeelites". It deals with the critical problems, and suggests various emendations in the text of the relevant passages. Professor Wenley, of Michigan. writes on the "British Idealistic Movement in the Nineteenth Century," giving a good account of Dr. Hutchison Stirling, Professor Ferrier, Principal Caird, Dr. Edward Caird, T. H. Green and others, and concluding with an expression of opinion that one of the tasks which confront the twentieth century, is to meet "the need for sharply outlined statements of first principles". The number also includes other papers which it repays one to read—one by the late Professor Northrup, of Chicago, on "The Fatherhood of God"; another by Dr. J. P. Smith of the same University on the "Day of Yahweh"; a critical note by the Rev. John Macpherson on "The Gospels as a Source for the Life of Christ," etc. In the October number attention will be attracted to a paper by Professor A. H. Savce on "The Antiquity of Civilised Man," and one by Walter M. Patton on "Blood-Revenge in Arabia and Israel".

Mr. J. M. Schulhof writes on The Law of Forgiveness as Presented in the New Testament.¹ It is an independent study of New Testament teaching, especially Our Lord's own teaching, on this subject. Certain portions of the Old Testament are also taken into consideration as throwing light on the New Testament doctrine. It is a patient and scholarly inquiry, based on Westcott and Hort's text of the New Testament. It begins with a detailed examination of the use of the fundamental terms ἄφεσις, ἀφιέναι. It points out how rare are the occurrences of the noun ἄφεσις in

¹Cambridge: Heffer & Sons, 1901. Cr. 8vo, pp. xi. + 159. Price 3s. 6d.

any but Hellenistic literature; and how the verb ἀφιέναι "had at the Christian era among Greek-speaking Jews and others conversant with the LXX version of the Hebrew Scriptures a far fuller meaning than it, or the rare cognate substantive ἄφεσις, had possessed in Greek of the fourth century B.C., or could have possessed apart from Hebrew influence". The various applications of the terms in the New Testament are next followed out, as they appear in the Baptist's mission, in our Lord's own words and deeds, and in the teaching of John, Peter, Paul, James, and the Epistle to the Hebrews. This is all done with great care. result reached is that "forgiveness" "as normally used in the New Testament, signifies a complex fact, a specific release, deliverance or disburdening, which, at the same time, in order to realisation, requires, and, viewed as a Divine gift, is always accompanied by a present and retrospective cleansing". Its object matter is described as "debts," "transgressions," "sins". Its consequences are given as justification in the sight of God, joy in the heart of him who forgives and in the heart of him who is forgiven, an "inheritance among the sanctified," and, in the case of human forgiveness, a restoration of brotherhood and brotherly feeling. The second portion of the volume deals with the law of retribution and the unforgiven state. Here it is affirmed that "there is nothing in the New Testament to ascertain for us unambiguously whether repentance, to be effective, must be in time or may yet be possible beyond time". Further conclusions are also drawn. On the one hand it is held that "ultra-Calvinism" (a term which, however, is left undefined) "has no support in the eschatological teaching of the Lord, whatever may be imagined to be deducible from the imagined teaching of St. Paul". On the other hand it is affirmed that "positive, or dogmatic, universalism receives no countenance from the teaching of Christ;—whatever may be supposed to be deducible from the supposed teaching of St. Paul;" and that "the larger hope" remains "a speculation not necessarily impossible, because not demonstrably false, but certainly more full of inherent perplexities than almost any other".

We have pleasure also in noticing a new and revised edition of Professor W. Clark's Witnesses to Christ 1—a popular statement of Christian defence, pointedly and vividly written, dealing in a fair, reasonable and persuasive way with the great forms of unbelief, the mutual relations of civilisation and Christianity, culture and religion, the resurrection of Christ, the unity of Christian doctrine, and similar subjects; a very good book by Dr. E. Chr. Achelis, of Marburg, and Dr. Eugen Sachse, of Bonn, on the old treatises of Andreas Hyperius² on Homiletics and Catechetics—translated into German and furnished with an interesting Introduction on the life of Hyperius, the nature of his work in general, and the special value of his conception of the preacher's function and the preparation for its proper discharge; the second edition of a very useful volume by Th. Vogel, giving in chronological order a series of extracts from Goethe in illustration of his attitude to religion generally and to the religious and ecclesiastical questions of his time; 3 an interesting sketch of Johanan Ben Zakkai,4 a contemporary of the Apostles, by Professor Schlatter, of Tübingen, together with a paper by Professor Lütgert on the topic of Geschichtlicher Sinn und Kirchlichkeit in ihrem Zusammenhang which contains some good points; an able and instructive discussion of the question of Christian Perfection, by Professor Cremer, of Greifswald, together with

² Die Homiletik und die Katechetik des Andreas Hyperius. Berlin: Reuther und Reichard; London: Williams & Norgate, 1901. 8vo, pp. iv. + 214. Price 3s.

¹ Witnesses to Christ: a Contribution to Christian Apologetics. By William Clark, M.A., LL.D., D.C.L., F.R.S.C., Professor of Philosophy in Trinity University, Toronto. Edinburgh: T. & T. Clark, 1901. Cr. 8vo, pp. xvii. + 300. Price 4s.

³ Goethe's Selbstzeugnisse über seine Stellung zur Religion und zu religiöskirchlichen Fragen. Leipzig: Teubner. Cr. 8vo, pp. 242.

⁴(Beiträge zur Förderung christlicher Theologie, III. 4.) Gütersloh: Bertelsmann; London: Williams & Norgate, 1900. Cr. 8vo, pp. 122. Price 2s. net.

⁶ Ueber die christliche Volkommenheit; Das Recht des Bekenntnisses zur Auferstehung des Fleisches. (Beiträge zur Förderung christlicher Theologie, III. 2.) Gütersloh: Bertelsmann; London: Williams & Norgate. Cr. 8vo, pp. 107. Price M.1.80.

a vindication of the right of the article on the "Resurrection of the Flesh," by Karl Bornhäuser; a pamphlet, well written and giving much interesting information, on The Story of the (Osmanli) Turkish Version, with a brief account of related versions, by the Rev. A. A. Cooper, M.A.; a short treatise by Professor Lütgert, of Greifswald, on the Johannine Christology 2—a lucid and scholarly summary of John's teaching on Christ as the Son of God, His heavenly origin, His human nature, His love, His gifts to the world, and the Logos-doctrine, with some suggestive remarks on the consciousness of Jesus, His sinlessness, His subjection to temptation, His relation as the Logos to God and to the world, etc.; a contribution to Apologetics by E. Gustav Steude, dealing in a fresh and forcible way with the question of The Truth of Christianity,3 finding arguments for it in the three great provinces of miracle in the natural world, miracle in the spiritual world, miracle in history, and supplementing these by a statement of indirect proof; two instalments of G. H. Lamers's Zedekunde, a somewhat important contribution to Dutch philosophy, written in a clear and popular style, and giving an effective exposition of some points in phenomenology and psychology; a study of the Chief Problems of the Life of Jesus,5 by Professor Fritz Barth of Bern, a historical inquiry, not remarkable in any way for novelty, but

¹London: British and Foreign Bible Society, 1901. 8vo, pp. 64. Price 6d.

² Die johanneische Christologie. (Beiträge zur Förderung christlicher Theologie, III. 1.) Gütersloh: Bertelsmann; London: Williams & Norgate. Cr. 8vo, pp. 139. Price M.2.

³ Der Beweis für die Wahrheit des Christentums. (Beiträge zur Förderung Christlicher Theologie, III. 5.) Gütersloh: Bertelsmann; London: Williams & Norgate. 8vo, pp. 148. Price 2s. 6d. net.

^{4&}quot; Tweede Stuk. Eerste Deel-eerste Afdeeling; De Phaenomenologie van het Zedelijke Leven." Groningen: Wolters, 1900. 8vo, pp. 348.

[&]quot;Eerste Deel—Tweede Afdeeling. Derde Stuk. De Psychologie van het Zedelijke Leven." Groningen: Wolters, 8vo, pp. 430.

⁵ Die Hauptprobleme des Lebens Jesus. Gütersloh: Bertelsmann; London: Williams & Norgate. 8vo, pp. 280. Price 4s. net.

conducted with fairness, and insight, and sobriety, into the questions relating to the sources for a Life of Christ, His proclamation of the Kingdom of God, His use of the Old Testament, His miracles, His announcement of His return. His death and resurrection, and His testimony to Himself; Die Notabelnversammlung von 1787,1 an admirable historical monograph by Dr. Adalbert Wahl, giving a careful account of Calonne's projects of reform and his overthrow, the proceedings of the Assembly of Notables and its results-a contribution to an answer to the question whether the evils from which the French people suffered might not have been remedied without the catastrophe of the Revolution; an acute paper by Professor Adolf Deissmann of Heidelberg on Theology and Church,2 in which much insistence is laid, among other things, upon the lesson to be gathered from the history of ancient and mediæval times that theology and Church have both been to Christianity at once a protection and a danger; a similar paper by Pastor Sulze of Dresden, on the question-Wie ist der Kampf um die Bedeutung der Person und des Wirkens Jesu zu beendigen? 3—the answer to which is found in the clear distinction between God and His Kingdom and between Christ and the Church; a scholarly study of Hermas 4 by Professor Daniel Völter of Amsterdam, who identifies the woman who appears in the Vision with the Sibyl, and takes the Clement who is named in the first two sections, and with him the famous Clement of Rome, to be a Jewish proselyte, regarding the first two Visions at the same time as of older date than the third and fourth, and concluding that the Shepherd of Hermes is in the main a Jewish work, or, more precisely, a section of Proselyte-literature of a character, strictly

¹ Freiburg i. B.: J. C. B. Mohr, 1899. 8vo, pp. 101. Price M.2 50.

² Tübingen und Leipzig: J. C. B. Mohr; London: Williams & Norgate, 1901. 8vo, pp. 22. Price 9d.

⁵ Tübingen and Leipzig: J. C. B. Mohr; London: Williams & Norgate, 1901. 8vo, pp. 56. Price 1s.

⁴ Die Visionen des Hermas, die Sibylle und Clemens von Rom. Ein Beitrag zur Geschichte der altchristlichen Literatur. Berlin: Schwetschke und Sohn; London: Williams & Norgate, 1900. 8vo, pp. 54. Price 2s. net.

speaking, intermediate between Jewish literature proper and Christian literature; a most welcome addition to our knowledge of the curious pseudepigraphical literature, the Apocalypse of Elias, an admirable edition of a hitherto unknown book, which we owe to Georg Steindorf, of Leipzig, giving the Coptic text, a translation, a glossary, admirable notes on difficulties in text and interpretation, a full account of the manuscripts, and in short providing us with everything required for an intelligent understanding of the writing; a new and revised edition of The History of Herod,2 by John Vickers, described in the sub-title as "another look at a man emerging from twenty centuries of calumny," a book containing much interesting matter and setting Herod forth not indeed as a pattern ruler, but as something different from the traditional idea of him, a man chargeable with grave defects and errors (the case of Mariamne's execution is slightly handled), but possessed also of strong and commendable qualities, very much like those of the common English character; a careful and informing study of Tertullian's Doctrine of God and the Logos,3 by Dr. Johannes Stier; Les Maladies du Sentiment religieux,4 dedicated to Th. Ribot, forming one of the volumes of the Bibliothèque de philosophie contemporaine, a philosophic study by Professor E. Murisier of Neuchâtel, clearly and carefully written and containing much that deserves consideration on ecstasy, fanaticism, and the complex phenomena of aberrant religious feeling.

¹ Die Apokalypse des Elias. Eine unbekannte Apokalypse und Bruchstücke der Sophonias-Apokalypse. Mit einer Doppeltafel in Lichtdruck. Leipzig: Hinrichs, 1899. 8vo, pp. vi. + 190. Price M.6.50.

² London: Williams & Norgate, 1901. Cr. 8vo, pp. 393. Price 6s.

³ Die Gottes- und Logos-Lehre Tertullians. Göttingen: Vandenhoeck und Ruprecht; London: Williams & Norgate, 1899. 8vo, pp. 103. Price M.2.40.

⁴ Paris: Alcan, 1901. 12mo, pp. 174. Price Fr.2.50.

Record of Select Literature.

I.—OLD TESTAMENT.

OTTLEY, R. L. A Short History of the Hebrews to the Roman Period. With Maps. Cambridge: University Press. Cr. 8vo, pp. 332. 5s.

Walpole, G. H. S. Handbook to Judges and Ruth for the use of Students and Teachers. London: Rivingtons. Cr. 8vo, pp. 210. 3s.

WADE, G. Woosung. Old Testament History. With Maps. London: Methuen. Cr. 8vo, pp. 544. 6s.

JAHN, G. Das Buch Ester, nach der Septuaginta hergestellt, übers. u. kritisch erklärt. Leiden: Brill. 8vo, pp. xv. + 67. M.3.

Seifenberger, M. Die Bücher Esdras, Nehemias u. Richter. Uebers. u. erklärt (Kurzgefasster wissenschaftlicher Commentar der heiligen Schriften des Alten Testamentes, hrsg. v. B. Schaefer). Wien: Mayer und Co. 8vo, pp. 210. M.5.

GIGOT, F. E. C. Special Introduction to the Study of the Old Testament. I. Historical Books. New York: Benzinger. 8vo, pp. 387. \$1.50.

MITCHELL, H. G. The World before Abraham, according to Genesis i.-xi. Boston: Houghton, Mifflin & Co. 8vo, pp. vii. + 296. \$1.75.

OLD TESTAMENT ARTICLES.

- LAGRANGE, M. J. L'inscription de Mésa. Revue Bibl. Int., 1901, 4.
- PATTON, W. P. Blood-revenge in Arabia and in Israel. American Journal of Theology, 1901, 4.
- LEVENE, Moses. The Compiler of the Mishnah. Babylonian and Oriental Record, ix., 3.

- Loisy, Alfred. Les Mythes babyloniens et les premiers chapitres de la Genèse. Revue d'Histoire et de Litter. religieuses, vi., 4, 5.
- MURISON, Ross G. Totemism in the Old Testament. Biblical World, Sept. 1901.
- HARPER, President W. R. Constructive Studies in the Priestly Element in the Old Testament. VIII. The Laws and Usages concerning Feasts, considered comparatively. Biblical World, Sept. 1901.

II.—NEW TESTAMENT.

- BIGG, Rev. Charles. A Critical and Exegetical Commentary on the Epistles of St. Peter and St. Jude. (International Critical Commentary.) Edinburgh: T. & T. Clark. 8vo, pp. xi. + 353. 10s. 6d.
- DRUMMOND, Rev. R. J. The Relation of the Apostolic Teaching to the Teaching of Christ. 2nd ed. Edinburgh: T. & T. Clark. 8vo, pp. 442. 10s. 6d.
- MENZIES, Prof. Allan. The earliest Gospel. A Historical Study of the Gospel according to St. Mark. With a text and English version. London: Macmillan. 8vo, pp. 318. 8s. 6d. net.
- BURKITT, F. C. S. Ephraim's Quotations from the Gospel. (Texts and Studies, vii., 2.) Cambridge: University Press. 8vo, pp. xi. + 91. 3s.
- Purchas, H. T. Johannine Problems and Modern Needs. London: Macmillan & Co. 8vo, pp. vii. + 126. 3s.
- MOFFATT, Rev. James. The Historical New Testament. 2nd and revised edition. Edinburgh: T. & T. Clark. 8vo, pp. 764. 16s.
- WAY, Arthur S. The Letters of St. Paul to Seven Churches and Three Friends. Translated. London: Macmillan. Cr. 8vo, pp. 242. 5s. net.
- GARVIE, Rev. Alfred. Romans. Introduction, Authorised Version, Revised Version, with Notes, Index and Maps. (Century Bible.) Edinburgh: T. C. and E. C. Jack. 16mo, pp. 322. 2s. and 3s. net.

- HORTON, Rev. R. F. The Pastoral Epistles: Timothy and Titus. Introduction, Authorised Version, Revised Version, with Notes, Index and Map. (Century Bible.) Edinburgh: T. C. and E. C. Jack. 16mo, pp. 196. 2s. and 3s. net.
- ROBERTSON, Rev. W. Studies in the Acts of the Apostles. (Guild Text-Books.) London: Black. 16mo, pp. 160. 6s. net.
- Lorenz, O. Das Evangelium nach Matthäus, Markus, Lukas sachlich geordnet. I. Tl.: Das sittlich-religiöse Leben. II. Tl.: Die Vorstellungs-Welt. Heidelberg: Ev. Verlag. 8vo, pp. xiv. + 78. M.1.75.

NEW TESTAMENT ARTICLES.

- BLASS, F. Ueber Joh. xix. 35. Theol. Studien u. Kritiken, 1902, 1.
- CORSSEN, P. Warum ist das vierte Evangelium für ein Werk des Apostels Johannes erklärt worden? Ztschr. f. die neutest. Wissenschaft u. die Kunde des Urchristentums, ii., 3.
- PERRY, Rev. H. Francis. Knowing Christ after the Flesh: 2 Cor. v. 16. Biblical World, Oct. 1901.
- RILEY, I. Woodbridge. The Letters of Junius and the Epistle to the Hebrews. Bibliotheca Sacra, Oct. 1901.
- Boscawen, W. St. Chad. The Egyptian Element in the Apocalypse. Babylonian and Oriental Record, ix., 3.
- Pick, Dr. Bernhard. The Originality of Christ's Teaching. Homiletic Review, Oct. 1901.
- PIEPENBRING, C. Les principes fondamentaux de l'enseignement de Jésus. Revue de l'Histoire des Religions, xliv., 1.

III.—HISTORICAL.

ADAMS, D. C. O. The Saints and Missionaries of the Anglo-Saxon Era. With a preface by the Rev. T. T. Carter. 1st and 2nd series. London: Mowbray. Cr. 8vo, pp. 470, 440. 5s. net each.

- BÉNAZECH, J. Le prophétisme chrétien, depuis les origines jusq'au "Pastor" de Hermas. Cahors: Coueslant. 8vo, pp. 64.
- RODOCANACHI, E. Les institutions communales de Rome, sous la papauté. Paris: Picard. 8vo, pp. vii. + 424.

HISTORICAL ARTICLES.

- WARFIELD, Rev. B. B. The Printing of the Westminster Confession. Presbyterian and Reformed Review, Oct. 1901.
- Kolde, Th. Hans Denk und die gottlosen Maler in Nürnberg. Beiträge z. bayer. Kgsch., viii., 1.
- Jalla, J. Synodes Vaudois de la Réformation à l'exil, 1536-96. Bullet. hist. et litter., 1901, 8, 9.

IV.—DOCTRINAL.

- BANKS, John S. The Development of Doctrine from the Early Middle Ages to the Reformation. (Books for Bible Students.) London: Kelly. 12mo, pp. 274. 28. 6d.
- Gregory, Eleanor C. An Introduction to Christian Mysticism, with Prefatory Letter by Dr. Alexander Whyte. London: Allenson. 16mo, pp. 96. 1s. 6d. net.
- HOLDEN, H. W. Justification by Faith, and other Sacred Truths in Harmony and Correlation. London: Skeffington. Cr. 8vo, pp. vii. + 101. 2s. 6d.
- McIntosh, Rev. Hugh. Is the Bible True and Christ Infallible? 2nd edition. Edinburgh: T. & T. Clark. 8vo, pp. 748. 9s.
- TASKER, John G. Spiritual Religion. A study of the relation of facts to faith. Being the thirty-ninth Fernley Lecture. London: Kelly. 8vo, pp. 192. 3s.
- BLAMIRES, Rev. W. D. Studies and Speculations on Natural Theology. London: Partridge. Cr. 8vo, pp. vi. + 176. 3s. 6d.
- MEYRICK F. Old Anglicanism and Modern Ritualism. London: Skeffington. Cr. 8vo, pp. 264. 5s.

Scott, Rev. C. Anderson. Evangelical Doctrine, Bible Truth. 2nd ed. London: Hodder & Stoughton. 8vo,

pp. 320. 6s.

Wirth, K. H. Der "Verdienst" Begriff in der christlichen Kirche, nach seiner geschichtlichen Entwickelung dargestellt. II. Der "Verdienst" Begriff bei Cyprian. Leipzig: Dörffling u. Franke. 8vo, pp. xi. + 184. M.3.60.

SPICKER, G. Versuch e. neuen Gottesbegriffs. Stuttgart:

Frommann. 8vo, pp. viii. + 376. M.6.

BETHUNE-BAKER, J. F. The Meaning of homoousios in the Constantinopolitan Creed. (Texts and Studies, vii., 1.) Cambridge: University Press. 8vo, pp. vii. +83. 3s.

DOCTRINAL ARTICLES.

Howland, S. W. The Tripartite Nature of Man. Bibl. Sacra, Oct. 1901.

Hobson, Benjamin L. English Theistic Thought at the Close of the Century. Presbyterian and Reformed Review, Oct. 1901.

FAIRFIELD, E. B. The Second-Advent Theory reviewed. Bibliotheca Sacra, Oct. 1901.

TURMEL, J. Le dogme du péche originel dans saint Augustin. Revue d'Histoire et de Litter. religieuses, vi., 4, 5.

THOMPSON, John Day. The Witness of the Spirit: a Re-Statement. Primitive Methodist Quarterly Review, Oct. 1901.

DAVIES, W. Jones. Ritschl's Doctrine of God and Christ. Primitive Methodist Quarterly Review, Oct. 1901.

McGILVRAY, E. B. "The Eternal Consciousness." Mind, Oct. 1901.

Green, Prof. W. Brenton. Apologetic Worth of Christian Experience. Methodist Review, Sept.-Oct. 1901.

V.—PHILOSOPHICAL.

Kalthoff, A. Die Philosophie der Griechen, auf Kulturgeschtl. Grundlage dargestellt. Berlin: Schwetschke. 8vo, pp. iv. + 155. M.2.

JACOBSEN, E. Lyra Philosophica. Weltanschauungen. Schein u. Sein. Vorbeigeratene Welträtsel. Berlin: Mayer u. Müller. 8vo, pp. 76. M.1.50.

PHILOSOPHICAL ARTICLES.

GRIFFIN, E. H. Psychology as a Natural Science. Presbyterian and Reformed Review, Oct. 1901.

MACKENZIE, J. S. The Use of Moral Ideas in Politics. International Journal of Ethics, Oct. 1901.

BRADLEY, F. H. Some Remarks on Conation. Mind, Oct. 1901.

LOVEDAY, T. Theories of Mental Activity. Mind, Oct. 1901. SPILLER, G. The Dynamics of Attention. Mind, Oct. 1901.

VI.—GENERAL.

HAPPEL, J. Die religiösen u. philosophischen Grundanschauungen der Inder. Aus den Sanskritquellen vom völkergeschtl. Standpunkte des Christenthums aus dargestellt u. beurtheilt. Giessen: Ricker. 8vo, pp. viii. + 252. M.10.

LÜLMAN, C. Das Bild des Christentums bei den grossen deutschen Idealisten. Ein Beitrag zur Geschichte des Christentums. Berlin: Schwetschke. 8vo, pp. 229. M.4.80.

HOLTZMANN, O. Die jüdische Schriftgelehrsamkeit zur Zeit Jesu. Giessen: Ricker. 8vo, pp. 32. M.o.7o.

Murison, Ross G. Babylonia and Assyria: a Sketch of their History. (Bible Class Primers.) Edinburgh: T. & T. Clark, pp. 116. Price 6d., cloth 8d.

GENERAL ARTICLES.

Chapuis, P. Religion, Christianismé, Théologie, II. Revue de Théol. et Philosophie, 1901, 3, 4.

GOBLET, d'Alviella. De l'emploi et la méthode-comparative dans l'étude des phénomènes religieux. Revue de l'Hist. d. Rel., Juill-août 1901.

Leuba, J. H. Religion; its Impulses and its Ends. Bibl. Sacra, Oct. 1901.

Foster, Frank H. James Martineau. Presbyterian and Reformed Review, Oct. 1901.

Moore, G. E. The Value of Religion. International Journal of Ethics, Oct. 1901.

DEWART, Edward Hartley. Some Characteristics of Current "New Theology". Bibliotheca Sacra, Oct. 1901.

Bousset, W. Die Himmelsreise der Seele. Archiv für Religionswissenschaft, iv., 3.

HARDY, E. Zur Geschichte der vergleichenden Religionsforschung. Archiv für Religionswissenschaft, iv., 1.

SETON, Dr. W. Heredity in Man. Catholic World, Oct. 1901.

SAYCE, Prof. A. H. The Antiquity of Civilised Man. American Journal of Theology, Oct. 1901.

Note.—With reference to a statement made in the review of Dr. Schwarzkopff's Beweis für das Dasein Gottes in the issue for September, Professor Mackintosh desires to explain that he is now informed that "all the four parts" of the work on the Revelation of God in Jesus Christ, to which reference was made, have appeared, along with "a fifth part" which "appeared in 1897".

INDEX OF REVIEWS.

ABBEY, C. J. The Divine Love, 171. ACHELIS, E. C. Die Homiletik und die Katechetik des Andreas Hyperius, 566. ADAMS, J. The Mosaic Tabernacle, 274. ADENEY, W. F. St. Luke (The Century Bible), 367. ADLER, M. Student's Hebrew Grammar,

with Exercises and Vocabularies, 225.

with Exercises
Advance Endeavour, 73.

A V. G. Life and Letters of ALLEN, A. V. G. Phillips Brooks, 166.
American Journal of Theology, The, 69,

173, 564.

Analecta Bollandiana, 551.

Antiquary, The, 271.

ARMSTRONG, R. A. Martineau's Study of Religion, 78.

BACON, B. W. An Introduction to the New Testament, 267.

BAIRD, H. M. Theodore Beza, 169. BALDENSPERGER, W. Das spätere Judenthum als Vorstufe des Christenthums,

BALLARD, F. The Miracles of Unbelief, 264.

BARON, D. The Ancient Scriptures and the Modern Jew, 372.
ARTH, F. Die Hauptprobleme des

Lebens Jesus, 567. BAUMGARTEN, O. Bismarck's Stellung

zu Religion und Kirche, 77

BEEBY, C. B. Doctrine and Principles,

BEET, J. A. Commentary on St. Paul's Epistle to the Romans, 65.
BENNETT, W. H., and ADENEY, W. F.

Biblical Introduction, 65.

BENSON, A. C. The Life of Edward White Benson, sometime Archbishop of Canterbury, 370.
BERNARD, T. H. The Works of Bishop

Butler, 67.

Bible Student, 562. Biblical World, The, 271, 358, 562.

Bibliotheca Sacra, 174, 259.

Box, G. H. Dalman's Christianity and

Judaism, 370. Braasch, A. H. Ueber Ernst Haeckel's

Welträtsel, 273.
BRÄUNLICH, P. Das Fortschreiten der "Los von Rom-Bewegung" in Oesterreich, 273.

BROWN, FRANCIS. Hebrew and English Lexicon of the Old Testament, 76. Brown, J. E. A. Thoughts through the Year, 78.

BURKITT, F. C. Early Christianity out-

side the Roman Empire, 77.

Two Lectures on the Gospels, 179. VOL. XI.—No. 6.

BURNET, J. The Ethics of Aristotle,

BURTON, E. D., and MATHEWS, S. Constructive Studies on the Life of Christ,

BUTLER, D. Scottish Cathedrals and Abbeys, 257.

CALDECOTT, A. The Philosophy of Religion in England and America, 306. CALDERWOOD and WOODSIDE.

of Henry Calderwood, 79. CALLOW, C. A History of the Origin

and Development of the Creeds, 371.

CAMPBELL, C. The First Three Gospels in Greek arranged in Parallel Columns,

CAPES, W. W. The English Church in the Fourteenth and Fifteenth Centuries,

CARPENTER, BOYD. The Religious Spirit in the Poets, 70.

ARR. J. A. The Life-work of Edward

CARR, J. A. The Life-work of Edward White Benson, 71. CARY, G. L. The Synoptic Gospels, 360.

Catalogue of the Hebrew and Samaritan MSS. in the British Museum. Part I.,

67. CAVALIER, E. F. The Preacher's Dictionary, 170.

CHAPMAN, J. W. The Surrendered Life, 182.

CHERFILS, C. Un Essai de Religion scientifique: Introduction à Wronski, Philosophe et Réformateur, 32.

HEYNE, T. K., and BLACK, J. S. Encyclopædia Biblica. Vol. II.: E to CHEYNE, K, 157. CLARK, W. Witnesses to Christ, 566.

CLEMEN, Carl. Niedergefahren zu den

Toten, 552. COOKE, A. W. Palestine in Geography and in History, 371.

A. The Story of the

COOPER, A. A. The Story of the (Osmanli) Turkish Version, 567. CRAIK, H. A Century of Scottish History,

228. CREIGHTON, M. Counsels for Church

People, 274. CREMER, H. Die Paulinische Rechtferti-

gungslehre, 42.

— Ueber die Christliche Vollkommenheit, 568.

DAUBNEY, W. H. The Use of the Apocrypha in the Christian Church,

DEISSMANN, A. Theologie und Kirche,

DEISSMANN, G. A. Bible Studies, 298.

Descriptive List of Syriac and Karshuni MSS. in the British Museum acquired

since 1873, 66. DRESCHER, R. Das Leben Jesu bei

Paulus, 443.
DRIVER, S. R. The Book of Daniel, 81. DRUMMOND, R. J. The Relation of the Apostolic Teaching to the Teaching of Christ, 82.

ECK, H. V. S. The Incarnation, 266. ECK, S. David Friedrich Strauss, 134. EDERSHEIM, ALFRED. Life and Times of Jesus the Messiah, 66. EDIE, W. Nestle's Introduction to the

Textual Criticism of the Greek New

Testament, 175.

EGLI, Emil. Analecta Reformatoria, 547. ELLIOT, Hon. A. The State and the Church, 74.
EXELL, J. S. Biblical Illustrator, 71, 183.
Expositor, The, 65.

FALCONER, J. From Apostle to Priest, 268. FARQUHAR, J. N. The Crossbearer, 371. FARRAR, Dean. The Life of Christian Service, 71.
FEINE, P. Das gesetzesfreie Evangelium

des Paulus, 49. Frere, W. H. A New History of the Book of Common Prayer, 545. UCHS, E. Schleiermacher's Religions-

begriff und religiöse Stellung zur Zeit der ersten Ausgabe der Reden, 274. FÜLLKRUG, G. Der Gottesknecht des

Deuterojesaia, 227.

GALL, A. F. v. Zusammensetzung und Herkunft der Bileam-Perikope, 543. GANT, F. J. Modern Natural Theology, with the Testimony of Christian Evi-

dences, 421. GARROD, G. W. The Second Epistle to

the Thessalonians: Analysis and Notes,

GAUTIER, L. Vocations de Prophètes, 274. Genealogical Magazine, The, 271.

GIBSON, M. D. An Arabic Version of the Acts of the Apostles and the Seven Catholic Epistles, 73.

GIBSON, M. D. Apocrypha Arabica, 553. GIESEBRECHT, F. Die Geschichtlichkeit des Sinaibundes, 225.

GLOAG, P. J. Evening Thoughts, 216. GOMPERZ, T. Greek Thinkers, a History of Ancient Philosophy, 291.

GOODSPEED, G. S. Hope to the Time of Jesus, 38.
GORE, C. The Body of Christ, 400.
GOULD, E. P. The Biblical Theology of

the New Testament, 265.

GRAY, J. C. The Biblical Museum. Vol. X., 78. GRAY, J. C., and CAREY, C. S. The Class and the Desk. New Testament Series; Gospels and Acts, 78.

GRIFFITH-JONES, E. The Ascent through Christ, 70.

GRISWOLD, H. de W. Bráhman (Cornell Studies in Philosophy), 370.
ROSER, H. G. Field - Marshal Lord GROSER, H. G.

Roberts, 77. GROTIUS, H. The Rights of War and Peace, 77.

H. A. D. The Victory that Overcometh, 372.

HALL, L. The Evolution of Consciousness, 503. HANDLEY, H.

The Fatal Opulence of Bishops, 268. APPEL, O. Das Buch des Propheten HAPPEL.

Habackuk, 41.

HARPER, R., and BURTON, E. D. Constructive Bible Studies, 270. HARRIS, F. RENDEL. The Gospel of the

Twelve Apostles, 73.

HASTIE, W. Kant's Cosmogony, 104.

HEGLER, Prof. Zur Erinnerung C Zur Erinnerung Carl Weizsäcker, 76. Heinrici, C. F. Die Bergpredigt, 426.

- Der Zweite Brief an die Korinther, 557. HENSEL, P. Thomas Carlyle, 272. HERKLESS, J. Francis and Dominic, 435.

HERRMANN, D. W. Ethik, 130. HILLIS, N. D. The Influence of Christ in Modern Life, 178. OD, ER. A. The Adversaries of the

HODIER, A. Sceptic; or, the Specious Present, 499. HOLL, K. Enthusiasmus und Bussgewalt beim griechischen Mönchthum, 369.

HOLLMANN, G. Die Bedeutung des Todes Jesu, 521.

HOLTZMANN, H. J. Die Synoptiker, 370. R. Rothe's Speculatives System, 371. HOLTZMANN und KRÜGER. gischer Jahresbericht, 274.

Homiletic Review, The, 68, 259, 271, 551. HOMMEL, F. Aufsätze und Abhandlungen,

HORT, F. J. A. Sermons on the Books of

the Bible, 274.

William Convingham Plunket, Fourth Baron Plunket, and Sixtyfirst Archbishop of Dublin, 257.

HÜHN, E. Die Alttestamentlichen Citate und Reminiscenzen im Neuen Testamente, 137

HYNDMAN, F. A. The Time of Transition, or the Hope of Humanity, 497.

International Journal of Ethics, The, 68, 172, 259.

JACKSON, A. W. James Martineau, 269. JACKSON, Samuel Macauley. Huldreich

Zwingli, 555.

GER. K. Luther's religiöses Interesse ÄGER, K. an seiner Lehre von der Realpräsenz,

JEREMIAS, A. Hölle und Paradies bei den Babyloniern, 75.

The Spiritual Letters of Edward Bouverie Pusey, 174.
Journal of Biblical Literature, 563.

Journal of Theological Studies, 172, 261,

466. Sermons on Faith and JOWETT, B. Doctrine, 209.

JÜLICHER, A. Die Gleichnisreden Jesu,

KARV, G. Auf dem Wege der Wahrheit,

KAUTZSCH, K. Das sogenannte Volksbuch von Hiob, 540.

KELLNER, M. The Assyrian Monuments illustrating the Sermons of Isaiah, 372. KELLY, H. A History of the Church of

Christ, 432. KELLY, W. The Preaching to the Spirits in Prison, 372.

KESSLER, H., and STRACK, H. L. Die Psalmen und die Sprüche Salomos übersetzt und ausgelegt, 78.

KING, H. C. Reconstruction in Theology,

KINGSLEY, C. Westward Ho, 72. KIRK, R. S. Side Lights on Great Pro-

blems of Human Interest, 74. KNEPFLER, A. Rabani Mauri de Institutione Clericorum Libri Tres, 353

KOCH, MAX. Der Ordo Salutis in der alt-lutherischen Dogmatik, 75. KOETSVELD, C. E. VAN. Die Gleichnisse

des Evangeliums, 140.
KOMENSKY, J. A. The Labyrinth of the

World and the Paradise of the Heart, 496. KRAETZSCHMAR, R. Das Buch Ezechiel übersetzt und erklärt, 28.

Kreyenbühl, J. Das Evangelium der Wahrheit. Neue Lösung der Johanneischen Frage, 423.

KUNZE, J. Glaubensregel, Heilige Schrift und Taufbekenntniss, 52.

— Die Herrlichkeit Jesu Christi nach

den drei ersten Evangelien, 369.

Our National Church A. S. Trouble, Diagnosis and Remedy, 183. LAMERS, G. H. Zedekunde, 567. LANG, C. G. The Miracles of Jesus, as

Marks of the Way of Life, 217. LENNOX, C. Henry Drummond, 551

Die Kausalität als Grundlage LEO, O. der Weltanschauung, 150. LEWIS, AGNES S. Select Narratives of Holy Women, 64.

LEWIS, A. S, and GIBSON, M.D. tinian Syriac Texts from Palimpsest Fragments in the Taylor - Schechter

Collection, 73. L'Humanité Nouvelle, 271.

Amos, 542.

JOHNSON, J. O., and NEWBOLT, W. C. E. | LÜDEMANN, H. Individualität und Persönlichkeit, 183. LÜTGERT, Prof. Geschichtlicher Sinn

und Kirchlichkeit, 566. Die johanneische Christologie, 567.

M'CANDLISH, J. M. Personal Character and Business Life, 77.

M'CLYMONT, J. A. St. John (The Century Bible), 367. M'CRIE, C. G. The Church of Scotland:

her Divisions and her Re-Unions, 332. M'CURDY, J. F. History, Prophecy and the Monuments. Vol. III., 387.

M'HARDY, G. Savonarola, 434. MACDOUGALL, A. The Autobiography

of Allen Lorne, 72.
MACINTOSH, H. Is Christ Infallible and

the Bible True? 355.

MACKINTOSH, H. R., and MACAULAY,
A. B. The Christian Doctrine of Justification and Reconciliation by Albrecht Ritschl, 3.

MACKINTOSH, R. A First Primer of

Apologetics, 67.
MACLACHAN, T. B. David Livingstone ("Famous Scots"), 373.
MACPHERSON, J. A History of the Church

in Scotland from the Earliest Times down to the Present Day, 205.

MAGGS, J. T. L. The Spiritual Experience of St. Paul, 368. MARCHANT, BESSIE. From the Scourge

of the Tongue, 71.
MARGOLIOUTH, D. S. Anecdota Oxoniensia, 58.

- Lines of Defence of the Biblical Revelation, 102.

MARTI, K. Das Buch Jesaia erklärt, 12. - Daniel, 336.

MASTERMAN, J H. The First Epistle of St. Peter, 180. MASTERMAN, N. Chalmers on Charity,

80. MATHESON, G. Studies of the Portrait of Christ. Vol. II., 216.
MAYER, E. W. Das Christliche Gottver-

trauen und der Glaube an Christus, 152. MAYER, G. System der Christlichen

Hoffnung, 441.
MEDLEY, W. Christ, the Truth, 117.
MENEGOZ, E. Publications diverses sur le Fidéisme, 232.

Methodist Review, The, 271, 368, 562. MILLS, L. H. Communication on Some Aspects of the Edicts of the Persian Emperors in the Old Testament, 344.

Mind, 69, 173, 260. MITCHELL, H. G. Amos, an Essay in Exegesis, 371.
MOBERLY, R. C. Atonement and Per-

L'Humanité Nouvelle, 271.

LITTMANN, E. Ueber die Abfassungszeit des Tritojesaia, 226.

LÖHR, M. Untersuchungen zum Buch

Morfatt, J. The Historical New Testament, 252, 446.

Monatsschrift für die Kirchliche Praxis,

272.

MORDANT P. The Book of Judges, 36. The Biblical Text of MORDANT, P. Clement of Alexandria, 79

MOTT, J. R. The Evangelisati World in this Generation, 146. The Evangelisation of the

MOULTON, R. G. A Short Introduction to the Literature of the Bible, 549. MURISIER, E. Les maladies du sentiment

religieux, 569. MUSS-ARNOLT, W. Theological and

Semitic Literature for the Year 1900. NASH. H. S. The History of the Higher

Criticism of the New Testament, 266. Neue Kirchliche Zeitschrift, 69. NOWACK, D. W. Richter-Ruth, 101.

OLDING, B. S. Hymns and Hymn-Writers, 78.

OMONT, M. H. Notice sur un très ancien manuscrit Grec de l'Évangile de Saint Matthieu, 548. OWEN, J. W. "I say unto you," 215.

PATERSON, J. A. The Book of Numbers, 99. PEABODY, F. G. Jesus Christ and the Social Question, 361.

Pearse, M. G. The Bramble King, 78.
Pearse, M. G., and Gregory, A. E.
The Preacher's Magazine, 183.
Peloubet, F. N. The Teachers' Com-

mentary on the Gospel according to St.

Matthew, 550.
PENGELLY, R. E. John Ruskin, 182.
PENNINGTON, A. R. The Counter-Refor-

mation in Europe, 493.
POLE, H. The Church of England: its Catholicity and Continuity, 76.
POTT, A. Der abendländische Text der

Apostelgeschichte und die Wir-Quelle, 515.

PRAETORIUS, F. Das Targum zum Buch der Richter in jemenischer Ueberlieferung, 337.

Ueber die Herkunft der hebräischen

Accente, 337.

Presbyterian and Reformed Review, The, 69, 173, 260, 559

Preuschen's Zeitschrift, 272, 554. Principles of Religious Education, 265. PROCTER, F. A New History of the Book

of Common Prayer, 545. PULLAN, L. The History of the Book of Common Prayer, 123.

- The Books of the New Testament, 459.

PULLER, F. W. The Primitive Saints of the See of Rome, 394.

PULSFORD, J. Quiet Hours, 72. PURCELL, E. S. The Life and Letters of Ambrose de Lisle, 84.

RADE, M. Reine Lehre, eine Forderung des Glaubens und nicht des Rechts, 273. Die Wahrheit der christlichen Religion, 273.

RAIT, R. S. The Scottish Parliament

before the Union of the Crowns; 263.
RAND, B. The Life, Unpublished Letters, and Philosophical Regimen of Anthony, Earl of Shaftesbury, Author of the "Characteristics," 114.

RAYMOND, G. L. The Representative Significance of Form, 365.

Record of Select Literature, 87, 184, 275, 374, 468, 570. Report of the Census of Cuba, 1899, The.

72. Revue d'Histoire et de Littérature re-

ligieuses, 260. ROBBINS, W. L. An Essay toward Faith, 72.

ROBERTS, W. R. Dionysius of Hali-carnassus' Three Literary Letters,

ROBERTS, C. M. Treatise on the History

of Confession, 559. ROBINSON, A. Old and New Certainty of the Gospel, a Sketch, 372.

ROCHOLL, R. Der Christliche Gottesbegriff, 437. ROGERS, R. W. A History of Babylonia

and Assyria, 483. Oose, P. W. The Book of the Future ROOSE, P. W.

Life, 70. ROTHSTEIN, G. Die Dynastie der Lah-

miden in Al-Ḥira. Ein Versuch zur arabisch-persischen Geschichte zur Zeit der Sasaniden, 230. ROWNTREE, J., and SHERWELL, A. State

Prohibition and Local Option, 70. RUTHERFORD, W. G. The Key of Knowledge, 551.

SADLER, T. Sermons for Children, 78. SAINTSBURY, G. A History of Criticism and Literary Taste in Europe from the Earliest Times to the Present Day. Vol. I.: Classical and Mediæval Criticism, 362.

SANDAY, Prof. Different Conceptions of Sacrifice and Priesthood, 83.

SAUNDERS, T. B. Harnack's What is Christianity? 176.

SCHEIL, V. Délégation en Perse, 490. SCHLATTER, Prof. Johanan Ben Zakkai,

SCHULHOF, J. M. The Law of Forgiveness as presented in the New Testament, 564.

SCHWARZKOPFF, P. Beweis für das Dasein Gottes, 419. SCOTT, C. A. Evangelical Doctrine Bible

Truth, 339. ELL, C. Goethe's Stellung zu Religion SELL, C.

und Christentum, 77 SELL, E. Essays on Islam, 513.

SELLIN, E. Studien zur Entstehungsgeschichte der jüdischen Gemeinde nach dem babylonischen Exil, 324.

SELWYN, E. C. The Christian Prophets and the Prophetic Apocalypse, 462.

SERAPHIM, the Very Rev. The Sooth- | VOLCK, W. saver Balaam, 195

SIME, J. William Herschel and his Work, 121.

SIMPSON, P. C. SLATER, W. F. The Fact of Christ, 169. St. Matthew (The Century Bible), 367.

SMELLIE. The Heidelberg Catechism, 66. Modern Criticism and the SMITH, G. A. Preaching of the Old Testament, 219.
MYTH, J. Truth and Reality, with SMYTH, J. Truth and Reality, v. Special Reference to Religion, 296.

STAERK, W. Studien zur Religions- und Sprachgeschichte des Alten Testaments, II. Heft, 226. STARLEY, T. K. "The Christian Edition"

of the Bible, 77. STEINDORF, G. Die Apokalypse des Elias,

569.

STELLHORN, F. W. Die Pastoralbriefe Pauli, 183.

G. Der Beweis für die STEUDE, E. Wahrheit. des Christentums, 567.

STEUERNAGEL, C. Allgemeine Einleitung in der Hexateuch, 40.
STEVENS, G. B. The Messages of Paul,74.

STEWART, A. G. The Academic Gregories

("Famous Scots"), 373. STEWART, A. M. The Origins of the United Free Church of Scotland, 170. STEWART, H. F. Thirteen Homilies of St. Augustine on St. John xiv., 73. STIER, J. Die Gottes- und Logos-Lehre

Tertullians, 569. STONE, D. Outlines of Christi in Dogma,

STUART, C. E. An Outline of St. Paul's Epistle to the Romans, 71.

W. Pro Patria! Sermons STUBBS, C. on Special Occasions in England and America, 214.

Studia Sinaitica, 64, 553. Sulze, Pastor. Wie is der Kampf um die Bedeutung der Person und des Wirkens Jesu zu beendigen? 568.

SWETE, H. B. Introduction to the Old Testament in Greek, 463.

Das Wesen des Evangelischen Glaubens, 273.

TAYLOR, C. Hebrew-Greek Cairene Palimpsests, 262.

Teologisk Tidsskrift, 68.

THACKERAY, H. S. The Relations of St. Paul to Contemporary Jewish Thought,

Theologischer Jahresbericht, 71. Toy, C. H. The International Critical

Commentary on Proverbs, 413.
TURNER, F. S. Knowledge, Belief and Certitude, 108.

VICKERS, J. The History of Herod, 569. VOGEL, Th. Goethe's Selbstzeugnisse über seine Stellung zur Religion, 566.

Christi und der Apostel Stellung zum Alten Testament, 273. Volter, D. Die Visionen des Hermas, die Sibylle und Clemens von Rom, 568.

VOPEL, H. Die Altchristlichen Goldgläser,

WACE, H. Conference held at Fulham Palace in October, 1900, 84

WAGNER, F. Die sittlichen Grundkräfte. Ein Beitrag zur Ethik, 149.

Die Notabelnversammlung WAHL, A. von 1787, 568.

WALKER, W. The Reformation, 202.

WALSH, W. Defence of the King's Pro-

testant Declaration, 368. WATT, W. A. A Study of Social Morality,

320.

Der heilige Paulus von Apostelübereinkommen (Gal. ii. 1-10) bis zum Apostelkonzil (Apg. xv.), 517. WEICHELT, H. Der moderne Mensch und das Christentum, 369.

WEINEL, H. Die Wirkungen des Geistes und der Geister im nachapostolischen

Zeitalter bis auf Irenaeus, 153.

— Die Bildersprache Jesu in ihrer Bedeutung für die Esrforschung Seines

inneren Lebens, 444. Weiss, B. Die drei Briefe des Apostel Johannes, 369.

Die Evangelien des Markus und Lukas, 558. Weiss, J. Die Predigt Jesu vom Reiche

Gottes, 243.

— Die Idee des Reiches Gottes in der

Theologie, 246. WEIZSÄCKER, C. Das Neue Testament

übersetzt, 76. Wernle, P. Die Anfänge unserer Religion, 504. Wesleyan Methodist Magazine, The, 270,

WESTCOTT, B. F. Lessons from Work,

WEYMOUTH, R. F. On the Rendering

Perfect, 273. WHITTAKER, T. The Neo-Platonists: a

Study in the History of Hellenism, 316. WILSON, J. M. Truths New and Old, 180. WINDELBAND, W. Geschichte der Philosophie, 369.

WINTER, GRACE. Keep to the Right, 72. WOOLAM, W. All Change, 70.

YARRINGTON, W. H. H. An Approximate Chronology of the Life of our Lord Jesus Christ, 72. Young England, 71.

Zeitschrift für die neutestamentliche Wissenschaft und die Kunde des Urchristentums, 272, 554.